MICHAEL N. FEUER, City Attorney, SBN 111529 [NO FEE - Govt. Code § 6103] TINA L. HESS, Assistant City Attorney, SBN 143900 SUZANNE V. SPILLANE, Deputy City Attorney, SBN 164476 JESSICA B. BROWN, Deputy City Attorney, SBN 211642 JEREMY BERZON, Deputy City Attorney, SBN 241761 Superior Court of California OFFICE OF THE LOS ANGELES CITY ATTORNEY County of Los Angeles CRIMINAL BRANCH, COMPLEX & SPECIAL LITIGATION SECTION MAY 04 2015 200 North Main Street, 500 City Hall East Los Angeles, California 90012-4131 Sherri R. Carter, Executive Office /Clerk Telephone (213) 473-6922/Facsimile (213) 978-8112 Cristina Gualia Deputy PLUS A ONE TIME ADMINISTRATIVE FEE UPON JUDGEMENT Cristina Grijalva Attorneys for Plaintiff, the People of the State of California ABECCARES ATUDIOTAMENT CREDITOR \_ SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CENTRAL DISTRICT BC580778 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF Case No.: CALIFORNIA, AMOUNT RECOVERY COMPLAINT FOR EQUITABLE Plaintiff, TO 6103.5 GC \$ RELIEF AND CIVIL PENALTIES FOR: PART. VS. (1) VIOLATION OF THE CALIFORNIA UNFAIR COMPETITION LAW FOR GAMING (Bus. and Prof. Code WELLS FARGO & COMPANY, a Delaware § 17200, et seq.); AND 16 Corporation; WELLS FARGO BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, a National 17 (2) VIOLATION OF THE CALIFORNIA Banking Association, and DOES 1-100, UNFAIR COMPETITION LAW FOR 18 inclusive, FAILURE TO PROVIDE NOTICE OF DATA BREACH (Bus. and Prof. Code 19 Defendants. § 17200, et seq.) 20 21 22 23 €24 UT 25  $\bigcirc 26$ 27 28 <u>-</u>....

COMPLAINT FOR EQUITABLE RELIEF AND CIVIL PENALTIES

U'i

C26

ے 27

ب ال Plaintiff, the People of the State of California ("People"), complaining of the abovenamed Defendants ("Defendants"), alleges as follows, which allegations are based upon information and belief:

#### INTRODUCTION

- For years, Wells Fargo & Company and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association 1. (collectively "Wells Fargo") have victimized their customers by using pernicious and often illegal sales tactics to maintain high levels of sales of their banking and financial products. The banking business model employed by Wells Fargo is based on selling customers multiple banking products, which Wells Fargo calls "solutions." In order to achieve its goal of selling a high number of "solutions" to each customer, Wells Fargo imposes unrealistic sales quotas on its employees, and has adopted policies that have, predictably and naturally, driven its bankers to engage in fraudulent behavior to meet those unreachable goals. As a result, Wells Fargo's employees have engaged in unfair, unlawful, and fraudulent conduct, including opening customer accounts, and issuing credit cards, without authorization. Wells Fargo has known about and encouraged these practices for years. It has done little, if anything, to discourage its employees' behavior and protect its customers. Worse, on the rare occasions when Wells Fargo did take action against its employees for unethical sales conduct, Wells Fargo further victimized its customers by failing to inform them of the breaches, refund fees they were owed, or otherwise remedy the injuries that Wells Fargo and its bankers have caused. The result is that Wells Fargo has engineered a virtual fee-generating machine, through which its customers are harmed, its employees take the blame, and Wells Fargo reaps the profits.
- 2. This is a civil law enforcement action brought on behalf of the People by the Criminal Branch of the Los Angeles City Attorney's Office, under the Unfair Competition Law ("UCL") (Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq.). The People seek, inter alia: (a) to enjoin the above-named Defendants from engaging in unlawful, unfair, and fraudulent business acts and practices; (b) an order to restore to all persons in interest any money or property the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All further references are to California codes, unless otherwise noted.

€24

€26

ຼີ 27

با. ال above-named Defendants acquired by means of those unfair, deceptive, and fraudulent business acts and practices, pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 17203 and 17204; (c) an order that above-named Defendants be assessed civil penalties pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 17206 and 17206.1; and (d) recovery of the costs of this action.

