b) (6)

From:	Aquilino, John C ADM USN COMPACFLT PEARL HI (USA)		
Sent:	Wednesday, May 29, 2019 8:17 PM		
To:	Davidson, Philip S ADM USN PACOM (USA); Richardson, John M ADM USN CNO (USA)		
Cc:	Moran, William F ADM USN VCNO (US); Brown, Charles W RDML USN (USA); (b) (6)		
	; (b) (6) ; VADM Phil Sawyer		
	(b) (6) ; (b) (6)		
Subject:	FW: WSJ: White House Wanted USS John McCain 'Out of Sight' During Trump Japan		
	Visit		
Signed By:	(b) (6)		

ADM Davison,

Below is the WSJ article expected to run tomorrow.

Standing by to discuss

V/R Lung

ADM Chris "Lung" Aquilino Commander, US Pacific Fleet

White House Wanted USS John McCain 'Out of Sight' During Trump Japan Visit

U.S. military officials worked to ensure President Trump would not see the warship that bears the name of the late senator, a frequent target of the president's ire

By Rebecca Ballhaus and Gordon Lubold May 29, 2019 7:44 p.m. ET https://www.wsj.com/articles/white-house-wanted-uss-john-mccain-out-of-sight -during-trump-japan-visit-11559173470?mod=hp_lista_pos2

The White House wanted the U.S. Navy to move "out of sight" a warship named for the late Sen. John McCain, a war hero who became a frequent target of President Trump's ire, ahead of the president's visit to Japan last week, according to an email reviewed by The Wall Street Journal.

In a May 15 email to U.S. Navy and Air Force officials, a U.S. Indo-Pacific Command official outlined plans for the president's arrival that he said had resulted from conversations between the White House Military Office and the Seventh Fleet of the U.S. Navy. In addition to instructions for the proper landing areas for helicopters and preparation for the USS Wasp—where the president was scheduled to speak—the official issued a third directive: "USS John McCain needs to be out of sight."

"Please confirm #3 will be satisfied," the official wrote.

When a Navy commander expressed surprise about the directive for the USS John McCain, the U.S. Indo-Pacific Command official replied: "First I heard of it as well." He said he would work with the White House Military Office to obtain more information about the order.

Acting Defense Secretary Pat Shanahan was aware of the concern about the presence of the USS John McCain in Japan and approved measures to ensure it didn't interfere with the president's visit, a U.S. official said.

There were discussions within the U.S. military over the past week about how to handle the warship, another U.S. official said. The ship is being repaired after a 2017 collision, and any ship undergoing such repair or maintenance would be difficult to move, officials said. A tarp was hung over the ship's name ahead of the president's trip, according to photos reviewed by the Journal, and sailors were directed to remove any coverings from the ship that bore its name. After the tarp was taken down, a barge was moved closer to the ship, obscuring its name. Sailors on the ship, who typically wear caps bearing its name, were given the day off during Mr. Trump's visit, people familiar with the matter said.

Mr. Trump arrived in Japan on Saturday and on Tuesday—though still Monday in the U.S.—delivered Memorial Day remarks to troops aboard the USS Wasp, which is stationed along with the USS John McCain at Yokosuka Naval Base, south of Tokyo. Speaking to around 800 military men and women—some of whom wore "Make Aircrew Great Again" patches with a likeness of the president on their jumpsuits—Mr. Trump said he was joined by sailors from six other ships. He made no mention of the USS John McCain.

Before visiting the USS Wasp, Mr. Trump visited the helicopter carrier JS Kaga, from which the USS John McCain would have been visible.

The White House declined to answer questions about the reason for the directive or where it originated. The White House Military Office provides support for presidential travel, among other matters.

Mr. Trump has repeatedly attacked Mr. McCain, both before and since the Arizonan died in August from a brain tumor at 81 years old. In the first years of the Trump administration, Mr. McCain was one of the few Republican senators willing to publicly challenge the president, including casting a critical vote in 2017 that blocked the GOP effort to repeal the Affordable Care Act. That vote—which Mr. McCain signaled with a thumbs-down gesture—was a source of immense aggravation for the president, who has often mimicked the hand motion and who called the vote "disgraceful" in April.

In March, Mr. Trump complained that he hadn't been thanked for giving Mr. McCain "the kind of funeral that he wanted." Speaking to workers at an Ohio factory, he said, "I didn't get a thank you. That's OK." He also belittled Mr. McCain's academic performance in college and criticized the late senator for turning over a dossier of unverified allegations about his connections to Russia to the Federal Bureau of Investigation. During the 2016 campaign, Mr. Trump disparaged Mr. McCain's military service in Vietnam, saying he was "not a war hero" and that "I like people who weren't captured."

Mr. McCain was a prisoner of war for 5½ years in Vietnam, where he endured beatings and solitary confinement, while refusing to accept Vietnamese offers of early release on the grounds that it would undermine the morale of others who lacked Mr. McCain's connections. Mr. McCain was the son and grandson of admirals, and his father had been promoted to the position of commander of all U.S. forces in the Pacific theater.

In July 2018, a month before Mr. McCain's death, Secretary of the Navy Richard Spencer formally added Mr. McCain as a namesake of the USS John McCain, which had been named for his father and grandfather after it launched in 1994. Mr. McCain said at the time that he was "deeply honored."

The USS John McCain collided with a merchant vessel in August 2017, killing 10 sailors and tearing a hole in the left rear side of the destroyer. Mr. Trump, asked about the collision at the time, told reporters: "That's too bad." He later tweeted that his thoughts and prayers were with the sailors aboard the ship.

(b) (6)

From:	Brown, Charles W RDML USN (USA)
Sent:	Thursday, May 30, 2019 6:23 PM
То:	Spencer, Richard V HON SECNAV; Richardson, John M ADM USN CNO (USA)
Cc:	Modly, Thomas HON Under Secretary of the Navy; Moran, William F ADM USN VCNO
	(US); <mark>(b) (6)</mark>
Subject:	PA END OF DAY 30 MAY 2019
Attachments:	CNN_30 MAY 19_White House, Navy exchanged emails about keeping USS McCain out
	of Trump's sight.docx; DEFENSE NEWS_30 MAY 19_Integrating Newest Fleet, Adapting
	to the Challenges in the North Atlantic and Arctic.docx
Signed By:	(b) (6)

Mr. Secretary and CNO,

WASHINGTON POST -- CNO, tracking your interview TODAY with Washington Post (Lamothe) on the review of current personnel processes, policies, and practices. Anticipate article TONIGHT.

CNE-A PODCAST -- Adm. Foggo (CNE-A) posted podcast episode 9, "On the Horizon: Navigating the European and African Theaters" YESTERDAY. The podcast discusses dual carrier ops in the Mediterranean Sea with Ambassador Huntsman, working with allies and partners, challenges that the Navy is facing in the Arctic with Russia growing its military footprint and China's desire to traverse northern routes. Adm. Foggo also authored an op-ed on C2F and BALTOPS to post TODAY on Defense News.

+ Article attached, link here:

https://www.defensenews.com/opinion/2019/05/30/integrating-americas-newest-f leet-to-adapt-to-the-challenges-in-the-north-atlantic-and-arctic/+Link to podcast:

https://www.spreaker.com/user/usnavalforceseuropeafrica/podcast-e9-final-aud io

MCCAIN/MEDIA QUERIES -- Received multiple media queries TODAY regarding Navy actions regarding USS John S. McCain (DDG 56) during POTUS visit to Yokosuka. Coordinated with INDO-PACOM, PACFLT, and C7F on response that the name of USS John S. McCain was not obscured during visit. Link to article (attached):

https://www.cnn.com/2019/05/30/politics/uss-john-mccain-japan-white-house-na vy-trump/index.html

V/r, Charlie

RDML Charlie Brown Chief of Information Navy Office of Information Navy Pentagon- (b) (6)

b) (6)

From: Sent: To: Subject: RDML Charlie Brown, APR+M <(b) (6) Friday, May 31, 2019 6:17 AM Richardson, John M ADM USN CNO (USA) [Non-DoD Source] CHINFO's Above the Fold

View this email in your browser

ABOVE THE FOLD

Friday, May 31, 2019

USS John S. McCain remains center stage

Anyone who did not want attention on USS John S. McCain during the President's visit is now getting the <u>exact opposite of that</u>. JSM and reports of direction from the White House to keep her out of sight drove intense news interest yesterday, and there are still questions about exactly what happened.

<u>The President himself weighed in</u>, calling the WH official who gave the direction "well-meaning." The Acting SECDEF said he was not aware of the direction and <u>initiated an investigation</u> into what happened. And <u>legislators began asking</u> <u>questions</u> about the incident, which <u>promises to be a topic</u> during upcoming confirmation hearings for SECDEF.

Eyes on Shangri-La

As the Shangri-La Dialogue kicks off today in Singapore, the focus will be on the friction between China and the US, especially at sea. <u>This post from CNN</u> <u>previews</u> some of the trickier challenges that are on the table.

Meanwhile, <u>China launched a rhetorical warning shot</u> about the U.S. support for Taiwan, and a PLA-connected pundit <u>wrote in the Financial Times</u> that both countries navies had an important role in decreasing tensions.

Expect more today from Asia on the friction and security environment in the Indo Pacific.

Judge releases Gallagher prior to trial

Accused SEAL Eddie Gallagher, who had been in custody awaiting the start of his court martial for murder next month, was released by the judge. <u>LA Times</u> reports, "The unexpected move drew gasps from those at a hearing in a San Diego courtroom in which lawyers for Special Operations Chief Edward Gallagher <u>sought to get the case dismissed</u> for prosecutorial misconduct."

The defense team withdrew their motion to have the judge removed after the judge said he had not given prosecutors the green light to use an email tracking tool.

The court martial is scheduled to begin June 10.

Navy core values

Navy Times <u>reported on CNO's announcement</u> that the Navy will be reforming the PRT, adding rowing and planking and ditching the sit-up. This follows a similar move by the USMC to the plank as a better assessment of core (not corps) strength.

More tracks on the scope

- Dunford: Leaders mull first NATO strategy in decades (<u>Breaking</u> <u>Defense</u>).
- Marines, sailors and Coasties play catch-up in Arctic warfare (<u>Military</u> <u>Times</u>).

- Integrating America's newest fleet to adapt to the challenges in the North Atlantic and Arctic (<u>Defense News</u>).
- Inhofe: Any Ford delay would present 'a dangerous readiness gap' (Breaking Defense)

Keeping a weather eye

- Shangri-La Dialogue kicks off in Singapore. SECDEF to speak, SECNAV attending.
- SECSTATE Pompeo heads to Europe for meetings in Berlin, Switzerland, The Hague and London.



What is Above the Fold?

ATF is a quick look at daily news and notes for Navy leadership, with some context from CHINFO. I've limited the list of recipients to a small circle of leaders and communicators who are looking for more than the standard CHINFO clips, in a more direct and personal format.

Above the Fold is a product direct from the desk of: RDML Charlie Brown, APR+M Navy Chief of Information

Why am I getting Above the Fold?

RDML Brown sent this to you to supplement your routine clips reading. Maybe that was too forward. You can <u>unsubscribe from this list</u> or change how you receive Above the Fold updates when you <u>update your</u> <u>preferences</u>.

This email was sent to (b) (6)


why did I get this? unsubscribe from this list update subscription preferences

CHINFO · Navy Office of Information · 1200 Navy Pentagon Room (b) · Washington, DC 20350-1200 · USA



From:	(B6) Privacy PACOM USFJ J021 (USA)
To:	Schneider, Kevin B Lt Gen USAF PACOM USFJ J00 (USA)
Subject:	RE: The Wall Street Journal: White House Wanted USS John McCain 'Out of Sight' During Trump Japan Visit
Date:	Thursday, May 30, 2019 11:51:00 AM

Sir – as a follow-up. I've been in touch with CNFJ. C7F is getting queries from media asking to confirm the WSJ report. They are staffing a response now and I will keep you posted on what they go back with.



From: Schneider, Kevin B Lt Gen USAF PACOM USFJ J00 (USA) (b) (6) Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2019 11:26 AM To: (B6) Privacy PACOM USFJ J021 (USA) (b) (6) **Subject:** The Wall Street Journal: White House Wanted USS John McCain 'Out of Sight' During Trump Japan Visit

(B6)

Do you have any SA on the alleged e-mail mentioned below? Or on the name of the person at INDOPACOM who made the statement?

Vr Gumby

White House Wanted USS John McCain 'Out of Sight' During Trump Japan Visit The Wall Street Journal

The White House wanted the Navy to move a warship named for the late Sen. John McCain a frequent target of President Trump's ire—and his father and grandfather ahead of the president's visit to Japan last week. <u>Read the full story</u>

Shared from <u>Apple News</u>

KEVIN B. SCHNEIDER, Lt Gen, USAF Commander, U.S. Forces Japan and 5th Air Force

From: To:	(b) (6) <u>Mai USINDOPACOM J3</u> on behalf of <u>USINDOPACOM JOC AIR</u> (b) (6) <u>NAVRESFORCOM; USINDOPACOM JOC AIR;</u> (b) (6) <u>Capt USAF AFELM</u> <u>PACOM (USA)</u>
Cc:	(b) (c) <u>CDR USN COMSUBPAC PEARL (US);</u> (b) (c) <u>CIV USN COMPACFLT (USA);</u> (b) (c) <u>CDR USN COMPACFLT N3 (USA);</u> (b) (c) <u>Ofenavy.mi</u>
Subject:	RE: 7th Fleet/RDML LeClair
Date:	Wednesday, May 15, 2019 3:20:58 PM

CDR (b) (6)

Copy on the McCain – first I heard of it as well. I'll work with WHMO to get more info on it, and if that's an official tasking I'll include it in the FRAGO. Are you able to get info to discuss ramifications if she needs to be moved?

V/r,

(b) (6)

From: <mark>(b) (6)</mark>	NAVRESFORCOM <(b) (6)	@navy.mil>
Sent: Wednesday, May 15	5, 2019 3:10 PM	_
To: USINDOPACOM JOC A	AIR (B6) Privacy >; (b) (6)	Capt USAF AFELM
PACOM (USA)' < <mark>(b) (6)</mark>	mil@mail.mil>	
Cc: (b) (6) CDR L	JSN COMSUBPAC PEARL (US) (b) (6)	@navy.mil>; (b) (6)
CIV USN COMPACELT (US)	A) < <mark>(b) (6)</mark> @navy.mil>; <mark>(b) (6)</mark>	LCDR USN COMPACFLT N3
(USA) < <mark>(b) (6</mark>)	@navy.mil>; (b) (6) @fe.navy.mil	
Subject: RE: 7th Fleet/RDI	ML LeClair	

+ (b) (6)		

In response to 1. and 3. in your email below:

- We have CPF to C7F FOS RFI out now with suspense of 1800W today for full detailed risk assessment of WSP and RRN POTUS support, understanding WSP needs to be pier side morning of 24th (latest) and that RRN is the primary resource if WSP is unable.
- 2. This is the first I have seen about the McCain being out of sight. Recommend this in FRAGO when you issue the WSP/RRN timeline update.

In receipt of your latest VTC invite for tomorrow afternoon. Hopefully WHMO will be on the line. Thanks,



Maj USINDOPACOM J3 < (b) (6)

Sent: Wednesday, May 15, 2019 11:55 AM

To: <mark>(b) (6)</mark>	NAVRESFORCOM (b) (6)	@navy.mil>; (b) (6)	
Capt USAF AFELM PAC	OM (USA)' < <mark>(b) (6)</mark>	mil@mail.mil>	
Subject: FW: 7th Fleet/RDML LeClair			

Capt (b) (6) /CDR (b) (6)

Please see below excerpt from discussions between WHMO and C7F. Need all supporting units to understand the requirement for rehearsals. Hopefully the WASP can get there early enough. Also, please let me know the latest on the deck landing requirement. There is a lot of stray voltage out there right now about what is actually required. There is no expectation for the CH-47s to land on an LHD. My understanding is the HH-60 deck landing is somehow dependent on what the HMX-1 white-tops can do on the KAGA. Let me know when this is clarified with the WHMO team in Japan. Also, please confirm #3 will be satisfied.

