(b) (6)

From: Aquilino, John C ADM USN COMPACFLT PEARL HI (USA)

Sent: Wednesday, May 29, 2019 8:17 PM

To: Davidson, Philip S ADM USN PACOM (USA); Richardson, John M ADM USN CNO (USA)

Cc: Moran, William F ADM USN VCNO (US); Brown, Charles W RDML USN (USA); (b) (6)

; <mark>(b) (6)</mark> ; VADM Phil Sawyer

(b) (6)

Subject: FW: WSJ: White House Wanted USS John McCain 'Out of Sight' During Trump Japan

Visit

Signed By: (b) (6)

ADM Davison,

Below is the WSJ article expected to run tomorrow.

Standing by to discuss

V/R Lung

ADM Chris "Lung" Aquilino Commander, US Pacific Fleet

White House Wanted USS John McCain 'Out of Sight' During Trump Japan Visit

U.S. military officials worked to ensure President Trump would not see the warship that bears the name of the late senator, a frequent target of the president's ire

By Rebecca Ballhaus and Gordon Lubold
May 29, 2019 7:44 p.m. ET
https://www.wsj.com/articles/white-house-wanted-uss-john-mccain-out-of-sight
-during-trump-japan-visit-11559173470?mod=hp_lista_pos2

The White House wanted the U.S. Navy to move "out of sight" a warship named for the late Sen. John McCain, a war hero who became a frequent target of President Trump's ire, ahead of the president's visit to Japan last week, according to an email reviewed by The Wall Street Journal.

In a May 15 email to U.S. Navy and Air Force officials, a U.S. Indo-Pacific Command official outlined plans for the president's arrival that he said had resulted from conversations between the White House Military Office and the Seventh Fleet of the U.S. Navy. In addition to instructions for the proper landing areas for helicopters and preparation for the USS Wasp—where the president was scheduled to speak—the official issued a third directive: "USS John McCain needs to be out of sight."

"Please confirm #3 will be satisfied," the official wrote.

When a Navy commander expressed surprise about the directive for the USS John McCain, the U.S. Indo-Pacific Command official replied: "First I heard of it as well." He said he would work with the White House Military Office to obtain more information about the order.

Acting Defense Secretary Pat Shanahan was aware of the concern about the presence of the USS John McCain in Japan and approved measures to ensure it didn't interfere with the president's visit, a U.S. official said.

There were discussions within the U.S. military over the past week about how to handle the warship, another U.S. official said. The ship is being repaired after a 2017 collision, and any ship undergoing such repair or maintenance would be difficult to move, officials said. A tarp was hung over the ship's name ahead of the president's trip, according to photos reviewed by the Journal, and sailors were directed to remove any coverings from the ship that bore its name. After the tarp was taken down, a barge was moved closer to the ship, obscuring its name. Sailors on the ship, who typically wear caps bearing its name, were given the day off during Mr. Trump's visit, people familiar with the matter said.

Mr. Trump arrived in Japan on Saturday and on Tuesday—though still Monday in the U.S.—delivered Memorial Day remarks to troops aboard the USS Wasp, which is stationed along with the USS John McCain at Yokosuka Naval Base, south of Tokyo. Speaking to around 800 military men and women—some of whom wore "Make Aircrew Great Again" patches with a likeness of the president on their jumpsuits—Mr. Trump said he was joined by sailors from six other ships. He made no mention of the USS John McCain.

Before visiting the USS Wasp, Mr. Trump visited the helicopter carrier JS Kaga, from which the USS John McCain would have been visible.

The White House declined to answer questions about the reason for the directive or where it originated. The White House Military Office provides support for presidential travel, among other matters.

Mr. Trump has repeatedly attacked Mr. McCain, both before and since the Arizonan died in August from a brain tumor at 81 years old. In the first years of the Trump administration, Mr. McCain was one of the few Republican senators willing to publicly challenge the president, including casting a critical vote in 2017 that blocked the GOP effort to repeal the Affordable Care Act. That vote—which Mr. McCain signaled with a thumbs-down gesture—was a source of immense aggravation for the president, who has often mimicked the hand motion and who called the vote "disgraceful" in April.

In March, Mr. Trump complained that he hadn't been thanked for giving Mr. McCain "the kind of funeral that he wanted." Speaking to workers at an Ohio factory, he said, "I didn't get a thank you. That's OK." He also belittled Mr. McCain's academic performance in college and criticized the late senator for turning over a dossier of unverified allegations about his connections to Russia to the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

During the 2016 campaign, Mr. Trump disparaged Mr. McCain's military service in Vietnam, saying he was "not a war hero" and that "I like people who weren't captured."

Mr. McCain was a prisoner of war for 5½ years in Vietnam, where he endured beatings and solitary confinement, while refusing to accept Vietnamese offers of early release on the grounds that it would undermine the morale of others who lacked Mr. McCain's connections. Mr. McCain was the son and grandson of admirals, and his father had been promoted to the position of commander of all U.S. forces in the Pacific theater.

In July 2018, a month before Mr. McCain's death, Secretary of the Navy Richard Spencer formally added Mr. McCain as a namesake of the USS John McCain, which had been named for his father and grandfather after it launched in 1994. Mr. McCain said at the time that he was "deeply honored."

The USS John McCain collided with a merchant vessel in August 2017, killing 10 sailors and tearing a hole in the left rear side of the destroyer. Mr. Trump, asked about the collision at the time, told reporters: "That's too bad." He later tweeted that his thoughts and prayers were with the sailors aboard the ship.

(b) (6)

From: Brown, Charles W RDML USN (USA)
Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2019 6:23 PM

To: Spencer, Richard V HON SECNAV; Richardson, John M ADM USN CNO (USA)

Cc: Modly, Thomas HON Under Secretary of the Navy; Moran, William F ADM USN VCNO

(US); (b) (6)

Subject: PA END OF DAY 30 MAY 2019

Attachments: CNN_30 MAY 19_White House, Navy exchanged emails about keeping USS McCain out

of Trump's sight.docx; DEFENSE NEWS 30 MAY 19 Integrating Newest Fleet, Adapting

to the Challenges in the North Atlantic and Arctic.docx

Signed By: (b) (6)

Mr. Secretary and CNO,

WASHINGTON POST -- CNO, tracking your interview TODAY with Washington Post (Lamothe) on the review of current personnel processes, policies, and practices. Anticipate article TONIGHT.

CNE-A PODCAST -- Adm. Foggo (CNE-A) posted podcast episode 9, "On the Horizon: Navigating the European and African Theaters" YESTERDAY. The podcast discusses dual carrier ops in the Mediterranean Sea with Ambassador Huntsman, working with allies and partners, challenges that the Navy is facing in the Arctic with Russia growing its military footprint and China's desire to traverse northern routes. Adm. Foggo also authored an op-ed on C2F and BALTOPS to post TODAY on Defense News.

+ Article attached, link here:

https://www.defensenews.com/opinion/2019/05/30/integrating-americas-newest-fleet-to-adapt-to-the-challenges-in-the-north-atlantic-and-arctic/

+Link to podcast:

https://www.spreaker.com/user/usnavalforceseuropeafrica/podcast-e9-final-aud io

MCCAIN/MEDIA QUERIES -- Received multiple media queries TODAY regarding Navy actions regarding USS John S. McCain (DDG 56) during POTUS visit to Yokosuka. Coordinated with INDO-PACOM, PACFLT, and C7F on response that the name of USS John S. McCain was not obscured during visit. Link to article (attached):

https://www.cnn.com/2019/05/30/politics/uss-john-mccain-japan-white-house-navy-trump/index.html

V/r, Charlie

RDML Charlie Brown Chief of Information Navy Office of Information Navy Pentagon-(b) (6)



From: RDML Charlie Brown, APR+M < (b) (6)

Sent: Friday, May 31, 2019 6:17 AM

To:Richardson, John M ADM USN CNO (USA)Subject:[Non-DoD Source] CHINFO's Above the Fold

View this email in your browser



Friday, May 31, 2019

USS John S. McCain remains center stage

Anyone who did not want attention on USS John S. McCain during the President's visit is now getting the exact opposite of that. JSM and reports of direction from the White House to keep her out of sight drove intense news interest yesterday, and there are still questions about exactly what happened.

The President himself weighed in, calling the WH official who gave the direction "well-meaning." The Acting SECDEF said he was not aware of the direction and initiated an investigation into what happened. And legislators began asking questions about the incident, which promises to be a topic during upcoming confirmation hearings for SECDEF.

Eyes on Shangri-La

As the Shangri-La Dialogue kicks off today in Singapore, the focus will be on the friction between China and the US, especially at sea. <u>This post from CNN</u> previews some of the trickier challenges that are on the table.

Meanwhile, <u>China launched a rhetorical warning shot</u> about the U.S. support for Taiwan, and a PLA-connected pundit <u>wrote in the Financial Times</u> that both

countries navies had an important role in decreasing tensions.

Expect more today from Asia on the friction and security environment in the Indo Pacific.

Judge releases Gallagher prior to trial

Accused SEAL Eddie Gallagher, who had been in custody awaiting the start of his court martial for murder next month, was released by the judge. <u>LA Times reports</u>, "The unexpected move drew gasps from those at a hearing in a San Diego courtroom in which lawyers for Special Operations Chief Edward Gallagher sought to get the case dismissed for prosecutorial misconduct."

The defense team withdrew their motion to have the judge removed after the judge said he had not given prosecutors the green light to use an email tracking tool.

The court martial is scheduled to begin June 10.

Navy core values

Navy Times <u>reported on CNO's announcement</u> that the Navy will be reforming the PRT, adding rowing and planking and ditching the sit-up. This follows a similar move by the USMC to the plank as a better assessment of core (not corps) strength.

More tracks on the scope

- Dunford: Leaders mull first NATO strategy in decades (<u>Breaking</u> <u>Defense</u>).
- Marines, sailors and Coasties play catch-up in Arctic warfare (<u>Military</u> <u>Times</u>).

- Integrating America's newest fleet to adapt to the challenges in the North Atlantic and Arctic (<u>Defense News</u>).
- Inhofe: Any Ford delay would present 'a dangerous readiness gap' (<u>Breaking Defense</u>)

Keeping a weather eye

- Shangri-La Dialogue kicks off in Singapore. SECDEF to speak, SECNAV attending.
- SECSTATE Pompeo heads to Europe for meetings in Berlin,
 Switzerland, The Hague and London.







Copyright © 2019, All rights reserved.

What is Above the Fold?

ATF is a quick look at daily news and notes for Navy leadership, with some context from CHINFO. I've limited the list of recipients to a small circle of leaders and communicators who are looking for more than the standard CHINFO clips, in a more direct and personal format.

Above the Fold is a product direct from the desk of:

RDML Charlie Brown, APR+M Navy Chief of Information



Why am I getting Above the Fold?

RDML Brown sent this to you to supplement your routine clips reading. Maybe that was too forward. You can <u>unsubscribe from this list</u> or change how you receive Above the Fold updates when you <u>update your preferences</u>.