- Joseph Jank Wells Fargo & Company operates the fourth biggest bank in the United States, and the largest bank headquartered in California. It is California's oldest bank, having begun banking services in 1852. Wells Fargo Bank, National Association is a subsidiary of Wells Fargo & Company, and provides most of the banking products and services that are the subject of this action.
- 4. Wells Fargo boasts about the average number of products held by its customers, currently approximately six bank accounts or financial products per customer. Wells Fargo seeks to increase this to an average of eight bank accounts or financial products per account holder, a company goal Wells Fargo calls the "Gr-eight" initiative.
- Wells Fargo's resulting market dominance has come at a significant price to the 5. general public, because it has been achieved in large part through an ambitious and strictly enforced sales quota system. Wells Fargo quotas are difficult for many bankers to meet without resorting to the abusive and fraudulent tactics described further below. Moreover, Wells Fargo enforces its sales quotas by constant monitoring. Daily sales for each branch, and each sales employee, are reported and discussed by Wells Fargo's District Managers four times a day, at 11:00 a.m., 1:00 p.m., 3:00 p.m., and 5:00 p.m. Those failing to meet daily sales quotas are approached by management, and often reprimanded and/or told to "do whatever it takes" to meet their individual sales quotas. Consequently, Wells Fargo's managers and bankers have for years engaged in practices called "gaming." Gaming consists of, among other things, opening and manipulating fee-generating customer accounts through often unfair, fraudulent, and unlawful means, such as omitting signatures and adding unwanted secondary accounts to primary accounts without permission. Other practices utilized as part of these "gaming" schemes have included misrepresenting the costs, benefits, fees, and/or attendant services that come with an account or product, all in order to meet sales quotas.

⊕ H U

- 6. Wells Fargo's gaming practices have caused significant stress to, and hardship and financial losses for, its customers. Specifically, Well Fargo has: (a) withdrawn money from customers' authorized accounts to pay for the fees assessed by Wells Fargo on unauthorized accounts opened in customers' names; (b) placed customers into collections when the unauthorized withdrawals from customer accounts went unpaid; (c) placed derogatory information in credit reports when unauthorized fees went unpaid; (d) denied customers access to their funds while Wells Fargo stockpiled account applications; and (e) caused customers to purchase identity theft protection.
- 7. These gaming practices are so pervasive in Wells Fargo's business model that some methods of gaming have even been given their own names. For example:
  - a. "Sandbagging" refers to Wells Fargo's practice of failing to open accounts when requested by customers, and instead accumulating a number of account applications to be opened at a later date. Specifically, Wells Fargo employees collect manual applications for various products, stockpile them in an unsecured fashion, and belatedly open up the accounts (often with additional, unauthorized accounts) in the next sales reporting period, frequently before or after banking hours, or on bank holidays such as New Year's Day.
  - b. "Pinning" refers to Wells Fargo's practice of assigning, without customer authorization, Personal Identification Numbers ("PINs") to customer ATM card numbers with the intention of, among other things, impersonating customers on Wells Fargo computers, and enrolling those customers in online banking and online bill paying without their consent.
  - c. "Bundling" refers to Wells Fargo's practice of incorrectly informing customers that certain products are available only in packages with other products such as additional accounts, insurance, annuities, and retirement plans.
- 8. While Wells Fargo has ostensibly terminated a small number of employees who have engaged in gaming, other employees have been rewarded for these practices, and even promoted, perpetuating the problem. Moreover, Wells Fargo has continued to impose the same

H÷ Ul companywide goals of attaining as many accounts as possible at any expense, thereby fostering the practice of gaming. Wells Fargo thus puts its employees between a rock and a hard place, forcing them to choose between keeping their jobs and opening unauthorized accounts.

9. Wells Fargo has also failed to inform its customers when their personal information has been accessed or compromised as a result of Wells Fargo's gaming practices, in breach of its statutory duties to do so, thus causing its customers additional harm.

#### **PLAINTIFF**

- 10. Plaintiff, the People of the State of California, brings this action by and through Michael N. Feuer, City Attorney for the City of Los Angeles.
- 11. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 17203, 17204, 17206 and 17206.1, the Los Angeles City Attorney may bring a civil action in the name of the People of the State of California to enjoin any person who violates, or proposes to violate, the UCL, and to obtain mandatory civil penalties for each act of unfair competition.