1. WASP needs to be in port NLT 24 May (22 May desired) for HMX rehearsal. If not, we need to keep the Reagan in port for the rehearsal and event.

Need to use a landing area closer to the JS Kaga for the press heavy lift helo so they can get in place. Staff helicopter can land in the ball field but press helo needs to be closer
 USS John McCain needs to be out of sight.

V/r,

(b) (6

3. USS John McCain needs to be out of sight.

From: <mark>(b) (6)</mark>	Maj USINDOPACOM J3 < <mark>(b) (6</mark>	@pacom.mil> On Behalf Of
USINDOPACOM JOC AIR		
Sent: Wednesday, May 15	5, 2019 11:55 AM	
To: (b) (6) N	AVRESFORCOM < <mark>(b) (6)</mark>	@navy.mil>; (b) (6)
Capt USAF AFELM PACON	1 (USA)' < <mark>(b) (6)</mark>	mil@mail.mil>
Subject: FW: 7th Fleet/RD)ML LeClair	•

Capt (b) (6) /CDR (b) (6)

Please see below excerpt from discussions between WHMO and C7F. Need all supporting units to understand the requirement for rehearsals. Hopefully the WASP can get there early enough. Also, please let me know the latest on the deck landing requirement. There is a lot of stray voltage out there right now about what is actually required. There is no expectation for the CH-47s to land on an LHD. My understanding is the HH-60 deck landing is somehow dependent on what the HMX-1 white-tops can do on the KAGA. Let me know when this is clarified with the WHMO team in Japan. Also, please confirm #3 will be satisfied.

1. WASP needs to be in port NLT 24 May (22 May desired) for HMX rehearsal. If not, we need to keep the Reagan in port for the rehearsal and event.

Need to use a landing area closer to the JS Kaga for the press heavy lift helo so they can get in place. Staff helicopter can land in the ball field but press helo needs to be closer
 USS John McCain needs to be out of sight.

V/r,

(b) (6

From:	(b) (6) CIV USN COMPACFLT (USA)
To:	(b) (6) <u>CAPT USN (USA)</u>
Cc:	(b) (6) <u>Lt Col USINDOPACOM J3;</u> (b) (6) <u>CDR USN (USA)</u>
Subject:	RE: 7th Fleet/RDML LeClair
Date:	Wednesday, May 15, 2019 4:01:00 PM
•	

He is.



Original Me	ssage	
From: (b) (6)	CAPT USN (USA) <(b) (6)	@pacom.mil>
Sent: Wednesday	y, May 15, 2019 3:33 PM	-
To: (b) (6)	CIV USN COMPACFLT (USA) <(b) (6)	
	Lt Col USINDOPACOM J3 <(b) (6)	<pre>@pacom mil>;</pre>
(b) (6)	CDR USN (USA) <(b) (6) @pacom	mil>
Subject: FW: 7th	n Fleet/RDML LeClair	

Importance: High



It's legit, is RDML Leclair the reserve deputy?

Vr	(b) ((6)
----	-------	-----

Original Message			
From: (b) (6)	Maj USINDOPACOM J3 <	o) (6)	@pacom mil> On
Behalf Of USINDOPA	COM JOC AIR		
Sent: Wednesday, May	15, 2019 3:31 PM		
To: (b) (6)	CAPT USN (USA) <(b) (6)	@pacon	n mil>
Subject: FW: 7th Fleet/	RDML LeClair		

From: (b) (6)	Col USAF WHMO/OPS < (b) (6)	@whmo.mil>			
Sent: Wednesday, May	15, 2019 10:50 AM				
To: (b) (6)	Maj USINDOPACOM J3 < (b) (6)	@pacom.mil>			
Subject: FW: 7th Fleet/RDML LeClair					

(b) (6)

This message went to JS and to 7th fleet this afternoon. Who should I be working this through at INDOPACOM?

Thanks,

From: (b) (6) Col USAF WHMO/OPS <(b) (6) @whmo mil> Sent: Wednesday, May 15, 2019 4:44 PM

RDML Davids,

Sir, I send a message to the 7th Fleet Chief of Staff, CAPT (b) (6) on SNET (that's how we've been communicating).

I passed along:

1. WASP needs to be in port NLT 24 May (22 May desired) for HMX rehearsal. If not, we need to keep the Reagan in port for the rehearsal and event.

2. Need to use a landing area closer to the JS Kaga for the press heavy lift helo so they can get in place. Staff helicopter can land in the ball field but press helo needs to be closer

3. USS John McCain needs to be out of sight.

As we discussed, if you want to pass the same info to the 7th Fleet CO,RDML Leclair, his info is (he has a lot of email addresses):

NIPR: (b) (6) (b) (6) @navy mil	@lcc19 navy mil,	, <mark>(b) (6)</mark> (@fe navy mil or	
SNET: (b) (6)				

Let me know if you have any questions or concerns.

v/r

(b) (6



, Col, USAF

Director, Operations

White House Military Office

O: (b) (6)		l	
(b) (6)	@whmo.mil < <u>mailto</u>	<mark>)</mark> (b) (6)	@whmo mil>

From:	(b) (6) <u>CIV COMPACFLT, N310</u>
То:	(b) (6) <u>CIV USN COMPACELT (USA)</u> ; (b) (6) <u>CAPT USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA)</u> ; (b) (6)
	CDR USN COMSUBPAC PEARL (US)
Subject:	RE: 7th Fleet/RDML LeClair
Date:	Thursday, May 16, 2019 7:18:27 AM

This just makes me sad ...

From: (b) (6)	CIV USN COM	IPACFLT (USA) ⊲ <mark>(b) (6)</mark> @	navy.mil>
Sent: Wednesda	a <mark>y, M</mark> ay 15, 2019 3:	58 PM	
To: <mark>(b) (6)</mark>	CAPT USN COMPA	CFLT PEARL HI (USA) <mark>(b) (6)</mark>	@navy.mil>; (b) (6)
CIV COMPACELT	, N310 < <mark>(b) (6)</mark>	@navy.mil>; (b) (6)	CDR USN COMSUBPAC PEARL
(US) < <mark>(b) (6)</mark>	@navy.mil>		
Subject: FW: 7t	h Fleet/RDML LeCla	ir	

Just talked to (b) (6) on bullet number 3. He is checking on the validity.

3. USS John McCain needs to be out of sight.

I could see that becoming a Tweet...

From: (b) (6)	Maj USINDOPACOM J3 < (b) (b)	@pacom.mil> On Behalf Of			
USINDOPACOM JOC AIR					
Sent: Wednesday, May	15, 2019 11:55 AM				
To: (b) (6)	NAVRESFORCOM < <mark>(b) (6)</mark>	@navy.mil>; (b) (6)			
Capt USAF AFELM PACOM (USA)' < (b) (6)					
Subject: FW: 7th Fleet/F	RDML LeClair				
Subject: FW: 7th Fleet/F	RDML LeClair				

Capt (b) (6) /CDR (b) (6)

Please see below excerpt from discussions between WHMO and C7F. Need all supporting units to understand the requirement for rehearsals. Hopefully the WASP can get there early enough. Also, please let me know the latest on the deck landing requirement. There is a lot of stray voltage out there right now about what is actually required. There is no expectation for the CH-47s to land on an LHD. My understanding is the HH-60 deck landing is somehow dependent on what the HMX-1 white-tops can do on the KAGA. Let me know when this is clarified with the WHMO team in Japan. Also, please confirm #3 will be satisfied.

^{1.} WASP needs to be in port NLT 24 May (22 May desired) for HMX rehearsal. If not, we need to keep the Reagan in port for the rehearsal and event.

^{2.} Need to use a landing area closer to the JS Kaga for the press heavy lift helo so they can get in place. Staff helicopter can land in the ball field but press helo needs to be closer

^{3.} USS John McCain needs to be out of sight.

V/r,



From:	(b) (6) <u>CAPT C7F</u>
To:	(b) (6) <u>CAPT USN COMPACFLT PEARL HI (USA)</u>
Subject:	INDOPACOM thread on JSM
Date:	Friday, May 24, 2019 2:52:53 PM
Attachments:	FW Additional POTUS planning factor.msg

(b) (6

You will have one high side email also for background from WHMO on the WH request to keep the name not visible.

This email thread is from INDOPACOM to the NCC (our planner) under JTF POTUS. You will see the J3's rep direction that JSM be 'kept of out of sight.'

Your N3 team called our N3 team with this concern to make sure we complied.

We asked for a formal order but none was forthcoming, as practice, we planned to the guidelines give from INDOPAOCM to JTF POTUS channels.


Your high side will have the back channel email that I got from WHMO - and that I referred to Region planning channels.

ΒT

VADM Sawyer and I will engage with JSM CO today and ensure there is no misunderstanding as this passed from Region through CTF and DESRON.


VR

CAPT (b) (6) Chief of Staff SEVENTH Fleet Embarked on USS BLUE RIDGE (LCC-19)



(b) (6)

From:	(b) (6)	<u>I CAPT USN, (C7F)</u>
To:		CAPT C7F
Subject:	FW: Additional PO	TUS planning factor
Date:	Friday, May 24, 20	019 2:48:29 PM



J-Dial: x7301


Original Message From: (b) (6) LCDR USN, (C7F) Sent: Saturday, May 25, 2019 10:48 AM To: (b) (6) CAPT USN, (C7F) <(b) (6) @lcc19.navy.mil> Subject: FW: Additional POTUS planning factor
(b) (6) , LCDR C7F FOPS - SWO-tivator (b) (6) @lcc19.navy(.smil).mil
Original Message From: (b) (6) LCDR USN, (C7F) Sent: Thursday, May 16, 2019 11:33 AM To: (b) (6) CAPT USN, (C7F) \langle (b) (6) @lcc19.navy.mil>; (b) (6) CDR (C7F) \langle (b) (6) @lcc19 navy.mil>; (b) (6) CDR USN, (C7F) \langle (b) (6) @lcc19 navy.mil>; (b) (6) CDR USN, CTF 70' \langle (b) (6) @ctf70 navy mil>; (b) (6) @fe navy.mil' \langle (b) (6) @fe.navy mil> Cc: (b) (6) LCDR USN, (C7F) \langle (b) (6) @fe navy.mil>; (b) (6) LCDR (C7F) \langle (b) (6) @lcc19.navy mil>; (b) (6) @fe navy.mil> Subject: Additional POTUS planning factor

Subject. Additional 1 0 1 05 planning 1a

COS (b) (6) and Team,

BLUF: INDOPACOM provided some information below about the JSM needing to be out of sight on Yokosuka during the visit. They are still working to determine what that actually means, but wanted to provide now for planning purposes. Will advise upon clarification.

Very Respectfully,



(b) (6)			
From: (b) (6)	Maj USINDOPACOM J3 <(b) (6) JOC AIR <joc.air.pacom@navy.mil></joc.air.pacom@navy.mil>	@pacom mil> on behalf of	
Sent: Thursday, Ma	ay 16, 2019 1:20 AM		a . Mate
To: (b) (6) AFELM PACOM	NAVRESFORCOM; USINDOPACOM JOC A	IR; (D) (O)	Capt USAF
	CDR USN COMSUBPAC PEARL (US); (b) (6) LCDR USN COMPACFLT N3 (USA); (b) (6) leet/RDML LeClair	CIV USN COMPAC LCDR USN C7F	CFLT (USA);

CDR (b) (6)

Copy on the McCain - first I heard of it as well. I'll work with WHMO to get more info on it, and if that's an official tasking I'll include it in the FRAGO. Are you able to get info to discuss ramifications if she needs to be moved?

V/r,

(b) (6

From: (b) (6) NAVRESFORCOM <(b) (6) @	navy.mil>
Sent: Wednesday, May 15, 2019 3:10 PM	
To: USINDOPACOM JOC AIR < joc.air.pacom@navy.mil>; (b) (6)	Capt USAF AFELM PACOM
(USA)' <(b) (6) .mil@mail.mil>	
Cc: (b) (6) S CDR USN COMSUBPAC PEARL (US) < (b) (6)	@navy mil>; (b) (6) CIV
USN COMPACFLT (USA) $<$ (b) (6) @navy mil>; (b) (6)	LCDR USN COMPACFLT N3 (USA)
<(b) (6) @navy.mil>; (b) (6) @fe navy mil	
Subject: RE: 7th Fleet/RDML LeClair	

+	(b)	(6)			
(b) (6)				

In response to 1. and 3. in your email below:

1. We have CPF to C7F FOS RFI out now with suspense of 1800W today for

full detailed risk assessment of WSP and RRN POTUS support, understanding WSP needs to be pier side morning of 24th (latest) and that RRN is the primary resource if WSP is unable.

2. This is the first I have seen about the McCain being out of sight. Recommend this in FRAGO when you issue the WSP/RRN timeline update.

In receipt of your latest VTC invite for tomorrow afternoon. Hopefully WHMO will be on the line. Thanks,

Vr, (b) (6)

From: (b) (6)	Maj USINDOPACOM J3 < (b) (6)	@pacom mil> On Behalf Of	
USINDOPACOM JOC	AIR		
Sent: Wednesday, May	15, 2019 11:55 AM		
To: (b) (6)	NAVRESFORCOM (b) (6)	@navy.mil>; (b) (6)	Capt USAF
AFELM PACOM (USA	A)'		•
<(b) (6) m	nil@mail mil>		
Subject: FW: 7th Fleet/I	RDML LeClair		

Capt (b) (6)

Please see below excerpt from discussions between WHMO and C7F. Need all supporting units to understand the requirement for rehearsals. Hopefully the WASP can get there early enough. Also, please let me know the latest on the deck landing requirement. There is a lot of stray voltage out there right now about what is actually required. There is no expectation for the CH-47s to land on an LHD. My understanding is the HH-60 deck landing is somehow dependent on what the HMX-1 white-tops can do on the KAGA. Let me know when this is clarified with the WHMO team in Japan. Also, please confirm #3 will be satisfied.

1. WASP needs to be in port NLT 24 May (22 May desired) for HMX rehearsal.

If not, we need to keep the Reagan in port for the rehearsal and event.

2. Need to use a landing area closer to the JS Kaga for the press heavy lift helo so they can get in place. Staff helicopter can land in the ball field but press helo needs to be closer 3. USS John McCain needs to be out of sight.