This email was sent to (b) (6)

why did I get this? unsubscribe from this list update subscription preferences

CHINFO · Navy Office of Information · 1200 Navy Pentagon Room Washington, DC 20350-1200 · USA



From: PACOM USFJ J021 (USA)

To: Schneider, Kevin B Lt Gen USAF PACOM USFJ J00 (USA)

Subject: RE: The Wall Street Journal: White House Wanted USS John McCain 'Out of Sight' During Trump Japan Visit

Date: Thursday, May 30, 2019 11:51:00 AM

Sir – as a follow-up. I've been in touch with CNFJ. C7F is getting queries from media asking to confirm the WSJ report. They are staffing a response now and I will keep you posted on what they go back with.

Vr (B6)

(B6) Privacy

Director of Public Affairs, US Forces Japan (USFJ)

(b) (6)

c.

www.usfj.mil www.facebook.com/USForcesJapan

www.twitter.com/USForcesJapan

From: (B6) Privacy PACOM USFJ J021 (USA)

Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2019 11:44 AM

To: Schneider, Kevin B Lt Gen USAF PACOM USFJ J00 (USA) (B6) Privacy

Subject: RE: The Wall Street Journal: White House Wanted USS John McCain 'Out of Sight' During

Trump Japan Visit

Sir – I don't have any SA on the specific e-mail referenced in the story. But in talking to the Yokosuka PAO, Monday, he mentioned that they had in fact taken great pains to "hide" John S. McCain because of sensitivities related to POTUS and Sen McCain's relationship. It wasn't clear to me at the time whether it was a specific direction from the White House or just someone's good idea at Yokosuka. Seems now that it was direction from the WH, if this reporting is to be believed.

Vr <mark>(B6)</mark>

(B6) Privacy

Director of Public Affairs, US Forces Japan (USFJ)

(b) (6)

(B6) Privacy

www.usfj.mil

www.facebook.com/USForcesJapan

www.twitter.com/USForcesJapan

From: Schneider, Kevin B Lt Gen USAF PACOM USFJ J00 (USA) (6)

Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2019 11:26 AM

To: (B6) Privacy PACOM USFJ J021 (USA) (b) (6)

Subject: The Wall Street Journal: White House Wanted USS John McCain 'Out of Sight' During Trump Japan Visit



Do you have any SA on the alleged e-mail mentioned below? Or on the name of the person at INDOPACOM who made the statement?

Vr Gumby

White House Wanted USS John McCain 'Out of Sight' During Trump Japan Visit The Wall Street Journal

The White House wanted the Navy to move a warship named for the late Sen. John McCain—a frequent target of President Trump's ire—and his father and grandfather ahead of the president's visit to Japan last week. Read the full story

Shared from **Apple News**


KEVIN B. SCHNEIDER, Lt Gen, USAF Commander, U.S. Forces Japan and 5th Air Force

CDR (b) (6)

Copy on the McCain – first I heard of it as well. I'll work with WHMO to get more info on it, and if that's an official tasking I'll include it in the FRAGO. Are you able to get info to discuss ramifications if she needs to be moved?

V/r,

(b) (6)



In response to 1. and 3. in your email below:

- We have CPF to C7F FOS RFI out now with suspense of 1800W today for full detailed risk assessment of WSP and RRN POTUS support, understanding WSP needs to be pier side morning of 24th (latest) and that RRN is the primary resource if WSP is unable.
- 2. This is the first I have seen about the McCain being out of sight. Recommend this in FRAGO when you issue the WSP/RRN timeline update.

In receipt of your latest VTC invite for tomorrow afternoon. Hopefully WHMO will be on the line. Thanks,

Vr, (b) (6)

From: (b) (6) Maj USINDOPACOM J3 <(b) (6) @pacom.mil> On Behalf Of USINDOPACOM JOC AIR

Sent: Wednesday, May 15, 2019 11:55 AM

To: (b) (6) NAVRESFORCOM (b) (6) @navy.mil>; (b) (6)

Capt USAF AFELM PACOM (USA)' < (b) (6) mil@mail.mil>

Subject: FW: 7th Fleet/RDML LeClair

Capt (b) (6) /CDR (b) (6)

Please see below excerpt from discussions between WHMO and C7F. Need all supporting units to understand the requirement for rehearsals. Hopefully the WASP can get there early enough. Also, please let me know the latest on the deck landing requirement. There is a lot of stray voltage out there right now about what is actually required. There is no expectation for the CH-47s to land on an LHD. My understanding is the HH-60 deck landing is somehow dependent on what the HMX-1 white-tops can do on the KAGA. Let me know when this is clarified with the WHMO team in Japan. Also, please confirm #3 will be satisfied.

- 1. WASP needs to be in port NLT 24 May (22 May desired) for HMX rehearsal. If not, we need to keep the Reagan in port for the rehearsal and event.
- 2. Need to use a landing area closer to the JS Kaga for the press heavy lift helo so they can get in place. Staff helicopter can land in the ball field but press helo needs to be closer
- 3. USS John McCain needs to be out of sight.

V/r,



From: (b) (6) CIV USN COMPACFLT (USA)

To: (b) (6) CAPT USN (USA)

Subject: (CAPT USN (USA))

Subject: FW: 7th Fleet/RDML LeClair

Date: Wednesday, May 15, 2019 3:26:00 PM

3. USS John McCain needs to be out of sight.

From: (b) (6) Maj USINDOPACOM J3 <(b) (6) @pacom.mil> On Behalf Of USINDOPACOM JOC AIR

Sent: Wednesday, May 15, 2019 11:55 AM

To: (b) (6) NAVRESFORCOM <(b) (6) @navy.mil>; (b) (6)

Capt USAF AFELM PACOM (USA)' <(b) (6) mil@mail.mil>

Subject: FW: 7th Fleet/RDML LeClair

Capt (b) (6) /CDR (b) (6)

Please see below excerpt from discussions between WHMO and C7F. Need all supporting units to understand the requirement for rehearsals. Hopefully the WASP can get there early enough. Also, please let me know the latest on the deck landing requirement. There is a lot of stray voltage out there right now about what is actually required. There is no expectation for the CH-47s to land on an LHD. My understanding is the HH-60 deck landing is somehow dependent on what the HMX-1 white-tops can do on the KAGA. Let me know when this is clarified with the WHMO team in Japan. Also, please confirm #3 will be satisfied.

- 1. WASP needs to be in port NLT 24 May (22 May desired) for HMX rehearsal. If not, we need to keep the Reagan in port for the rehearsal and event.
- 2. Need to use a landing area closer to the JS Kaga for the press heavy lift helo so they can get in place. Staff helicopter can land in the ball field but press helo needs to be closer
- 3. USS John McCain needs to be out of sight.

V/r,



From: CIV USN COMPACFLT (USA) CAPT USN (USA) To: Lt Col USINDOPACOM J3; CDR USN (USA) Cc: Subject: RE: 7th Fleet/RDML LeClair Date: Wednesday, May 15, 2019 4:01:00 PM He is. v/r ----Original Message-----@pacom.mil> CAPT USN (USA) < (b) (6) Sent: Wednesday, May 15, 2019 3:33 PM CIV USN COMPACFLT (USA) < To: @navy mil> Cc: Lt Col USINDOPACOM J3 < @pacom mil>; $\overline{\text{CDR}}$ USN (USA) < (b) (6) @pacom mil> Subject: FW: 7th Fleet/RDML LeClair Importance: High It's legit, is RDML Leclair the reserve deputy? ----Original Message-----Maj USINDOPACOM J3 < (b) (6) From: (b) (6) @pacom mil> On Behalf Of USINDOPACOM JOC AIR Sent: Wednesday, May 15, 2019 3:31 PM CAPT USN (USA) < (b) (6) @pacom mil> Subject: FW: 7th Fleet/RDML LeClair

From: (b) (6) Col USAF WHMO/OPS < (b) (6) @whmo.mil> Sent: Wednesday, May 15, 2019 10:50 AM

To: (b) (6) Maj USINDOPACOM J3 < (b) (6) @pacom.mil> Subject: FW: 7th Fleet/RDML LeClair

(b) (6)

This message went to JS and to 7th fleet this afternoon. Who should I be working this through at INDOPACOM?

Thanks,



From: (b) (6) Col USAF WHMO/OPS < (b) (6) @whmo mil> Sent: Wednesday, May 15, 2019 4:44 PM


RDML Davids,

Sir, I send a message to the 7th Fleet Chief of Staff, CAPT (b) (6) or SNET (that's how we've been communicating).

I passed along:

- 1. WASP needs to be in port NLT 24 May (22 May desired) for HMX rehearsal. If not, we need to keep the Reagan in port for the rehearsal and event.
- 2. Need to use a landing area closer to the JS Kaga for the press heavy lift helo so they can get in place. Staff helicopter can land in the ball field but press helo needs to be closer
- 3. USS John McCain needs to be out of sight.

As we discussed, if you want to pass the same info to the 7th Fleet CO,RDML Leclair, his info is (he has a lot of email addresses):



Let me know if you have any questions or concerns.

v/r

(b) (6)

(b) (6) , Col, USAF

Director, Operations

White House Military Office

O: (b) (6)
(b) (6) @whmo.mil < mailto (b) (6) @whmo.mil >

From: (b) (6) CIV COMPACFLT, N310

To: (b) (6) CIV USN COMPACFLT (USA); (b) (6) CAPT USN COMPACFLT PEARL HI (USA); (b) (6)

CDR USN COMSUBPAC PEARL (US)

Subject: RE: 7th Fleet/RDML LeClair
Date: Thursday, May 16, 2019 7:18:27 AM

This just makes me sad...

From: (b) (6) CIV USN COMPACFLT (USA) < (b) (6) @navy.mil>

Sent: Wednesday, May 15, 2019 3:58 PM

To: (b) (6) CAPT USN COMPACFLT PEARL HI (USA) (b) (6) @navy.mil>; (b) (6)

CIV COMPACFLT, N310 < (b) (6) @navy.mil>; (b) (6) CDR USN COMSUBPAC PEARL

(US) < (b) (6) @navy.mil>
Subject: FW: 7th Fleet/RDML LeClair

Just talked to (b) (6) on bullet number 3. He is checking on the validity.

3. USS John McCain needs to be out of sight.

I could see that becoming a Tweet...

From: (b) (6) Maj USINDOPACOM J3 < (b) (6) @pacom.mil> On Behalf Of

USINDOPACOM JOC AIR

Sent: Wednesday, May 15, 2019 11:55 AM

To:(b) (6) NAVRESFORCOM <(b) (6) @navy.mil>; (b) (6)

Capt USAF AFELM PACOM (USA)' <(b) (6) .mil@mail.mil>

Subject: FW: 7th Fleet/RDML LeClair

Capt (b) (6) /CDR (b) (6)

Please see below excerpt from discussions between WHMO and C7F. Need all supporting units to understand the requirement for rehearsals. Hopefully the WASP can get there early enough. Also, please let me know the latest on the deck landing requirement. There is a lot of stray voltage out there right now about what is actually required. There is no expectation for the CH-47s to land on an LHD. My understanding is the HH-60 deck landing is somehow dependent on what the HMX-1 white-tops can do on the KAGA. Let me know when this is clarified with the WHMO team in Japan. Also, please confirm #3 will be satisfied.

- 1. WASP needs to be in port NLT 24 May (22 May desired) for HMX rehearsal. If not, we need to keep the Reagan in port for the rehearsal and event.
- 2. Need to use a landing area closer to the JS Kaga for the press heavy lift helo so they can get in place. Staff helicopter can land in the ball field but press helo needs to be closer
- 3. USS John McCain needs to be out of sight.