#### **DEFENDANTS**

- 12. Defendant Wells Fargo & Company is, and at all times relevant hereto was, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in San Francisco, California. Wells Fargo & Company is a financial services company with \$1.5 trillion in assets, and provides banking, insurance, investments, mortgage, and consumer and commercial finance through more than 9,000 locations, 12,000 ATMs, and the Internet. It has approximately 265,000 full-time employees, and is ranked 29th on Fortune Magazine's 2014 rankings of America's 500 largest corporations.
- 13. Defendant Wells Fargo Bank, National Association is, and at all times relevant hereto was, a national banking association chartered under the laws of the United States, with its primary place of business in Sioux Falls, South Dakota. Wells Fargo Bank, National Association provides Wells Fargo & Company's personal and commercial banking services, and is Wells Fargo & Company's principal subsidiary.
- 14. The true names and capacities of Defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, are unknown to the People, who therefore sue said Defendants by such fictitious

names. When the true names and capacities of said Defendants have been ascertained, the People will ask leave of the Court to amend this Complaint, and to insert in lieu of such fictitious names the true names and capacities of said fictitiously named Defendants. The People are informed and believe that DOES 1 through 100 participated in, and in some part are responsible for, the unlawful business acts and practices and other violations of law alleged herein.

- 15. At all relevant times, each Defendant was acting as an agent, servant, assignee, representative, partner, joint venturer, co-conspirator, or employee of the other Defendants, and, in doing the acts alleged herein, was acting within the course and scope of said agency, service, assignment, representation, partnership, joint venture, conspiracy, or employment. Due to the relationship between Defendants, each Defendant has knowledge or constructive notice of the acts of each of the other Defendants.
- 16. Each Defendant is a "person" within the meaning of Business and Professions Code section 17201.
- 17. In this Complaint, when reference is made to any act or omission of a Defendant, such allegations shall include the acts and omissions of owners, officers, directors, agents, employees, contractors, vendors, affiliates, and representatives of said Defendant while acting within the course and scope of their employment or agency on behalf of said Defendant.

## JURISDICTION AND VENUE

18. Venue is proper in this county pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 17204 in that violations alleged in this Complaint occurred in the City of Los Angeles and the County of Los Angeles. The Court has jurisdiction pursuant to Article VI, section 10 of the California Constitution and section 393 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

## **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

19. To the extent that the following descriptions include specific examples, they are provided for purposes of illustrating the operation of Wells Fargo's illegal activities, with no intention of limiting this action to these specific examples.

20

21 22

23 €24

ੂੱ 25

Wells Fargo's modus operandi is to attempt to get each customer to maintain 20. numerous accounts with Wells Fargo. In a brochure published by Wells Fargo called "The Vision & Values of Wells Fargo," Wells Fargo states: "Going for gr-eight.' Our average retail banking household has about six products with us. We want to get to eight . . . and beyond. One of every four already has eight or more. Four of every 10 have six or more."

- In its 2014 Annual Report to the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, 21. Wells Fargo boasts about its "products" per customer and its "cross-sell strategy": "Our vision is to satisfy all our customers' financial needs, help them succeed financially, be recognized as the premier financial services company in our markets and be one of America's great companies. Important to our strategy to achieve this vision is to increase the number of our products our customers use and to offer them all of the financial products that fulfill their financial needs." That report further states: "Our cross-sell strategy is to increase the number of products our customers use by offering them all of the financial products that satisfy their financial needs."
- Wells Fargo further stated in its 2014 Annual Report to the U.S. Securities 22. Exchange Commission: "we continued to maintain our solid customer relationships across the Company, with retail banking household cross-sell of 6.17 products per household (November 18 | 2014); Wholesale Banking cross-sell of 7.2 products per relationship (September 2014); and Wealth, Brokerage and Retirement cross-sell of 10.49 products per retail banking household (November 2014)." Wells Fargo further stated in that same filing: "We believe there is more opportunity for cross-sell as we continue to earn more business from our customers. Our goal is eight products per household . . . ."
  - In order to achieve its goal of eight accounts per household, Wells Fargo puts 23. unrelenting pressure on its bankers to open numerous accounts per customer.
  - Wells Fargo has strict quotas regulating the number of daily "solutions" that its 24. bankers must reach; these "solutions" include the opening of all new banking and credit card accounts. Managers constantly hound, berate, demean and threaten employees to meet these unreachable quotas. Managers often tell employees to do whatever it takes to reach their quotas.