V/r,

(b) (6

From:	CAPT USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA) Aquílino Jóhn C ADM USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA)
To:	Aquílino Jóhn C ADM USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA)
Subject:	FW: DV 1 follow up
Date:	Friday, May 24, 2019 6 51:00 PM

Boss,

Pulled the string on the forensics for this

BLUF: (b) (5) (b) (6) (b) (b) (c) (b) (c) (c) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c
Standing by to discuss
V/R, D (6
Original Message From: (b) (c) LCDR USN, (C7F) Sent: Thursday, May 16, 2019 11:33 AM CADE USN (C7E) (c) COMPARE (
To: (b) (6) CAPT USN, (C7F) $<$ (b) (6) $@$ (cc19 navy mil>; (b) (6) CDR (C7F) $<$ (b) (6) $@$ (cc19 navy mil>; (b) (6) CDR USN, (C7F) $<$ (b) (6) $@$ (cc19 navy mil>; (cc19 navy mil)); (cc19 navy mil); (cc19 n
(b) (6) @fe navy mil> Cc: (b) (6) @fe navy mil> LCDR USN, (C7F) (b) (6) @lcc19 navy mil>; (b) (6) @fe navy mil Subject: Additional POTUS planning factor
COS(b) (6) and Team,
BLUF: INDOPACOM provided some information below about the JSM needing to be out of sight on Yokosuka during the visit They are still working to determine what that actually means, but wanted to provide now for planning purposes Will advise upon clarification
Very Respectfully,
(b) (6) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c
(b) (6) Email:(b) (6)
From: (b) (6) Maj USINDOPACOM J3 (b) (6) @pacom mil> on behalf of USINDOPACOM JOC AIR <joc air="" mil="" pacom@navy=""> Sent: Thursday, May 16, 2019 1:20 AM To: (b) (6) CDR USN COMSUBPAC OM JOC AIR; (b) (6) Capt USAF AFELM PACOM (USA)' C: (b) (6) CDR USN COMSUBPAC PEARL (US); (b) (6) CIV USN COMPACFLT (USA); (b) (6) CDR USN COMPACFLT N3 (USA); (b) (6) LCDR USN C7F Subject: RE: 7th Fleet/RDML LeClair</joc>
$\operatorname{CDR}(\mathbf{b})(6)$,
Copy on the McCain - first I heard of it as well I'll work with WHMO to get more info on it, and if that's an official tasking I'll include it in the FRAGO Are you able to get info to discuss ramifications if she needs to be moved?
V/r,
.016
From: (b) (6) NAVRESFORCOM (b) (6) (anavy mil> Sent: Wednesday, May 15, 2019 3:10 PM To: USINDOPACOM JOC AIR <joc air="" mil="" pacom@navy="">; (b) (6) Capt USAF AFELM PACOM (USA)' (b) (6) mil@mail mil> Cc: (b) (6) CDR USN COMSUBPAC PEARL (US) (b) (6) @navy mil>; (b) (6) CIV USN COMPACFLT (USA) < (b) (6) @navy mil>; (b) (6) @navy mil>; (b) (6) @fe navy mil Subject: RE: 7th Fleet/RDML LeClair</joc>
+(<u>b) (6)</u>
In response to 1 and 3 in your email below:

1 We have CPF to C7F FOS RFI out now with suspense of 1800W today for full detailed risk assessment of WSP and RRN POTUS support, understanding WSP needs to be pier side morning of 24th (latest) and that RRN is the primary resource if WSP is unable

- 2 This is the first I have seen about the McCain being out of sight
- Recommend this in FRAGO when you issue the WSP/RRN timeline update

In receipt of your latest VTC invite for tomorrow afternoon Hopefully WHMO will be on the line Thanks,

Vr, <mark>(b) (6</mark>)

From: (b) (6) Maj USINDOPACOM J3 <anthony 11:55="" 15,="" 2019="" am<="" may="" sent:="" th="" wednesday,=""><th>dorazio@pacom mil> On Behalf (</th><th>Of USINDOPACOM JOC AIR</th></anthony>	dorazio@pacom mil> On Behalf (Of USINDOPACOM JOC AIR
To: (b) (6) NAVRESFORCOM <(b) (6) (b) (6) mil@mail mil> Subject: FW: 7th Fleet/RDML LeClair	@navy mil>; (b) (6)	Capt USAF AFELM PACOM (USA)'

(b) (6)

Please see below excerpt from discussions between WHMO and C7F Need all supporting units to understand the requirement for rehearsals Hopefully the WASP can get there early enough Also, please let me know the latest on the deck landing requirement. There is a lot of stray voltage out there right now about what is actually required There is no expectation for the CH-47s to land on an LHD My understanding is the HH-60 deck landing is somehow dependent on what the HMX-1 white-tops can do on the KAGA Let me know when this is clarified with the WHMO team in Japan Also, please confirm #3 will be satisfied

- 1~ WASP needs to be in port NLT 24 May (22 May desired) for HMX rehearsal
- If not, we need to keep the Reagan in port for the rehearsal and event

2 Need to use a landing area closer to the JS Kaga for the press heavy lift helo so they can get in place Staff helicopter can land in the ball field but press helo needs to be closer 3 USS John McCain needs to be out of sight

V/r,



From:	Phillip.Sawyer@fe.navy.mil
To:	Aquilino, John C ADM USN COMPACFLT PEARL HI (USA)
Cc:	Carter, Matthew J RADM USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA); (b) (6) @fe.navy.mil; (b) (6) CAPT USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA) (B6) @lcc19.navy.mil
Subject:	DV 1 support
Date:	Friday, May 24, 2019 8:20:47 PM

COM -

We received an RFI re the tasking 'to keep MCCAIN out of sight' during the upcoming DV1 visit. A rough reconstructed sequence of events follows:

* O/A 15 May: WHMO requested (SIPR traffic) to ensure JSM was not visible from the WSP.

* This WHMO request was later (o/a 16 May) pushed out by INDOPACOM planners to JTF POTUS and component commanders. ALCON were tracking this as a requirement though it was not listed in the IPC PLANORD.

* Based on the tasking above, organizations under my OPCON leaned forward to ensure JSM name was not visible from WASP. This includes:

-- Designating JSM as the CDS-15 Flagship. CDS-15 banner is now on the JSM brow (vice a JSM banner).

--Placing a paint scaffolding at the stern of the ship. This partially obscures the ship's name.

-- JSM's 4 day Memorial Day weekend runs from Saturday through Tuesday (the day of DV1 visit)

(Note: All you see from WASP wrt JSM is JSM's bow and the hull name 56)

From my experience, there is a high level of activity, BPT and direction iso JTF POTUS. Everyone is leaning forward to solve issues, not unusual for staffs to take action on email tasking and not unusual for WHMO to task echelon commands below the JS/IPC level.

I just walked JSM and talked with the CO. I also walked WASP and viewed the waterfront from WASP flight deck. I am comfortable with the actions that have been taken at JSM.

Recommend no further actions wrt DV1 visit and JSM and this includes 'undoing' anything that has been done (brow banner, paint scaffolding).

ΒT

I also walked through with the JSM CO and the Bath Iron Works MRG engineer the corrective actions for the port shaft mis-alignment. They are executing a plan that does not require moving bearing foundation work (significant effort). This plan simply 'shims' the bearings and shaft to a point where everything is aligned. This will work assuming the 'shimming' won't result in excessive force on the system. In essence, they'll 'shim' everything in alignment and then test the new forces applied. If within tolerances, we're good. If too much force then they'll need to move some foundations.

Vr/ Phil


From:	(b) (6) CAPT C7F
To:	(b) (6) CAPT USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA)
Subject:	FW: JSM
Date:	Saturday, May 25, 2019 12:38:41 AM



FYSA below - report to my boss on sequence of events for JSM from my seat



CAPT (b) (6) Chief of Staff SEVENTH Fleet Embarked on USS BLUE RIDGE (LCC-19)



-----Original Message-----From (B6) Privacy @fe navy mil [mailto (B6) Privacy @fe navy.mil] Sent: Saturday, May 25, 2019 3:39 PM To: (b) (6) CAPT C7F (b) (6) @lcc19 navy mil> Subject: RE: JSM

Copy all. Thanks.

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: (b) (6)	CAPT C7F < (b) (6)	@lcc19 navy.mil < <u>mailto</u> (b) (6)	<u>@lcc19 navy.mil</u> >
>			
Date: Saturday May 25	5 2019 13.52		

To: Sawyer, Phillip G VADM USN C7F (B6) Privacy @fe.navy mil (B6) Privacy @fe navy.mil>> Subject: RE: JSM

WHMO email is on the high side.

UNCLAS planner email attached - this followed later from INDOPACOM J3 lead planners in JTF POTUS to LCDR (b) (6) etc. - our Rep. WHMO reached out to INDOPACOM who sent the request in planning channels and would not put it in writing.

Sequence of Events from my seat:

* WHMO requested high side to me. I passed to Region (Henry) for consideration. This was briefed to ADM Aquilino at the CUB by RDML Fenton - COM acknowledged the sensitivity. Task was to ensure JSM was not visible form the WSP (and name).

* This request was later followed through by INDOPACOM planners to JTF POTUS region and component commanders. All were tracking this as a requirement per RDML Fenton's brief at CUB and the WHMO direction. * I informed Cos (b) (6) BPT receive this type of direction from Region and planners.

* Intent was to survey from WSP and then act accordingly to accomplish intent as I understood it from Region and our planning team.

* PACFLT and INDOPACOM decided not to put this in writing (for these very reasons probably) but passed via operations and planning teams to comply with WH intent.

* Per my discussion with Duffy and CO this morning, they simply referenced hearing first about this from CTF 70 and C7F Chiefs of Staff and relayed that to OLA. No orders were given to have any brow banners or specific tactical actions taken.

* However, from CO view, given the general 'make sure the ship and name are not visible' I can see where he and the CDRE would be judicious in their effort to comply with White House intent or even aggressive to do so.

VR CoS

CAPT (b) (6)

Chief of Staff

SEVENTH Fleet Embarked on USS BLUE RIDGE (LCC-19)



-----Original Message-----From [B6] Privacy @fe navy mil [mailto(B6) Privacy @fe navy.mil] Sent: Saturday, May 25, 2019 2:27 PM To: (b) (6) CAPT C7F <(b) (6) @lcc19 navy mil> Cc: (b) (6) LCDR (C7F) <(b) (6) @fe navy.mil> Subject: RE: JSM

Just got off the phone with CPF....I'll need to know who tasked who (and how) including down to JSM. I'm going to stop by there very briefly in a few minutes.

-----Original Message-----From: Sawyer, Phillip G VADM USN C7F

Sent: Saturday, May 25, 2019 1:04 PM

 To:
 (b)
 (6)
 CAPT C7F'
 (b)
 (6)
 @lcc19.navy mil>

 Cc:
 (b)
 (6)
 LCDR USN C7F < (b)</td>
 (6)
 @fe navy.mil>

 Subject:
 RE:
 JSM

Copy. Please pass me the email trail giving the direction.

r/

Phil

-----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6)	CAPT C7F [mailto (b) (6)	@lcc19.navy mil]
Sent: Saturday, May 25,		
To: Sawyer, Phillip G V	ADM USN C7F (B6) Privacy	@fe.navy mil>
Cc: (b) (6)	LCDR USN C7F <(b) (6)	@fe navy.mil>
Subject: ISM		

Subject: JSM

Admiral

I spoke with chain of command (70 CoS, DESRON) and JSM CO this morning.

DESRON and ship leaned forward and took some extra precautions (designating JSM as DESRON 15 flag ship, hang DESRON brow banner) at their own initiative.

JSM CO (former Sen McCain legislative fellow) spoke with RADM Loeblein when OLA heard about the WH political request that came from WHMO and through IPC planners via JTF POTUS. (Loeblein called him).

Think RADM Loeblein is trying to piece together the picture, don't sense there is pointing of fingers - this type of visit comes through COCOM channels and not OLA, so OLA is not squarely in the loop.

(b) (6) has the email traffic from WHMO principals and the email trail from INDOPACOM J3 POTUS planners giving the direction.

I explained to⁽⁰⁾ (6) that the only requirement was not visible from WSP, and that since WSP arrived yesterday Region had verified.

VR	
(b)	(6)

CAPT (b) (6)			
Chief of Staff			
SEVENTH Fleet			
Embarked on USS BLU	E RIDGE (LCC	C-19)	
(b) (6)			
			-

From:	Carter, Matthew J RADM USN COMPACFLT PEARL HI (USA)
To:	Dalton, Marc H RADM USN COMPACFLT PEARL HI (US)
Subject:	FW: DV 1 support
Date:	Saturday, May 25, 2019 5:00:08 PM

Thought I had sent this to you already, and realized I hadn't. For your SA.

v/r,

-----Original Message-----From: (B6) Privacy @fe navy mil (B6) Privacy @fe navy mil> Sent: Friday, May 24, 2019 8:21 PM To: Aquilino, John C ADM USN COMPACFLT PEARL HI (USA) <(b) (6) @navy.mil> Cc: Carter, Matthew J RADM USN COMPACFLT PEARL HI (USA) <(b) (6) @navy mil>; (b) (6) @fe.navy mil; (b) (6) CAPT USN COMPACFLT PEARL HI (USA) <(b) (6) @navy mil>; (b) (6) @navy.mil Subject: DV 1 support

COM -

We received an RFI re the tasking 'to keep MCCAIN out of sight' during the upcoming DV1 visit. A rough reconstructed sequence of events follows:

* O/A 15 May: WHMO requested (SIPR traffic) to ensure JSM was not visible from the WSP.

* This WHMO request was later (o/a 16 May) pushed out by INDOPACOM planners to JTF POTUS and component commanders. ALCON were tracking this as a requirement though it was not listed in the IPC PLANORD.

* Based on the tasking above, organizations under my OPCON leaned forward to ensure JSM name was not visible from WASP. This includes:

-- Designating JSM as the CDS-15 Flagship. CDS-15 banner is now on the JSM brow (vice a JSM banner).

--Placing a paint scaffolding at the stern of the ship. This partially obscures the ship's name.

-- JSM's 4 day Memorial Day weekend runs from Saturday through Tuesday (the day of DV1 visit)

(Note: All you see from WASP wrt JSM is JSM's bow and the hull name 56)

From my experience, there is a high level of activity, BPT and direction iso JTF POTUS. Everyone is leaning forward to solve issues, not unusual for staffs to take action on email tasking and not unusual for WHMO to task echelon commands below the JS/IPC level.

I just walked JSM and talked with the CO. I also walked WASP and viewed the waterfront from WASP flight deck. I am comfortable with the actions that have been taken at JSM.

Recommend no further actions wrt DV1 visit and JSM and this includes 'undoing' anything that has been done (brow banner, paint scaffolding).

ΒT

I also walked through with the JSM CO and the Bath Iron Works MRG engineer the corrective actions for the port shaft mis-alignment. They are executing a plan that does not require moving bearing foundation work (significant effort). This plan simply 'shims' the bearings and shaft to a point where everything is aligned. This will work assuming the 'shimming' won't result in excessive force on the system. In essence, they'll 'shim' everything in alignment and then test the new forces applied. If within tolerances, we're good. If too much force then they'll need to move some foundations.

Vr/ Phil

(þ) (6)	LCDR (C7F)
From:	(b) (6) fe.navy.mil
Sent:	Friday, May 17, 2019 7:59 AM
To:	(b) (6) LCDR USN, (C7F); (b) (6) fe.navy.mil
Cc:	(b) (6) fe.navy.mil; (b) (6) fe.navy.mil
Subject:	RE: JSM Sailors for DV1 Speech and Meet/Greet
Signed By:	(b) (6) fe.navy.mil

(B6

The best way to approach this may be to not have JSM participate in the Hangar bay event, CTF 70 could choose to leave them off the planning. As far as staggering the mass of humanity that will be in the Hangar bay we can certainly arrange whatever the preference is. I am still trying to get an advance party visit sometime this weekend to sort out these details with WH Advon.

//R CAPT (b) (6)			
Deputy Comm	andor		
	ander		
SG 7/CTF 76			
b) (ố)			
EL JON	P. Aller Machine		
0)(0)	fe.navy.(smil)mil		
Original M			
	the second se		
rom: (b) (6)	LCDR USN, (C7F) [mailto).navy.mil]
	May 17, 2019 7:52 AM	and a shares	
o: (b) (6)	CAPT USN CNFJ < (b) (6)	fe.navy.mil>;	(b) (b)
		avy.mil>	
CAPT USN ESG		b) (6)	fe.navy.mil>;
Cc: (b) (6)	CDR USN CNFJ <	SI (SI	icand yannie,
S. S.	CDR USN CNFJ < USN CNFJ < (b) (6)	fe.navy.mil>	icinary.init,

CAPT (b) (6) and CAPT (b) (6)

I know you are tracking the JSM being "out of sight" request from WH and believe you've mentioned CFAY CMC is putting out the call for waterfront sailors to participate in the DV1 event aboard WASP.

Is it possible to determine the plan to prioritize the proximity of sailors in the hangar bay? WASP sailors in the front, followed by units deploying next? I don't know who would be handling that portion, but figured an arrangement like this would meet WH intent to limit the JSM visibility

during the visit.

Additionally, for the DV-1 grip/grin on the WSP Flight deck, is CNFJ Protocol running the vetting for that portion? I hate to say a JSM SoY can't participate because of the ship he is on, but its trending that direction.

