

U.S. Department of Justice

Office of Legal Counsel

Washington, D.C. 20530

July 8, 2024

Jason Leopold

Re: FOIA Tracking No. FY23-033

Dear Mr. Leopold:

This letter responds to your January 18, 2023 Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA") request to the Office of Legal Counsel ("OLC"), in which you sought "Office of Legal Counsel opinions, memorandum, and/or emails mentioning or referring to the platinum \$1 trillion coin," as narrowed to OLC's internal database of legal advice. Pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(b), your request as narrowed was processed in the simple track.

We have completed our search of OLC files and have identified four documents that may be responsive to your request. We are enclosing all four documents with redactions pursuant to FOIA Exemption Five, 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(5). For your information, Exemption Five exempts material protected by the attorney-client, deliberative process, and presidential communications privileges, as well as the attorney work product doctrine and other privileges. We have determined that none of the withheld material is appropriate for discretionary release.

Please be advised that we have considered the foreseeable harm standard when reviewing records and applying FOIA exemptions.

For your information, Congress excluded three discrete categories of law enforcement and national security records from the requirements of the FOIA. *See* 5 U.S.C. § 552(c). This response is limited to those records that are subject to the requirements of the FOIA. This is a standard notification that is given to all our requesters and should not be taken as an indication that excluded records do, or do not, exist.

For any further assistance and to discuss any aspect of your request, you may contact Melissa Golden, OLC's FOIA Public Liaison, at usdoj-officeoflegalcounsel@usdoj.gov, (202) 514-2053, or at Office of Legal Counsel, United States Department of Justice, 950 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Room 5517, Washington, DC 20530.

Additionally, you may contact the Office of Government Information Services ("OGIS") at the National Archives and Records Administration to inquire about the FOIA mediation services they offer. The contact information for OGIS is as follows: Office of Government Information Services, National Archives and Records Administration, Room 2510, 8601 Adelphi

Road, College Park, Maryland 20740-6001, e-mail at ogis@nara.gov; telephone at 202-741-5770; toll free at 1-877-684-6448; or facsimile at 202-741-5769.

You have the right to an administrative appeal. You may administratively appeal by writing to the Director, Office of Information Policy ("OIP"), United States Department of Justice, 441 G Street, NW, 6th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20530, or you may submit an appeal through OIP's FOIA STAR portal by creating an account following the instructions on OIP's website: https://www.justice.gov/oip/submit-and-track-request-or-appeal. Your appeal must be postmarked or electronically transmitted within 90 days of the date of my response to your request. If you submit your appeal by mail, both the letter and the envelope should be clearly marked "Freedom of Information Act Appeal."

Sincerely,

fand Rapmore

Jared Kaprove FOIA and Records Management Attorney

Enclosures



.

MEMORANDUM

TO:	The Files
FROM:	Benjamin C. Mizer, Deputy Assistant Attorney General
	Matthew Roberts, Senior Counsel
DATE:	November 26, 2013
RE:	Authority of the Secretary of the Treasury to Issue a Large Denomination Platinum Coin

During the debt ceiling crisis of January 2013, the Office considered whether 31 U.S.C. § 5112(k) authorizes the Treasury Secretary to issue a large denomination platinum coin in order to obtain funds for making debt payments and other expenditures if Congress fails to raise the debt ceiling. The outline below summarizes our preliminary research and thinking on the question. The outline was never cleared through other attorneys in the Office, nor did we convey any advice on the question outside of the office. Our tentative conclusion, however, was that





et e d'au fa

(b) (5)

7

e e e e e

a da da da a compositor da a co encompositor da compositor da a compositor da compositor

a.