VI.

Employees who do not reach their quotas are often required to work hours beyond their typical work schedule without being compensated for that extra work time, and/or are threatened with termination.

- 25. The quotas imposed by Wells Fargo on its employees are often not attainable because there simply are not enough customers who enter a branch on a daily basis for employees to meet their quotas through traditional means.
- 26. Wells Fargo's bankers are thus naturally and predictably forced to resort to alternative means to meet quotas, including using high pressure sales tactics to coerce customers into opening additional accounts or using inaccurate or misleading information about potential accounts to induce customers to open them.
- sign up for accounts to meet their quotas. Some employees report that they have "tapped out" every family member and friend for accounts. Others report that they spend holiday dinners trying to convince family members to sign up for accounts. Management encourages employees to achieve "solutions" through family members. Since these accounts are opened by friends and family as favors, they are often unfunded, and can result in fees charged by Wells Fargo to its own employees' families or acquaintances, even for such "zero balance" accounts.
- 28. Employees thus resort to gaming tactics to increase their "solutions," and meet minimum quotas. Gaming is so ingrained in the business of Wells Fargo that many of the tactics employed to meet these sky-high quotas have commonly-used names.

## "Bundling"

29. In the practice known at Wells Fargo as "bundling," its customers are told that the account or product they seek can be obtained only with the purchase of additional accounts or products, when, in fact, the desired product is available on its own. In many instances, employees are coached by management to ensure that every checking account is sold with three other products also known as a "packed" account. Employees were, and are, instructed by management to lie to customers by telling them that each checking account automatically comes

1×28

ы. И with a savings account, credit card, or other product such as life insurance, and/or "Express Send" (an online program that allows customers to send money to foreign countries).

- 30. When customers discover an unauthorized account and inquire of Wells Fargo about it, they are often informed that the products and services came with the authorized accounts automatically. Even in the face of customer complaints, the "bundling" continues.
- 31. Customers who complain about receiving credit cards they did not request are advised by Wells Fargo to simply destroy the unrequested and unauthorized cards. However, simply destroying these unauthorized cards does not close the account nor repair the impact to a customer's credit profile.

## "Pinning"

32. In the practice known at Wells Fargo as "pinning," a Wells Fargo banker obtains a debit card number, and personally sets the PIN, often to 0000, without customer authorization. "Pinning" permits a banker to enroll a customer in online banking, for which the banker would receive a solution (sales credit). To bypass computer prompts requiring customer contact information, bankers impersonate the customer online, and input false generic email addresses such as 1234@wellsfargo.com, noname@wellsfargo.com, or none@wellsfargo.com to ensure that the transaction is completed, and that the customer remains unaware of the unauthorized activity.

## "Sandbagging"

- 33. In the practice known at Wells Fargo as "sandbagging," a banker delays opening new accounts or processing a sale, unbeknownst to the account holder, until a time that is most beneficial, such as when a new sales reporting period commences.
- 34. "Sandbagging" is common at Wells Fargo. "Sandbagging" often occurred on New Year's Day, or at the beginning or a sales reporting period. New Year's Day was an especially common date to open "sandbagged" accounts, because Wells Fargo ran a sales program called "Jump into January." In this Wells Fargo program, its bankers were required to meet even more aggressive sales goals than usual. As a result, bankers were encouraged to hold onto, or not to process, new accounts or other requests until January 1. In fact, some employees

1≥28 © H U

were required to list or confirm the accounts they would open on January 1 before January 1.

This permitted Wells Fargo to report inflated First Quarter sales.

35. When customers inquire about why an account was not opened timely, they are given false explanations including: (a) a computer system failure or similar technical problem; (b) an oversight and the account would be processed immediately; and/or, (c) a mistake that would take time to correct.