COS (b) (b) just wants to make sure we have a solid plan moving forward on this piece as well as the LZ next to KAGA (which I agree belongs to HMX-1 and WH to determine).

Very Respectfully,

(b) (6)	lcc19.navy.mil(b) (6)	ENTH Fleet fe.navy.mil
(b) (6)	lcc19.navy.smil.mil (b) (6)	
(b) (6)		
Email: (b) (6)	

(b) (6)	LCDR (C7F)
From:	(b) (6) fe.navy.mil
Sent:	Friday, May 17, 2019 8:02 AM
To:	(b) (6) LCDR USN, (C7F); (b) (6) fe.navy.mil
Cc:	(b) (6) fe.navy.mil; (b) (6) fe.navy.mil
Subject:	RE: JSM Sailors for DV1 Speech and Meet/Greet

I am not tracking that a JSM nametag takes you out of the hunt. We will get the ship out of view, but I will await clearer direction before inferring tasks out of the specified task (which is not in any official tasking document).

We need to stop burning the candle at multiple ends wrt the helo plan. HMX-1 and Secret Service are on deck in Japan working the plan. If WHMO has direction for them on the plan, they need to pass it through them. I do not intend to spool up on the 47 at YRD question until the helo planners on deck here say that is the plan.

CAPT (b) (6) CNFJ/CNRJ COS

From: (b) (6)	LCDR USN, (C7F) <(b) (6)	lcc19.navy.mil <mailto:< th=""><th>6) Icc19.navy.mil>></th><th></th></mailto:<>	6) Icc19.navy.mil>>	
Date: Friday,	May 17, 2019, 7:53 AM			
To: (b) (6)	CAPT USN CNFJ < (b) (6)	fe.navy.mil <mailto:(b) (6)<="" td=""><td>fe.navy.mil>>, (b) (6)</td><td>CAPT</td></mailto:(b)>	fe.navy.mil>>, (b) (6)	CAPT
USN ESG-7 <	6) (6) fe.navy.mil<	mailto:(b) (6) fe.n	avy.mil>>	
Cc: (b) (6)	CDR USN CNFJ	(6) fe.navy.mil<	mailto(b) (6)	fe.navy.mil>>,
(b) (6)	USN CNFJ <(b) (6)	fe.navy.mil <mailto:(d) (6)<="" td=""><td>fe.navy.mil>></td><td></td></mailto:(d)>	fe.navy.mil>>	
Subject: JSM	Sailors for DV1 Speech and Mee	et/Greet		

CAPT (b) (6) and CAPT (b) (6)

I know you are tracking the JSM being "out of sight" request from WH and believe you've mentioned CFAY CMC is putting out the call for waterfront sailors to participate in the DV1 event aboard WASP.

Is it possible to determine the plan to prioritize the proximity of sailors in the hangar bay? WASP sailors in the front, followed by units deploying next? I don't know who would be handling that portion, but figured an arrangement like this would meet WH intent to limit the JSM visibility during the visit.

Additionally, for the DV-1 grip/grin on the WSP Flight deck, is CNFJ Protocol running the vetting for that portion? I hate to say a JSM SoY can't participate because of the ship he is on, but its trending that direction.

COS (b) (c) just wants to make sure we have a solid plan moving forward on this piece as well as the LZ next to KAGA (which I agree belongs to HMX-1 and WH to determine).

Very Respectfully,

LCDR (b) (C NEF/EOD/) MIW Officer (N382), U.S. SEVENTH Fleet (b) (6)	@lcc19.navy.mil (b) (6)	fe.navy.mil
(b) (6)	lcc19.navy.smil.mil (b) (6) fe.navy.mil		and a strength
(b) (6)			

(b) (6)	LCDR (C7F)
From:	(b) (6) LCDR USN, (C7F)
Sent:	Friday, May 17, 2019 8:07 AM
To:	(b) (6) fe.navy.mil; (b) (6) fe.navy.mil; (b) (6)
Cc:	(b) (6) fe.navy.mil
Subject:	RE: JSM Sailors for DV1 Speech and Meet/Greet
Signed By:	(b) (6) mil@mail.mil

b) (6

Please work with CAPT (b) (6) on this to make sure it's all in line.

Task is still vague, but my direction was to have a good plan to meet WH intent on having JSM out of sight while not calling attention to the fact JSM sailors were not allowed to participate.

Very Respectfully,

LCDR (b) NEF/EOD	(6) USN D/MIW Officer (N382), U.S. SE	VENTH Fleet
(b) (6)	lcc19.navy.mil / (b) (6)	
(b) (6)	cc19.navy.smil.mil / (b) (fe.navy.mil
(b) (6)		
Email: (b) (6)	

Original	Message	
From: (b) (6	fe.navy.mil [mailto	(b) (6) fe.navy.mil]
Sent: Thurs	day, May 16, 2019 11:03 PM	
To: (b) (6)	fe.navy.mil; (b) (6)	LCDR USN, (C7F)
<(b) (6)	lcc19.navy.mil>; (b) (6)	fe.navy.mil
Cc:(b) (6)	fe.navy.mil	
Cubiost DE	ICAA Callens for DVA Course	1.8.8

Subject: RE: JSM Sailors for DV1 Speech and Meet/Greet

(6) (6)

I will work with EMB and CMCs to get the right folks on the grip and grin line, mix of SOYs, high mil DVs, and possibly spouses or ombudsmen. Do you have specific verbiage for the JSM request, or a generic direction to minimize?


Thanks,

Vr

LT (b) (6)	USN	
Flag Protocol Ai	ide to RDML Fenton	
U.S. Naval Force	es Japan	
<u> 18 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8</u>		
4		
NIPR/SIPR: (b) (6) fe.navy(.smil).mil	
Sent with Black	Berry Work	
(www.blackber		
(www.blackbell	ry.com/	
From: (b) (6)	CAPT USN ESG-7	
<(b) (6)	fe.navy.mil <mailto:(b) (6)<="" td=""><td>fe.navy.mil>></td></mailto:(b)>	fe.navy.mil>>
Date: Friday, M	ay 17, 2019, 7:59 AM	
To: (b) (6)	LCDR USN, (C7F)	
	c19.navy.mil <mailto:(b) (6)<="" td=""><td>cc19.navy.mil>>, (b) (6)</td></mailto:(b)>	cc19.navy.mil>>, (b) (6)
CAPT USN		
<(b) (6)	fe.navy.mil <mailto:(b) (6)<="" td=""><td>fe.navy.mil>></td></mailto:(b)>	fe.navy.mil>>
Cc: (b) (6)	CDR USN CNFJ <(b)	
(b) (6)	fe.navy.mil>>, (b) (6)	USN CNFJ
<(0) (6)	fe.navy.mil <mailto:(b) (6)<="" td=""><td>fe.navy.mil>></td></mailto:(b)>	fe.navy.mil>>
subject: RE: JSN	A Sailors for DV1 Speech and M	eet/Greet

(e) (e)

The best way to approach this may be to not have JSM participate in the Hangar bay event, CTF 70 could choose to leave them off the planning. As far as staggering the mass of humanity that will be in the Hangar bay we can certainly arrange whatever the preference is. I am still trying to get an advance party visit sometime this weekend to sort out these details with WH Advon.



----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6)	LCDR USN, (C7F) [mailto	b) (6) cc19	.navy.mil]
Sent: Friday, M	May 17, 2019 7:52 AM		
To: (b) (6)	CAPT USN CNFJ < (b) (6)	fe.navy.mil>;	(b) (6)
CAPT USN ESC	5-7 < (b) (6) fe.na	vy.mil>	
Cc:(b)(6)	CDR USN CNFJ	o) (6)	fe.navy.mil>;
(b) (6)	LT USN CNFJ <(b) (6)	fe.navy.mil>	
	ailors for DV1 Speech and Me		

CAPT (b) (6) and CAPT (b) (6)

I know you are tracking the JSM being "out of sight" request from WH and believe you've mentioned CFAY CMC is putting out the call for waterfront sailors to participate in the DV1 event aboard WASP.

Is it possible to determine the plan to prioritize the proximity of sailors in the hangar bay? WASP sailors in the front, followed by units deploying next? I don't know who would be handling that portion, but figured an arrangement like this would meet WH intent to limit the JSM visibility during the visit.

Additionally, for the DV-1 grip/grin on the WSP Flight deck, is CNFJ Protocol running the vetting for that portion? I hate to say a JSM SoY can't participate because of the ship he is on, but its trending that direction.

COS (b) (c) just wants to make sure we have a solid plan moving forward on this piece as well as the LZ next to KAGA (which I agree belongs to HMX-1 and WH to determine).

Very Respectfully,

LCDR (b) NEF/EOD	(6) USN /MIW Officer (N382), U.	S. SEVENTH (b) (6)	lcc19.navy.mil /
(b) (6) (b) (6)	fe.navy.mil <mark>(b) (6)</mark> fe.navy.mil		
(b) (6)			
Email: (b	(6)		

From:	(b) (6) fe.navy.mil	
Sent:	Saturday, May 18, 2019 9:48 AM	
To:	(b) (6) LCDR USN, (C7F); (b) (6) fe.navy.mil; (b) (6)	vy.
Cc: Subject:	(b) (6) RE: JSM Sailors for DV1 Speech and Meet/Greet	
Subject.	Re. JSW Sallors for DV1 Speech and Meet/Greet	
Issue wasn't mentioned Vr	d at all - I would think it's absolutely an option.	
(6) (6)		
And a state of the	USN	
Flag Protocol Aide to R U.S. Naval Forces Japar		
(b) b		
(6)		
NIPR/SIPR: (b) (6)	fe.navy(.smil).mil	
Sent with BlackBerry W		
(www.blackberry.com)		
From: (b) (6) LCDI	R USN, (C7F) < (b) (6) cc19.navy.mil <mailto:(b) (6)="" cc19.navy.mil="">></mailto:(b)>	
Date: Saturday, May 18		
To: (b) (6)	USN CNFJ <(b) (6) fe.navy.mil <mailto:(b) (6)="" fe.navy.mil="">>, (b) (6)</mailto:(b)>	
CAPT USN ESG-7 <(b) (SN
	/.mil<(b) @fe.navy.mil>>	
Cc: (b) (6) Subject: RE: ISM Sailor	CDR USN CNFJ <(b) (6) fe.navy.mil <mailto(b) (6)="" fe.navy.mil<mailto(b)="" fe.navy.mil<mailto(b)<="" td=""><td>111></td></mailto(b)>	111>
(b) (6)		
	otion for the event instead of Ship ball-caps? Not sure if this issue was mentioned during yo	our
Embassy Countdown m	neeting yesterday?	
Very Respectfully,		
LCDD (b) (C)		
LCDR (b) (6) USN		
INCLEUD/IVIIVV UTICE	r (N382), U.S. SEVENTH Fleet (b) (6) [cc19.navy.mil / (b) (6) [cc19.navy.mil / (b) (6) [cc19.navy.mil / (b) (6) [cc19.navy.mil]	
	restation y terror include y and	
(b) (6) Icc19.navy		

(b) (6) Email: <mark>(b) (6)</mark>				
Original Mes	sage			
From: (b) (6)	fe.navy.mil [mailto:	(6) fe.navy.mil]		
Sent: Thursday, I	May 16, 2019 11:03 PM			
To: (b) (6)	fe.navy.mil;(b) (6)	LCDR USN, (C7F) < (b) (6)	lcc19.navy.mil>; (b) (6)	fe.navy.mil
Cc:(b) (6)	fe.navy.mil			
Subject: RE: JSM	Sailors for DV1 Speech and	Meet/Greet		
(b) (0)				
I will work with E spouses or ombu		ght folks on the grip and grin lin	ne, mix of SOYs, high mil DVs, i	and possibly
and the second				

Do you have specific verbiage for the JSM request, or a generic direction to minimize? Thanks,

Vr

LT (b) (6)	, USN
Flag Protocol Aide to	RDML Fenton
U.S. Naval Forces Jap	ban
6)	
	and the second s
NIPR/SIPR: (b) (6)	fe.navy(.smil).mil

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com<http://www.blackberry.com>)

From: (b) (6	CAPT USN ESG-7		
<(b) (6)	fe.navy.mil <mailto:(b) (6<="" td=""><td>fe.navy.mil>></td><td></td></mailto:(b)>	fe.navy.mil>>	
Date: Friday	, May 17, 2019, 7:59 AM		
To: (b) (6)	LCDR USN, (C7F)		
<(b) (6)	lcc19.navy.mil <mailto:(b) (6)<="" td=""><td>lcc19.navy.mil>>, (b) (6)</td><td>CAPT USN CNFJ</td></mailto:(b)>	lcc19.navy.mil>>, (b) (6)	CAPT USN CNFJ
<(b) (6)	fe.navy.mil <mailto(b) (6)<="" td=""><td>fe.navy.mil>></td><td></td></mailto(b)>	fe.navy.mil>>	
Cc: (b) (6)	CDR USN CNFJ <	(6) fe.navy.mil<	mailto:
(b) (6)	fe.navy.mil>>,(b) (6)	USN CNFJ	
<(b) (6)	fe.navy.mil <mailto(b) (6)<="" td=""><td>fe.navy.mil>></td><td></td></mailto(b)>	fe.navy.mil>>	
(b) (6) ⊲(b) (6)		fe.navy.mil>>	

Subject: RE: JSM Sailors for DV1 Speech and Meet/Greet

2

The best way to approach this may be to not have JSM participate in the Hangar bay event, CTF 70 could choose to leave them off the planning.

As far as staggering the mass of humanity that will be in the Hangar bay we can certainly arrange whatever the preference is. I am still trying to get an advance party visit sometime this weekend to sort out these details with WH Advon.

V/R					
CAPT (b) (6)					
Deputy Comr	mander				
ESG 7/CTF 76	i				
(b) (6)					
(b) (6)	fe.navy.(smil)mil				
Original N	Message				
From: (b) (6)	LCDR USN, (C7F) [mailto:	b) (6) cc19.	navy.mil]		
Sent: Friday,	May 17, 2019 7:52 AM				
To: (b) (6)	CAPT USN CNFJ <(b) (6)	fe.navy.mil>;	b) (6)	CAPT USN ESO	G-7
<(b) (6)	fe.navy.mil>	Concerter of the			
Cc: (b) (6)	CDR USN CNFJ) (6)	fe.navy.mil>; (b)(6)	USN CNFJ
<(b) (6)	f@fe.navy.mil>	1.1			
	need to a second se				

Subject: JSM Sailors for DV1 Speech and Meet/Greet

CAPT (b) (6) and CAPT (b) (6)

I know you are tracking the JSM being "out of sight" request from WH and believe you've mentioned CFAY CMC is putting out the call for waterfront sailors to participate in the DV1 event aboard WASP.

Is it possible to determine the plan to prioritize the proximity of sailors in the hangar bay? WASP sailors in the front, followed by units deploying next? I don't know who would be handling that portion, but figured an arrangement like this would meet WH intent to limit the JSM visibility during the visit.

Additionally, for the DV-1 grip/grin on the WSP Flight deck, is CNFJ Protocol running the vetting for that portion? I hate to say a JSM SoY can't participate because of the ship he is on, but its trending that direction.

COS (b) (6) just wants to make sure we have a solid plan moving forward on this piece as well as the LZ next to KAGA (which I agree belongs to HMX-1 and WH to determine).