CLOSE HOLD - NOT FOR DISTRIBUTION - Deliberative -



CLOSE HOLD - Not for Distribution - Deliberative -



CLOSE HOLD - Not for Distribution - Deliberative -

	(b) (5)
•	(b) (5)



Office of the Assistant Attorney General

U.S. Department of Justice

Office of Legal Counsel

Washington, D.C. 20530

MEMORANDUM

To: The Files
From: Matthew Roberts, Senior Counsel
Date: October 27, 2015
Re: Advice to Treasury Department Regarding Debt Ceiling Issues

This memorandum memorializes oral advice provided in a phone conversation on October 22, 2015, between staff from our Office (including Deputy Assistant Attorney General Daniel Koffsky, Deputy Assistant Attorney General Brian Boynton, Attorney Advisor Mitchell Reich, and me) and staff from the Department of the Treasury related to (b) (5)

\$3101(b), Treasury will have insufficient funds available to satisfy all outstanding obligations as they come due.

I. We had previously advised Treas	ury that (b) (5)
In addition, in 2011, we had done	
had asked us to describe	. In a recent phone conversation, Treasury staf (b) (5)
A. We informed Treasury that	(b) (5)

	- V	8		38	S.		
	9				(1) ···		
			(b) (5)				
<u> </u>							
-							
						-	



	í.							
	ع در			(b) (0)	1		
1	We reiterated	d to Treasu	ury staff tl	hat our rese	arch was on	ly prelimi	nary,	(b) (
				•				82
	II. Treasury	staff had a	ılso asked	l us for		(b) (5)	
	We advised t	that			(b) (5)			
D.	和 ()=				<u>م</u> ر.			
]	III. In additi	on, Treasu	ry staff ha	ad asked us	to clarify o	ur prior ad	lvice rega	arding
			1	(b) (5)			
						- F 1		
	We advised t	that, so far,	we had d		<i>6</i>	(b)	(5)	
	We advised t	that, so far,	, we had d		<i>6</i>	(b)	(5)	
				decided only	y that			
				decided only	<i>6</i>		(5) (b) (5	ō)
				decided only	y that			ō)
	In other	r words, at	this time	decided only	y that	l is that	(b) (8	
		r words, at	this time that, if Tre	decided only	y that	l is that	(b) (S	whethe
	In other	r words, at	this time	decided only	y that	l is that	(b) (8	whethe
	In other	r words, at usury staff (this time that, if Tro (5) (5)	decided only , all we hav easury wish	y that re concluded	l is that	(b) (s question buld be ha	whethe appy to
	In other	r words, at usury staff (this time that, if Tro (5) (5)	decided only , all we hav easury wish	y that re concluded	l is that	(b) (s question buld be ha	whethe appy to
	In other	r words, at usury staff (this time that, if Tro (5) (5)	decided only , all we hav easury wish	y that re concluded	l is that	(b) (s question buld be ha	whethe appy to



Washington, D.C. 20530

May 16, 2023

MEMORANDUM

TO:	The Files
FROM:	Conor Clarke, Attorney-Adviser
CC:	Dawn Johnsen, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General Gillian Metzger, Deputy Assistant Attorney General Zachary Schauf, Deputy Assistant Attorney General
RE:	Preliminary Research on Assorted Issues Raised During the 2021 Debt Limit Crisis

The attached draft memorandum was prepared shortly after the fall 2021 debt-limit crisis, though not finalized or cite-checked at that time. In 2023, in connection with another debt-limit crisis, our Office again considered some of the issues discussed herein. The attached draft did not reflect the fully considered views of the Office in 2021, and our Office's thinking has evolved since then. Nevertheless, we are retaining the document both as a record of some issues our Office considered in 2021, and as a potential research tool should these issues arise in the future.



Washington, D.C. 20530

DRAFT MEMORANDUM

TO: The Files

FROM: Kristin A. Shapiro, Attorney-Adviser Conor Clarke, Attorney-Adviser

RE: Preliminary Research on Assorted Issues Raised During the 2021 Debt Limit Crisis

This memorandum compiles and summarizes some initial research and preliminary thinking on certain questions relating to the debt limit that the Office considered between September and December 2021. Congress raised the debt limit before the Office reached any firm conclusions or advised on these questions. We therefore memorialize this initial research and preliminary thinking to provide a helpful starting point should the Office need to provide advice on these questions in the future, but emphasize that this memorandum does not represent the final views of the Office.





















