## Other Gaming Tactics

- 36. Because of Wells Fargo's on-going setting of unrealistic sales goals, Wells Fargo employees have engaged in, and continue to engage in, other gaming tactics, including:
  - a. Making misrepresentations to customers to get them to open additional accounts such as falsely stating: "you will incur a monthly fee on your checking account until you add a savings account."
  - b. Misrepresenting that additional accounts do not have monthly fees, when they actually do incur such fees.
  - c. Referring unauthorized, and therefore unfunded, accounts to collections because Wells Fargo's practices cause the accounts to have negative balances.
  - d. Targeting individuals holding Mexican Matriculada Consular cards because the lack of a Social Security Number makes it easier to open numerous fraudulent accounts. Wells Fargo employees provide false information to complaining customers, and advise many of these victims to ignore the unauthorized fees and letters from collection agencies because the lack of a Social Security number means the debt will not affect them.
  - e. Advising customers who do not want credit cards that they will be sent a credit card anyway, and to just tear it up when they receive it.

# Customers' Discovery of Gaming and the Harm it Causes

37. Customers who have discovered unauthorized accounts often make the discovery accidentally. For instance: (a) unexplained money being withdrawn from authorized accounts to fund unauthorized accounts; (b) mailings from Wells Fargo congratulating a customer on

~28

្វា

opening a new account the customer does not recognize, or asking a customer to update account information for accounts that the customer does not recognize; (c) calls from collection agencies stating the customer is overdrawn on an account that the customer does not recognize; and (d) discovering that checks a customer intended to be deposited into an authorized account do not appear in monthly statements because the checks had instead been deposited into an unauthorized account.

- Customers have been prejudiced in numerous ways by Wells Fargo's gaming: (a) customers lose money to monthly service fees charged for unauthorized accounts; (b) customer accounts are placed into collection, forcing customers to fight with debt collection agencies for fees charged by Wells Fargo on unauthorized accounts; (c) customers' credit reports are affected, impacting job applications, loans for automobiles, and mortgage applications; and (d) customers are forced to purchase costly identity theft protection services to ensure against further fraudulent activities. But for Wells Fargo's quota-based business model, its customers would not have incurred wrongful fees, been put into collections, suffered derogatory references on their credit reports, or forced to purchase identity theft protection.
  - 39. Customers' unauthorized accounts remain open, despite repeated customer requests to Wells Fargo to close those accounts.
  - 40. Customers have difficulty reporting unauthorized activity. Reaching the correct representative is no guarantee the unauthorized account will be remedied, as complaining customers often never receive return calls from Wells Fargo.

# Wells Fargo's Knowledge of Gaming and its Cosmetic Fixes

- 41. Wells Fargo knew, or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known, that its employees open unauthorized accounts. For example:
  - a. Customers often enter Wells Fargo's branches to complain about unauthorized accounts; many victims have even contacted Wells Fargo management by telephone.
  - b. Wells Fargo has access to, and frequently monitors, actions taken on its computers by employees. Wells Fargo has been put on notice by unusual activity

3

such as: numerous accounts being opened on January 1, a bank holiday; numerous unfunded accounts; frequent reopening of closed accounts; and customer accounts with the only account activity being Wells Fargo fees.

- c. Wells Fargo requires that all new customer accounts be approved by a branch manager or assistant manager, thereby providing Wells Fargo management with a clear record of the number and types of accounts opened for each customer.
- d. Wells Fargo is also aware its daily, weekly and monthly quotas are unrealistic for employees during normal working hours, since they have generated numerous complaints and lawsuits by employees.
- e. Online banking accounts are often opened by Wells Fargo with obviously false customer contact information such as <a href="mailto:noname@wellsfargo.com">noname@wellsfargo.com</a>.
- f. Wells Fargo has terminated and/or otherwise disciplined a number of employees for gaming, but far fewer than have actually engaged in the practices, given Wells Fargo's widespread imposition of the quota system.
- 42. Despite Wells Fargo's knowledge of gaming by its employees, it has done little, if anything, to terminate these practices, nor to reform the business model it created that has fostered them. While Wells Fargo has made a few minor changes to its policies, and has terminated a handful of employees, those efforts have been, at most, cosmetic, and ultimately benefit Wells Fargo by providing them with plausible deniability. However, the policies that encourage these tactics continue, and employees who engage in them continue to be rewarded monetarily, and even promoted. Wells Fargo has not altered its quota system, nor has it reduced the pressure it has applied to its management and employees to reach their quotas, and the gaming that has been its inevitable result.