Very Respectfully,

LCDR (b) (6) USN NEF/EOD/MIW Officer (N382), U.S. SEVENTH Fleet (b) (6)	@lcc19.navy.mil /(b) (6)	fe.navy.mil
(b) (6) @lcc19.navy.smil.mil(b) (6) fe.navy.mil		
(b) (6)		

Email: (b) (6)

(b) (6)	CDR (C7F)
Frame	(b) (6) LCDR USN, (C7F) < (b) (6) @lcc19.navy.mil>
From: Sent:	(b) (6) LCDR USN, (C7F) < (b) (6) CC19.navy.mil> Thursday, May 16, 2019 10:33 AM
To:	(b) (6) CAPT USN, (C7F); (b) (6) CDR (C7F); (b) (6)
	CDR USN, (C7F); (b) (6) CDR USN, CTF 70'; (b) (6) @fe.navy.mi
Cc:	(b) (6) LCDR USN, (C7F); (b) (6) LCDR (C7F);
e 11 - 1	(b) (6) @fe.navy.mil
Subject: Signed By:	Additional POTUS planning factor (B6) Privacy @mail.mil
Signed by:	(B6) Privacy @mail.mil
COS (b) (6) and Tear	n,
	ka during the visit. They are still working to ictually means, but wanted to provide now for planning upon clarification.
reny nespectrumy,	
LCDR(b) (6) , USN	
	(N382), U.S. SEVENTH Fleet
(b) (6)	
From: (b) (6)	USINDOPACOM J3 (b) (6) @pacom.mil> on
	DM JOC AIR (BG) Privacy @navy.mil>
Sent: Thursday, May 1	
To:	NAVRESFORCOM; USINDOPACOM JOC AIR; (b) (6)
A PROPERTY AND A PROPERTY	AFELM PACOM (USA)'
	OR USN COMSUBPAC PEARL (US); (b) (6) CIV USN
COMPACFLT (USA);	(6) LCDR USN COMPACELT N3 (USA); (b) (6)

LCDR USN C7F

Subject: RE: 7th Fleet/RDML LeClair

(b) (6)

Copy on the McCain - first I heard of it as well. I'll work with WHMO to get more info on it, and if that's an official tasking I'll include it in the FRAGO. Are you able to get info to discuss ramifications if she needs to be moved?

V/r,

(b) (6

From: (b) (6)	NAVRESFORCOM (b) (6)	@navy.mil>
Sent: Wednesday,	May 15, 2019 3:10 PM	
To: USINDOPACON	I JOC AIR <joc.air.pacom@navy.mi< td=""><td>(b) (6) Capt</td></joc.air.pacom@navy.mi<>	(b) (6) Capt
USAF AFELM PACO	M (USA)' (b) (6) m	l@mail.mil>
Cc: (b) (6)	CDR USN COMSUBPAC PEARL (US) (b) (6) @navy.mil>;
(b) (6) CIV	USN COMPACFLT (USA) (b) (6)	@navy.mil>; (b) (6)
LCDR USN	COMPACFLT N3 (USA) <(b) (6)	.@navy.mil>;
(b) (6) @fe.nav	y.mil	
Subject: RE: 7th Fle	et/RDML LeClair	

1	(W	6	
	-		

(b) (6)

In response to 1. and 3. in your email below:

1. We have CPF to C7F FOS RFI out now with suspense of 1800W today for full detailed risk assessment of WSP and RRN POTUS support, understanding WSP needs to be pier side morning of 24th (latest) and that RRN is the primary resource if WSP is unable.

2. This is the first I have seen about the McCain being out of sight. Recommend this in FRAGO when you issue the WSP/RRN timeline update.

In receipt of your latest VTC invite for tomorrow afternoon. Hopefully WHMO will be on the line. Thanks,



From: (b) (6)	. Maj USINDOPACOM J3 <(b) (6)	@pacom.mil> On
Behalf Of USIND	OPACOM JOC AIR	
Sent: Wednesda	y, May 15, 2019 11:55 AM	
To: (b) (6)	NAVRESFORCOM (b) (6)	.@navy.mil>;
(b) (6)	Capt USAF AFELM PACOM (USA)'	
<(b) (6)	.mil@mail.mil>	
Subject: FW: 7th	Fleet/RDML LeClair	

Capt (b) (6) /CDR(b) (6)

Please see below excerpt from discussions between WHMO and C7F. Need all supporting units to understand the requirement for rehearsals. Hopefully the WASP can get there early enough. Also, please let me know the latest on the deck landing requirement. There is a lot of stray voltage out there right now about what is actually required. There is no expectation for the CH-47s to land on an LHD. My understanding is the HH-60 deck landing is somehow dependent on what the HMX-1 white-tops can do on the KAGA. Let me know when this is clarified with the WHMO team in Japan. Also, please confirm #3 will be satisfied. WASP needs to be in port NLT 24 May (22 May desired) for HMX rehearsal. If not, we need to keep the Reagan in port for the rehearsal and event.
 Need to use a landing area closer to the JS Kaga for the press heavy lift helo so they can get in place. Staff helicopter can land in the ball field but press helo needs to be closer
 USS John McCain needs to be out of sight.

V/r,



From:	(b) (6)	CAPT USN, (C7F) (b) (6)	@lcc19.n	avy.mil>
Sent:	Saturday, May 25		- 1 A 1 7 1 A	
To:	(b) (6)	CAPT C7F		
Subject:	FW: Additional PO	OTUS planning factor		
V/r,				
(b) (6)				
From: (b) (6)	LCDR USN, (C7F)			
From: <mark>(b) (6)</mark> Sent: Saturday, May 2	LCDR USN, (C7F) 5, 2019 10:48 AM	@lcc19.navy.mil		
From: <mark>(b) (6)</mark> Sent: Saturday, May 2 To: <mark>(b) (6)</mark>	LCDR USN, (C7F) 5, 2019 10:48 AM CAPT USN, (C7F) < <mark>(b) (6</mark>)	@lcc19.navy.mil>		
From: <mark>(b) (6)</mark> Sent: Saturday, May 2 To: <mark>(b) (6)</mark>	LCDR USN, (C7F) 5, 2019 10:48 AM	@lcc19.navy.mil>		
From: <mark>(b) (6)</mark> Sent: Saturday, May 2 To: <mark>(b) (6)</mark>	LCDR USN, (C7F) 5, 2019 10:48 AM CAPT USN, (C7F) < <mark>(b) (6</mark>)	@lcc19.navy.mil>		
From: <mark>(b) (6)</mark> Sent: Saturday, May 2 To: <mark>(b) (6)</mark> Subject: FW: Addition	LCDR USN, (C7F) 5, 2019 10:48 AM CAPT USN, (C7F) < <mark>(b) (6)</mark> al POTUS planning factor	@lcc19.navy.mil>	•	
From: (b) (6) Sent: Saturday, May 2 To: (b) (6) Subject: FW: Addition b) (6)	LCDR USN, (C7F) 5, 2019 10:48 AM CAPT USN, (C7F) <(b) (6) al POTUS planning factor	@lcc19.navy.mil>		
From: (b) (6) Sent: Saturday, May 2 Fo: (b) (6) Subject: FW: Addition (b) (6) LC C7F FOPS - SWO-tivat	LCDR USN, (C7F) 5, 2019 10:48 AM CAPT USN, (C7F) <(b) (6) al POTUS planning factor	@lcc19.navy.mil>		
From: (b) (6) Sent: Saturday, May 2 To: (b) (6) Subject: FW: Addition (b) (6) C7F FOPS - SWO-tivat (b) (6)	LCDR USN, (C7F) 5, 2019 10:48 AM CAPT USN, (C7F) < (b) (6) al POTUS planning factor	@lcc19.navy.mil>		
From: (b) (6) Sent: Saturday, May 2 To: (b) (6) Subject: FW: Addition (b) (6) C7F FOPS - SWO-tivat (b) (6)	LCDR USN, (C7F) 5, 2019 10:48 AM CAPT USN, (C7F) <(b) (6) al POTUS planning factor	@lcc19.navy.mil>		
From: (b) (6) Sent: Saturday, May 2 Fo: (b) (6) Subject: FW: Addition (b) (6) C7F FOPS - SWO-tivat (b) (6)	LCDR USN, (C7F) 5, 2019 10:48 AM CAPT USN, (C7F) < (b) (6) al POTUS planning factor	@lcc19.navy.mil>		
From: (b) (6) Sent: Saturday, May 2 Fo: (b) (6) Subject: FW: Addition (c) (c) LC C7F FOPS - SWO-tivat (b) (c)	LCDR USN, (C7F) 5, 2019 10:48 AM CAPT USN, (C7F) < (b) (6) al POTUS planning factor DR or 19.navy(.smil).mil	@lcc19.navy.mil>		
From: (b) (6) Sent: Saturday, May 2 Fo: (b) (6) Subject: FW: Addition (6) LC C7F FOPS - SWO-tivat (b) (6) @Id	LCDR USN, (C7F) 5, 2019 10:48 AM CAPT USN, (C7F) (b) (6) al POTUS planning factor OR or 19.navy(.smil).mil	@lcc19.navy.mil>		
From: (b) (6) Sent: Saturday, May 2 Fo: (b) (6) Subject: FW: Addition (c) (6) (c) (c) (c) (c)	LCDR USN, (C7F) 5, 2019 10:48 AM CAPT USN, (C7F) < (b) (6) al POTUS planning factor OR or c19.navy(.smil).mil R USN, (C7F)	@lcc19.navy.mil>		
From: (b) (6) Sent: Saturday, May 2 Fo: (b) (6) Subject: FW: Addition (c) (c) LC C7F FOPS - SWO-tivat (c) (c) @lo Original Message- From: (b) (6) LCC Sent: Thursday, May 3	LCDR USN, (C7F) 5, 2019 10:48 AM CAPT USN, (C7F) < (b) (6) al POTUS planning factor OR or C19.navy(.smil).mil R USN, (C7F) 6, 2019 11:33 AM			
b) (6) C7F FOPS - SWO-tivat b) (6) @lo	LCDR USN, (C7F) 5, 2019 10:48 AM CAPT USN, (C7F) < (b) (6) al POTUS planning factor OR or 19.navy(.smil).mil R USN, (C7F) 6, 2019 11:33 AM CAPT USN, (C7F) (b) (6)	@lcc19.navy.mil>		
From: (b) (6) Sent: Saturday, May 2 To: (b) (6) Subject: FW: Addition (b) (6) C7F FOPS - SWO-tivat (b) (6) C7F rom: (b) (6) Ent: Thursday, May 2 To: (b) (6) (c)	LCDR USN, (C7F) 5, 2019 10:48 AM CAPT USN, (C7F) < (b) (6) al POTUS planning factor OR or c19.navy(.smil).mil R USN, (C7F) 6, 2019 11:33 AM CAPT USN, (C7F) (b) (6) . CDR (C7F) <(b) (6)	@lcc19.navy.mil>;		
From: (b) (6) Sent: Saturday, May 2 Fo: (b) (6) Subject: FW: Addition (c) (c) LC C7F FOPS - SWO-tivat (c) (c) (c) (c) From: (b) (6) LC Sent: Thursday, May 2 Fo: (b) (6) CDF	LCDR USN, (C7F) 5, 2019 10:48 AM CAPT USN, (C7F) < (b) (6) al POTUS planning factor OR or c19.navy(.smil).mil R USN, (C7F) 6, 2019 11:33 AM CAPT USN, (C7F) (b) (6) . CDR (C7F) <(b) (6) USN, (C7F) (b) (6)	@lcc19.navy.mil>; @lcc19.navy.mil>; @lcc19.navy.mil>;		
From: (b) (6) Sent: Saturday, May 2 To: (b) (6) Subject: FW: Addition (b) (6) C7F FOPS - SWO-tivat (b) (6) C7F rom: (b) (6) Ent: Thursday, May 2 To: (b) (6) (c)	LCDR USN, (C7F) 5, 2019 10:48 AM CAPT USN, (C7F) < (b) (6) al POTUS planning factor DR or C19.navy(.smil).mil R USN, (C7F) 6, 2019 11:33 AM CAPT USN, (C7F) (b) (6) . CDR (C7F) < (b) (6) USN, (C7F) (b) (6) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c)	@lcc19.navy.mil>; @lcc19.navy.mil>; @lcc19.navy.mil>; (b) (6) /y.mil>;		
From: (b) (6) Sent: Saturday, May 2 To: (b) (6) Subject: FW: Addition (b) (6) C7F FOPS - SWO-tivat (b) (6) (c) Sent: Thursday, May 3 To: (b) (6) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c	LCDR USN, (C7F) 5, 2019 10:48 AM CAPT USN, (C7F) < (b) (6) al POTUS planning factor DR or C19.navy(.smil).mil R USN, (C7F) 6, 2019 11:33 AM CAPT USN, (C7F) (b) (6) . CDR (C7F) < (b) (6) USN, (C7F) (b) (6) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c)	@lcc19.navy.mil> @lcc19.navy.mil>; @lcc19.navy.mil>; (b) (6) /y.mil>; nil>		
From: (b) (6) Sent: Saturday, May 2 To: (b) (6) Subject: FW: Addition (b) (6) C7F FOPS - SWO-tivat (b) (6) (c) Sent: Thursday, May 3 To: (b) (6) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c	LCDR USN, (C7F) 5, 2019 10:48 AM CAPT USN, (C7F) < (b) (6) al POTUS planning factor OR or c19.navy(.smil).mil R USN, (C7F) 6, 2019 11:33 AM CAPT USN, (C7F) (b) (6) I. CDR (C7F) < (b) (6) USN, (C7F) (b) (6) USN, (C7F) (b) (6) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c	@lcc19.navy.mil> @lcc19.navy.mil>; @lcc19.navy.mil>; @lcc19.navy.mil>; /y.mil>; nil> @lcc19.navy.mil>;		

BLUF: INDOPACOM provided some information below about the JSM needing to be out of sight on Yokosuka during the visit. They are still working to determine what that actually means, but wanted to provide now for planning purposes. Will advise upon clarification.

Very Respectfully,

(b) (6) USN	
NEF/EOD/MIW Officer (N382), U.S. SEVENTH Fleet	
b) (6)	
From: (b) (6) Maj USINDOPACOM J3 <(b) (6) Parama (pacom.mil> on behalf of USINDOPACOM JOC AIR <joc.air.pacom@navy.mil></joc.air.pacom@navy.mil>	
Sent: Thursday, May 16, 2019 1:20 AM	
To: (b) (6) NAVRESFORCOM; USINDOPACOM JOC AIR; (b) (6)	
Capt USAF AFELM PACOM (USA)'	
Cc: (b) (6) CDR USN COMSUBPAC PEARL (US); (b) (6) CIV USN COMPACFLT (USA); (b) (6) CDR USN COMPACFLT N3 (USA); (b) (6)	
LCDR USN C7F	
Subject: RE: 7th Fleet/RDML LeClair	
(b) (6)	
Copy on the McCain – first I heard of it as well. I'll work with WHMO to	
get more info on it, and if that's an official tasking I'll include it in the FRAGO. Are you able to get info to discuss ramifications if she needs	
to be moved?	
V/r.	
(6)	
From: (b) (6)	
From: (b) (6)	
To: USINDOPACOM JOC AIR <joc.air.pacom@navy.mil>; (b) (6) Capt</joc.air.pacom@navy.mil>	
USAF AFELM PACOM (USA)' < (b) (6) mil@mail.mil>	
Cc: (b) (6) CDR USN COMSUBPAC PEARL (US) (b) (6) @navy.mil>; (b) (6) CIV USN COMPACFLT (USA) <(b) (6) @navy.mil>; (b) (6)	
LCDR USN COMPACFLT N3 (USA) <(b) (6)	
(b) (6) @fe.navy.mil	
Subject: RE: 7th Fleet/RDML LeClair	
+ (b) (6)	
a x (s),	

In response to 1. and 3. in your email below:

1. We have CPF to C7F FOS RFI out now with suspense of 1800W today for full detailed risk assessment of WSP and RRN POTUS support, understanding WSP needs to be pier side morning of 24th (latest) and that RRN is the primary resource if WSP is unable.

2. This is the first I have seen about the McCain being out of sight. Recommend this in FRAGO when you issue the WSP/RRN timeline update.

In receipt of your latest VTC invite for tomorrow afternoon. Hopefully WHMO will be on the line. Thanks,

Vr, (b) (6)

Capt (b) (6) /CDR (b) (6)

Please see below excerpt from discussions between WHMO and C7F. Need all supporting units to understand the requirement for rehearsals. Hopefully the WASP can get there early enough. Also, please let me know the latest on the deck landing requirement. There is a lot of stray voltage out there right now about what is actually required. There is no expectation for the CH-47s to land on an LHD. My understanding is the HH-60 deck landing is somehow dependent on what the HMX-1 white-tops can do on the KAGA. Let me know when this is clarified with the WHMO team in Japan. Also, please confirm #3 will be satisfied.