V/r,



From: CAPT C7F

CAPT USN COMPACFLT PEARL HI (USA) To:

Subject: INDOPACOM thread on JSM Date: Friday, May 24, 2019 2:52:53 PM Attachments: FW Additional POTUS planning factor.msg



You will have one high side email also for background from WHMO on the WH request to keep the name not visible.

This email thread is from INDOPACOM to the NCC (our planner) under JTF POTUS. You will see the J3's rep direction that JSM be 'kept of out of sight.'

Your N3 team called our N3 team with this concern to make sure we complied.

We asked for a formal order but none was forthcoming, as practice, we planned to the guidelines give from INDOPAOCM to JTF POTUS channels.

Your high side will have the back channel email that I got from WHMO - and that I referred to Region planning channels.

ВТ

VADM Sawyer and I will engage with JSM CO today and ensure there is no misunderstanding as this passed from Region through CTF and DESRON.




CAPT (b) (6) Chief of Staff SEVENTH Fleet

Embarked on USS BLUE RIDGE (LCC-19)



From: (b) (6) LCAPT USN, (C7F)
To: (b) (6) CAPT C7F
Subject: FW: Additional POTUS planning factor
Date: Friday, May 24, 2019 2:48:29 PM



Subject: Additional POTUS planning factor

@fe navy.mil' < (b) (6)

@lcc19.navy mil>; (b) (6)

Sent: Thursday, May 16, 2019 11:33 AM

CAPT USN, (C7F) <

@lcc19 navy.mil>; (b) (6)

@lcc19 navy.mil>;

LCDR USN, (C7F) < (b) (6)

BLUF: INDOPACOM provided some information below about the JSM needing to be out of sight on Yokosuka during the visit. They are still working to determine what that actually means, but wanted to provide now for planning purposes. Will advise upon clarification.

@fe navy.mil

@fe.navy mil>

@lcc19.navy.mil>; (b) (6)

CDR USN, (C7F)

@lcc19.navy mil>; (b) (6)

CDR USN, CTF 70' < (b) (6)

CDR

@ctf70 navy mil>;


LCDR (C7F)

Very Respectfully,

COS (b) (6) and Team,


(C7F) <

```
LCDR (b) (6), USN
NEF/EOD/MIW Officer (N382), U.S. SEVENTH Fleet
(b) (6)
```



tasking I'll include it in the FRAGO. Are you able to get info to discuss ramifications if she needs to be moved?


V/r,



In response to 1. and 3. in your email below:

- We have CPF to C7F FOS RFI out now with suspense of 1800W today for full detailed risk assessment of WSP and RRN POTUS support, understanding WSP needs to be pier side morning of 24th (latest) and that RRN is the primary resource if WSP is unable.
- This is the first I have seen about the McCain being out of sight. Recommend this in FRAGO when you issue the WSP/RRN timeline update.

In receipt of your latest VTC invite for tomorrow afternoon. Hopefully WHMO will be on the line. Thanks,



Capt (b) (6)

Please see below excerpt from discussions between WHMO and C7F. Need all supporting units to understand the requirement for rehearsals. Hopefully the WASP can get there early enough. Also, please let me know the latest on the deck landing requirement. There is a lot of stray voltage out there right now about what is actually required. There is no expectation for the CH-47s to land on an LHD. My understanding is the HH-60 deck landing is somehow dependent on what the HMX-1 white-tops can do on the KAGA. Let me know when this is clarified with the WHMO team in Japan. Also, please confirm #3 will be satisfied.

- 1. WASP needs to be in port NLT 24 May (22 May desired) for HMX rehearsal. If not, we need to keep the Reagan in port for the rehearsal and event.
- 2. Need to use a landing area closer to the JS Kaga for the press heavy lift helo so they can get in place. Staff helicopter can land in the ball field but press helo needs to be closer 3. USS John McCain needs to be out of sight.

V/r,



(b) (6) CAPT USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA) To: C ADM USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA) Subject FW: DV 1 follow up Friday, May 24, 2019 6 51:00 PM Pulled the string on the forensics for this BLUF: This direction was passed to WHMO (6), USAF) who in turn provided this guidance to C7F CoS, (6) provided this direction to CNFJ as the main Naval planning effort for the JTF (USFJ) As backup, RDML Davids (D/WHMO) also passed the same direction via email to RDML LeClair (D/C7F) emphasizing the importance of making sure this happens (I have this email traffic on SIPR) Additionally, PACOM J3 JOC passed the same guidance to C7F and CC'd our guys (1) (6) See email string below the cutline Finally, DESRON Commander (1) took additional steps by har the DESRON brow banner and designating JSM as the command ship during the DV-1 visit and this was NOT directed by C7F took additional steps by hanging Standing by to discuss V/R, (b) (6) ---Original Message---From: (6) LCDR USN, (C7F) Sent: Thursday, May 16, 2019 11:33 AM CAPT USN, (C7F) (b) (6) @lcc19 navy mil>; (b) (6) CDR USN, (C7F) (b) (6) @lcc19 navy mil>; (b) (6) @lcc19 navy mil>; (b) (6) @ct70 navy mil>; (b) (6) @fe navy mil @fe navy mil> LCDR USN, (C7F) < (b) (6) @lcc19 navy mil>; (b) (6) LCDR (C7F) < (b) (6) @lcc19 navy mil>; @fe navy mil Subject: Additional POTUS planning factor COS (b) (6) and Team, BLUF: INDOPACOM provided some information below about the JSM needing to be out of sight on Yokosuka during the visit They are still working to determine what that actually means, but wanted to provide now for planning purposes Will advise upon clarification Very Respectfully, DSN: 315-453-(b) (6) J-Dial: 7349 (b) (6 Email Maj USINDOPACOM J3 < 6 @pacom mil> on behalf of USINDOPACOM JOC AIR < joc air pacom@navy mil> Sent: Thursday, May 16, 2019 1:20 AM NAVRESFORCOM; USINDOPACOM JOC AIR; (b) (6) Capt USAF AFELM PACTOR USN COMSUBPAC PEARL (US); (b) (6) CIV USN COMPACFLT (USA); (b) (6) Capt USAF AFELM PACOM (USA)' LCDR USN COMPACFLT N3 (USA); (b) (6) LCDR USN C7F Subject: RE: 7th Fleet/RDML LeClair CDR (b) (6) Copy on the McCain - first I heard of it as well I'll work with WHMO to get more info on it, and if that's an official tasking I'll include it in the FRAGO Are you able to

get info to discuss ramifications if she needs to be moved?

V/r.

NAVRESFORCOM (b) (6) @navy mil> Sent: Wednesday, May 15, 2019 3:10 PM Capt USAF AFELM PACOM (USA)' < To: USINDOPACOM JOC AIR < joc air pacom@navy mil>; CDR USN COMSUBPAC PEARL (US) @navy mil>; CIV USN COMPACFLT (USA) < LCDR USN COMPACFLT N3 (USA) < @navy mil>; @fe navy mil Subject: RE: 7th Fleet/RDML LeClair +(b) (6)

In response to 1 and 3 in your email below:

We have CPF to C7F FOS RFI out now with suspense of 1800W today for full detailed risk assessment of WSP and RRN POTUS support, understanding WSP needs to be pier side morning of 24th (latest) and that RRN is the primary resource if WSP is unable

2 This is the first I have seen about the McCain being out of sight Recommend this in FRAGO when you issue the WSP/RRN timeline update

In receipt of your latest VTC invite for tomorrow afternoon Hopefully WHMO will be on the line Thanks,

Vr, 0.6

From: (b) (6) Maj USINDOPACOM J3 <anthony dorazio@pacom mil> On Behalf Of USINDOPACOM JOC AIR

Sent: Wednesday, May 15, 2019 11:55 AM

To: (b) (6) NAVRESFORCOM < (b) (6) @navy mil>; (b) (6) Capt USAF AFELM PACOM (USA)'

(b) (6) mil@mail mil>

Subject: FW: 7th Fleet/RDML LeClair

(b) (6)

Please see below excerpt from discussions between WHMO and C7F Need all supporting units to understand the requirement for rehearsals Hopefully the WASP can get there early enough Also, please let me know the latest on the deck landing requirement. There is a lot of stray voltage out there right now about what is actually required. There is no expectation for the CH-47s to land on an LHD My understanding is the HH-60 deck landing is somehow dependent on what the HMX-1 white-tops can do on the KAGA Let me know when this is clarified with the WHMO team in Japan Also, please confirm #3 will be satisfied

- 1 WASP needs to be in port NLT 24 May (22 May desired) for HMX rehearsal
- If not, we need to keep the Reagan in port for the rehearsal and event
- 2 Need to use a landing area closer to the JS Kaga for the press heavy lift helo so they can get in place Staff helicopter can land in the ball field but press helo needs to be closer 3 USS John McCain needs to be out of sight

V/r,

From: Phillip.Sawyer@fe.navy.mil

To: Aquilino, John C ADM USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA)

Cc: Carter, Matthew J RADM USN COMPACFLT PEARL HI (USA); (6) @fe.navy.mil; (6) (6) CAPT

USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA) (B6) @lcc19.navy.mi

Subject: DV 1 support

Date: Friday, May 24, 2019 8:20:47 PM

COM -

We received an RFI re the tasking 'to keep MCCAIN out of sight' during the upcoming DV1 visit. A rough reconstructed sequence of events follows:

- * O/A 15 May: WHMO requested (SIPR traffic) to ensure JSM was not visible from the WSP.
- * This WHMO request was later (o/a 16 May) pushed out by INDOPACOM planners to JTF POTUS and component commanders. ALCON were tracking this as a requirement though it was not listed in the IPC PLANORD.
- * Based on the tasking above, organizations under my OPCON leaned forward to ensure JSM name was not visible from WASP. This includes:
- -- Designating JSM as the CDS-15 Flagship. CDS-15 banner is now on the JSM brow (vice a JSM banner).
- --Placing a paint scaffolding at the stern of the ship. This partially obscures the ship's name.
- -- JSM's 4 day Memorial Day weekend runs from Saturday through Tuesday (the day of DV1 visit)

(Note: All you see from WASP wrt JSM is JSM's bow and the hull name 56)

From my experience, there is a high level of activity, BPT and direction iso JTF POTUS. Everyone is leaning forward to solve issues, not unusual for staffs to take action on email tasking and not unusual for WHMO to task echelon commands below the JS/IPC level.

I just walked JSM and talked with the CO. I also walked WASP and viewed the waterfront from WASP flight deck. I am comfortable with the actions that have been taken at JSM.

Recommend no further actions wrt DV1 visit and JSM and this includes 'undoing' anything that has been done (brow banner, paint scaffolding).

ВТ

I also walked through with the JSM CO and the Bath Iron Works MRG engineer the corrective actions for the port shaft mis-alignment. They are executing a plan that does not require moving bearing foundation work (significant effort). This plan simply 'shims' the bearings and shaft to a point where everything is aligned. This will work assuming the 'shimming' won't result in excessive force on the system. In essence, they'll 'shim' everything in alignment and then test the new forces applied. If within tolerances, we're good. If too much force then they'll need to move some foundations.