## STATUTORY AND REGULATORY BACKGROUND

## Laws Relating to Identity Theft

43. California has strict laws against making false financial statements.

27

**⊢**: U1 who willfully obtains personal identifying information ... of another person, and uses that information for any unlawful purpose, including to obtain, or attempt to obtain, credit, goods, services, real property, or medical information without the consent of that person, is guilty of a public offense ..." (Penal Code § 530.5(a).) Pursuant to the Penal Code: "personal identifying information" includes "name, address, telephone number, health insurance number, taxpayer identification number, school identification number, state or federal driver's license, or identification number, social security number, place of employment, employee identification number, professional or occupational number, mother's maiden name, demand deposit account number, savings account number, checking account number, PIN (personal identification number) or password, alien registration number, government passport number, [and] date of birth." (Penal Code § 530.55(b)).

## Laws Relating to Computer Data Breaches

In California, if a business is in possession of "computerized data that includes 45. personal information," that business "shall disclose any breach of the security of the system following discovery or notification of the breach in the security of the data to any resident of California whose unencrypted personal information was, or is reasonably believed to have been, acquired by an unauthorized person." (Civil Code § 1798.82(a)). "[P]ersonal information" includes: "[a]n individual's first name or first initial and last name," along with one or more of the following: Social Security number; driver's license number or California identification card number; account number, credit or debit card number "in combination with any required security code, access code, or password that would permit access to an individual's financial account;" medical information; or health insurance information. (Civil Code § 1798.82(h)(1).) "Personal information" also includes: "[a] user name or email address, in combination with a password or security question and answer that would permit access to an online account." (Civil Code § 1798.82(h)(2).) For purposes of this law, "breach of the security system" refers to "unauthorized acquisition of computerized data that compromises the security, confidentiality, or integrity of personal information maintained by the person or business." (Civil Code § 1798.82(g).)

Hi. Ul

- 46. It is illegal to knowingly access and without permission use "any data, computer, computer system, or computer network in order to either (A) devise or execute any scheme or artifice to defraud, deceive, or extort or (B) wrongfully control or obtain money, property, or data." (Penal Code § 502(c)(1).)
- 47. Furthermore, it is unlawful to knowingly access and without permission: "take[], cop[y], or make[] use of any data from a computer, computer system, or computer network . . . whether existing or residing internal or external to a computer, computer system, or computer network." (Penal Code § 502(c)(2).)
- 48. Under the California Penal Code "the word 'person' includes a corporation as well as a natural person." (Penal Code § 7).
- Pursuant to the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, 15 United States Code section 6801, et seq., and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder, financial institutions have a duty to keep and protect the personal information of their customers from unauthorized access or misuse. When an "institution determines that misuse of its information has occurred or is reasonably possible, it should notify the affected customer as soon as possible. (70 Fed. Reg. 1575; 12 C.F.R. Part 30, App. B.)

### **ENFORCEMENT AUTHORITY**

# Business and Professions Code section 17200, et seq.

- 50. "Unfair competition" is defined in Business and Professions Code section 17200 as "any unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business act or practice," and any act prohibited by Chapter 1 (commencing with Section 17500) of Part 3 of Division 7 of the Business and Professions Code.
- Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17206, any person who engages, has engaged, or proposes to engage in unfair competition shall be liable for a civil penalty not to exceed \$2,500 dollars for each violation.
- 52. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17203, any person who engages, has engaged, or proposes to engage in unfair competition may be enjoined in any court of competent jurisdiction, and the court may make such orders or judgments to prevent the use of

<u>:</u>:

any practice which constitutes unfair competition, or as may be necessary to restore to any person in interest any money or property which may have been acquired by means of such unfair competition.

53. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17205, the remedies or penalties provided for violations of the UCL are cumulative to each other, and to the remedies or penalties available under all other laws of the state.

# FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION: VIOLATION OF THE UNFAIR COMPETITION LAW ("UCL") AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS ("GAMING")

(California Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq.)

- 54. The People reallege and incorporate herein each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through and including 53 above, as though set forth fully herein.
- 55. Beginning on a date unknown to the People, but within the four years preceding the filing of this Complaint, Defendants and Does 1-100, and each of them, have violated and conspired to violate the UCL by engaging in one or more of the following *unlawful* business acts and practices, among others:
  - a. Willfully obtaining personal identifying information of another person (which includes name, address, telephone number, health insurance number, taxpayer identification number, school identification number, state or federal driver's license or identification number, Social Security number, place of employment, employee identification number, professional or occupational number, mother's maiden name, demand deposit account number, savings account number, checking account number, PIN or password, alien registration number, government passport number, and date of birth), and using that information for an unlawful purpose, including to obtain or attempt to obtain credit, goods, services, real property, or medical information without the consent of that person, in violation of Penal Code section 530.5, subdivision (a).

- b. Being a party to any fraudulent conveyance of any contract or conveyance had, made, or contrived with intent to deceive and defraud others, or while being a party to any fraudulent conveyance of any contract or conveyance, wittingly and willingly putting in, using, avowing, maintaining, justifying, or defending the fraudulent conveyance of any contract or conveyance as true and done, had or made in good faith, or upon good consideration, in violation of Penal Code section 531.
- c. Knowingly accessing and without permission using data, computers, computer systems, or computer networks to execute a scheme to defraud or wrongfully obtain money, property, or data, in violation of Penal Code section 502, subdivision (c)(1).
- d. Knowingly accessing, and without permission taking, copying, or making use of customer information, in violation of Penal Code section 502, subdivision (c)(2).
- e. Knowingly accessing, and without permission taking, copying, or making use of customer information, in violation of 15 United States Code section 680, *et seq.*, and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder.
- 56. Beginning on a date unknown to the People, but within the four years preceding the filing of this Complaint, Defendants and Does 1-100, and each of them, have violated and conspired to violating the UCL by engaging in one or more of the following *unfair* business acts and practices, among others:
  - a. Threatening incipient violations of the aforementioned California laws and violated the public policy embodied in and spirit of those laws.
  - b. Violating the established public policy of the State of California, which, among other things, seeks to ensure that: all monetary contracts are duly authorized by each party; all bank accounts are authorized and agreed to by the customer in whose name the bank account is opened; residents of the state are not harmed in their credit reports by acts not actually performed, or debts not actually

Ul

incurred, by that resident; personal information of an individual is not improperly obtained and used for an unlawful purpose; and that when personal information is obtained without authority, that the person whose information was obtained is informed immediately.

- c. Defendants' conduct as described in this Complaint has been immoral, unethical, oppressive and unscrupulous in that Defendants: (1) profit by improperly signing customers up for bank accounts to which the customers did not agree; (2) boast about the average number of accounts per customer they have achieved, knowing that many of those accounts were unauthorized; (3) expose the consumer to financial hardships involving unjustified debt collection and negative credit reporting, thus jeopardizing those customers' ability to obtain mortgages, automobile loans, and employment; and (4) otherwise garnered an unfair advantage over lawfully competing businesses.
- d. Wells Fargo's acts and practices alleged in this Complaint have had, and continue to have, a substantial detrimental impact upon its customers and the community. This detrimental impact is not outweighed by any countervailing reasons, justifications, and motives of Wells Fargo. In short, the harm experienced by the customers and the surrounding community far outweighs the utility of Wells Fargo's conduct.
- 57. Beginning on a date unknown to the People, but within the four years preceding the filing of this Complaint, Defendants and Does 1-100, and each of them, have violated and conspired to violate the UCL by engaging in one or more of the following *fraudulent* business acts and practices, among others:
  - a. Using misrepresentations, deception, and concealment of material information to open unauthorized accounts in customers' names.
  - b. Using misrepresentations, deception, and concealment of material information to view customers' personal information, and then failing to reveal to the customers that their personal information was compromised.

5

6

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

₾24

€26

下28 年 切

- 58. The People reallege and incorporate herein each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through and including 53 above, as though set forth fully herein.
- 59. Beginning on a date unknown to the People, but within the four years preceding the filing of this Complaint, Defendants and Does 1-100, and each of them, have violated and conspired to violate the UCL by engaging in one or more of the following *unlawful* business acts and practices, among others:
  - a. Being a business that owns or licenses computerized data that includes personal information, and failing to disclose breaches of the security of the system containing that data following discovery or notification of the breach by an unauthorized person, in violation of Civil Code section 1798.82, subdivision (a).
  - b. Failing to provide their customers with notice of any misuse of their customer information, as required by 15 United States Code section 6801, et seq., and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder.
- 60. Beginning on a date unknown to the People, but within the four years preceding the filing of this Complaint, Defendants and Does 1-100, and each of them, have violated and conspired to violate the UCL by engaging in one or more of the following *unfair* business acts and practices, among others:
  - a. Threatening incipient violations of the aforementioned California laws, and violating the public policy embodied in, and the spirit of, those laws.
  - b. Violating the established public policy of the State of California, which, among other things, seeks to ensure that: all monetary contracts are duly authorized by each party; all bank accounts are authorized and agreed to by the customer in whose name the bank account is opened; residents of the state

are not harmed in their credit reports by acts not actually performed, or debts not actually incurred, by that resident; personal information of an individual is not improperly obtained and used for an unlawful purpose; and that when personal information is obtained without authority, that the person whose information was obtained is informed immediately.

- c. Defendants' conduct as described in this Complaint has been immoral, unethical, oppressive and unscrupulous in that Defendants: (1) profit by improperly signing customers up for bank accounts to which the customers did not agree; (2) boast about the average number of accounts per customer they have achieved, knowing that many of those accounts were unauthorized; (3) expose the consumer to financial hardships involving unjustified debt collection and negative credit reporting, thus jeopardizing those customers' ability to obtain as mortgages, automobile loans, and employment; and (4) otherwise garnered an unfair advantage over lawfully competing businesses.
- d. Wells Fargo's acts and practices alleged in this Complaint have had, and continue to have, a substantial detrimental impact upon its customers and the community. This detrimental impact is not outweighed by any countervailing reasons, justifications, and motives of Wells Fargo. In short, the harm experienced by the customers and the surrounding community far outweighs the utility of Wells Fargo's conduct.
- 61. The acts of unfair competition of Defendants and Does 1-100, and each of them, present a continuing threat to the public's health, safety, and welfare, and the People have no adequate remedy at law. Accordingly, unless Defendants and Does 1-100 are permanently enjoined and restrained by order of this Court, they will continue to commit acts of unfair competition, and thereby continue to cause irreparable harm and injury to the public's health, safety, and welfare.

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, the People pray that:

₩. Ul

- 1. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 17203 and 17204, and the equitable powers of the Court, Defendant Wells Fargo and DOES 1 through 100, together with their officers, employees, servants, agents, partners, associates, representatives, contractors, and all persons acting on behalf of or in concert with them, be enjoined from engaging in the unlawful, unfair, and fraudulent business acts and practices described in this Complaint; be ordered to comply with all laws and regulations concerning the opening and maintaining of bank accounts; and be required to take such actions, and adopt such measures, as are necessary to prevent Defendants from engaging in further such acts or practices.
- 2. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 17203 and 17204, Defendants be ordered to restore to all persons in interest any money or property they acquired by means of the unlawful, unfair, and fraudulent business acts and practices in this Complaint.
  - 3. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17206, Defendants be assessed a civil penalty of \$2,500 for each violation of the UCL that they committed, caused, aided and abetted, or conspired to commit.
  - 4. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17206.1, Defendants also be assessed a civil penalty of \$2,500 for each violation of the UCL that they committed, caused, aided and abetted, or conspired to commit, against senior citizens or disabled persons.
    - 5. The People recover the costs of this action.
  - 6. The People be granted such other and further relief as the Court may deem to be just and proper.

Dated: May 4, 2015

MICHAEL N. FEUER, City Attorney
TINA HESS, Assistant City Attorney
SUZANNE V. SPILLANE, Deputy City Attorney
JESSICA B. BROWN, Deputy City Attorney
JEREMY BERZON, Deputy City Attorney
OFFICE OF THE LOS ANGELES CITY ATTORNEY
CRIMINAL BRANCH
COMPLEX & SPECIAL LITIGATION SECTION

By:

SUZANNE SPILLANE

Attorneys for Plaintiff,

The People of the State of California