WASP needs to be in port NLT 24 May (22 May desired) for HMX rehearsal.
 If not, we need to keep the Reagan in port for the rehearsal and event.
 Need to use a landing area closer to the JS Kaga for the press heavy lift helo so they can get in place. Staff helicopter can land in the ball field but press helo needs to be closer 3. USS John McCain needs to be out of sight.

V/r,

(b) (6)

(b) (6)	LCDR (C7F)	
Subject:	RE: JSM	
To: <mark>(b) (6)</mark> Cc: <mark>(b) (6</mark>)	G VADM USN C7F 25, 2019 1:27:24 PM (LCC19) LCDR USN C7F	
	e with CPFI'll need to know v n to JSM. I'm going to stop by th	who tasked who (and here very briefly in a few minutes.
Original Message From: Sawyer, Phillip Sent: Saturday, May To: (b) (6) Cc: (b) (6) Subject: RE: JSM	9 G VADM USN C7F 25, 2019 1:04 PM	@lcc19.navy.mil> @fe.navy.mil>
Copy. Please pass m 7/ Phil	e the email trail giving the dired	ction.
	CAPT C7F [mailto <mark>(b) (6)</mark> 25, 2019 11:12 AM VADM USN C7F < <mark>(b) (6)</mark> LCDR USN C7F < <mark>(b) (6)</mark>	@lcc19.navy.mil] @fe.navy.mil> @fe.navy.mil>
Admiral I spoke with chain o	f command (70 CoS, DESRON) a	and JSM CO this morning.
	aned forward and took some ex er) at their own initiative.	xtra precautions (designating JSM as DESRON 15 flag ship, hang
		e with RADM Loeblein when OLA heard about the WH political anners via JTF POTUS. (Loeblein called him).
	n is trying to piece together the OM channels and not OLA, so C	e picture, don't sense there is pointing of fingers - this type of visit DLA is not squarely in the loop.

(3) (6) has the email traffic from WHMO principals and the email trail from INDOPACOM J3 POTUS planners giving the direction.

I explained to (0) (0) that the only requirement was not visible from WSP, and that since WSP arrived yesterday Region had verified.

Chief of Staff SEVENTH Fleet

Embarked on USS BLUE RIDGE (LCC-19)



(b) (6)	LCDR (C7F)
From:	(b) (6) CAPT C7F
Sent:	Saturday, May 25, 2019 1:52 PM
To:	Sawyer, Phillip VADM (C7F)
Subject:	RE: JSM
Attachments:	FW: Additional POTUS planning factor (4.41 KB)
Signed By:	(b) (6) @navy.mil

WHMO email is on the high side.

UNCLAS planner email attached - this followed later from INDOPACOM J3 lead planners in JTF POTUS to LCDR (D) (G) etc. - our Rep. WHMO reached out to INDOPACOM who sent the request in planning channels and would not put it in writing.

Sequence of Events from my seat:

* WHMO requested high side to me. I passed to Region ((b) (6)) for consideration. This was briefed to ADM Aquilino at the CUB by RDML Fenton -COM acknowledged the sensitivity. Task was to ensure JSM was not visible form the WSP (and name).

* This request was later followed through by INDOPACOM planners to JTF POTUS region and component commanders. All were tracking this as a requirement per RDML Fenton's brief at CUB and the WHMO direction.

* I informed Cos (b) (6) BPT receive this type of direction from Region and planners.

* Intent was to survey from WSP and then act accordingly to accomplish intent as I understood it from Region and our planning team.

* PACFLT and INDOPACOM decided not to put this in writing (for these very reasons probably) but passed via operations and planning teams to comply with WH intent.

* Per my discussion with Duffy and CO this morning, they simply referenced hearing first about this from CTF 70 and C7F Chiefs of Staff and relayed that to OLA. No orders were given to have any brow banners or specific tactical actions taken.

* However, from CO view, given the general 'make sure the ship and name are not visible' I can see where he and the CDRE would be judicious in their effort to comply with White House intent or even aggressive to do so.

VR CoS

CAPT (b) (6)

Chief of Staff SEVENTH Fleet

Embarked on USS BLUE RIDGE (LCC-19)

(b) (6)

(b) (6)		
	1	
astracococococococo	202002020202020	
1		

-----Original Message-----From: (B6) Privacy @fe.navy.mil [mailto:(B6) Privacy @fe.navy.mil]

Sent: Saturday,	May 25, 2019 2:27 PM	
To: (b) (6)	CAPT C7F (b) (6)	e@lcc19.navy.mil>
Cc: (b) (6)	LCDR (C7F) < (b) (6)	@fe.navy.mil>
C. L.L. D.C. ICA	and a state of the second second	and the second present the

Subject: RE: JSM

Just got off the phone with CPF....I'll need to know who tasked who (and how) including down to JSM. I'm going to stop by there very briefly in a few minutes.

	Phillip G VADM USN C7F May 25, 2019 1:04 PM	
To: (b) (6)	CAPT C7F' < (b) (6)	@lcc19.navy.mil>
Cc: (b) (6)	LCDR USN C7F <(b) (6)	@fe.navy.mil>
Subject: RE: JSN	1	
Copy. Please pa	ss me the email trail giving the	direction.
r/ Phil		
	ssage	
Phil	ssage CAPT C7F [mailto <mark>(b) (6</mark>	
Phil Original Me From: (b) (6)		
Phil Original Me From: (D) (G) Sent: Saturday,	CAPT C7F [mailto(b) (6)

Subject: JSM

Admiral I spoke with chain of command (70 CoS, DESRON) and JSM CO this morning.

DESRON and ship leaned forward and took some extra precautions (designating JSM as DESRON 15 flag ship, hang DESRON brow banner) at their own initiative.

JSM CO (former Sen McCain legislative fellow) spoke with RADM Loeblein when OLA heard about the WH political request that came from WHMO and through IPC planners via JTF POTUS. (Loeblein called him).

Think RADM Loeblein is trying to piece together the picture, don't sense there is pointing of fingers - this type of visit comes through COCOM channels and not OLA, so OLA is not squarely in the loop.

(b) (6) has the email traffic from WHMO principals and the email trail from INDOPACOM J3 POTUS planners giving the direction.

I explained to bill that the only requirement was not visible from WSP, and that since WSP arrived yesterday Region had verified.

VR

(6)

Chief of Staff SEVENTH Fleet Embarked on USS BLUE RIDGE (LCC-19)



From:	(b) (6) CAPT C7F
Sent:	Saturday, May 25, 2019 6:41 PM
To:	(b) (6) CAPT USN COMPACFLT PEARL HI (USA)
Subject:	FW: JSM
(b) (6)	
FYSA below - report t	o my boss on sequence of events for JSM from my seat
VR (b) (6)	
Chief of Staff SEVENTH Fleet	
Embarked on USS BLU	JE RIDGE (LCC-19)
Original Message From(B6) Privacy	
From <mark>(B6) Privacy</mark> Sent: Saturday, May 2	@fe.navy.mil [mailto <mark>(B6) Privacy</mark> @fe.navy.mil] 25, 2019 3:39 PM
From (B6) Privacy	@fe.navy.mil [mailto <mark>(B6) Privacy</mark> @fe.navy.mil]
From <mark>(B6) Privacy</mark> Sent: Saturday, May 2 To: <mark>(b) (6)</mark>	@fe.navy.mil [mailto <mark>(B6) Privacy</mark> @fe.navy.mil] 25, 2019 3:39 PM

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: (b) (6)

@lcc19.navy.mil <mailto:(b) (6)

lcc19.navy.mil>>

Date: Saturday, May 25, 2019, 13:52 To: Sawyer, Phillip G VADM USN C7F(B6) Privacy — @fe.navy.mil <mailto(B6) Privacy — @fe.navy.mil> > Subject: RE: JSM

WHMO email is on the high side.

UNCLAS planner email attached - this followed later from INDOPACOM J3 lead planners in JTF POTUS to LCDR (b) (6) etc. - our Rep. WHMO reached out to INDOPACOM who sent the request in planning channels and would not put it in writing.

Sequence of Events from my seat:

* WHMO requested high side to me. I passed to Region (b) (6) for consideration. This was briefed to ADM Aquilino at the CUB by RDML Fenton - COM acknowledged the sensitivity. Task was to ensure JSM was not visible form the WSP (and name).

* This request was later followed through by INDOPACOM planners to JTF POTUS region and component commanders. All were tracking this as a requirement per RDML Fenton's brief at CUB and the WHMO direction.

* I informed Cos (b) (6) BPT receive this type of direction from Region and planners.

* Intent was to survey from WSP and then act accordingly to accomplish intent as I understood it from Region and our planning team.

* PACFLT and INDOPACOM decided not to put this in writing (for these very reasons probably) but passed via operations and planning teams to comply with WH intent.

* Per my discussion with Duffy and CO this morning, they simply referenced hearing first about this from CTF 70 and C7F
 Chiefs of Staff and relayed that to OLA. No orders were given to have any brow banners or specific tactical actions taken.
 * However, from CO view, given the general 'make sure the ship and name are not visible' I can see where he and the CDRE would be judicious in their effort to comply with White House intent or even aggressive to do so.

١	/1	R	
C	2	2	S

CAPT (b) (6)		
Chief of Staff		
SEVENTH Fleet		
Embarked on USS BLUE RIDGE (LCC-1	(9)	
(b) (6)		
	4 G /	
	the second s	

o) (6)		

-----Original Message-----

From: (B6) Privacy @fe.navy.mil [mailto(B6) Privacy @fe.navy.mil] Sent: Saturday, May 25, 2019 2:27 PM

To: (b) (6)	(b) (6)	@lcc19.navy.mil>
Cc: (b) (6)	LCDR (C7F) <(b) (6)	@fe.navy.mil>
Subject: RE: JSM		

Just got off the phone with CPF....I'll need to know who tasked who (and how) including down to JSM. I'm going to stop by there very briefly in a few minutes.

To: (b) (6)	, May 25, 2019 1:04 PM	@lcc19.navy.mil>
Cc: (b) (6)	LCDR USN C7F <(b) (6)	@fe.navy.mil>
Subject: RE: JS	M	
Copy. Please p r/	ass me the email trail giving the dire	ection.
Phil		
Original M	essage	
From: (b) (6)	CAPT C7F [mailto(b) (6)	lcc19.navy.mil]
Sent: Saturday	, May 25, 2019 11:12 AM	

Cc: (b) (6) Subject: JSM

2.12

Admiral I spoke with chain of command (70 CoS, DESRON) and JSM CO this morning.

LCDR USN C7F <(b) (6)

DESRON and ship leaned forward and took some extra precautions (designating JSM as DESRON 15 flag ship, hang DESRON brow banner) at their own initiative.

JSM CO (former Sen McCain legislative fellow) spoke with RADM Loeblein when OLA heard about the WH political request that came from WHMO and through IPC

@fe.navy.mil>

planners via JTF POTUS. (Loeblein called him).

Think RADM Loeblein is trying to piece together the picture, don't sense there is pointing of fingers - this type of visit comes through COCOM channels and not OLA, so OLA is not squarely in the loop.

(b) (c) that the email traffic from WHMO principals and the email trail from INDOPACOM J3 POTUS planners giving the direction.

I explained to (0) (8) that the only requirement was not visible from WSP, and that since WSP arrived yesterday Region had verified.

/R b) (B)		
Chief of Staff SEVENTH Fleet	BLUE RIDGE (LCC-19)	
b) (6)	BLUE RIDGE (LCC-19)	
		6

LCDR	(CTE)	
LCDK		

To: Subject:

Very Respectfully,

(b) (6) @fe.navy.mil RE: JTF-POTUS: JSM Pics

From: (b) (6) LCDR USN C7F Sent: Sunday, May 26, 2019 12:35 PM To: (b) (6) LCDR USN C7F Subject: Re: JTF-POTUS: JSM Pics

BWC made it sound like VADM went down to JSM and took his own pictures. I guess (b) (b) (who was BWC) at the time was talking to the JSM OOD and heard the VADM get bonged on. They also eluded to the request going direct from Aquilino to Sawyer.

I don't know if they were messing with me, but they said everyone has the info they need right now.

LCDR (b) (6) JUSN		
EOD/Salvage Officer, Future Operations (N	355) U.S. SEVENTH	b
		6
)
From: (b) (6) LCDR USN C7F		
Sent: Sunday, May 26, 2019 2:20 AM		
To: (b) (6) LCDR USN C7F		
Subject: RE: JTF-POTUS: JSM Pics		
Copy. Do you know who was taking the pic	tures? WASP?	
V/r		
(b) (6)		
From: (b) (6) LCDR USN C7F (b) (6)	@fe.navy.mil <mailto((b) (6)<="" td=""><td>@fe.navy.mil>></td></mailto((b)>	@fe.navy.mil>>
Date: Sunday, May 26, 2019, 11:06		at
To: (b) (6) LCDR USN C7F < b) (6 Subject: RE: JTF-POTUS: JSM Pics	@fe.navy.mil<(b) (6)	@fe.navy.mil>>
Sorry, unencrypted note below, nothing tin	ne sensitive	

Gents,

The BWC filled me this morning about VADM needing pictures of JSM. Please keep me in the loop if there is any additional info you need or if anything needs to be run down.

Very Respectfully,

(b) (6) EOD/Salvage Officer, Future Operations (N355) U.S. SEVENTH Fleet (b) (6)

----Original Message-----From: (b) (6) LCDR USN C7F Sent: Sunday, May 26, 2019 11:04 AM To: (b) (6) LCDR USN C7F <(b) (6) @fe.navy.mil> Subject: RE: JTF-POTUS: JSM Pics

(6) (6)

Can't see on phone. Anything time sensitive?

V/r (b) (6)

Subject:	RE: Flag Traffic - Not for Distribution - RE:DV 1 follow up	
	LT USN C7F ay 29, 2019 1:30 AM DR USN C7F Not for Distribution - RE:DV 1 follow up	
FLAG TRAFFIC - NOT	FOR DISTRIBUTION	
Very respectfully,		
(b) (6) Flag Aide to the Com U.S. SEVENTH FLEET (b) (6)	mander	
Original Message From: Aquilino, John Sent: Saturday, May	C ADM USN COMPACFLT PEARL HI (USA) [mailto(b) (6) @navy.mil]	
To: Sawyer, Phillip (L (B6) Privacy @fe.r Subject: FW: DV 1 fo	CC19) < <mark>(b) (6)</mark> @lcc19.navy.mil>; Sawyer, Phillip G VADM USN C7F navy.mil>	
To: Sawyer, Phillip (L (B6) Privacy @fe.r	CC19) < <mark>(b) (6)</mark> @lcc19.navy.mil>; Sawyer, Phillip G VADM USN C7F navy.mil>	
To: Sawyer, Phillip (L (B6) Privacy @fe.r Subject: FW: DV 1 fo	CC19) < <mark>(b) (6)</mark> @lcc19.navy.mil>; Sawyer, Phillip G VADM USN C7F navy.mil>	
To: Sawyer, Phillip (L (B6) Privacy @fe.r Subject: FW: DV 1 fo SA V/R	CC19) < <mark>(b) (6)</mark> @lcc19.navy.mil>; Sawyer, Phillip G VADM USN C7F navy.mil> llow up quilino	
To: Sawyer, Phillip (L (B6) Privacy @fe.r Subject: FW: DV 1 fo SA V/R Lung ADM Chris "Lung" Ac Commander, US Paci From: (b) (6) Date: Friday, May 24	CC19) < (b) (6) @lcc19.navy.mil>; Sawyer, Phillip G VADM USN C7F havy.mil> llow up fuilino ific Fleet CAPT USN COMPACFLT PEARL HI (USA) < (b) (6) , 2019, 6:51 PM ADM USN COMPACFLT PEARL HI (USA) < (b) (6)	@navy.mil>> @navy.mil>>
To: Sawyer, Phillip (L (E6) Privacy @fe.r Subject: FW: DV 1 fo SA V/R Lung ADM Chris "Lung" Ac Commander, US Paci From: (b) (6) Date: Friday, May 24 To: Aquilino, John C	CC19) < (b) (6) @lcc19.navy.mil>; Sawyer, Phillip G VADM USN C7F havy.mil> llow up fuilino ific Fleet CAPT USN COMPACFLT PEARL HI (USA) < (b) (6) , 2019, 6:51 PM ADM USN COMPACFLT PEARL HI (USA) < (b) (6)	
To: Sawyer, Phillip (L (E6) Privacy @fe.r Subject: FW: DV 1 fo SA V/R Lung ADM Chris "Lung" Ac Commander, US Paci From: (b) (6) Date: Friday, May 24 To: Aquilino, John C Subject: FW: DV 1 fo	CC19) < <mark>b (6) @</mark> @lcc19.navy.mil>; Sawyer, Phillip G VADM USN C7F navy.mil> llow up fic Fleet CAPT USN COMPACFLT PEARL HI (USA) < <mark>b (6)</mark> , 2019, 6:51 PM ADM USN COMPACFLT PEARL HI (USA) < <mark>b (6)</mark> llow up	@navy.mil>>

(b) (5)

Standing by to discuss.