Vr/

Phil

From: (b) (6) <u>CAPT C7F</u>

To: CAPT USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA)

Subject: FW: JSM

Date: Saturday, May 25, 2019 12:38:41 AM

(b) (6)


FYSA below - report to my boss on sequence of events for JSM from my seat

VR (b) (6)

CAPT (b) (6)
Chief of Staff

SEVENTH Fleet

Embarked on USS BLUE RIDGE (LCC-19)



----Original Message----

From (B6) Privacy @fe navy mil [mailto (B6) Privacy @fe navy.mil]

Sent: Saturday, May 25, 2019 3:39 PM

To: (b) (6) CAPT C7F (b) (6) @lcc19 navy mil>

Subject: RE: JSM

Copy all. Thanks.

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: (b) (6)	CAPT C7F < (b) (6)	@lcc19 navy.mil < mailto (b) (6)	@lcc19 navy.mil
>	<u></u>		
Date: Saturday, May	25, 2019, 13:52		
To: Sawyer, Phillip C	G VADM USN C7F <mark>(B6) Pr</mark> i	wacy @fe.navy mil (B6) Privacy	<pre>@fe navy.mil>></pre>
Subject: RE: JSM			

WHMO email is on the high side.

UNCLAS planner email attached - this followed later from INDOPACOM J3 lead planners in JTF POTUS to LCDR (b) (6) etc. - our Rep. WHMO reached out to INDOPACOM who sent the request in planning channels and would not put it in writing.

Sequence of Events from my seat:

- * WHMO requested high side to me. I passed to Region (Henry) for consideration. This was briefed to ADM Aquilino at the CUB by RDML Fenton COM acknowledged the sensitivity. Task was to ensure JSM was not visible form the WSP (and name).
- * This request was later followed through by INDOPACOM planners to JTF POTUS region and component commanders. All were tracking this as a requirement per RDML Fenton's brief at CUB and the WHMO direction.
- * I informed Cos (b) (6) BPT receive this type of direction from Region and planners.
- * Intent was to survey from WSP and then act accordingly to accomplish intent as I understood it from Region and our planning team.
- * PACFLT and INDOPACOM decided not to put this in writing (for these very reasons probably) but passed via operations and planning teams to comply with WH intent.
- * Per my discussion with Duffy and CO this morning, they simply referenced hearing first about this from CTF 70 and C7F Chiefs of Staff and relayed that to OLA. No orders were given to have any brow banners or specific tactical actions taken.
- * However, from CO view, given the general 'make sure the ship and name are not visible' I can see where he and the CDRE would be judicious in their effort to comply with White House intent or even aggressive to do so.

VR CoS



```
----Original Message----
From [B6] Privacy @fe navy mil [mailto B6] Privacy @fe navy.mil]
Sent: Saturday, May 25, 2019 2:27 PM
To: (b) (6) CAPT C7F < (b) (6) @lcc19 navy mil>
Cc: (b) (6) LCDR (C7F) < (b) (6) @fe navy.mil>
Subject: RE: JSM
```

Just got off the phone with CPF....I'll need to know who tasked who (and how) including down to JSM. I'm going to stop by there very briefly in a few minutes.

```
----Original Message----
From: Sawyer, Phillip G VADM USN C7F
Sent: Saturday, May 25, 2019 1:04 PM
To: (b) (6) CAPT C7F' (b) (6) @lcc19.navy mil>
Cc: (b) (6) LCDR USN C7F < (b) (6) @fe navy.mil>
Subject: RE: JSM
```

Copy. Please pass me the email trail giving the direction.

r/ Phil

```
-----Original Message-----
From: (b) (6) CAPT C7F [mailto (b) (6) @lcc19.navy mil]
Sent: Saturday, May 25, 2019 11:12 AM
To: Sawyer, Phillip G VADM USN C7F (B6) Privacy
Cc: (b) (6) LCDR USN C7F < (b) (6) @fe.navy mil>
Subject: JSM
```

Admiral

I spoke with chain of command (70 CoS, DESRON) and JSM CO this morning.


DESRON and ship leaned forward and took some extra precautions (designating JSM as DESRON 15 flag ship, hang DESRON brow banner) at their own initiative.

JSM CO (former Sen McCain legislative fellow) spoke with RADM Loeblein when OLA heard about the WH political request that came from WHMO and through IPC planners via JTF POTUS. (Loeblein called him).

Think RADM Loeblein is trying to piece together the picture, don't sense there is pointing of fingers - this type of visit comes through COCOM channels and not OLA, so OLA is not squarely in the loop.

has the email traffic from WHMO principals and the email trail from INDOPACOM J3 POTUS planners giving the direction.

I explained to that the only requirement was not visible from WSP, and that since WSP arrived yesterday Region had verified.



From: Carter, Matthew J RADM USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA)

To: Dalton, Marc H RADM USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (US)

Subject: FW: DV 1 support

Date: Saturday, May 25, 2019 5:00:08 PM

Thought I had sent this to you already, and realized I hadn't. For your SA.

v/r,

```
----Original Message----
From: (B6) Privacy @fe navy mil (B6) Privacy @fe navy mil>
Sent: Friday, May 24, 2019 8:21 PM

To: Aquilino, John C ADM USN COMPACFLT PEARL HI (USA)

<[b] (6) @navy.mil>
Cc: Carter, Matthew J RADM USN COMPACFLT PEARL HI (USA)

<[b] (6) @navy mil>; (b) (6) @fe.navy mil; (b) (6)

CAPT USN COMPACFLT PEARL HI (USA) < (b) (6) @navy mil>; (b) (6)

@lcc19 navy.mil

Subject: DV 1 support
```

COM -

We received an RFI re the tasking 'to keep MCCAIN out of sight' during the upcoming DV1 visit. A rough reconstructed sequence of events follows:

- * O/A 15 May: WHMO requested (SIPR traffic) to ensure JSM was not visible from the WSP.
- * This WHMO request was later (o/a 16 May) pushed out by INDOPACOM planners to JTF POTUS and component commanders. ALCON were tracking this as a requirement though it was not listed in the IPC PLANORD.
- * Based on the tasking above, organizations under my OPCON leaned forward to ensure JSM name was not visible from WASP. This includes:
- -- Designating JSM as the CDS-15 Flagship. CDS-15 banner is now on the JSM brow (vice a JSM banner).
- --Placing a paint scaffolding at the stern of the ship. This partially obscures the ship's name.
- -- JSM's 4 day Memorial Day weekend runs from Saturday through Tuesday (the day of DV1 visit)

(Note: All you see from WASP wrt JSM is JSM's bow and the hull name 56)

From my experience, there is a high level of activity, BPT and direction iso JTF POTUS. Everyone is leaning forward to solve issues, not unusual for staffs to take action on email tasking and not unusual for WHMO to task echelon commands below the JS/IPC level.

I just walked JSM and talked with the CO. I also walked WASP and viewed the waterfront from WASP flight deck. I am comfortable with the actions that have been taken at JSM.

Recommend no further actions wrt DV1 visit and JSM and this includes 'undoing' anything that has been done (brow banner, paint scaffolding).

I also walked through with the JSM CO and the Bath Iron Works MRG engineer the corrective actions for the port shaft mis-alignment. They are executing a plan that does not require moving bearing foundation work (significant effort). This plan simply 'shims' the bearings and shaft to a point where everything is aligned. This will work assuming the 'shimming' won't result in excessive force on the system. In essence, they'll 'shim' everything in alignment and then test the new forces applied. If within tolerances, we're good. If too much force then they'll need to move some foundations.

Vr/ Phil

(b) (6) LCDR (C7F)

 From:
 (b) (6)
 fe.navy.mil

 Sent:
 Friday, May 17, 2019 7:59 AM

To: (b) (6) LCDR USN, (C7F); (b) (6) fe.navy.mil

Cc: (b) (6) fe.navy.mil; (b) (6) fe.navy.mil


Subject: RE: JSM Sailors for DV1 Speech and Meet/Greet

Signed By: (b) (6) fe.navy.mil

(B6

The best way to approach this may be to not have JSM participate in the Hangar bay event, CTF 70 could choose to leave them off the planning. As far as staggering the mass of humanity that will be in the Hangar bay we can certainly arrange whatever the preference is. I am still trying to get an advance party visit sometime this weekend to sort out these details with WH Advon.

V/R
CAPT (b) (6)
Deputy Commander
ESG 7/CTF 76



```
----Original Message----
From: (b) (6) LCDR USN, (C7F) [mailto:(b) (6) Lcc19.navy.mil]
Sent: Friday, May 17, 2019 7:52 AM

To: (b) (6) CAPT USN CNFJ < (b) (6) fe.navy.mil>; (b) (6)

CAPT USN ESG-7 < (b) (6) fe.navy.mil>

Cc: (b) (6) CDR USN CNFJ < (b) (6) fe.navy.mil>; (b) (6)

USN CNFJ < (b) (6) fe.navy.mil>

Subject: JSM Sailors for DV1 Speech and Meet/Greet
```

CAPT (b) (6) and CAPT (b) (6)

I know you are tracking the JSM being "out of sight" request from WH and believe you've mentioned CFAY CMC is putting out the call for waterfront sailors to participate in the DV1 event aboard WASP.

Is it possible to determine the plan to prioritize the proximity of sailors in the hangar bay? WASP sailors in the front, followed by units deploying next? I don't know who would be handling that portion, but figured an arrangement like this would meet WH intent to limit the JSM visibility

during the visit.

Very Respectfully,

Additionally, for the DV-1 grip/grin on the WSP Flight deck, is CNFJ Protocol running the vetting for that portion? I hate to say a JSM SoY can't participate because of the ship he is on, but its trending that direction.

COS (b) (6) just wants to make sure we have a solid plan moving forward on this piece as well as the LZ next to KAGA (which I agree belongs to HMX-1 and WH to determine).

LCDR (b) (6) USN

NEF/EOD/MIW Officer (N382), U.S. SEVENTH Fleet
(b) (6) Icc19.navy.mil (b) (6) fe.navy.mil
(b) (6) Icc19.navy.smil.mil (b) (6) fe.navy.mil
(b) (6)

Email: (b) (6)

From:

(b) (6) fe.navy.mil

Sent:

Friday, May 17, 2019 8:02 AM

To: Cc: (b) (6) LCDR USN, (C7F); (b) (6) fe.navy.mil (b) (6) fe.navy.mil; (b) (6) fe.navy.mil

Subject:

RE: JSM Sailors for DV1 Speech and Meet/Greet

I am not tracking that a JSM nametag takes you out of the hunt. We will get the ship out of view, but I will await clearer direction before inferring tasks out of the specified task (which is not in any official tasking document).

We need to stop burning the candle at multiple ends wrt the helo plan. HMX-1 and Secret Service are on deck in Japan working the plan. If WHMO has direction for them on the plan, they need to pass it through them. I do not intend to spool up on the 47 at YRD question until the helo planners on deck here say that is the plan.