V/P INTO

				_
Origina	I Message		-	
From: (b) (A CONTRACT OF THE OWNER OF THE OWNER OF THE			
	day, May 16, 2019 11:33 AM			
To: (b) (6)	CAPT USN, (C7F) <	(b) (6) @lcc19	.navy.mil>;	
(b) (6)	CDR (C7F) (b) (6)	@lcc19.navy.	mil>;	
(b) (6)	CDR USN, (C7F) <(b) (6)	@lcc19.navy.mil>;	(b) (6)	CDR USN, CTF 70'
<(b) (6)	@ctf70.navy.mil>; (b) (6)	@fe.navy.mil' <(b) (6)	@fe.navy.r	mil>
Cc: (b) (6)	LCDR USN, (C7F) (b)	(6) Icc19.na	vy.mil>;	
(b) (6)	LCDR (C7F) <(b) (6) @lc	c19.navy.mil>; (b) (6)	@fe.na	avy.mil
Subject: Ac	ditional POTUS planning factor	and the second s		

COS (b) (6) and Team,

BLUF: INDOPACOM provided some information below about the JSM needing to be out of sight on Yokosuka during the visit. They are still working to determine what that actually means, but wanted to provide now for planning purposes. Will advise upon clarification.

LCDR (b) (6) USN NEF/EOD/MIW Officer (N382), U.S. SEVENTH (b) (6) @pacom.mil> on behalf of USINDOPACOM JOC AIR From: (b) (6) Maj USINDOPACOM J3 (b) (6) (B6) Privacy @navy.mil> Sent: Thursday, May 16, 2019 1:20 AM Capt USAF AFELM PACOM NAVRESFORCOM; USINDOPACOM JOC AIR; (b) (6 To: (b) (6) (USA)' CIV USN COMPACELT (USA); (b) (6 CDR USN COMSUBPAC PEARL (US); (b) (b) Cc: (b) (6) LCDR USN COMPACELT N3 (USA); (b) (6) LCDR USN C7F Subject: RE: 7th Fleet/RDML LeClair

Very Respectfully,

b) (6)

Copy on the McCain - first I heard of it as well. I'll work with WHMO to get more info on it, and if that's an official tasking I'll include it in the FRAGO. Are you able to get info to discuss ramifications if she needs to be moved?

V/r,

rom: (b) (6)	NAVRESFORCOM <(b) (6)	@navy.mil>	
ent: Wednesd	ay, May 15, 2019 3:10 PM		
o: USINDOPAC	COM JOC AIR (B6) Privacy @navy.mil>; (b) (6)	Capt USAF AF	ELM PACOM (USA)'
(b) (6)	mil@mail.mil>		
Cc: (b) (6)	CDR USN COMSUBPAC PEARL (US) < (b) (6)	@navy.mil>; (b) (6)	CIV USN COMPACEL
USA) <(b) (6)	@navy.mil>; (b) (6) LCDR USN COM	PACFLT N3 (USA) <(b) (6)	@navy.mil>;
b) (6) @fe.	navy.mil		
ubject: RE: 7th	n Fleet/RDML LeClair		
(b) (6)			

In response to 1. and 3. in your email below:

1. We have CPF to C7F FOS RFI out now with suspense of 1800W today for full detailed risk assessment of WSP and RRN POTUS support, understanding WSP needs to be pier side morning of 24th (latest) and that RRN is the primary resource if WSP is unable.

2. This is the first I have seen about the McCain being out of sight. Recommend this in FRAGO when you issue the WSP/RRN timeline update.

In receipt of your latest VTC invite for tomorrow afternoon. Hopefully WHMO will be on the line. Thanks,

Vr, <mark>(b) (6</mark>)			
From: (b) (6)	, Maj USINDOPACOM J3 <(b) (6)	@pacom.mil> On Beh	alf Of USINDOPACOM JOC AIR
Sent: Wednesday	, May 15, 2019 11:55 AM		
To: (b) (6)	NAVRESFORCOM <(b) (6)	@navy.mil>; (b) (6)	Capt USAF AFELM
PACOM (USA)'			
<(b) (6)	mil@mail.mil>		
Subject: FW: 7th	Fleet/RDML LeClair		

Capt (b) (6) /CDR (b) (6)

Please see below excerpt from discussions between WHMO and C7F. Need all supporting units to understand the requirement for rehearsals. Hopefully the WASP can get there early enough. Also, please let me know the latest on the deck landing requirement. There is a lot of stray voltage out there right now about what is actually required. There is no expectation for the CH-47s to land on an LHD. My understanding is the HH-60 deck landing is somehow dependent on what the HMX-1 white-tops can do on the KAGA. Let me know when this is clarified with the WHMO team in Japan. Also, please confirm #3 will be satisfied.

1. WASP needs to be in port NLT 24 May (22 May desired) for HMX rehearsal.

If not, we need to keep the Reagan in port for the rehearsal and event.

2. Need to use a landing area closer to the JS Kaga for the press heavy lift helo so they can get in place. Staff helicopter can land in the ball field but press helo needs to be closer 3. USS John McCain needs to be out of sight.

V/r,

(b) (6)

From:	(CAPT (C7F)
Tö:	(a) (b) LCDR USN, C7F
Cc:	(b) (6) [@fe.navy.smil.mil"; (b) (6) [] @fe.navy.smil.mil
Subject:	RE: (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)
Date:	Thursday, May 16, 2019 12:42:20 AM

Got the same from WHMO today - I passed to CAPT . It means the JSM name cannot be visible from the WSP and DV 1 locations. If it is visible in the dock from there (Can't remember the visuals from that location) then we will have to get SRF to cover it.


CoS

CAPT (7) (7)
Chief of Staff
SEVENTH Fleet
Embeded on USE DI LIE DIDCE (LOC 10)
Embarked on USS BLUE RIDGE (LCC-19)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
는 것은 사람이 있는 것이 있다. 것은 사 <mark>가에 있는 것이 있는 것이 가지 않는 것이 없다.</mark>
이는 것 같은 것 같은 것 같은 것 같은 것 같은 것 같은 것을 수 있을까?

Classification (1976)

tere,

\$1 (1) (A)





Classification:

From	(C) (C) LCDR USN, C7F
To:	G CAPT USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA)
Cc:	(b) (b) CIV USN (USA)
Subject:	$Fw: (b)(\Lambda) \to (h, h) \to (h, h) \to (h, h)$
Date:	Friday, June 14, 2019 3:37:00 PM

CAPT (b) (b)

Forwarding the final potentially responsive document, as referenced in my last email, to ensure you are able to access.



-----Original Message----From: (2) (3) CDR USN, C7F Sent: Sunday, June 09, 2019 10:43 PM To: (2) (3) LCDR USN, C7F < (2) (6) @lce19.navy.smil mil> Subject: (1) (1) (A)

(a) (6)

Email forwarded below germane to the FOIA request.

Let me know if in receipt - SIPR exchange issues persist.

VR/


Original Message From: Or Control LCDR USN, C7F
Sent: Monday, May 20, 2019 7:15 AM
Cc: (f) (6) CAPT USN, C7F < (b) (6) @lcc19 navy.smil.mil>;
(b) (6) CDR (C7F) < (b) (2) @lcc19 navy.smil.mil>, (b) (6)
LCDR (C7F) < 0 6 @lec19 navy.smil.mil>; 0 6 USN, C7F < 0 6 @lec19 navy.smil.mil>
Subject: (b) (1) (A) // (a) // (b) //

Classification: (b) (4) (A)

****##{6}1

的行行行在了中国的任何。在中国的中国的公司,但是他们的管理的问题。









Classification (0) (1) (A)

From:	(b) (c) the LCDR USN_CZF
To:	(b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c
Cc:	(2) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3
Subject	
Date:	Friday, May 17, 2019 11:25:09 AM
Attachments:	

Classification:




(6)(6)(4)

----Original Message----From: (D) (D) (CAPT (C7F) Sent: Friday, May 17, 2019 11:23 AM To: D) (D) (CAPT USN, C7F < D) (ce19 navy.smil.mil> CAPT USN, C7F < D) (ce19 navy.smil.mil>; D) (ce19 nav

(A) (A) (A) (A)






-----Original Message----From: LCDR USN, C7F Sent: Friday, May 17, 2019 10:55 AM To: CAPT (C7F) < 0 (0) [cc19:navy.smil.mil> CCPR (C7F) < 0 [cc19:navy.smil.mil>; 0) (0) [cc19:navy.smil.mil>; 0) (0) CDR (C7F) < 0 [cc19:navy.smil.mil>; 0) (0) [cc19:navy.smil.mil>; 0) [cc19:navy.smil.mi

Classification:

Serie (6) (6) (

이 제 학생님님, 이 가슴 있는 것이 이 이 것을 하는 물관 환율을 하는 자꾸 것 같아. 이 가슴 가슴이 가슴?



(b) (1) (A) (EE)

(b)	(1)(A)E	•••	(b):(S)	
$\langle b \rangle$	$\langle \uparrow \rangle \langle A \rangle =$			
$\langle 0 \rangle$	$\langle 1 \rangle \langle A \rangle$		a set a s	-

(6) 得得的的第三人

From:	Koehler, Stephen T RADM USN PACOM PC33 (USA)
To:	CAPT USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA)
Cc:	Aquilino, John C ADM USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA); (b) (6) COMPACELT PEARL HI
	(USA)
Subject:	RE: (4) (4) (4) (3) (3)
Date:	Saturday, May 25, 2019 12:13:39 PM

Thanks 🔛 🖇

RADM Steve "Web' Koehler Director for Operations J-3 US Pacific Command

----Original Message----From: CAPT USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA) (mavy.smil.mil> Sent: Saturday, May 25, 2019 12:02 PM To: Koehler, Stephen T RADM USN PACOM PCJ3 (USA) (mavy.smil.mil> Ce: Aquilino, John C ADM USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA) (mavy.smil.mil>; (USA) (mavy.smil.mil>; Subject: FW: ())

Classification:

観察

Classification:

(b) (1) (A) (b)(6) (c) (c) (c) (c) (b) (1) (A) (b) (1) (A) (c) (c) (c) (b) (1) (A) (c) (c) (c) (c)

Thanks


에는 제품은 대한 관련 관련 것을 확여할 수 있는 것을 수 있다. 관련 수 있는



Original Message
From: CAPT (C7F)
[mailto] @lcc19.navy.smil.mil]
Sent: Thursday, May 16, 2019 4:30 AM
To: O (C) @whmo.eop.sgov.gov>
Cc: Newson, Robert A. <0/6/
@whmo.eop.sgov.gov>; Newson, Robert A. < @whmo.eop.sgov.gov>;
@whmo.eop.sgov.gov>; Doss, Clayton CDR (C7F)
@lcc19.navy.smil mil>; () () CAPT (C7F-COS)
(ONE-NET) (O) (O) (O) (O) (O) (O) (O) (O) (O) (O
Subject: RE: (D) (1) (A)
77528419

Latest:

*(b):(1):(A)



-----Original Message-----

From: (%) (6). @whmoteop.sgov.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, May 15, 2019 8:34 PM To: CAPT (C7F) (

(c) @whmo.eop.sgov.gov>; Newson; Robert A. (D) (G) @whmo.eop.sgov.gov>;
(a) (5) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c
@lcc19.navy.smil mil>; (D) (CAPT (C7F-COS)
(ONE-NET) < (2) (2) @fe navy.smil.mil>
Subject: RE: (1) (1) (1)

.

Classification:

.


Classification:

From:
To:
Subject:
Date:
Attachments:

CAPT (C7F) CAPT USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA) (b) 11 (A) Friday, May 24, 2019 2:52:00 PM RE

1) (A)

 $\langle b \rangle \langle G \rangle$,



Froin: To: Cc:	Doss. Cayton CDR (C7F) CAPT (C7F) Doss. Clayton CDR (C7F); CAPT (C7F-COS) (CONTENTED)
Subject: Date:	RE: C. (A) (A) Thursday, May 16, 2019 2:29:27 AM
Classification:	
(B) (1) (A) (B) (B) (1) (A)	
的意思。如何	
(5)(()(A))	
2011 2011	
To: (0) (0) Cc: (0) (0) (0) (0)	Age CAPT (C7F) [mailto ay 16, 2019 4:30 AM whmo.eop.sgov.gov>- whmo.eop.sgov.gov>: whmo.eop.sgov.gov>: (C7F) < (C7F) (C7F-COS) (ONE-NET) fe navy.smil.mil>
Latest:	
(6)	
(数) (例) (例) (例)	

Original Message From: D(2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2)
Classification:



----Original Message-----From: CAPT (C7F) [mailto [20] [cc19 navy.smil.mil]

Sent: Wednesday, May 15, 2019 8:39 AM


Sent: Wednesday, May 15, 2019 8:39 AM To: Office and the sequence of the sequ	gov>;
(o) (o) (6)	

----Original Message-----

From: (0).(0) whmo.eop.sgov.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, May 15, 2019 12:36 PM To: CAPT (C7F) < CAPT (C7F) <

Classification:





(1) (A)

((<u>)</u>

Sent: Monday, May 13, 2019 5:31 PM To: (5) (5) 384-68) [{\$\$ whmo.eop.sgov.gov> Ce: (b) (6) whmo.eop.sgov.gov>; whmo.eop.sgov.gov>;
 Whino.eop.sgov.gov>;
 0

 Doss, Clayton CDR (C7F)
 @lcc19 navy.smil mil>;
 winno.eop.sgov.gov>: CAPT (C7F-COS) (ONE-NET) 個の対象に fe navy.smil.mil> Subject: RE (0) (1) (A)

CAPT (C7F) [mailto (0) (0) [cc19 navy.smil.mil]

----Original Message-----

From: (2) (8)

(6)98(1) (P)

的组织的



-----Original Message-----

From: (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) whmo.eop.sgov.gov]

Sent: Monday, May 13, 2019 5:20 PM

To: 10) (6) CAPT (C7F) (6) (6) closed a loc 19 navy smil mil>

 Cc;
 white.eop.sgov.gov>;
 white.eop.sgov.gov>;

 Co;
 white.eop.sgov.gov>;
 white.eop.sgov.gov>;

 Doss, Clayton CDR (C7F)
 @lcc19 navy.smil mil>;
 CAPT (C7F-COS) (ONE-NET)

 fe navy.smil.mil>;
 fe navy.smil.mil>;
 CAPT (C7F-COS) (ONE-NET)


Subject: RE: (0) (1) (A)

Classification:

·学学学校 的 选



-----Original Message--From: (6) (6) CAPT (C7F) [mailto [cc19 navy.smil.mil] Sent: Monday, May 13, 2019 9:18 AM To: (5) (6) Cc: (5) (6) whmo.eop.sgov.gov> whmo.eop.sgov.gov>; whmo.eop.sgov.gov>; $|b\rangle\langle \hat{\sigma}\rangle$ whmo.eop.sgov.gov>; ()) (0) whmo.eop.sgov.gov>; Doss, Clayton CDR (C7F) 400 (8) @lcc19 navy.smil mil>; 😒 🐼 CAPT (C7F-COS) (ONE-NET) 489 (S) fe navy.smil.mil> Subject: RE(D) (D) (A)



(riginal Message
	22 No. 1 and a second sec

- <u>1995 (</u>1997) whmo.eop.sgov.gov] From: C. C.