CAPT (b) (6) CNFJ/CNRJ COS

LCDR USN, (C7F) < (b) (6) lcc19.navy.mil<mailto: (b) (6) lcc19.navy.mil>> From: (b) (6) Date: Friday, May 17, 2019, 7:53 AM CAPT USN CNFJ <(b) (6) To: (b) (6) fe.navy.mil<mailto:(b) (6) CAPT fe.navy.mil>>, (b) (6) USN ESG-7 < (b) (6) fe.navy.mil<mailto:(b)(6) fe.navy.mil>> fe.navy.mil<mailto(b)(6) Cc: (b) (6) CDR USN CNFJ <(b) (6) fe.navy.mil>>, USN CNFJ <(b) (6) fe.navy.mil<mailto:(b) (6) Subject: JSM Sailors for DV1 Speech and Meet/Greet

CAPT (b) (6) and CAPT (b) (6)

I know you are tracking the JSM being "out of sight" request from WH and believe you've mentioned CFAY CMC is putting out the call for waterfront sailors to participate in the DV1 event aboard WASP.

Is it possible to determine the plan to prioritize the proximity of sailors in the hangar bay? WASP sailors in the front, followed by units deploying next? I don't know who would be handling that portion, but figured an arrangement like this would meet WH intent to limit the JSM visibility during the visit.

Additionally, for the DV-1 grip/grin on the WSP Flight deck, is CNFJ Protocol running the vetting for that portion? I hate to say a JSM SoY can't participate because of the ship he is on, but its trending that direction.

COS (b) (6) just wants to make sure we have a solid plan moving forward on this piece as well as the LZ next to KAGA (which I agree belongs to HMX-1 and WH to determine).

Very Respectfully,

Email: (b) (6)

(b) (6) LCDR (C7F)

From:

(b) (6) LCDR USN, (C7F) Friday, May 17, 2019 8:07 AM

Sent: To:

(b) (6) fe.navy.mil; (b) (6) fe.navy.mil; (b) (6)

fe.navy.mil

Cc:

fe.navy.mil

Subject:

RE: JSM Sailors for DV1 Speech and Meet/Greet

Signed By:

(b) (6) mil@mail.mil

(b) (6)

Please work with CAPT (b) (6) on this to make sure it's all in line.

Task is still vague, but my direction was to have a good plan to meet WH intent on having JSM out of sight while not calling attention to the fact JSM sailors were not allowed to participate.

Very Respectfully,

----Original Message----

fe.navy.mil [mailto(b) (6) fe.navy.mil]

Sent: Thursday, May 16, 2019 11:03 PM

To: (b) (6) LCDR USN, (C7F)

(b) (6) | lcc19.navy.mil>; (b) (6) | fe.navy.mil

Cc:(b) (6) fe.navy.mil

Subject: RE: JSM Sailors for DV1 Speech and Meet/Greet


(b) (6)

I will work with EMB and CMCs to get the right folks on the grip and grin line, mix of SOYs, high mil DVs, and possibly spouses or ombudsmen. Do you have specific verbiage for the JSM request, or a generic direction to minimize?


Thanks,

Vr

(b) (6)



Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)



Subject: RE: JSM Sailors for DV1 Speech and Meet/Greet




The best way to approach this may be to not have JSM participate in the Hangar bay event, CTF 70 could choose to leave them off the planning. As far as staggering the mass of humanity that will be in the Hangar bay we can certainly arrange whatever the preference is. I am still trying to get an advance party visit sometime this weekend to sort out these details with WH Advon.

V/R CAPT (b) (6) Deputy Commander ESG 7/CTF 76



----Original Message----




I know you are tracking the JSM being "out of sight" request from WH and believe you've mentioned CFAY CMC is putting out the call for waterfront sailors to participate in the DV1 event aboard WASP.


Is it possible to determine the plan to prioritize the proximity of sailors in the hangar bay? WASP sailors in the front, followed by units deploying next? I don't know who would be handling that portion, but figured an arrangement like this would meet WH intent to limit the JSM visibility during the visit.

Additionally, for the DV-1 grip/grin on the WSP Flight deck, is CNFJ Protocol running the vetting for that portion? I hate to say a JSM SoY can't participate because of the ship he is on, but its trending that direction.

COS (b) (6) just wants to make sure we have a solid plan moving forward on this piece as well as the LZ next to KAGA (which I agree belongs to HMX-1 and WH to determine).

Very Respectfully,







----Original Message----From: (b) (6) fe.navy.mil [mailto:(b) (6) fe.navy.mil] Sent: Thursday, May 16, 2019 11:03 PM fe.navy.mil; (b) (6) LCDR USN, (C7F) < (b) (6) lcc19.navy.mil>; (b) (6) fe.navy.mil Cc: (b) (6) Subject: RE: JSM Sailors for DV1 Speech and Meet/Greet I will work with EMB and CMCs to get the right folks on the grip and grin line, mix of SOYs, high mil DVs, and possibly spouses or ombudsmen. Do you have specific verbiage for the JSM request, or a generic direction to minimize? Thanks, Vr Flag Protocol Aide to RDML Fenton U.S. Naval Forces Japan NIPR/SIPR: (b) (6) fe.navy(.smil).mil Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com<http://www.blackberry.com>) **CAPT USN ESG-7** From: (b) (6) fe.navy.mil>> fe.navy.mil<mailto:(b) (6) Date: Friday, May 17, 2019, 7:59 AM LCDR USN, (C7F) To: (b) (6) lcc19.navy.mil<mailto:(b) (6) lcc19.navy.mil>>, (b) (6) CAPT USN CNFJ fe.navy.mil<mailto:(b) (6) fe.navy.mil>> CDR USN CNFJ <(b) (6) fe.navy.mil<mailto: Cc: (b) (6) fe.navy.mil>>,(b)(6) **USN CNFJ** (b) (6) fe.navy.mil>> fe.navy.mil<mailto:(b) (6) Subject: RE: JSM Sailors for DV1 Speech and Meet/Greet

,

The best way to approach this may be to not have JSM participate in the Hangar bay event, CTF 70 could choose to leave them off the planning.

As far as staggering the mass of humanity that will be in the Hangar bay we can certainly arrange whatever the preference is. I am still trying to get an advance party visit sometime this weekend to sort out these details with WH Advon.

V/R CAPT (b) (6) **Deputy Commander** ESG 7/CTF 76 fe.navy.(smil)mil ----Original Message----From: (b) (6) LCDR USN, (C7F) [mailto:(b) (6) [cc19.navy.mil] Sent: Friday, May 17, 2019 7:52 AM CAPT USN CNFJ <(b) (6) To: (b) (6) fe.navy.mil>; (b) (6) **CAPT USN ESG-7** fe.navy.mil> CDR USN CNFJ <(b) (6) fe.navy.mil>; (b) (6) **USN CNFJ** Cc: (b) (6) @fe.navy.mil> Subject: JSM Sailors for DV1 Speech and Meet/Greet

I know you are tracking the JSM being "out of sight" request from WH and believe you've mentioned CFAY CMC is putting out the call for waterfront sailors to participate in the DV1 event aboard WASP.

CAPT (b) (6) and CAPT (b) (6)

Very Respectfully,

Is it possible to determine the plan to prioritize the proximity of sailors in the hangar bay? WASP sailors in the front, followed by units deploying next? I don't know who would be handling that portion, but figured an arrangement like this would meet WH intent to limit the JSM visibility during the visit.

Additionally, for the DV-1 grip/grin on the WSP Flight deck, is CNFJ Protocol running the vetting for that portion? I hate to say a JSM SoY can't participate because of the ship he is on, but its trending that direction.

COS (b) (6) just wants to make sure we have a solid plan moving forward on this piece as well as the LZ next to KAGA (which I agree belongs to HMX-1 and WH to determine).

LCDR (b) (6) USN

NEF/EOD/MIW Officer (N382), U.S. SEVENTH Fleet (b) (6) @lcc19.navy.mil /(b) (6) fe.navy.mil
(b) (6) @lcc19.navy.smil.mil (b) (6) fe.navy.mil
(b) (6)

Email: (b) (6)

From: (b) (6) USINDOPACOM J3 (b) (6) @pacom.mil> or behalf of USINDOPACOM JOC AIR (B6) Privacy @navy.mil> Sent: Thursday, May 16, 2019 1:20 AM

To: NAVRESFORCOM; USINDOPACOM JOC AIR; (b) (6)

Capt USAF AFELM PACOM (USA)'

Cc: (b) (6) CDR USN COMSUBPAC PEARL (US); (b) (6) CIV USN

COMPACFLT (USA); (b) (6) LCDR USN COMPACFLT N3 (USA); (b) (6)


LCDR USN C7F

Subject: RE: 7th Fleet/RDML LeClair

Copy on the McCain - first I heard of it as well. I'll work with WHMO to get more info on it, and if that's an official tasking I'll include it in the FRAGO. Are you able to get info to discuss ramifications if she needs to be moved?

V/r,

(b) (6




In response to 1. and 3. in your email below:

- 1. We have CPF to C7F FOS RFI out now with suspense of 1800W today for full detailed risk assessment of WSP and RRN POTUS support, understanding WSP needs to be pier side morning of 24th (latest) and that RRN is the primary resource if WSP is unable.
- 2. This is the first I have seen about the McCain being out of sight. Recommend this in FRAGO when you issue the WSP/RRN timeline update.

In receipt of your latest VTC invite for tomorrow afternoon. Hopefully WHMO will be on the line. Thanks,

Vr, (b) (6)



Capt (b) (6) (CDR(b) (6)

Please see below excerpt from discussions between WHMO and C7F. Need all supporting units to understand the requirement for rehearsals. Hopefully the WASP can get there early enough. Also, please let me know the latest on the deck landing requirement. There is a lot of stray voltage out there right now about what is actually required. There is no expectation for the CH-47s to land on an LHD. My understanding is the HH-60 deck landing is somehow dependent on what the HMX-1 white-tops can do on the KAGA. Let me know when this is clarified with the WHMO team in Japan. Also, please confirm #3 will be satisfied.

- 1. WASP needs to be in port NLT 24 May (22 May desired) for HMX rehearsal. If not, we need to keep the Reagan in port for the rehearsal and event.
- 2. Need to use a landing area closer to the JS Kaga for the press heavy lift helo so they can get in place. Staff helicopter can land in the ball field but press helo needs to be closer
- 3. USS John McCain needs to be out of sight.

V/r,



CAPT USN, (C7F) (b) (6) Sent: Saturday, May 25, 2019 9:48 AM To: CAPT C7F

Subject: FW: Additional POTUS planning factor @lcc19.navy.mil>

V/r,

----Original Message----From: (b) (6) LCDR USN, (C7F) Sent: Saturday, May 25, 2019 10:48 AM

CAPT USN, (C7F) < (b) (6) @lcc19.navy.mil>

Subject: FW: Additional POTUS planning factor

LCDR C7F FOPS - SWO-tivator @lcc19.navy(.smil).mil

----Original Message----From: (b) (6) LCDR USN, (C7F) Sent: Thursday, May 16, 2019 11:33 AM

To: (b) (6) CAPT USN, (C7F) (b) (6) @lcc19.navy.mil>; . CDR (C7F) < (b) (6) (b)(6)@lcc19.navy.mil>; CDR USN, (C7F) (b) (6) (b) (6) @lcc19.navy.mil>; (b) (6) CDR USN, CTF 70' (b) (6) @ctf70.navy.mil>; @fe.navy.mil' <(b) (6) @fe.navy.mil> Cc: (b) (6) LCDR USN, (C7F) (b) (6) @lcc19.navy.mil>;

LCDR (C7F) (b) (6) @lcc19.navy.mil>;

@fe.navy.mil Subject: Additional POTUS planning factor

COS (b) (6) and Team,

BLUF: INDOPACOM provided some information below about the JSM needing to be out of sight on Yokosuka during the visit. They are still working to determine what that actually means, but wanted to provide now for planning

purposes. Will advise upon clarification.


Very Respectfully, (b) (6) , USN NEF/EOD/MIW Officer (N382), U.S. SEVENTH Fleet b) (6) Maj USINDOPACOM J3 <(b) (6) From: (b) (6) @pacom.mil> on behalf of USINDOPACOM JOC AIR < joc.air.pacom@navy.mil> Sent: Thursday, May 16, 2019 1:20 AM NAVRESFORCOM; USINDOPACOM JOC AIR; (b) (6) Capt USAF AFELM PACOM (USA)' Cc: (b) (6) S CDR USN COMSUBPAC PEARL (US); (b) (6) CIV USN COMPACELT (USA); (b) (6) LCDR USN COMPACELT N3 (USA); (b) (6) LCDR USN C7F Subject: RE: 7th Fleet/RDML LeClair Copy on the McCain - first I heard of it as well. I'll work with WHMO to get more info on it, and if that's an official tasking I'll include it in the FRAGO. Are you able to get info to discuss ramifications if she needs to be moved? V/r, From: (b) (6) NAVRESFORCOM (b) (6) .@navy.mil> Sent: Wednesday, May 15, 2019 3:10 PM To: USINDOPACOM JOC AIR < joc.air.pacom@navy.mil>; (b) (6) Capt USAF AFELM PACOM (USA)' < (b) (6) mil@mail.mil> Cc: (b) (6) CDR USN COMSUBPAC PEARL (US) (b) (6) @navy.mil>; CIV USN COMPACELT (USA) <(b) (6) @navy.mil>; (b) (6) LCDR USN COMPACFLT N3 (USA) < (b) (6) .@navy.mil>; (b) (6) @fe.navy.mil Subject: RE: 7th Fleet/RDML LeClair + (b) (6)

In response to 1. and 3. in your email below:

- 1. We have CPF to C7F FOS RFI out now with suspense of 1800W today for full detailed risk assessment of WSP and RRN POTUS support, understanding WSP needs to be pier side morning of 24th (latest) and that RRN is the primary resource if WSP is unable.
- This is the first I have seen about the McCain being out of sight.
 Recommend this in FRAGO when you issue the WSP/RRN timeline update.

In receipt of your latest VTC invite for tomorrow afternoon. Hopefully WHMO will be on the line. Thanks,

Vr, (b) (6)



Capt (b) (6) (CDR (b) (6)

Please see below excerpt from discussions between WHMO and C7F. Need all supporting units to understand the requirement for rehearsals. Hopefully the WASP can get there early enough. Also, please let me know the latest on the deck landing requirement. There is a lot of stray voltage out there right now about what is actually required. There is no expectation for the CH-47s to land on an LHD. My understanding is the HH-60 deck landing is somehow dependent on what the HMX-1 white-tops can do on the KAGA. Let me know when this is clarified with the WHMO team in Japan. Also, please confirm #3 will be satisfied.

- 1. WASP needs to be in port NLT 24 May (22 May desired) for HMX rehearsal. If not, we need to keep the Reagan in port for the rehearsal and event.
- Need to use a landing area closer to the JS Kaga for the press heavy lift helo so they can get in place. Staff helicopter can land in the ball field but press helo needs to be closer 3. USS John McCain needs to be out of sight.

V/r,

(b) (6)

Subject:

RE: JSM

From: Sawyer, Phillip G VADM USN C7F Sent: Saturday, May 25, 2019 1:27:24 PM

To: (b) (6) (LCC19)

Cc: (b) (6) LCDR USN C7F

Subject: RE: JSM

Just got off the phone with CPF....I'll need to know who tasked who (and how) including down to JSM. I'm going to stop by there very briefly in a few minutes.

----Original Message-----

From: Sawyer, Phillip G VADM USN C7F Sent: Saturday, May 25, 2019 1:04 PM

To: (b) (6) CAPT C7F' (b) (6) @lcc19.navy.mil>
Cc: (b) (6) LCDR USN C7F < (b) (6) @fe.navy.mil>

Subject: RE: JSM

Copy. Please pass me the email trail giving the direction.

r/ Phil

----Original Message----

From: (b) (6) CAPT C7F [mailto(b) (6) @[cc19.navy.mil]

Sent: Saturday, May 25, 2019 11:12 AM

To: Sawyer, Phillip G VADM USN C7F <(b) (6) @fe.navy.mil>
Cc: (b) (6) @fe.navy.mil>

Subject: JSM

Admiral

I spoke with chain of command (70 CoS, DESRON) and JSM CO this morning.

DESRON and ship leaned forward and took some extra precautions (designating JSM as DESRON 15 flag ship, hang DESRON brow banner) at their own initiative.

JSM CO (former Sen McCain legislative fellow) spoke with RADM Loeblein when OLA heard about the WH political request that came from WHMO and through IPC planners via JTF POTUS. (Loeblein called him).

Think RADM Loeblein is trying to piece together the picture, don't sense there is pointing of fingers - this type of visit comes through COCOM channels and not OLA, so OLA is not squarely in the loop.

(b) (6) has the email traffic from WHMO principals and the email trail from INDOPACOM J3 POTUS planners giving the direction.

I explained to (b) (6) that the only requirement was not visible from WSP, and that since WSP arrived yesterday Region had verified.

(b) (d)		
Chief of Staff SEVENTH Fleet		
Embarked on USS BLUE RIDGE (LCC-19) (b) (6)		
	_	

From:

(b) (6) CAPT C7F

Sent:

Saturday, May 25, 2019 1:52 PM

To:

Sawyer, Phillip VADM (C7F)

Subject:

RE: JSM

Attachments:

FW: Additional POTUS planning factor (4.41 KB)

Signed By:

@navy.mil

WHMO email is on the high side.

UNCLAS planner email attached - this followed later from INDOPACOM J3 lead planners in JTF POTUS to LCDR (b) (6) etc. - our Rep. WHMO reached out to INDOPACOM who sent the request in planning channels and would not put it in writing.

Sequence of Events from my seat:

- * WHMO requested high side to me. I passed to Region (b) (6) for consideration. This was briefed to ADM Aquilino at the CUB by RDML Fenton -COM acknowledged the sensitivity. Task was to ensure JSM was not visible form the WSP (and name).
- * This request was later followed through by INDOPACOM planners to JTF POTUS region and component commanders. All were tracking this as a requirement per RDML Fenton's brief at CUB and the WHMO direction.
- * I informed Cos (b) (6) BPT receive this type of direction from Region and planners.
- * Intent was to survey from WSP and then act accordingly to accomplish intent as I understood it from Region and our planning team.
- * PACFLT and INDOPACOM decided not to put this in writing (for these very reasons probably) but passed via operations and planning teams to comply with WH intent.
- * Per my discussion with Duffy and CO this morning, they simply referenced hearing first about this from CTF 70 and C7F Chiefs of Staff and relayed that to OLA. No orders were given to have any brow banners or specific tactical actions taken.
- * However, from CO view, given the general 'make sure the ship and name are not visible' I can see where he and the CDRE would be judicious in their effort to comply with White House intent or even aggressive to do so.

VR CoS

CAPT (b) (6)

Chief of Staff SEVENTH Fleet

Embarked on USS BLUE RIDGE (LCC-19)



```
----Original Message----
From: (B6) Privacy @fe.navy.mil [mailto:(B6) Privacy @fe.navy.mil]
Sent: Saturday, May 25, 2019 2:27 PM
                   CAPT C7F (b) (6)
To: (b) (6)
                                             @lcc19.navy.mil>
                 LCDR (C7F) < (b) (6)
                                            @fe.navy.mil>
Cc: (b) (6)
Subject: RE: JSM
Just got off the phone with CPF....I'll need to know who tasked who (and
how) including down to JSM. I'm going to stop by there very briefly in a few
minutes.
----Original Message-----
From: Sawyer, Phillip G VADM USN C7F
Sent: Saturday, May 25, 2019 1:04 PM
                  CAPT C7F' < (b) (6)
                                               @lcc19.navy.mil>
                   LCDR USN C7F <(b) (6)
Cc: (b) (6)
                                                 @fe.navy.mil>
Subject: RE: JSM
Copy. Please pass me the email trail giving the direction.
r/
Phil
----Original Message-----
From: (b) (6)
                    CAPT C7F [mailto(b) (6)
                                                        [lcc19.navy.mil]
Sent: Saturday, May 25, 2019 11:12 AM
To: Sawyer, Phillip G VADM USN C7F (B6) Privacy @fe.navy.mil>
Cc: (b) (6) LCDR USN C7F < (b) (6)
                                                 @fe.navy.mil>
```

Subject: JSM

Admiral

I spoke with chain of command (70 CoS, DESRON) and JSM CO this morning.

DESRON and ship leaned forward and took some extra precautions (designating JSM as DESRON 15 flag ship, hang DESRON brow banner) at their own initiative.

JSM CO (former Sen McCain legislative fellow) spoke with RADM Loeblein when OLA heard about the WH political request that came from WHMO and through IPC planners via JTF POTUS. (Loeblein called him).

Think RADM Loeblein is trying to piece together the picture, don't sense there is pointing of fingers - this type of visit comes through COCOM channels and not OLA, so OLA is not squarely in the loop.

(b) (6) has the email traffic from WHMO principals and the email trail from INDOPACOM J3 POTUS planners giving the direction.

I explained to (b) (6) that the only requirement was not visible from WSP, and that since WSP arrived yesterday Region had verified.

VR

(b) (6)

Chief of Staff
SEVENTH Fleet
Embarked on USS BLUE RIDGE (LCC-19)

(b) (6)

	(b) (6) CAPT C7F
Sent:	Saturday, May 25, 2019 6:41 PM
То:	(b) (6) CAPT USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA)
Subject:	FW: JSM
(b) (6)	

Chief of Staff
SEVENTH Fleet
Embarked on USS BLUE RIDGE (LCC-19)
(b) (6)

Copy all. Thanks.

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

WHMO email is on the high side.


UNCLAS planner email attached - this followed later from INDOPACOM J3 lead planners in JTF POTUS to LCDR (b) (6) etc. - our Rep. WHMO reached out to INDOPACOM who sent the request in planning channels and would not put it in writing.

Sequence of Events from my seat:

- * WHMO requested high side to me. I passed to Region (b) (6) for consideration. This was briefed to ADM Aquilino at the CUB by RDML Fenton COM acknowledged the sensitivity. Task was to ensure JSM was not visible form the WSP (and name).
- * This request was later followed through by INDOPACOM planners to JTF POTUS region and component commanders. All were tracking this as a requirement per RDML Fenton's brief at CUB and the WHMO direction.
- * I informed Cos (b) (6) BPT receive this type of direction from Region and planners.
- * Intent was to survey from WSP and then act accordingly to accomplish intent as I understood it from Region and our planning team.
- * PACFLT and INDOPACOM decided not to put this in writing (for these very reasons probably) but passed via operations and planning teams to comply with WH intent.
- * Per my discussion with Duffy and CO this morning, they simply referenced hearing first about this from CTF 70 and C7F Chiefs of Staff and relayed that to OLA. No orders were given to have any brow banners or specific tactical actions taken.
- * However, from CO view, given the general 'make sure the ship and name are not visible' I can see where he and the CDRE would be judicious in their effort to comply with White House intent or even aggressive to do so.

VR
CoS

CAPT (b) (6)
Chief of Staff
SEVENTH Fleet
Embarked on USS BLUE RIDGE (LCC-19)
(b) (6)



Just got off the phone with CPF....I'll need to know who tasked who (and how) including down to JSM. I'm going to stop by there very briefly in a few minutes.

----Original Message---From: Sawyer, Phillip G VADM USN C7F
Sent: Saturday, May 25, 2019 1:04 PM

Subject: RE: JSM

Copy. Please pass me the email trail giving the direction.

r/ Phil

----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6) CAPT C7F [mailto (b) (6) lcc19.navy.mil]

Sent: Saturday, May 25, 2019 11:12 AM

Subject: JSM

Admiral

I spoke with chain of command (70 CoS, DESRON) and JSM CO this morning.

DESRON and ship leaned forward and took some extra precautions (designating JSM as DESRON 15 flag ship, hang DESRON brow banner) at their own initiative.

JSM CO (former Sen McCain legislative fellow) spoke with RADM Loeblein when OLA heard about the WH political request that came from WHMO and through IPC

planners via JTF POTUS. (Loeblein called him).

Think RADM Loeblein is trying to piece together the picture, don't sense there is pointing of fingers - this type of visit comes through COCOM channels and not OLA, so OLA is not squarely in the loop.

(b) (6) has the email traffic from WHMO principals and the email trail from INDOPACOM J3 POTUS planners giving the direction.

I explained to (b) (6) that the only requirement was not visible from WSP, and that since WSP arrived yesterday Region had verified.

VR Chief of Staff **SEVENTH Fleet** Embarked on USS BLUE RIDGE (LCC-19) To:

(b) (6) @fe.navy.mil

Subject:


RE: JTF-POTUS: JSM Pics

From: (b) (6) LCDR USN C7F
Sent: Sunday, May 26, 2019 12:35 PM
To: (b) (6) LCDR USN C7F
Subject: Re: JTF-POTUS: JSM Pics

BWC made it sound like VADM went down to JSM and took his own pictures. I guess (b) (6) (who was BWC) at the time was talking to the JSM OOD and heard the VADM get bonged on. They also eluded to the request going direct from Aquilino to Sawyer.

I don't know if they were messing with me, but they said everyone has the info they need right now.

Very Respectfully,



From: (b) (6) LCDR USN C7F
Sent: Sunday, May 26, 2019 2:20 AM
To: (b) (6) LCDR USN C7F
Subject: RE: JTF-POTUS: JSM Pics

Copy. Do you know who was taking the pictures? WASP?

V/r (b) (6)

From: (b) (6) LCDR USN C7F (b) (6) @fe.navy.mil<mailto (b) (6) @fe.navy.mil>>

Date: Sunday, May 26, 2019, 11:06

To: (b) (6) LCDR USN C7F <(b) (6) @fe.navy.mil<(b) (6) @fe.navy.mil>>

Subject: RE: JTF-POTUS: JSM Pics

Sorry, unencrypted note below, nothing time sensitive

Gents,

V/r

BLUF: On Wed, 15 May, (b) (5)

-				
•••	n	-	_	••
Su	v	u	L	ı.

RE: Flag Traffic - Not for Distribution - RE:DV 1 follow up

From: (b) (6) LT USN C7F Sent: Wednesday, May 29, 2019 1:30 AM To: (b) (6) CDR USN C7F Subject: Flag Traffic - Not for Distribution - RE:DV 1 follow up FLAG TRAFFIC - NOT FOR DISTRIBUTION Very respectfully, Flag Aide to the Commander U.S. SEVENTH FLEET ----Original Message----From: Aquilino, John C ADM USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA) [mailto (b) (6) @navy.mil] Sent: Saturday, May 25, 2019 2:40 PM To: Sawyer, Phillip (LCC19) < (b) (6) @lcc19.navy.mil>; Sawyer, Phillip G VADM USN C7F (B6) Privacy @fe.navy.mil> Subject: FW: DV 1 follow up SA V/R Lung ADM Chris "Lung" Aquilino Commander, US Pacific Fleet From: (b) (6) CAPT USN COMPACFLT PEARL HI (USA) < (b) (6) @navy.mil>> Date: Friday, May 24, 2019, 6:51 PM To: Aquilino, John C ADM USN COMPACFLT PEARL HI (USA) < (b) (6) @navy.mil>> Subject: FW: DV 1 follow up Boss, Pulled the string on the forensics for this.


(b) (E)
This direction was passed to WHMO (b) (6) , USAF) who in turn provided this guidance to C7F CoS, (b) (6) . The provided this direction to CNFJ as the main Naval planning effort for the JTF (USFJ). As backup, RDML Davids (D/WHMO) also passed the same direction via email to RDML LeClair (D/C7F) emphasizing the importance of making sure this happens (I have this email traffic on SIPR). Additionally, PACOM J3 JOC passed the same guidance to C7F and CC'd our guys (b) (6) . See email string below the cutline. Finally, DESRON Commander (B6)) took additional steps by hanging the DESRON brow banner and designating JSM as the command ship during the DV-1 visit and this was NOT directed by C7F.
Standing by to discuss.
V/R, (b) (6)
Original Message
From: (b) (6) LCDR USN, (C7F)
Sent: Thursday, May 16, 2019 11:33 AM
To: (b) (6) (APT USN, (C7F) < (b) (6) (Block of the control of the
(b) (6) CDR (C7F) (b) (6) @lcc19.navy.mil>; (b) (6) CDR USN, (C7F) <(b) (6) @lcc19.navy.mil>; (b) (6) CDR USN, CTF 70'
(b) (6) @ctf70.navy.mil>; (b) (6) @fe.navy.mil' (b) (6) @fe.navy.mil>
Cc: (b) (6) LCDR USN, (C7F) (b) (6) Icc19.navy.mil>;
(b) (6) (C7F) <(b) (6) @lcc19.navy.mil>; (b) (6) @fe.navy.mil
Subject: Additional POTUS planning factor
COS (b) (6) and Team,
BLUF: INDOPACOM provided some information below about the JSM needing to be out of sight on Yokosuka during the visit. They are still working to determine what that actually means, but wanted to provide now for planning purposes. Will advise upon clarification.
Very Respectfully,
LCDR (b) (6) USN
NEF/EOD/MIW Officer (N382), U.S. SEVENTH (b) (6)
From: (b) (6) Maj USINDOPACOM J3 (b) (6) @pacom.mil> on behalf of USINDOPACOM JOC AIR (B6) Privacy @navy.mil>
Sent: Thursday, May 16, 2019 1:20 AM
To: (b) (6) NAVRESFORCOM; USINDOPACOM JOC AIR; (b) (6) Capt USAF AFELM PACOM
(USA)'
Cc: (b) (6) CDR USN COMSUBPAC PEARL (US); (b) (6) CIV USN COMPACFLT (USA); (b) (6)
LCDR USN COMPACFLT N3 (USA); (b) (6) LCDR USN C7F Subject: RE: 7th Fleet/RDML LeClair
Subject. Nr. 7 th Fleety Notific Lection

(b) (6)

Copy on the McCain - first I heard of it as well. I'll work with WHMO to get more info on it, and if that's an official tasking I'll include it in the FRAGO. Are you able to get info to discuss ramifications if she needs to be moved?

V/r,

(b) (6)



In response to 1. and 3. in your email below:

- We have CPF to C7F FOS RFI out now with suspense of 1800W today for full detailed risk assessment of WSP and RRN POTUS support, understanding WSP needs to be pier side morning of 24th (latest) and that RRN is the primary resource if WSP is unable.
- 2. This is the first I have seen about the McCain being out of sight. Recommend this in FRAGO when you issue the WSP/RRN timeline update.

In receipt of your latest VTC invite for tomorrow afternoon. Hopefully WHMO will be on the line. Thanks,





Please see below excerpt from discussions between WHMO and C7F. Need all supporting units to understand the requirement for rehearsals. Hopefully the WASP can get there early enough. Also, please let me know the latest on the deck landing requirement. There is a lot of stray voltage out there right now about what is actually required. There is no expectation for the CH-47s to land on an LHD. My understanding is the HH-60 deck landing is somehow dependent on what the HMX-1 white-tops can do on the KAGA. Let me know when this is clarified with the WHMO team in Japan. Also, please confirm #3 will be satisfied.

- 1. WASP needs to be in port NLT 24 May (22 May desired) for HMX rehearsal. If not, we need to keep the Reagan in port for the rehearsal and event.
- 2. Need to use a landing area closer to the JS Kaga for the press heavy lift helo so they can get in place. Staff helicopter can land in the ball field but press helo needs to be closer 3. USS John McCain needs to be out of sight.

V/r,

(b) (6)

From: To:

(a) (5) <u>CAPT (C7F)</u> (b) <u>LCDR USN, C7F</u>

Cc:

(5) @fe.navv.smil.mil"; (5) (6)

Subject: Date:

Thursday, May 16, 2019 12:42:20 AM


Got the same from WHMO today - I passed to CAPT. It means the JSM name cannot be visible from the WSP and DV 1 locations. If it is visible in the dock from there (Can't remember the visuals from that location) then we will have to get SRF to cover it.

@fe.navy.smil.mil

CoS

CAPT (7) (6)
Chief of Staff
SEVENTH Fleet

Embarked on USS BLUE RIDGE (LCC-19)



----Original Message----

From: LCDR USN, C7F Sent: Thursday, May 16, 2019 10:25 AM

To: CAPT (C7F) (@lcc19:navy.smil.mil>

Cc: @fe.navy.smil.mil' < @fe.navy.smil.mil>; @fe.navy.smil.mil>;

Classification (8)

(D)(G)

(b) (1) (A




From: CAPT (C7F)
Sent: Thursday, May 16, 2019 8:32 AM
To: LCDR USN, C7F
Cc: @fe.navy.smil.mil' @lcc19.navy.smil.mil> @fe.navy.smil.mil>; (2) (2) @fe.navy.smil.mil Subject: (5) (4)





Classification:


LCDR USN, C7F From: G CAPT USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA) To: CIV USN (USA) Cc: Subject: Friday, June 14, 2019 3:37:00 PM Date: CAPT (b) (6) Forwarding the final potentially responsive document, as referenced in my last email, to ensure you are able to access. V/r, ----Original Message-----From: (5) (6) CDR USN, C7F Sent: Sunday, June 09, 2019 10:43 PM @lcc19.navy.smil mil> LCDR USN, C7F Subject: (1) (1) (A) Email forwarded below germane to the FOIA request. Let me know if in receipt - SIPR exchange issues persist. VR/ ----Original Message----LCDR USN, C7F From: (b) (b) Sent: Monday, May 20, 2019 7:15 AM @lcc19.navy.smil mil> CAPT (C7F) < (C7F) ≤ (C7F) To: (2) (6) CAPT USN, C7F <(b) (6) @lcc19 navy.smil.mil>; Cc: (5) (6) @lcc19.navy.smil mil>; CDR (C7F) < (6) (b) (c) CDR (C7F) (c) @lcc19 LCDR (C7F) (d) (d) @lcc19 navy.smil.mil>; (d) @lcc19 navy.smil.mil>; (0) USN, C7F @lcc19 navy.smil.mil> Subject: (2) Classification: (b) (1) (A)









Classification (D) (A) (A)





```
----Original Message----
From: (1), (6) LCDR USN, C7F
Sent: Friday, May 17, 2019 10:55 AM
To: CAPT (C7F)
Cc: CAPT USN, C
               CAPT (C7F) < (1) (6)
CAPT USN, C7F < (1) (6)
                                            lcc19.navy.smil mil>
                                                 lcc19 navy.smil.mil>; (2) (3)
Subject (D) (1) (A)
Classification:
```



(b) (4) (A) (b) (c)


To: CAPT USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA) Aguilino, John C ADM USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA); (b) (6) CDR USN COMPACELT PEARL HI Cc: (USA) Subject: RE: (b) (1) (A) Date: Saturday, May 25, 2019 12:13:39 PM Thanks RADM Steve "Web! Koehler Director for Operations J-3 US Pacific Command ----Original Message----From: (D) (O) CAPT USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA) To: Koehler, Stephen T RADM USN PACOM PCJ3 (USA) <≰♥ (♥) @pacom.smil.mil> Cc: Aquilino, John C ADM USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA) (D) (G) @navy.smil.mil>; (D) (G) CDR USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA) < (7) (6) @navy.smil mil> Subject: FW: (D) (A) Classification: Classification: (** (**) (**) Thanks

Koehler, Stephen T RADM USN PACOM PCJ3 (USA)

From:

Sent: Wednesday, May 15, 2019 8:34 PM

To: O (CAPT (C7F) < (CAPT (C7F) @lcc19.navy.smil mil> Cc: Newson, Robert A. (CAPT (C7F) @whmo.eop.sgov.gov>; (CAPT (C7F) (C7F)



Classification:

From:


To:


CAPT (C7F)
CAPT USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA)


Subject: Date:


Friday, May 24, 2019 2:52:00 PM RE (1) (A)


Attachments:












```
From: whino.eop.sgov.gov |


Sent: Wednesday, May 15, 2019 12:36 PM


To: CAPT (C7F) | lcc19.navy.smil mil>
Cc: whino.eop.sgov.gov>; whino.eop.sgov.gov>; whino.eop.sgov.gov>; whino.eop.sgov.gov>; CAPT (C7F-COS) (ONE-NET)

fe navy.smil.mil>


Classification:
```









```
----Original Message----
From: 6 16
                                    whmo.eop.sgov.gov
Sent: Monday, May 13, 2019 12:07 PM
To: (1)
                    CAPT (C7F)
                                               lcc19.navy.smil mil>
Cc: (b) (b)
                          whmo.eop.sgov.gov>; (5)
                                                                         winno.eop.sgov.gov>:
(8) (8)
                                                                       whmo.eop.sgov.gov>;
Doss, Clayton CDR (C7F) (2) (2) (a) (c19 navy.smil mil>; (b) (c2)
                                                                   CAPT (C7F-COS) (ONE-NET)
<br/>fe navy.smil.mil>
Subject: RE: (1) ((A)
Classification:
(b) (1) (A) ME
----Original Message----
From: (5) (6)
                      CAPT (C7F) [mailto [10] | lcc19 navy.smil.mil]
Sent: Friday. April 26, 2019 5:23 PM
To: (2) (5) whmo.eop.sgov.gov>; (4)
                                                              CAPT (C7F-COS) (ONE-NET)
(8(b) (c)
               fe navy.smil.mil>
Cc: (1) (1)
                          whmo.eop.sgov.gov>; (6) (6);
whmo.eop.sgov:gov>; (6) (6)
                                                                         whmo.eop.sgov.gov>;
                                                                       whmo.eop.sgov.gov>;
Doss, Clayton CDR (C7F) < @lec19 navy.smil mil>
Subject: RE (6) (A)
```




```
lcc19.navy.smil mil>; CAPT (C7F-COS) (ONE-NET)
 (b) /67
                fe navy.smil.mil>
Cc: (0) (6
                              whinto.eop.sgov.gov>;
                                                                            wlmo.eop.sgov.gov>:
B) (6) ·
                           wlmio.eop.sgov.gov>: (○, (○)
                                                                         whmo.eop.sgov.gov>
Subject: RE:
Sir.
   (1)(4)
----Original Message-----
From:
                                     whmo.eop.sgov.gov
Sent: Thursday, April 25, 2019 12:25 PM
                                    @lec19 navy.smil mil>; (6) (6) CAPT (C7F)
               CDR (C7F) < 0 (0)
116) (0)
                lcc19.navy.smil mil>; (a) (b) CAPT (C7F-COS) (ONE-NET)
4b) (6)
                fe navy.smil.mil>
Ce: Oz 6
                              wluno.eop.sgov.gov>:
                                                                            whmo.eop.sgov.gov>;
                           wlimo.eop.sgov.gov>;
                                                                         whmo.eop.sgov.gov>
Subject: RE: (D) (4) (A)
Classification:
b) (1) (A)
----Original Message----
From: (a) (b) CDR (C7F) [mailto (b) (c)
                                         @lec19 navy.smil.mil
Sent: Wednesday, April 24, 2019 9:17 PM
To:
                    CAPT (C7F) < (0) (0).
                                                lcc19.navy.smil mil>; 🕒 👶
(b) (6)
           whmo.eop.sgov.gov>; (5) (8)
                                             CAPT (C7F-COS) (ONE-NET)
<($)+($)
               fe navy.smil.mil>
Cc:
                              whmo.eop.sgov.gov>; 🕔 🔇
                                                                            whmo.eop.sgov.gov>;
(0) (6)
                           wimo.eop.sgov.gov>:
                                                                         whmo.eop.sgov.gov>
Subject: RE (17)
CoS,
Got it, sir -- we're on it.
V/R.
----Original Message--
                       CAPT (C7F)
Sent: Wednesday, April 24, 2019 9:37 PM
To: Diff
                                                                CAPT (C7F-COS) (ONE-NET)
                              whmo.eop.sgov,gov>;
                fe navy.smil.mil>; Doss, Clayton CDR (C7F) <
                                                                 @lcc19.navy.smil mil>
                              whmo.eop.sgov.gov>;
                                                                            whmo.eop.sgov.gov>;
```







----Original Message-----From: (3) (3) CAPT (C7F) [mailto | lec19 navv.smil.mil] Sent: Tuesday, April 23, 2019 9:26 PM whnio.eop.sgov.gov>: whmo,eop.sgov,gov>; (5) (6) wlmo.eop.sgov.gov> Subject; RE (0) (4) 的行行的新 b) (1) (A)





(b) (1) (A) (C)


COS) (ONE-NET) < Ce: (0) (6) whmo.eop.sgov.gov>; (2) (3) whmo.eop.sgov.gov>; (2) whmo.eop.sgov.gov>; whmo.eop.sgov.gov> Subject: RE: (0) (1) (A) Classification: (b) (1) (A) : (6) (6) (C) (D) (1) (A) (1) (1) (A)














Rear Admiral Ted LeClair, USN Deputy Commander, U.S. 7th Fleet

Location → CONUS








Special Assistant to the President Deputy Director, White House Military Office

```
----Original Message----
From: Newson, Robert A.
Sent: Monday. April 15, 2019 2:05 PM
To: Davids, Keith B. Whino.eop.sgov.gov>: 'Leclair, Ted P RDML USN, C7F'
(@lcc19 navy.sinil.mil>
Cc: whino.eop.sgov.gov>
```

```
Subject: RE: (a) (f) (A)
Classification: (D) (T) (A)
   31) (A)
 Rob
----Original Message----
From: Davids, Keith B.
Sent: Friday, April 12, 2019 6:47 PM
To: 'Leclair, Ted P RDML USN, C7F'
                                             @lee19.navy.smil mil>
Cc: (3) (6)
                              whmo.eop.sgov.gov>;
                                                                 whmo.eop.sgov.gov>
Subject: RE
Classification: (3)
Keith
Keith Davids
Deputy Assistant to the President
Director, White House Military Office
----Original Message----
From: Leclair, Ted P RDML USN, C7F [mailto] (6)
                                                   @lcc19.navv.smil mil]
Sent: Friday, April 12, 2019 1:15 AM
To: Davids, Keith B. (6) whmo.eop.sgov.gov>
Cc: (2) (5)
                    CAPT (C7F) lcc19.navy.smil mil>
Subject: (2)
Classification:
Keith:
```



CPF CDO (CDO). Dalton, Marc H RADN USN COMPACELT PEARL HT (USA); Mager, William Grant (Grant) RDML USN CHIEF NAVY To: RESERVE (USA) CTR USN COMPACE T PEARL HI (USA); CDR USN COMSUBPAC PEARL (USA); COR USN COMPACELT Cc: PEARL FIT (USA); CDR USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA): CDR USN (USA); (C) CUR USN COMPACELT PEARL HE (USA);

I COR USIN COMPACELT PEARL HE (USA);

COPRESSED COMPACELT PEARL HE (USA);

COPRESSED COMPACELT PEARL HE (USA);

COPRESSED COMPACELT PEARL HE (USA);


COMPACELT N3 (USA);


COMPACELT N4 (USA);


COMPACELT N5 (USA HL(USA); (C) (E) CIV USN COMPACTET PEARL HI (USA); (S) PUT USN (USIF CIV-USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (US);
CTR USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA);
CAPT USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA); COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA); CIV USN COMPACELT PEARL HITUSAIE LCDR N001, N011; COLUSNIC PACOMI LCDR N001, N011; COLUSNIC PACOMI REL HI (USA); COMPACELT PEARL CDR USN COMPACELT N3 (USA) CDR USN COMPACELT N3 (USA); LCDR N001, (USA); LCDR USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA); CIV USN COMPACELT (USA);
IT (USA);
CIV USN COMSUBPAC (USA);
CAPT USN (USA);
CAPT USN (USA);
COR USN COMPACELT PEARL HITUSAI;
CAPT USN COMPACELT (USA);
C HI (USA): CAPT USN COMPACELT PEARL HI TUSALE CIV USN COMPACELT PEARL HI CDR USN COMPACEL T PEARL HI (USA); CAPT USN FLEWEACEN SAN CATUSA); LUV USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA); WAVEAU, PALL LOSA);
CIV USN COMPACEL TUSA);
CIV USN COMPACEL TUSA);
CIV USN COMPACEL TUSA);
CIV USN COMPACEL TUSA);
CIV USN COMPACEL PEARL HI (USA);
CIV USN COMPACEL (USA);
CIV USN COMPACEL (USA); NAVFAC PAC. EXWC: CDR USN COMPACE T PEARL HI (USA) CUR USN CIV USN CIV USN COMPACELT (USA); COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA) CAPT USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA); Subject: Monday, May 20, 2019 9:20:50 PM Date: Importance: High Classification: (1744)/ b){(1){(A), (b){(15{(B)}) 46)(b) ---Original Message--(b) (1) (A) (b) (1) (B) (b) (f):(A) (b) (1) (A) (iii)

From:









(b) {1) (A) (5) (6) (5) (6) (0)(1)(4)= (b); (1);(A);(b);(1);(B)