Sent: Monday, May 13, 2019 12:07 PM

To: (0) (6) CAPT (C7F) lcc19.navy.smil mil>

Cc: (b) (b) whmo.eop.sgov.gov>; winno.eop.sgov.gov>: (8) (8) whmo.eop.sgov.gov>; Doss, Clayton CDR (C7F) <0. (C7F) @lec19 navy suil mil>; (C7F) CAPT (C7F-COS) (ONE-NET)

 fe navy.smil.mil>

Subject: RE: (D) (A)

Classification:

的复数分词

(1) (A) (b) (f) (6)



----Original Message-----From: CAPT (C7F) [mailto Sent: Friday. April 26, 2019 5:23 PM To: (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (c) white eop sgov.gov>; (b) (c) CAPT (C7F-COS) (ONE-NET) $\langle 3(5)\rangle\langle 6\rangle$ fe navy.smil.mil> Cc: (2) (2) whino.eop.sgov.gov>;[0] (6) whino.eop.sgov.gov>; 60 (6) whino.eop.sgov.gov>; $\langle b \rangle \langle b \rangle$ whmo.eop.sgov.gov>; Doss, Clayton CDR (C7F) < @ @lcc19 navy.smil mil> Subject: RE

<u>164 (127)</u> 04 (動物	(K) #44	1255			
1.1.		1.1.1.1	W N			



(1) (6) ··································	
	and the second

----Original Message-----

Sent: Friday, April 26, 2019 8:03 PM To: CAPT (C7F) < C (C) CAPT (C7F) < C (C) fe navy.smil mil>; C (C) CC: COS) (ONE-NET) CC: Whino.eop.sgov.gov>; C (C) Subject: RE

Classification:

(b) (1) (A): (b) (4) (c) (c) (b) (4) (A (1) the list terms in the size of the co-

2016

에는 사람이 있는 것은 사람이 있는 것이 있는 것이다. 이 가슴은 사람이 있는 것은 사람이 있는 것은 것은 것이다. 가슴은 가슴은 가슴을 가슴을 가슴을 가슴다. 같은 사람이 있는 것은 사람이 있는 것이 같은 것이 같은 것이다. 것은 것은 것은 것은 것은 것은 것은 것이다. 것은 것은 것은 것은 것은 것은 것이다. 것은 것은 것이 같은 것이다. 것은 것은 것이

的复数含量

:U/@


<pre></pre> <pre>////////////////////////////////////</pre>
Cc: 0) (6 whmo.eop.sgov.gov>; 0) 10 whmo.eop.sgov.gov>; 0) 10 whmo.eop.sgov.gov> Subject: RE: (0) 10
Sir,
Original Message From: [0,15] (0,16
Classification:
Original Message From: D (C) CDR (C7F) [mailtor (C7F) (mailtor (C7F) (C7F) (mailtor (C7F) (mailtor (C7F)
Co\$,
Got it, sir we're on it.

V/R.

----Original Message----From: CAPT (C7F) Sent: Wednesday, April 24, 2019 9:37 PM To: CAPT (C7F-COS) (ONE-NET) (CaPT (C7F-COS) (CAPT whine.eop.sgov.gov>; (2) (0) state and a whine.eop.sgov.gov>; (2) (0) state and a whine.eop.sgov.gov>

C7F PAO is in the to line - CDR Clay Doss.

Clay - get this worked ASAP with Charlie Brown at PACFLT and see what you can provide.



Sent: Wednesday, April 24, 2019 8:44 PM

 To:
 CAPT (C7F) <</td>
 Colored lec 19. navy.smil mil>;
 COS) (ONE-NET)
 CAPT (C7F-COS) (ONE-NET)

 Cc:
 Cos
 whmo.eop.sgov.gov>;
 Cos
 whmo.eop.sgov.gov>;
 Whmo.eop.sgov.gov>;

 Subject:
 RE
 (A)
 Cos
 Cos
 Whmo.eop.sgov.gov>;
 Cos

Classification:

, 19 AR

n in de la service de la company de la co La company de La company de



-----Original Message-----

From: (b) (b) CAPT (C7F) [mailto (b) (b) [mailto [b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (
Sent: Wednesday, April 24, 2019 7:41 AM
To: CAPT (C7F-COS) (ONE-NET)
< which is the fe navy smil.mil>
Cc: Cc: whino.eop.sgov,gov>: Co C
whino.eop.sgov.gov>; 00.00 whino.eop.sgov.gov>
Subject: RE: (()) (1) (A)

VR.			
	and a state of the s State of the state of	nta de rete en tra- tili	

-----Original Message----

From: (2) (2) [mailto (2) (5) whmo.eop.sgov.gov] Sent: Wednesday, April 24, 2019 11:20 AM To: (2) (CAPT (C7F) < (2) (2) [ce19.na COS) (ONE-NET) < (2) [ce19.na lce19.navy.smil mil>; (5) (6) CAPT (C7F-COS) (ONE-NET) < 0)/0) Cc: 07 (9) whno.eop.sgov.gov>; whino.eop.sgov.gov>; () (S) whmo.eop.sgov.gov>; whino.eop.sgov.gov>

Subject: RE: (D) (A)

Classification:

9) (1<u>) (</u>A) 01 (S) $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{C}}^{+}(\mathbb{H} \setminus \mathbb{A})$

erete inte

Subject: RE (b) (b) (b)

b) (1) (A)

的行行的部件

÷., (6)

的代码

-----Original Message-----From: CAPT (C7F) [mailto Control of Control of CAPT (C7F) [mailto Control of Capital Capital

whmo.eop.sgov.gov>; 🕓 🛞

whnio.eop.sgov.gov>;

wluno.eop.sgov.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, April 23, 2019 9:26 PM To: (0) (6) <(0) (6) Ce: (0) (6) (6) cAPT (C7F-COS) (ONE-NET)
fe navy.smil.mil>
whmo.eop.sgov.gov>; (0) (0)
fe navy.smil.mil>
whmo.eop.sgov.gov>; (0) (0)

----Original Message----From: (D) (C) [mailto [D) (C) whmo.eop.sgov.gov] Sent: Tuesday, April 23, 2019 6:00 PM To: CAPT (C7F) CAPT (C7F) fe.navy.smil mil> COS) (ONE-NET) Ce: (6) (6) whmo.eop.sgov.gov>;[02353] whmo.eop.sgov.gov>;[02353] whmo.eop.sgov.gov>; 的感觉的 whmo.eop.sgov.gov> Subject: RE: (0) (1) (A)

Classification:

(b)?(f)(A):(b)(6)(=====

(1) (1) (4)

(時(時(日)

Director, Operations
White House Military Office white House Military office white House Military office
Original Message From: Sent: Tuesday, April 23, 2019 12:32 PM To: CAPT (C7F)' < (2) (2) whmo.eop.sgov.gov> Subject: RE: (2) (1) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2)
Classification:
(b) (1) (A) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b





----Original Message-----From: CAPT (C7F) [mailto [10] [
 To:
 (a)
 (b)
 whmo.eop.sgov.gov>

 Cc:
 (b)
 (b)
 whmo.eop.sgov.gov>

 Subject:
 RE:
 (b)
 (b)







-----Original Message-----From: 00.061 [mailto () () whmo.cop.sgov.gov] Sent: Monday, April 22, 2019 2:34 PM To: (0) /(0) Ce: (0) /(6) CAPT (C7F-COS) (ONE-NET) - CAPT (C7F-COS) (ONE-NET) - CAPT (C7F) - CAP Subject: FW: (b) (b) (A)

Classification:

你们的你们。你你你是是是不同的们好你的问题。

DRIVA SER

Col, USAF 수 수 있는 것 Director, Operations White House Military Office (b).(C)

winno.eop.sgov.gov

NIPR: @whino mil

-----Original Message-----

From: LeClair. Theodore P RDML USN COMSEVENTHFLT (USA) Sent: Thursday, April 18, 2019 4:23 PM. whmo.eop.sgov.gov>: Davids, Keith B.

To: whuno.eop.sgov.gov>: Davids, Keith I 'Leclair. Ted P RDML USN, C7F' < Constraint @lcc19.navy.smil.mil>

<(0) (6) Ce: (0)/(6) wlimo.eop.sgov.gov> Subject: RE: (0) (0) (A


CLASSIFICATION:

(b) (1) (A) J (b) (1) (A) J (c) (A) Ted	

Rear Admiral Ted LeClair, USN Deputy Commander, U.S. 7th Fleet

Location \rightarrow CONUS






Special Assistant to the President Deputy Director, White House Military Office

Subject: RE: (b) (1) (A)
Classification:
(4)(1)(4)(4)(4)(4)(4)(4)(4)(4)(4)(4)(4)(4)(4)(
Rob
Original Message From: Davids, Keith B. Sent: Friday, April 12, 2019 6:47 PM To: 'Leclair, Ted P RDML USN, C7F' (C)
Classification:
C) (1) (A) Kenth
Keith Davids Deputy Assistant to the President Director, White House Military Office
Original Message From: Leclair, Ted P RDML USN, C7F [mailto] Sent: Friday, April 12, 2019 1:15 AM To: Davids, Keith B CAPT (C7F) - CAPT (C7F) - Subject:
Classification: (0)((1)(A))
Keith:

Important: (*) (*) (*)
Ted
Rear Admiral Ted LeClair, USN Deputy Commander, U.S. 7th Fleet
Location \rightarrow (2) (1) (3)
Classification: (C) (T) (A) (C)

To:	CPF CDO (CDO) Dalton, Marc H RADM USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA); Mager, William Grant (Grant) RDML USN CHIEF NAVY
Câ	RESERVE (USA) CTR USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA); CDR USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA); LCDR USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA); LCDR USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA); LCDR USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA); COR USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA);
	(US); CIV (USA); LCDR USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA); CIV USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA); LCDR USN (USA); LCDR USN (USA); COMPACELT N3 (USA); CIV OSN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA); COR USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA); CIV OSN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA); COR USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA); CIV USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA); COR USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA); CTR USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA); CAPT USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA); CTR USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA); CAPT USN CIV USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA); CAPT USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA); CAPT USN CIV USN COMPACELT N3 (USA); CAPT USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA); CAPT USN CDR USN COMPACELT N3 (USA); CAPT USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA); CONPACELT N3 (USA);
	(USA); LCDR.USN.COMPACELT.PEARL.HI.(USA); LCIV.USN.COMPACELT.PEARL.HI.(USA); HI.(USA); LCIV.USN.COMPACELT.(USA); LCIV.USN.COMPACELT.PEARL.HI. PEARL.HI.(USA); LCIV.USN.COMPACELT.PEARL.HI. LCIV.USN.COMPACELT.PEARL.HI. (USA); LCIV.USN.COMPACELT.PEARL.HI. LCIV.USN.COMPACELT.PEARL.HI. (USA); LCIV.USN.COMPACELT.PEARL.HI. LCIV.USN.COMPACELT.PEARL.HI. (USA); LCIV.USN.COMPACELT.PEARL.HI.USA); LCIV.USN.COMPACELT.PEARL.HI.(USA); NAVFAC.PAC.EXWC. LCIV.USN.COMPACELT.PEARL.HI.(USA); LCIV.USN.COMPACELT.PEARL.HI.(USA); CIV.USN.COMPACELT.PEARL.HI.(USA); LCIV.USN.COMPACELT.PEARL.HI.(USA); LCIV.USN.COMPACELT.PEARL.HI.(USA);
Subject: Date: Importance:	CIV USN COMPACELTIOSAL CAPT USCG (USA); CONTROL COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA); A CAPT USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA); CAPT USN COMPACELT (USA); COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA); CAPT USN COMPACELT (USA); CAPT USN COMPACELT (USA); COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA); CAPT USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA); CAPT USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA); COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA); CAPT USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA); CAPT USN COMPACELT PEARL HI (USA); Monday, May 20, 2019 9:20:50 PM High
Importance:	High
Classification:	
Classification:	
Classification: (
Classification: (
Classification: ((b) (1) (A) ((b) (1) (A) ((c) (1) (A) ((b) (1) (A) ((c) (2) (A) ((c) (C) (C) (C) ((c) (C) (C) (C) (C) ((c) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) ((c) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C	
 (b) (1) (A) (b) (1) (A) (c) (1) (A) (c) (1) (A) (c) (1) (A) (c) (2) (2) (c) (2)<	
 (b) (1) (A) (b) (1) (A) (c) (1) (A) (c) (1) (A) (c) (1) (A) (c) (2) (2) (c) (2)<	ssage






.





가 있는 것이다. 이상 것은 것은 것은 이상에 있는 것이 있는 것이다. 이상 것은 것은 것이 가지 않는 것은 것이 가지 않는 것이다. 이 같은 것이 같은 것은 것은 것이 같은 것이 같은 것이 같은 것이다.


일 같은 여름 도망을 못한 것이 없는 것을 가지 않는 것이다.











(0) (0) (A)	
$\begin{array}{c} (b) \left(1 \right) \left(A \right) \\ (c) \left(c \right)$	
(0), (1), (A), (3), (3), (3), (3), (3), (3), (3), (3	
	-

(b) (6) ;
(b) (1) (A) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b
(0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0)
(b) (1) (A) [(b) (1) (A) [(b) (1) (A)
(b) (1) (A) (b) (1) (A) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c)
(6) (1) (A) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4
$ \begin{array}{c} \left(\left(0, 1 \right) \right) \left(0 \right) \left(1 \right) \left(A \right) \\ \left(\left(0, 1 \right) \right) \left(A \right) \\ \left(\left(0, 1 \right) \right) \left(A \right) \\ \left(\left(0, 1 \right) \right) \left(A \right) \\ \left(\left(0, 1 \right) \right) \left(A \right) \\ \left(\left(0, 1 \right) \right) \\ \left(A \right) \\ \left(\left(0, 1 \right) \right) \\ \left(A \right) \\ \left(\left(0, 1 \right) \right) \\ \left(A \right) \\ \left(\left(0, 1 \right) \right) \\ \left(A \right) \\ \left(\left(0, 1 \right) \right) \\ \left(A \right) \\ \left($
$ \begin{array}{c} (b) (1) (A) \\ (b) (6) \\ \hline (b) (6) \\ \hline (c) (A) \\ \hline (c) (c) (A) \\ \hline (c) (c) (c) \\ \hline (c) \hline \hline (c) \\ \hline (c) \hline (c) \\ \hline (c) \\ \hline (c) \hline (c) \\ \hline (c) \hline (c) \\ \hline (c) \hline (c) \hline \hline (c) \hline (c) \\ \hline (c) \hline (c) \hline (c) \\ \hline (c) \hline (c) \hline \hline \hline (c) \hline \hline (c) \hline (c) \hline $

	12.4				
1. 1. 1. 1.	. 2 . 3 . 5 . 1	5			

(5) (6) (1) (A) (5) (8) (6) (7) (A)

(b) (1) (A) (b) (6) (b) (6)

网络科学学习学

(0) (1) (A) (0) (2) (1) (A) (1) (A) (1) (A)

(b) (1) (A) (1) (A) (0) (6) (1) (A) (0) (6) (1) (A) (1) (A) (1) (A) (1) (A)

 $(\mathbf{p}_{A}, \mathbf{q}_{A})$



Classification: