

Closed	2022/09/15 00:00:00	2022/09/18 00:00:00	2022/09/18 00:00:00	2023/03/06 00:00:00	USDCJ @ US COURTHOUSE - BALT.	4	MD/D	Arrest/Closure	2023/03/06 10:04:39	On September 15, 2022, DUSM (b)(6); began investigating (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); is the alleged author of a dialogue of inappropriate email communications that were sent to United States District Court Judge (USDCJ) (b)(6); (b)(6); between September 15, 2022, and January 11, 2023. DUSM (b)(6); utilized law enforcement and open-source database systems to investigate the matter involving (b)(6); (b)(6);. On October 14, 2022, the Judicial Security Division, Open-Source (b)(7)(E) Unit (b)(7)(E) investigated (b)(6); online and social media accounts. The online investigation did not reveal any threats or mentions of United States Marshals Service protected persons or facilities on any of the accounts associated with (b)(6);. On January 11, 2023, USDCJ (b)(6); received an inappropriate email correspondence from (b)(6); (b)(6); following an incident in which (b)(6); (b)(6); sent process servers to USDCJ (b)(6); courtroom. On January 12, 2023, Senior Inspector (b)(6); coordinated with Baltimore Police Department to increase Patrols around USDCJ (b)(6); residence. On January 16, 2023, DUSM (b)(6); submitted a second USM-640 Request for Information (RFI) to (b)(7)(E). On January 30, 2023, (b)(7)(E) replied stating that they reviewed the (b)(7)(E) accounts that were located on the previous USM-640 RFI Response associated with (b)(6);. There appeared to be no recent activity on any of these accounts. (b)(7)(E) did not observe any threats or mentions to USDCJ Judge (b)(6); USMS protected persons or facilities on these accounts. (b)(7)(E) did reveal four additional accounts for (b)(6);. However, there has been no recent activity on these accounts. (b)(7)(E) did not observe any threats or mentions to USDCJ (b)(6); USMS protected persons or facilities on these accounts. Out of all the accounts (b)(6); appears to be most active on (b)(7)(E). His (b)(7)(E) account is filled with several first amendment audits in which he attempts to gain access to government buildings. (b)(6); intent is to gain access to these buildings while disrupting business with the intent to provoke a reaction by a public employee, that can be posted on social media. In many of these posts (b)(6); believes he has the legal right to be there and becomes confrontational, until he gets what he wants. Throughout the documented encounters, he remains calm and eventually complies with law enforcement. (b)(6); has not attempted to contact USDCJ (b)(6); since the last email sent on January 11, 2023. Currently, (b)(6); does not appear to be a threat toward USDCJ (b)(6); or any other protected person. This preliminary assessment is closed.	Suspicious Behavior	Email/Internet			
Closed	2022/09/24 00:00:00	2022/09/26 00:00:00	2022/09/26 00:00:00	2022/10/04 00:00:00	JUDGE	4	MD/D	Arrest/Closure	2022/10/04 12:03:02	On September 29, 2022, DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); received information from JSD and his USM-640 request that showed there was no mentions of any legal issues, court issues, or real commentary online at all for (b)(6); (b)(6); or (b)(6); (b)(6);. After going over this information and conferring with (b)(6); DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); it is the conclusion that this Preliminary Assessment will be closed and there was no active threat that could be validated. Case closed on October 4, 2022.	Direct Threat	Telephone	2022/09/26 00:00:00		

Closed	2022/09/20 00:00:00	2022/09/20 00:00:00	2022	2023/02/08 00:00:00	(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)	4	VA/E	Arrest/Close	2023/02/08 15:36:22	Senior Inspector (SI) (b)(6); (b)(6); e-mailed a nationwide canvas requesting any information regarding (b)(6); e-mailing recruiting mailboxes with disjointed and conspiratorial messages directed at (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); and (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) . From that request District Threat Investigator (DTI) (b)(6); (b)(6); received 4 positive responses from the District of North Dakota (D/ND), District of South Dakota (D/SD), District of Colorado (D/CO), and Southern District of Iowa (S/IA). All reporting districts confirmed receiving the same e-mail and 7 attachments that were sent to the Eastern District of Virginia (E/VA) recruitment mailbox. Since the original confirmation, none of the above-mentioned districts reported having received further communication from (b)(6); <u>Investigative Activity:</u> (b)(6); is a self-proclaimed US Navy Veteran that exhibits possible mental issues. It has been confirmed through the FBI in May 2022 that although (b)(6); states he worked for the US Navy, (b)(6); has no record with the Department of Defense. His continuous claims of witnessing murders, recruitment of extremist groups and government corruption have not led to any verifiable findings (b)(7)(E) was unable to locate an online presence for (b)(6); or a permanent residence, but it is believed he is in the Los Angeles, California area. (b)(6); background has been investigated thoroughly using various law enforcement entities and databases throughout this investigation. The USMS asked for the assistance of the (b)(7)(E) due to all agencies having been listed as having contact with (b)(6); in the past. Collectively their reported interactions with (b)(6); haven't led to any serious findings and were all subsequently closed without further reported actions against (b)(6); <u>Mitigating Factors/Motive/Intent/Ability:</u> Due to (b)(6); apparent mental health issues, Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD) confirmed that they have had 2 prior interactions with (b)(6); through the LAPD's Mental Evaluation Unit (MEU). Even though they were called to meet with (b)(6); (b)(6); statements and appearance did not concern the LAPD enough to be able to legally hold (b)(6); for an involuntary mental health evaluation. In May 2022, FBI agents identified that (b)(6); was experiencing homelessness and living out of his vehicle. Further, all his interactions with law enforcement have been in California, suggesting he does not have the monetary means to travel outside of state. In July 2022, the FBI determined that (b)(6); did not possess a risk of harm to national security or the public and subsequently closed their investigation. Although (b)(6); behavior is bizarre and sporadic there has been no escalation of his rhetoric in past and present communications to the USMS or other law enforcement agencies. It does not appear that (b)(6); has any intention of causing harm to any USMS protected persons. Due to the information provided above, DTI (b)(6); requests the closure of this Protective Investigation. Should (b)(6); escalate his rhetoric in any way a new protective assessment will be opened to evaluate the appropriate protective measures and mitigation strategies.	Bizarre or Unreasonable Solicitation	Email/Internet			
--------	---------------------	---------------------	------	---------------------	-------------------	---	------	--------------	---------------------	--	--------------------------------------	----------------	--	--	--

Closed	2022/09/08 00:00:00	2022/09/10 00:00:00	2022	2023/05/30 00:00:00		4	MD/D	Arrest/Close	2022/12/29 15:06:29	The following are the investigative findings, efforts, and judicial security mitigations of the United States Marshals service (USMS) and the District of Maryland (D/MD). In reference to USMS case # (b)(7)(E) these are the investigative findings as of 12/29/2022: Along with investigative findings by the USMS, DUSM (b)(6) has engaged in the following investigative efforts: -On 10/31/2022 at approximately 1200 hours, (b)(6); (b)(6); was located at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) by members of the Capital Area Regional Fugitive Task Force (CARTF) and subsequently arrested on two outstanding states warrants from PG county and VA. Upon interacting with (b)(6); he stated to investigators ' I am a federal agent with Third Eye Federal Security Services' further inquiring if the law enforcement officer's (LEO's) were affiliated with Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) and or the National Security Agency (NSA) . (b)(6); behavior was erratic and showed signs that he may be suffering from mental illness, referencing my knowledge, training, and experience as a LEO. - On December 7, 2022, DUSM (b)(6); received the extraction of (b)(6); personal cellphone, and the FBI returned the physical phone to DUSM (b)(6); and the USMS. - On December 12, 2022, (b)(6); was seen for his Initial Appearance (IA) in the Federal courthouse in Baltimore, MD. - On December 15, 2022, (b)(6); was seen for his Detention Hearing and DUSM (b)(6); Testified in Grand Jury to indict (b)(6); on his federal charge. - On December 18, 2022 DUSM (b)(6); found images and text on the disc containing (b)(6); personal cellphone information (extracted) to affirm the text sent to DUSM (b)(6); phone came from the phone, owned and operated by (b)(6); - On December 29, 2022 DUSM (b)(6); completed the search of the content of (b)(6); phone and informed the AUSA on the case. Based on the investigative efforts and findings the USMS and D/MD have taken the following security precautions and postures, to provide DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and his family the appropriate security profile. - (b)(6); is currently incarcerated in Prince Georgia's County Department of Corrections. - (b)(6); is being currently prosecuted in the district of Maryland for federal charges in violation of 18 USC 115 threatening a federal law enforcement officer.	Suspicious Behavior	Email/Internet			
Closed	2021/12/10 00:00:00	2021/12/10 00:00:00	2022	2022/09/26 00:00:00	U.S. MAGISTRATE	4	MD/D	Arrest/Close	2022/09/02 09:07:28	The following investigation was conducted by DTI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(E) on September 1, 2022 in the District of Maryland: There has been no additional communications or updates in this case since December 10, 2021. All District of Maryland parties associated with this investigation were advised to contact the USMS in D/MD if new communication has occurred. This case will be closed to the lack activity.	Direct Threat	Informant			
Closed	2021/12/10 00:00:00	2021/12/10 00:00:00	2022	2022/09/26 00:00:00	U.S. MAGISTRATE	4	MD/D	Arrest/Close	2022/09/26 12:02:05	This case is to be closed due to lack of threatening activity or communication.	Direct Threat	Informant			
Closed	2022/08/30 00:00:00	2022/09/01 00:00:00	2022	2023/05/30 00:00:00	U.S. CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE	4	MD/D	Arrest/Close	2022/09/26 14:00:23	THIS PROTECTIVE ASSESSMENT IS BEING CLOSED DUE TO LACK OF SUSPICIOUS OR THREATENING ACTIVITY .	Suspicious Behavior	Telephone			
Closed	2022/07/26 00:00:00	2022/07/29 00:00:00	2022	2022/09/06 00:00:00		4	NC/E	Arrest/Close	2022/09/06 11:59:00	This report is to document the continued investigative efforts associated with case number (b)(7)(E). As of 09/06/2022, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has continued to be housed at Northern Neck Regional Jail in Virginia awaiting the completion of his court matters. Furthermore, DUSM (b)(6); has not been made aware of any additional information that would require further actions. Based on information developed during the course of the investigation, it is believed that the relocation of (b)(6); was an effective mitigation option; therefore, this case is closed at this time. ///Case Closed///	Veiled Threat	Telephone			

Closed	2022/07/26 00:00:00	2022/07/29 00:00:00	2022/09/06 00:00:00	2022/09/06 00:00:00	2022/09/06 00:00:00	4	NC/E	Arrest/Closure	2022/08/08 13:25:40	This report is to document the continued investigative efforts associated with case number (b)(7)(E). On 07/26/2022, the Eastern District of North Carolina was made aware of alleged witness intimidation to federal prisoner (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); regarding (b)(6); on 07/20/2022 following (b)(6); testimony in a case associated with federal prisoner (b)(6); (b)(6); (FID: (b)(7)(E); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); Deputy United States Marshal (DUSM) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(F); was informed that federal prisoner (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (FID: (b)(7)(E); housed at Bladen County Jail, contacted Ms. (b)(6); via phone call regarding having knowledge of (b)(6); testifying against (b)(6); during (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); July 18, 2022 trial heard before the Honorable United States District Court Judge (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); The phone number provided to the USMS for (b)(6); (b)(6); was (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); On 07/27/2022 (b)(6); (b)(6); (FID: (b)(7)(E); was successfully relocated to Northern Neck Regional Jail. On 7/27/2022 Deputy United States Marshal (DUSM) (b)(6); (b)(6); was provided access to jail calls and jail text messages that related to phone number (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); associated to (b)(6); (b)(6); Upon review of the jail call and jail text message to the aforementioned phone number, it was determined that the phone call received by Ms. (b)(6); which was associated to a federal prisoner by the name of (b)(6); (b)(6); (FID: (b)(7)(E); and the text message, associated to (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (FID: (b)(7)(E); that stated "Hey wassup", did not arise to the level of concern. As of 08/08/2022, DUSM (b)(6); has not been made aware of any additional information that would require further actions. Based on information developed during the course of the investigation, it is believed that the relocation of (b)(6); is an effective mitigation options; therefore, this case is closed at this time. ///End of Report///	Veiled Threat	Telephone			
Closed	2022/08/08 00:00:00	2022/08/09 00:00:00	2023/02/22 00:00:00	2023/02/22 00:00:00	2023/02/22 00:00:00	4	NC/E	Arrest/Closure	2023/02/22 14:58:57	This report is to document the continued investigative efforts associated with case number (b)(7)(E). As of 02/21/2023, DUSM (b)(6); had not been made aware of any communication made to the United States Clerks of Court by (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (FID: (b)(7)(E); but made aware of ongoing communication with Raleigh Police Department (RPD) based on conducting investigative searches through Law Enforcement databases. (b)(7)(E); search revealed the following incident that occurred on 02/02/2023 involving Raleigh Police Department and (b)(6); "On 02/03/23 I responded to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(E); concerning damage to property. When I arrived I met the victim, (b)(6); (b)(6); Mrs. (b)(6); said she was inside her residence at 320am when she heard something collide with her upstairs window causing a loud noise. Mrs. (b)(6); said she went upstairs and saw the plywood board she uses to cover the interior side of the window was split down the middle and screws were missing. Mrs. (b)(6); for unknown reasons had the plywood board attached to the interior side of the window with screws and said all her house windows have plywood boards attached to the interior side. While talking to Mrs. (b)(6); outside her residence I looked at the upstairs exterior side of the window she mentioned and did not see any damage. I also did not see anything suspicious near the exterior side of the window or in the yard that could have caused the alleged damage to the plywood board. Mrs. (b)(6); requested a report for the damage to property inside her residence. Mrs. (b)(6); was given a business card with a report number on it. No suspect or witness information was given." Completed Investigative Activity DUSM (b)(6); contacted the Raleigh Police Departments ACORNS (Addressing Crises through Outreach, Referrals, Networking, and Service) Unit on 01/27/2023 to inquire about interactions with (b)(6); DUSM (b)(6); spoke with (b)(6); (b)(6); who informed her that the ACORNS unit was familiar with (b)(6); and have had several interactions with her. Mr. (b)(6); stated that the ACORN unit attempted to involuntarily commit (IVC) (b)(6); during their 01/11/2023 interaction due to threats she was making but that (b)(6); refused to step outside and demanded RPD get off her property. Mr. (b)(6); stated that they were going to attempt another IVC in the next couple of days. Following the initial contact with Mr. (b)(6); on 01/27/2023, Mr. (b)(6); contacted DUSM (b)(6); via email and stated the following "I have been keeping up with Ms. (b)(6); numerous 911 calls and I actually was able to speak with her in person on Friday, but she quickly told me to get off of her property and that she did not need any help from ACORNS. She did not present any reason to take her into emergency custody as she barely spoke to us. However, while I was out there on Friday, (b)(6); was actually packing a moving truck and has moved out of the house since. Ms. (b)(6); is still calling 911 reporting that (b)(6); is messing with things in her house when she is no longer living next door. With (b)(6); no longer living next door, the are not any articulable facts to suggest Ms. (b)(6); is a threat to others as her fixation is with (b)(6); and she is not saying anything to suggest she is a harm to herself. I will continue to look into her calls, but I do not believe there is enough for an IVC right now." Additionally, DUSM (b)(6); contacted (b)(6); (b)(6); on 02/17/2023 regarding any communications with (b)(6); Ms. (b)(6); informed DUSM (b)(6); that she had continued to not receive any more communication from (b)(6); Upon review of PACER on 02/22/2023, it indicated that there were 14 active civil cases in which (b)(6); was the plaintiff. As of the same date, PACER also indicates that there have been no new cases filed in the Eastern District of North Carolina. Proposed Investigative Activity	Suspicious Behavior	Email/Internet			

Closed	2021/10/12 00:00:00	2021/10/13 00:00:00	2022	2021/12/27 00:00:00	ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY	4	VA/E	Arrest/Closure	2021/12/27 13:54:32	Case Background: From 7/28/21 through 10/18/2021, the United States Attorney's Office (USAO) in the Eastern District of Virginia (E/VA) received four inappropriate voicemails from a female caller identified by the Caller ID as (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). The voicemails reference Assistant United States Attorney (AUSA) (b)(6); (b)(6); and the (b)(6); (b)(6); case which is pending in the E/VA Alexandria Division. On 7/30/2021, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(F) opened a Protective Assessment (PA) in Capture under EVENT (b)(7)(E). This PA was elevated to a Protective Investigation (PI) on 10/13/2021 because of an escalation in (b)(6); rhetoric and focus on AUSA (b)(6);. During the investigation, E/VA PI Investigators determined that (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is likely a pseudonym utilized by (b)(6); (b)(6); a 55-year-old female with undiagnosed mental health conditions who lives with her boyfriend, (b)(6); (b)(6); in (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). On 11/12/2021, Deputy US Marshals from the Western District of Arkansas (W/AR) interviewed both (b)(6); and (b)(6);. During the interview, W/AR DUSMs confirmed that (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is a pseudonym used by (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); admitted to leaving the voicemails in question but stated that she had no intent to cause harm to AUSA (b)(6); (b)(6); stated that (b)(6); has neither the means to travel, nor the intent to harm AUSA (b)(6);. Furthermore, (b)(6); agreed to assist in third-party monitoring of (b)(6);. Mitigating Factors: During the interview with DUSMs from W/AR, (b)(6); expressed remorse for her actions and stated that though she feels a strong opposition to the prosecution of (b)(6); (b)(6); she never meant to imply that she would be willing to do harm to AUSA (b)(6); (b)(6); boyfriend, (b)(6); agreed to act as a third-party monitor, and stated that he would discourage (b)(6); from making further calls to the USAO in Alexandria. (b)(6); also agreed to contact the USMS if (b)(6); behavior and fixation on the (b)(6); case begins to escalate again. (b)(6); positive relationship with (b)(6); combined with (b)(6); willingness to discourage inappropriate behavior may greatly contribute to a de-escalation in the aggressive fixated behavior from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). According to (b)(6); (b)(6); has little means or ability to travel to cause harm to AUSA (b)(6); (b)(6); is currently unemployed and relies on (b)(6); for her finances. Though (b)(6); has access to a vehicle, she has never expressed a desire to travel to Virginia to seek out AUSA (b)(6);. Furthermore, there is no evidence to suggest that (b)(6); has done any kind of research to locate AUSA (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) residence. Though it appears that (b)(6); has been confrontational and argumentative in the past, there are no records indicating that (b)(6); has ever escalated to violence, and she has no violent criminal history. Motive, Intent, and Ability: TRIGGERING EVENT - The Triggering Event for this case was a series of phone calls and voicemails to the USAO regarding AUSA (b)(6); and that USAO's handling of the (b)(6); (b)(6); case. (b)(6); often made vaguely threatening statements in her voicemails, even going so far as to say that she would "begin taking things" from AUSA (b)(6); if he did not drop the case against (b)(6); (b)(6);. MOTIVE - (b)(6); motive for calling the USAO and threatening AUSA (b)(6); is the prosecution of (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); opposes the prosecution of (b)(6); (b)(6); and believes that the criminal case against him should be dismissed. In her voicemails, (b)(6); was very clear about her opposition to the case and her negative opinion of AUSA (b)(6); (b)(6); negative opinion of AUSA (b)(6); is likely a result of her reading any number of the numerous articles which have been published online about AUSA (b)(6);. Many of these opinion pieces portray AUSA (b)(6); in a negative light and accuse him of racial and religious discrimination. Such articles are often linked within other news articles regarding th	Conditional Threat	Telephone	2021/10/11 00:00:00
--------	---------------------	---------------------	------	---------------------	-------------------------	---	------	----------------	---------------------	---	--------------------	-----------	---------------------

Closed	2021/10/27 00:00:00	2021/10/28 00:00:00	2022/05/27 00:00:00	2022/05/27 14:16:28	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	4	VA/E	Arrest/Close	Case Background Since the opening of this Predicated Investigation (PI) on October 28th, 2021, USMS Eastern District of Virginia (E/VA) Protective Intelligence investigators have not found any corroborating information that confirmed the existence of legitimate threat posed by (b)(6); (b)(7)(E) to harm USMS protected persons. The sole reason for opening this investigation was (b)(7)(E) (b)(7)(E) (b)(7)(E) (b)(7)(E) (b)(6); (b)(6); being informed that allegedly (b)(6); was conducting pre-attack behavior directed at USMS protected persons. Assistant United States Attorney (AUSA) (b)(6); (b)(6); was contacted by a defense attorney, (b)(6); (b)(6); representing a defendant AUSA (b)(6); is prosecuting, (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); told Mr. (b)(6); that (b)(6); is in a pod with (b)(6); (b)(6); at Northern Neck Regional Jail (NNRJ). (b)(6); was the alleged leader of the "Reccless Tigers" Gang. (b)(6); stated that (b)(6); wanted (b)(6); to forward messages/mail for (b)(6); in an effort to have FBI SA (b)(6); USDCJ (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and AUSA (b)(6); (b)(6); killed. (b)(6); (b)(6); immediately began his investigation into these allegations, however, was apprehensive to believe the story reported by (b)(6); due to his notorious reputation for being a habitual liar and con artist. Completed Investigative Activity In order to expediate the investigation into (b)(6); allegations and to confirm the existence of such reported information, (b)(6); (b)(6); and District Threat Investigator (DTI) (b)(6); (b)(6); set up an interview of (b)(6); at the Richmond Federal Courthouse. On 11/1/2021, (b)(6); (b)(6); and DTI (b)(6); interviewed (b)(6);. In attendance for the interview was AUSA (b)(6); (b)(6); defense attorney, (b)(6); (b)(6); and FBI Special Agent (SA) (b)(6); (b)(6);. After an approximately 45-minute interview, all parties that participated in the interview agreed that the information that (b)(6); provided was false. (b)(6); behavior doing the interview, along with the lack of credible information concerning (b)(6); and any nefarious behavior he was conducted, proved to be discredited immediately. (b)(6); (b)(6); then reviewed a large amount of jail calls to identify if there was any existence of recorded telephonic communications made by the parties involved, especially (b)(6); that would allude to a threat to harm USMS protected persons. (b)(6); (b)(6); was unable to identify any such calls that (b)(6); made on his recorded jail lines. AUSA (b)(6); FBI SA (b)(6); and (b)(6); (b)(6); decided an additional appropriate investigative step to identify the legitimacy of the information (b)(6); reported, was to request that (b)(6); is polygraphed. The polygraph was officially requested of (b)(6); however, his defense attorney stated that (b)(6); declined it due to the United States Attorney's Office (USAO) not being willing to offer (b)(6); full immunity. (b)(6); was still pending sentencing for his production of child pornography case. Mitigating Factors As previously detailed, the facts and circumstances in this USMS (b)(7)(E) Investigation dictate that (b)(6); fabricated the story regarding (b)(6); (b)(6); alleged threatening behavior towards USMS protected persons. As of 5/27/22, approximately seven months since this investigation began, there has been no information reported or identified that involved (b)(6); (b)(6); conducting any nefarious acts. Additionally, all the detailed investigative work done to this point have neither corroborated any portion of (b)(6); alleged story, nor resulted in any further information that would warrant concern for harm posed to USMS protected persons. (b)(6); well-known history of lying and manufacturing details, has proven once again that (b)(6); allegations have no merit. Both the FBI and the USAO have consistently agreed with the USMS E/VA's analysis of this investigation. Motive, Intent, and Ability Due to the lack of credibility in (b)(6); allegations of (b)(6); there is no actual Intent of (b)(6);	Direct Threat	Informant	2021/10/28 00:00:00	2021/10/28 00:00:00
Closed	2021/11/01 00:00:00	2021/11/02 00:00:00	2023/02/16 00:00:00	2023/02/16 15:11:21	ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY	4	VA/W	Arrest/Close	The following memorandum concerns the active threat investigation of (b)(6); (b)(6);. In November 2021, the United States Marshals Service (USMS), Western District of Virginia, opened an investigation against (b)(6); due to threats he made, in writing, to both a federal Judge, as well as the United States Attorney's Office. Subsequently, (b)(6); was indicted for this offense and returned to the Western District of Virginia, via ASR. Further, (b)(6); is set for trial in the Charlottesville division, scheduled for late March 2023, case number (b)(7)(A); (b)(7)(C). Since returning to the Western District of Virginia, (b)(6); has made no further threats. Per his history of this type of offense, it's believed (b)(6); is likely seeking additional confinement to avoid being released from custody. (b)(6); is approaching his release date from the Federal Bureau of Prisons, which is scheduled for (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). The subject is considered indigent and has no known support group, including his estranged family, if released from custody. Therefore, it's believed (b)(6); is fearful of release and likely prefers confinement, where all basic needs are met. Lastly, no information during this investigation proved that (b)(6); has the means or ability to carry out any of the threats made. Due to the factors noted above the USMS will close interest in this case. However, if new information is developed that contradicts the above-noted, or if the subject makes a new threat, this case will be reopened. To date, (b)(6); remains in the custody of the USMS. CASE CLOSED- END REPORT-	Reference to Death, Suicide, Weapons, Violence, Assassinations, etc., Conditional Threat, Murder, Disjointed, Sinister or Otherwise Questionable Content, Bizarre or Unreasonable Solicitation, Expression of Extreme or Obsessive Admiration or Affection	Written	2021/11/01 00:00:00	2021/11/01 00:00:00

Closed	2021/11/19 00:00:00	2021/11/19 00:00:00	2022	2023/10/04 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	4	SC/D	Arrest/Closure	2023/10/04 10:45:13	As of September 25, 2023, (b)(6); FID# (b)(7)(F) has not sent any other threat letters to US District Judge (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) US Magistrate Judge (b)(6); (b)(6); or Assistant US Attorney (AUSA) (b)(6); (b)(6); since the last one to AUSA (b)(6); on February 7, 2023. (b)(6); who is currently being housed in the South Carolina Department of Corrections (SCDC) at Lee Correctional Institute in Bishopville, SC, was sentenced on August 24, 2023, in federal court to 60 months to be served consecutive to his state sentence, which expires on July 8, 2030. (b)(6); admitted at his sentencing that he does not have the means to carry out any of his threats and that he was making the threats so he could come into federal custody. Since (b)(6); did not file an appeal to his sentence, the threat letter that (b)(6); sent to Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and Judge (b)(6); on March 14, 2022 was shredded and destroyed October 2, 2023. The certificate of destruction for that letter was uploaded into (b)(7) on the same date. The US Marshals Service in the District of Oregon was also notified that they could destroy any original evidence based on the District of South Carolina (b)(6); case. Because (b)(6); has been sentenced and there has not been any further threat letters sent to the South Carolina federal judiciary, this threat case and (b)(6); criminal case will be closed. If (b)(6); does send any future threat letters to any USMS protectee, then the case will be opened back up to document the threats. Investigation CLOSED.	Subsequent Communication by Prior IC Sender/Threatener, Suspicious Behavior, Murder, Direct Threat, Bizarre or Unreasonable Solicitation, Extraordinary Complaint or Outrage Over the Outcome of a Court Case, Disjointed, Sinister or Otherwise Questionable Content	Informant			2021/11/19 00:00:00
Closed	2021/12/22 00:00:00	2021/12/22 00:00:00	2022	2022/05/25 00:00:00	U.S. MAGISTRATE	4	MD/D	Arrest/Closure	2022/05/25 13:50:07	On December 22, 2021, a Protective Investigation was opened in JDIS under case number (b)(7)(E). On the same day, the District of Maryland (D/MD) was notified by Special Agent (SA) (b)(6); (b)(6); of the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) concerning a threat directed towards (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). The following are the contents of the email from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)@protonmail.com: "Hello my name is (b)(6); (b)(6); Someday this week I will drug, rape and murder the kids of the Judge who sentenced me, (b)(6); (b)(6); the demon is coming back out and who knows what he will do. I'm currently spending my stolen bitcoins at an alarming rate on cocaine and Xanax pills before I go rape and murder any children related to Judge (b)(6); On my computer is a 3 page document on my life of cyber crime, accomplices, sexual assault history, drug abuse problems, and more on why I have severe psychopathy. Sent with ProtonMail Secure Email."◆ Completed Investigative Activity On December 22, 2021, District Threat Investigators (DTIs) (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); began conducting database inquiries and background information on (b)(6); (b)(6); (DOB: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); FID#: (b)(7)(E)). (b)(6); was ordered released from custody on November 4, 2020 and released to U.S. Pretrial Services under the supervision of U.S. Pretrial Services Officer (USPO) (b)(6); (b)(6);. DTIs (b)(6); and (b)(6); (b)(6); communicated with USPO (b)(6); and the following information was derived regarding (b)(6);. (b)(6); is currently residing in New Jersey (NJ) and under electronic monitoring (EM), as part of his release conditions. Additionally, electronic communications are restricted under (b)(6); Order Setting Conditions of Release (OSCR). ◆ (b)(6); has been the subject of other threat reports placed within other jurisdictions to include Pennsylvania (PA). The reported threat made by (b)(6); in PA happened after (b)(6); moved from PA. USPO (b)(6); described these reports as "swatting" attempts. ◆ To USPO (b)(6); knowledge, all home visits resulted in no discoveries of unauthorized electronic devices. On December 22, 2021 at approximately 1149 hours, DTI (b)(6); (b)(6); spoke with USPO (b)(6); (b)(6);. USPO (b)(6); stated that he called USPO (b)(6); (b)(6); who is currently (b)(6); probation officer. USPO (b)(6); stated (b)(6); confirmed he saw (b)(6); via ZOOM meeting due to covid restrictions a few weeks ago. During that meeting, USPO (b)(6); stated to USPO (b)(6); that (b)(6); mother held the phone for that meeting because (b)(6); is not allowed to be in possession of electronic devices. On December 22, 2021, at approximately 1234 hours, DTIs (b)(6); (b)(6); and (b)(6); conducted a phone interview with USPO (b)(6);. The following are the results of the interview: (b)(6); is currently on LM. The LM is Radio Frequency (RF). However, the RF unit works through the bracelet and connects to the transmitter box in the residence. Upon the bracelet leaving the residence, an alert is sent to USPO within 30-45 minutes. USPO (b)(6); detailed that (b)(6); rarely leaves the residence except for a few pre-approved doctor's visits. On December 22, 2021, USPO (b)(6); spoke with (b)(6); and described (b)(6); as "alert". USPO (b)(6); also spoke with (b)(6); father and stated that (b)(6); mindset appeared normal. Additionally, (b)(6); was addressing concerns as to the case and there are no computers in the residence. USPO (b)(6); described that (b)(6); has had "no" issues with complying to his conditions of release. (b)(6); undergoes mental health counseling for the past 1-1.5 months, 1 time per week, and is reported to USPO. (b)(6); checks in with USPO 1 time per month. According to USPO (b)(6); the last home visit with (b)(6); was on 11/22/2021. (b)(6); mother was residing with (b)(6); and held the phone throughout the visit, due to (b)(6); inability to possess electronic communication	Direct Threat	Email/Internet	2021/12/22 00:00:00		2021/12/22 00:00:00

devices.

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) s current address is (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

USPO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) s aware of a June 2021 "swatting" threat m

Closed	2022/01/10 00:00:00	2022/01/12 00:00:00	2022/01/28 00:00:00	2022/09/28 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	4	VA/E	Arrest/Closure	2022/09/28 11:39:23	CASE BACKGROUND: (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); has been the subject of multiple protective assessments and predicated investigations in the past because of his fixation on an Eastern Virginia (E/VA) civil case: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) This civil case stemmed from (b)(6); termination from the US Patent Trade Office (USPTO), where he worked for a short time as a patent examiner. (b)(6); claimed that he suffered racial discrimination and harassment while he was employed at the USPTO, and that he was wrongfully terminated after filing complaints. Ultimately, (b)(6); civil case was dismissed by US District Court Judge (USDCJ) (b)(6) on 02/28/2019. (b)(6); later filed an appeal with the 4th Circuit Court of Appeals, which was also dismissed. As a result of the adverse judgments he received in this case, (b)(6); has sent numerous and frequent emails containing harassing and derogatory language directed at USDCJ (b)(6) among many others. (b)(6); sends these emails to a massive list of individuals, ranging from media outlets to law enforcement and government officials, to members of the judiciary nationwide. During the nine months that this investigation has been open, (b)(6); has sent over 100 such emails. (b)(6); has continued to demonstrate his typical pattern of behavior, and he has added several individuals to his ever-growing list of people whom he resents. Undoubtedly, these newly-added individuals have drawn (b)(6); ire because of their participation in (b)(6); case before the Equal Employment Opportunity (EEOC) board. In fact, anyone who disagrees with or opposes (b)(6); version of events surrounding his termination from the USPTO, or any other employment, is quickly added to (b)(6); grudge list. In March 2022, (b)(6); began practicing a new form of harassing behavior. (b)(6); researched and found the home addresses of some of the employees from the USPTO who were involved in the circumstances which led to (b)(6); termination from the USPTO. (b)(6); then sent letters containing accusations of racism, discrimination, and harassment to those employees' neighbors. (b)(6); stated that he would send similar "opposition letters" to USDCJ (b)(6) neighbors if he ever discovered USDCJ (b)(6) home address. However, as of the date of this report, USDCJ (b)(6) has not reported his neighbors receiving any such letters from (b)(6); (b)(6); harassing and provocative behavior has been an annoyance to the employees at the USPTO for many years. As a result, many of the employees who have been negatively affected have requested that the Federal Protective Service (FPS) intervene. FPS Officers sent (b)(6); a cease-and-desist letter, which only further enraged and emboldened (b)(6); to continue his behavior. FPS Officers then approached the US Attorney's Office (USAO) in the Alexandria Division to explore the possibility of bringing charges against (b)(6); for his continued harassment of federal employees. Ultimately, the USAO in the Alexandria Division declined to prosecute (b)(6); for his conduct. Similarly, the USAO in the Richmond Division advised District Threat Investigator (DTI) (b)(6); (b)(6); that they did not believe (b)(6); statements toward USDCJ (b)(6) rose to the level of a prosecutable offense. After learning that (b)(6); had sent letters to the neighbors of USPTO employees, FPS Officers approached the Commonwealth Attorney's Office (CAO) in Fairfax to see if charges could be brought against (b)(6); The CAO advised that they did not believe criminal charges would be appropriate, but that civil lawsuits or protection orders could be filed by any USPTO employee who felt they were being harassed by (b)(6); Recently, (b)(6); has also begun to attempt to provoke both FBI Director (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); and Attorney General (b)(6); (b)(6); by challenging them to file a lawsuit against him, and by stating "I'll be your Huckleberry!" (b)(6); choice to include extremely offensive and derogatory language in his emails, along with his references to vio	Extraordinary Complaint or Outrage Over the Outcome of a Court Case	Email/Internet			2022/01/12 00:00:00
Closed	2022/01/21 00:00:00	2022/01/21 00:00:00	2022/04/10 00:00:00	2022/04/10 00:00:00	U.S. CIRCUIT COURT OTHER	4	VA/E	Arrest/Closure	2022/04/10 15:54:50	Since the opening of this case on January 21st, 2022 - and following the subject interview on (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) on Tuesday, February 7th, 2022 - (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) continued to send e-mails to the 4th Circuit Court of Appeals (4CCA) until March 2nd, 2022, although infrequently. No additional e-mails or potential inappropriate communications have been forwarded to District Threat Investigator (DTI) (b)(6); (b)(6); by the 4CCA since March 2nd, 2022. As a result of this lapse in communications by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) this case is being considered for closure. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has been embroiled in a child custody case in the state of Maryland in regard to her granddaughter and the alleged neglect caused by the parents of the child. After her judicial complaint filed in the U.S. District Court for District of Maryland, (b)(6); was dismissed, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) petitioned for a review with the 4CCA. This petition was denied and signed by the secretary to the 4th Circuit Judicial Council, (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) requested that the judge himself sign the order. After the 4CCA response to her request was deemed unsatisfactory by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) she sent an e-mail in which she said she had a "surprise for the 4th Circuit." During the subject interview, DTI (b)(6); asked (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) what she meant by that phrase, to which she responded, "the surprise is the law! The law passed on the Americans with Disabilities Act passed by the 4th Circuit." She continued to explain that she did not express any ill will towards anyone within the 4CCA or any other USMS-protected person. Upon reviewing all of the facts and circumstances surrounding (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) the content of her subject interview, combined with her comments to the 4CCA, all which dictate that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) does not possess the motive, intent or ability to harm anyone at the 4CCA or any other USMS protected person. As (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) explicitly stated to DTI (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) does not harbor any negative feelings towards anyone at the 4CCA. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) also specified that she doesn't mean any harm towards anyone, and her intent is only pursuing action through the legal system. Lastly, due to her current medical issues, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) does not possess the ability to cause any harm to anyone at the 4CCA or any other USMS protected person. DTI (b)(6); will continue to monitor for any change with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and her communications to the 4CCA. Any escalation or additional communications will continue to be reviewed and documented. If an escalation or continued communication by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) occurs, DTI (b)(6); will evaluate all additional options to mitigate and cease those communications, which include interviewing (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) son or re-interviewing (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Due to all of the factors listed above, DTI (b)(6); and the E/VA Protective Investigations Unit request a closure on this USMS Protective Investigation. END OF REPORT.	Veiled Threat	Email/Internet			2022/01/21 00:00:00

Closed	2022/03/22 00:00:00	2022/03/22 00:00:00	2022/03/22 00:00:00	2022/10/07 00:00:00	DISTRICT JUDGE GREENBELT	4	MD/D	Arrest/Closure	2022/10/05 12:00:50	The following investigation was conducted by DTI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); on October 5, 2022 in the District of Maryland: The D/MD has recieved no more communications from (b)(7)(E) in regards to our open case. At this time the case will be closed in the D/MD due to the lack of new communication and no active threat in our district. We will continue to monitor the case in Kansas and re-open this if there is any new communication or information found. The Maryland EVENT in Capture will be closed Capture EVENT (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (Maryland) The Kansas EVENT in Capture will remain open Capture EVENT (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (Kansas) Case Closed.	Suspicious Behavior	Verbal	2022/03/22 00:00:00	2022/03/22 00:00:00	2022/03/22 00:00:00
Closed	2022/06/26 00:00:00	2022/06/27 00:00:00	2022/06/27 00:00:00	2023/01/13 00:00:00	US DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	4	MD/D	Arrest/Closure	2022/10/17 23:54:11	The following are the investigative findings, efforts, and judicial security mitigations of the United States Marshals service (USMS) and the District of Maryland (D/MD). In reference to USMS case # (b)(7)(E) and FBI case # (b)(7)(E) (b)(7)(E) these are the investigative findings as of 10/15/2022: Along with investigative findings by the investigative team (FBI and USMS) DUSM (b)(6); has engaged in following investigative efforts: - DUSM (b)(6); has reviewed open-source information, gathered by (b)(7)(E) member (b)(6); (b)(6); to find links to events at judges' residence and possible subjects of interest. - On September 15, 2022, (b)(6); (b)(6); reports no new significant information has been observed or found in reference to Judge (b)(6); since late July. The district investigative team believe elevated screenings of Judge (b)(6); are not warranted at this time and less frequent screening will continue such as automated searches. - On September 15, 2022, FBI consult and emails to discuss case developments rendered results from warrants placed to cellular providers. The FBI Special Agent (SA) (b)(6); lead on the case reports "Cell tower records analysis is ongoing" and FBI has received records from Montgomery County for the speed cameras along the logical route between the target locations - analysis is ongoing. FBI hopes to compare registered driver info with the cell tower records to identify potential leads. - On September 15, 2022, DUSM (b)(6); spoke with Judge (b)(6); to inquire about possible security concerns that the Judge may have. Judge (b)(6); stated their where no new security concerns to report, informed DUSM (b)(6); that with the help from USMS headquarters he was able to obtain an appropriate security system from (b)(7)(E). Based on the investigative efforts and findings the USMS and D/MD have taken the following security precautions and postures, to provide Judge (b)(6); and his family the appropriate security profile. (b)(7)(E) of judge's residence, until Judge (b)(6); establishes a robust security system capable of meeting his needs. - The FBI case # (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) which is still ongoing, but it is in the opinion of the investigative team that the threat to Judge (b)(6); and his family has diminished in reference to this case. This opinion is made through careful analysis of the evidence and facts in this case since its initial opening. - Increased local police patrols in the area, by MCPD. Closing Narrative: Based on the on-going FBI investigation, Judge (b)(6); establishment of a personal security system, and no new threats or activity being reported, DUSM (b)(6); moves to close this Protective Intelligence Investigation (PII). If at any point through these investigations by the FBI or the USMS develops new information, the appropriate security posture will be taken to best serve Judge (b)(6); and his family, as well as re-opening or establishing a new PII case.	Direct Threat	Explosive	2022/06/26 00:00:00	2022/06/27 00:00:00	2022/06/27 00:00:00

Closed	2022/06/27 00:00:00	2022/06/27 00:00:00	2022/06/27 00:00:00	2023/02/02 00:00:00	USMS PROTECTED PERSONS	4	WV/ N	Arrest/Ci ose	2023/02/02 12:37:24	On June 23, 2022, the Wheeling U.S. Clerk's Office received a threatening letter from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) The letter was filed and uploaded into the court's system. On June 27, 2022, at approximately 0900 hours, U.S. Magistrate Judge (USMJ) (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); informed Judicial Security Inspector (JSI) (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); that the Clerk's office received a concerning letter from (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); The letter was addressed to USMJ (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); All U.S. District Judges (USDJ), and U.S. Attorney (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); USMJ (b)(6); (b)(6); provided JSI (b)(6); (b)(6); with copies of six letters. In one of (b)(6); (b)(6); letters, he wrote, "I declare war against anyone in USA (BOP) Bureau of Prisons D.C. and others in Washington D.C. to ever use illegal electronic devices on anyone for any criminal purposes not justified to any court of law in the USA. I find you all guilty and sentenced to death, this would be against USA federal government." Based on (b)(6); (b)(6); letters addressed to USMJ (b)(6); (b)(6); U.S. Attorney (b)(6); (b)(6); and his declaration of war against the federal government, the Northern District of West Virginia U.S. Marshals Service (USMS) opened a full predicated investigation on June 27, 2022. On June 28, 2022, JSI (b)(6); (b)(6); completed several database queries on (b)(6); (b)(6); According to court records, on June 8, 1999, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of West Virginia indicted (b)(6); (b)(6); on a thirteen-count multi-defendant drug distribution, money laundering, and weapons charge (case number (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)). Following the indictment, (b)(6); (b)(6); was arrested and sentenced to 168 months and three years of supervised release by USDJ Judge (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); on April 4, 2000. (b)(6); (b)(6); completed his sentence and was released from federal custody in 2012. On July 26, 2022, District Threat Investigator (DTI) (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); and JSI (b)(6); (b)(6); conducted a telephone interview with (b)(6); (b)(6); parents, (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); and (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); who stated they reside at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) with their (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) After (b)(6); (b)(6); return home from prison in 2012, he had never been the same. (b)(6); (b)(6); stays inside his bedroom and handwrites letters and seals them inside envelopes. (b)(6); (b)(6); would then continue to write on the exterior of the envelopes. Finally, (b)(6); (b)(6); gathers up all of his letters and walks to the local U.S. Post Office for shipment. Mr. (b)(6); (b)(6); described (b)(6); (b)(6); as a non-violent individual but has become more verbally aggressive over the past few months. JSI (b)(6); (b)(6); provided Mr. and Mrs. (b)(6); (b)(6); with a summary of the veiled threats the courts received from (b)(6); (b)(6); As a result, Mr. (b)(6); (b)(6); advised that he remove all firearms from the residence and would continue monitoring (b)(6); (b)(6); behavior. Additionally, Mr. (b)(6); (b)(6); stated he is willing to explore any crisis intervention programs available. On September 28, 2022, DTI (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); and Wheeling Police Officer (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) traveled to (b)(6); (b)(6); residence and conducted an interview. (b)(6); (b)(6); stated he was upset with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) from the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) because he inserted an illegal electronic device in his left shoulder and wanted the device removed. During the interview, (b)(6); (b)(6); exhibited no sign(s) of aggression towards law enforcement and U.S. Marshals' Service-protected persons or facilities. On January 10, 2023, at 1230 hours, DTI (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); JSI (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(E) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(F) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(F) engaged in a telephonic interview with Dr. (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); JSI (b)(6); (b)(6); provided Dr. (b)(6); (b)(6); with a summary of the investigation, and Dr. (b)(6); (b)(6); agreed to continue the discussion. Dr. (b)(6); (b)(6); is an Orthopedic Surgery Specialist employed at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) located at (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) According to hospital records, (b)(6); (b)(6); underwent (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Dr. (b)(6); (b)(6); stated he conducted the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) in (b)(6); (b)(6); left shoulder. After th	Veiled Threat	Written	2022/06/27 00:00:00	2022/06/27 00:00:00	2022/06/27 00:00:00
Closed	2022/06/26 00:00:00	2022/06/27 00:00:00	2022/06/27 00:00:00	2023/01/13 00:00:00	US DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	4	MD/ D	Arrest/Ci ose	2022/11/15 10:37:40	The following are the investigative findings, efforts, and judicial security mitigations of the United States Marshals service (USMS) and the District of Maryland (D/MD). In reference to USMS case # (b)(7)(E) (b)(6); (b)(7)(E) and FBI case # (b)(7)(E) (b)(6); (b)(7)(E) these are the investigative findings as of 11/14/2022: Closing Narrative: Based on the on-going FBI investigation, Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) establishment of a personal security system, and no new threats or activity being reported, DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); moves to close this Protective Intelligence Investigation (PII). If at any point through these investigations by the FBI or the USMS develops new information, the appropriate security posture will be taken to best serve Judge (b)(6); (b)(6); and his family, as well as re-opening or establishing a new PII case.	Direct Threat	Explosive	2022/06/26 00:00:00	2022/06/27 00:00:00	2022/06/27 00:00:00

Closed	2022/06/26 00:00:00	2022/06/27 00:00:00	2022/06/27 00:00:00	2023/01/13 00:00:00	US DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	4	MD/D	Arrest/Closure	2023/01/13 11:41:54	The following are the investigative findings, efforts, and judicial security mitigations of the United States Marshals service (USMS) and the District of Maryland (D/MD). In reference to USMS case # (b)(7)(E) and FBI case # (b)(7)(E) these are the investigative findings as of 1/13/2023: Along with investigative findings by the investigative team (FBI and USMS) DUSM (b)(6) has engaged in following investigative efforts: -DUSM (b)(6) has reviewed open-source information, gathered by (b)(7)(E) member (b)(6), (b)(6) to find links to events at judges' residence and possible subjects of interest. -On September 15, 2022, (b)(6), (b)(6) reports no new significant information has been observed or found in reference to Judge (b)(6) since late July. The district investigative team believe elevated screenings of Judge (b)(6) are not warranted at this time and less frequent screening will continue such as automated searches. -On September 15, 2022, FBI consult and emails to discuss case developments rendered results from warrants placed to cellular providers. The FBI Special Agent (SA) (b)(6) lead on the case reports "Cell tower records analysis is ongoing" and FBI has received records from Montgomery County for the speed cameras along the logical route between the target locations - analysis is ongoing. FBI hopes to compare registered driver info with the cell tower records to identify potential leads. -On September 15, 2022, DUSM (b)(6) spoke with Judge (b)(6) to inquire about possible security concerns that the Judge may have. Judge (b)(6) stated their where no new security concerns to report, informed DUSM (b)(6) that with the help from USMS headquarters he was able to obtain an appropriate security system from (b)(7)(E). Based on the investigative efforts and findings the USMS and D/MD have taken the following security precautions and postures, to provide Judge (b)(6) and his family the appropriate security profile. (b)(7)(E) of judge's residence, until Judge (b)(6) establishes a robust security system capable of meeting his needs. -The FBI case # (b)(7)(E) which is still ongoing, but it is in the opinion of the investigative team that the threat to Judge (b)(6) and his family has diminished in reference to this case. This opinion is made through careful analysis of the evidence and facts in this case since its initial opening. -Increased local police patrols in the area, by MCPD. Closing Narrative: Based on the on-going FBI investigation, Judge (b)(6) establishment of a personal security system, and no new threats or activity being reported, DUSM (b)(6) moves to close this Protective Intelligence Investigation (PII). If at any point through these investigations by the FBI or the USMS develops new information, the appropriate security posture will be taken to best serve Judge (b)(6) and his family, as well as re-opening or establishing a new PII case.	Direct Threat	Explosive	2022/06/26 00:00:00	2022/06/27 00:00:00	2022/06/27 00:00:00
--------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------	-------------------------	---	------	----------------	---------------------	---	---------------	-----------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------

Closed	2022/06/26 00:00:00	2022/06/27 00:00:00	2022	2023/01/13 00:00:00	US DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	4	MD/D	Arrest/Closure	2022/12/15 15:52:17	The following are the investigative findings, efforts, and judicial security mitigations of the United States Marshals service (USMS) and the District of Maryland (D/MD). In reference to USMS case # (b)(7)(E) and FBI case # (b)(7)(E) (b)(7)(E) these are the investigative findings as of 12/15/2022: Along with investigative findings by the investigative team (FBI and USMS) DUSM (b)(6); has engaged in following investigative efforts: -DUSM (b)(6); has reviewed open-source information, gathered by (b)(7)(E) member (b)(6); (b)(6); to find links to events at judges' residence and possible subjects of interest. -On September 15, 2022, (b)(6); (b)(6); reports no new significant information has been observed or found in reference to Judge (b)(6); since late July. The district investigative team believe elevated screenings of Judge (b)(6); are not warranted at this time and less frequent screening will continue such as automated searches. -On September 15, 2022, FBI consult and emails to discuss case developments rendered results from warrants placed to cellular providers. The FBI Special Agent (SA) (b)(6); lead on the case reports "Cell tower records analysis is ongoing" and FBI has received records from Montgomery County for the speed cameras along the logical route between the target locations - analysis is ongoing. FBI hopes to compare registered driver info with the cell tower records to identify potential leads. -On September 15, 2022, DUSM (b)(6); spoke with Judge (b)(6); to inquire about possible security concerns that the Judge may have. Judge (b)(6); stated their where no new security concerns to report, informed DUSM (b)(6); that with the help from USMS headquarters he was able to obtain an appropriate security system from (b)(7)(E) Based on the investigative efforts and findings the USMS and D/MD have taken the following security precautions and postures, to provide Judge (b)(6); and his family the appropriate security profile. (b)(7)(E) of judge's residence, until Judge (b)(6); establishes a robust security system capable of meeting his needs. -The FBI case # (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) which is still ongoing, but it is in the opinion of the investigative team that the threat to Judge (b)(6); and his family has diminished in reference to this case. This opinion is made through careful analysis of the evidence and facts in this case since its initial opening. -Increased local police patrols in the area, by MCPD. Closing Narrative: Based on the on-going FBI investigation, Judge (b)(6); establishment of a personal security system, and no new threats or activity being reported, DUSM (b)(6); moves to close this Protective Intelligence Investigation (PII). If at any point through these investigations by the FBI or the USMS develops new information, the appropriate security posture will be taken to best serve Judge (b)(6); and his family, as well as re-opening or establishing a new PII case.	Direct Threat	Explosive	2022/06/26 00:00:00	2022/06/27 00:00:00	2022/06/27 00:00:00
Closed	2022/07/08 00:00:00	2022/07/12 00:00:00	2022	2023/02/17 00:00:00		4	NC/E	Arrest/Closure	2023/02/17 12:19:37	On 7/12/2022, a Protective Investigation was opened in JDIS. This investigation was opened as a result to the threats made by (b)(6); (b)(6); (#(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) towards Eastern District of North Carolina (E/NC) federal witnesses in case # (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). On 7/19/2022, (b)(6); went to trial and was found guilty on two counts by a jury. (b)(6); was sentencing on 2/3/2023 at 1430 hrs before the Honorable Judge (b)(6); and received 360 months in jail. Based on all available information, a mitigation plan focusing on his commitment to the Bureau of Prisons will be utilized. Separatee notices will remain in place for all in custody witnesses. The USMS have not received any further inappropriate communication from (b)(6); since the initial communication in July of 2022. Based on the information highlighted above, this Protective Investigation will be closed as of 2/17/2023 due to the current lack of ability that would indicate (b)(6); could carry out any identifiable threat directed at any USMS protectee. Based on (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) history of threatening communications, it is possible the USMS may be advised of new activity. Should new information be provided to the USMS, the information will be reviewed and evaluated to determine whether a new protective assessment or protective investigation should be opened. Protective Investigation CLOSED.	Direct Threat	Informant	2022/07/11 00:00:00		2022/07/11 00:00:00

Closed	2022/07/26 00:00:00	2022/07/27 00:00:00	2022	2023/02/16 00:00:00	US ATTORNEY	4	VA/W	Arrest/Close	2023/02/16 15:10:13	The following memorandum concerns the active threat investigation of (b)(6); (b)(6); In July 2022, the United States Marshals Service (USMS), Western District of Virginia, Abingdon division, opened a threat investigation against (b)(6);. As alleged by a source, (b)(6); made death threats towards United States Attorney (USA) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); as it pertains to his pending prosecution, in the Western District of Virginia. During this investigation, the USMS and the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) traveled to United States Penitentiary Florence Admax, for the purpose of interviewing the source. Further, this is also the current pre-trial housing facility for (b)(6);. As reported by the source, on several occasions (b)(6); made death threats towards USA (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); while housed in an adjacent cell. The source further stated that (b)(6); was likely getting messages out of the facility via the federal public defender's office, in the Western District of Virginia, by legal mail. However, the USMS nor the FBI were able to prove or disprove this information. Although (b)(6); is a confirmed gang member, it's believed his gang connections are located either in New Mexico or various prisons. The USMS was unable to locate any specific public contacts in or around the Western District of Virginia. In fact, it's believed (b)(6); only has knowledge of the Western District of Virginia, due to being housed at United States Penitentiary Lee, for which his prosecution stems. Since the initiation of this investigation, no further information has been received concerning (b)(6); making new threats. Further, due to the lack of evidence, prosecution is not probable. Also, FBI has noted that (b)(6); has attempted plea negotiations with the government. In closing, it's clear (b)(6); possesses the ability to harm another person and likely has a support group. However, it doesn't appear (b)(6); has the means to further any of the alleged threats. Due to the factors noted above the USMS will close interest in this case. However, if new information is developed that contradicts the above-noted, or if the subject makes a new threat, this case will be reopened. To date, (b)(6); remains in the custody of the Federal Bureau of Prisons and awaiting trial in the Western District of Virginia, case number (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) CASE CLOSED- END REPORT-	Reference to Death, Suicide, Weapons, Violence, Assassinations, etc., Conditional Threat, Murder, Extraordinary Complaint or Outrage Over the Outcome of a Court Case, Research Into Personal Affairs	Informant	2022/07/26 00:00:00	2022/07/26 00:00:00
--------	---------------------	---------------------	------	---------------------	-------------	---	------	--------------	---------------------	--	---	-----------	---------------------	---------------------

Closed	2022/09/16 00:00:00	2022/09/16 00:00:00	2022	2023/10/10 00:00:00	ATTORNEY	4	VA/W	Arrest/Close	2023/10/10 15:26:35	On Tuesday, October 10, 2023, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) sentencing hearing has been rescheduled for January 4th, 2023 at 10:00 am. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is cooperating with the government. No further information or threats has been communicated. Threat has been mitigated. Case closed.	Direct Threat	Telephone	2022/09/16 00:00:00	2022/09/16 00:00:00	2022/09/16 00:00:00
Closed	2022/09/20 00:00:00	2022/09/21 00:00:00	2022	2022/11/14 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT CLERK	4	NC/E	Arrest/Close	2022/11/10 10:42:17	This report is to document the continued investigative efforts associated with case number (b)(7)(E). On September 20, 2022, at approximately 0920 hrs, the Eastern District of North Carolina was made aware of a letter filed in the Eastern District of North Carolina's United States District Court considered to be inappropriate and threatening in nature by (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (FID (b)(7)(E)). The letter was addressed to "U.S. District Court, P.O. Box 25670, Raleigh, NC 27611". The letter stated, "Very soon when the investigation find who have not accepted my credit card payment and to go through Unit Team to pay court fees I'm going to put you to death by fire for treason against my legal rights to pay court fees by credit card and for not filing my numerous hand written filings." Completed Investigative Activity: Investigators reviewed USMS databases, along with other law enforcement and non-law enforcement databases, and determined that (b)(6); has a history of mental illness, bizarre and unreasonable behavior that involves the federal judiciary. The following threat cases in (b)(7)(E) are associated with (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) The following court cases are associated with (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Per a review of PACER, on June 06, 2008, (b)(6); was committed to the custody and care of the Attorney General pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 4246 but released on 02/22/2010 after found to be mentally incapable of standing trial. (b)(6); was arrested on 06/18/2022 due to violating his conditions of release. He is currently being detained at the Federal Medical Center (FMC) in Butner North Carolina per the Federal Bureau of Prison Inmate locator website with an "Unknown" release date listed. Additionally, (b)(7)(E) indicated that on 08/01/2022, (b)(6); filed a "Motion to Dismiss Civil Commitment". On 08/03/2022, United States District Court Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) submitted a "Scheduling Order". On 10/17/2022, investigators were informed by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) with the United States Attorney's Office, that a date had not been set for that hearing but would inform the USMS when it was. Investigators made notifications to the Federal Bureau of Investigations (FBI), Federal Bureau of Prisons (BOP), United States Attorney's Office, and the Federal Public Defender's Office. A USMS Emergency Notification Form (USM-549C) was sent to (b)(6); Case Manager, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) via email address (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) @bop.gov. Mitigation Planning: The threat mitigation strategy(s) will be for (b)(6); to remain in custody as he is currently detained at the Federal Medical Center in Butner. Protective Response: No protective detail has been implemented at this time, but efforts will remain fluid and will be adjusted if additional information is developed. ///Case Closed///	Reference to Death, Suicide, Weapons, Violence, Assassinations, etc.	Written	2022/09/20 00:00:00		2022/09/21 00:00:00

Closed	2022/07/27 00:00:00	2022/07/28 00:00:00	2022	2022/08/29 00:00:00	ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY	5	LA/W	Arrest/Close	2022/08/29 16:36:39	A protective investigation was initiated by Western District of Louisiana Lafayette Division on July 27th, 2022 involving a protected person at the John Shaw Federal Courthouse located in Lafayette, Louisiana. Protective responses began immediately with enhancing courthouse security measures by implementing modifications related to deliveries to and from facility. After an appropriate protective response was implemented addressing immediate safety issues, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(F) conducted effective threat management strategies (b)(7)(E). Protected person interviews and briefings were conducted offering a residential security survey that would highlight security deficiencies and vulnerabilities but was ultimately rejected by the protected person. Also, during the interview, the option of filing a temporary restraining order and police report was discussed and presented to the protected person but was ultimately rejected as well. The protected person was provided a copy of the "Online Exposure Assessment" accompanied with an explanation of dangers related to posting personal information on social media, but the document was never returned the USMS. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(F) conducted a thorough investigation covering all reasonable threat issues, pursued all protective investigation actions, and concluded that the current threat assessment is low risk. After completion of multiple interviews with our protected person and protective responses that enhanced security by implementation of new facility delivery protocols ensuring safety, a determination was made to close this case.	Obsessive Desire for Contact	Telephone			
Closed	2022/07/26 00:00:00	2022/08/08 00:00:00	2022	2022/10/11 00:00:00	U.S. MAGISTRATE	5	TX/N	Arrest/Close	2022/10/10 16:04:03	As of 28 September 2022, the United States Marshals Service has not received any notifications in reference to inappropriate communications or concerning actions by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (FID: (b)(7)(E)). (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has not been reported to have caused any issues when he has been physically present to file documents with the Clerk's Office. A review of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)'s court filings did not show any concerning language, threats, or vague statements eluding to death or the use of violence. Due to the information obtained within this investigation, a request will be submitted to close this investigation. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has not presented the intent, motive, or ability to cause harm to Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). The United States Marshals Service will continue to monitor the situation closely and take any security measures necessary to ensure the protection of all members of the Judiciary.	Extraordinary Complaint or Outrage Over the Outcome of a Court Case	Email/Internet			
Closed	2022/08/24 00:00:00	2022/08/24 00:00:00	2022	2022/09/20 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	5	TX/N	Arrest/Close	2022/09/12 10:00:27	Closing Report No further threatening communications directed at Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) have been received since 8/24/2022. The author of the original threatening communication could not be identified as the user applied cyber techniques that cloaked the user's identity. However, no further threatening, hateful or derogatory remarks were sent to the clerk's office inbox directed at Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) or any other judicial officer concerning the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) ruling Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) applied. (b)(7)(E) provided an On-Line Exposure Assessment for Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) with some helpful information concerning Judge and his family's on-line footprint. That assessment was provided to Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). End of report	Veiled Threat	Email/Internet			
Closed	2022/07/12 00:00:00	2022/07/13 00:00:00	2022	2022/10/17 00:00:00	ATTORNEY FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFEND	5	TX/S	Arrest/Close	2022/10/17 15:17:17	In September of 2022, District Threat Investigator (DTI) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) submitted a USM 11 to close this investigation. In error, DTI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) did not complete the case within five days of the approved USM 11. DTI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) believes (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is not a threat to any USMS-protected persons, and the case is closed.	Veiled Threat	Written			
Closed	2022/04/05 00:00:00	2022/04/06 00:00:00	2022	2022/04/13 00:00:00	COURT CLERK	5	TX/N	Arrest/Close	2022/04/13 17:31:43	On 04/05/2022 at approximately 1615 hours, subject (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) a pro se civil litigant, entered the Clerk's Office for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas division. On camera, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) can be seen pulling his paperwork from a bag, waiting until the only other person in the lobby left, and approaches the clerk window. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) pushed a stack of papers to file and told the clerk (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) that "there's a bomb in there". (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) pointed to the stack of papers and asked "in here?". (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) replied "yes" and left the office and the courthouse. On 04/07/2022 at approximately 13:50 hours, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) returned to the Clerk's office to drop off additional paperwork. JSI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) contacted (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) in the Clerk's office and interviewed him. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated that he had nothing to do with a bomb of any sort and that a +/- 60 year old white male named something that sounds like 'Leave You', was the one (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was warning the Clerk's office about. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) spoke of multiple delusions he had including an ongoing vaccination program in Ukraine that he was working on with Beyonce (hinting that it was the singer Beyonce Knowles, but using the last name (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)). He spoke of several other delusions involving American and foreign intelligence agencies. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has multiple contact with Dallas Police Department (DPD), mostly stemming from complaints he himself initiates. He has no significant criminal history, but does have a significant history of filing civil lawsuits. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is homeless, and from a recent report by DPD, lives in a tent on (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) in downtown Dallas. Though he receives some monetary benefit from social programs, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is indigent and lacks the means to follow through with any threats. His writings indicate (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) suffers from mental illness, and does not believe he making any inappropriate communications. At this time, DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and JSI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) have determined to close (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) case as he lacks means and opportunity, but monitor him in the case of future incidents.	Suspicious Behavior	Verbal			

Closed	2022/02/24 00:00:00	2022/02/25 00:00:00	2022/02/25 00:00:00	2022/04/25 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE - FEDERAL	5	TX/S	Arrest/Closure	2022/04/25 16:35:27	On 04/25/2022, DUSM (b)(6); was informed by AUSA (b)(6); (b)(6); the Government is declining to charge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) AUSA (b)(6); spoke with Judge (b)(6); and explained the outcome of the investigation the USMS and FBI has conducted on (b)(6); and all are in agreement in declination of charges. This investigation is considered closed.	Reference to Acts of Terrorism or War	Written			
Closed	2022/05/06 00:00:00	2022/05/09 00:00:00	2022/05/09 00:00:00	2022/10/03 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	5	TX/S	Arrest/Closure	2022/10/03 16:35:20	On 05/06/2022, The Southern District of Texas (S/TX) Houston Division was notified by the Northern District of Illinois (N/IL) Rockford Division of two letters written by United States Penitentiary (USP) Thomson, Inmate (b)(6); (b)(6); that threatened several federal judges (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Northern District of Texas, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Southern District of Texas, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Western District of Missouri). One letter was as written to Bureau of Prisons (BOP) staff and the other letter was written to the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI). The threat was mitigated by USMS and FBI (see previous USM-11's) concluding (b)(6); did not have sufficient funds in his commissary account or the contacts on the outside to have the threat carried out. The case was suspended pending criminal charges. On 08/30/2022, Deputy U.S. Marshal (DUSM) (b)(6); (b)(6); emailed Assistant United States Attorney (AUSA) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to check the status of her case assessment. AUSA (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was assessing the case to see if the government is going to criminally charge (b)(6); DUSM (b)(6); has not received a response from AUSA (b)(6);. On 10/03/2022, DUSM (b)(6); still has not received a reply from AUSA (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (N/IL) regarding prosecution of (b)(6);. The threat to federal judges was mitigated (see previous USM-11's). DUSM (b)(6); spoke with Protective Intelligence Investigator (b)(6); (b)(6); and both agreed to close this case at this time unless further information is developed from AUSA (b)(6);.	Direct Threat	Informant	2022/04/28 00:00:00		
Closed	2021/10/27 00:00:00	2021/10/29 00:00:00	2021/10/29 00:00:00	2021/12/14 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	5	TX/N	Arrest/Closure	2021/12/14 09:56:40	On 10/27/2021, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) received a threatening message; a threat investigation was initiated and assigned to DUSM (b)(6);. DUSM (b)(6); reached out to (b)(7)(E) and the Tactical Operation Group and were unsuccessful in obtaining information on the IP address. A subpoena was initiated to (b)(7)(E) associated with the IP address. On 11/23/2021, (b)(7)(E) returned the subpoena. The IP address was associated to a (b)(6); (b)(6); (FID) (b)(7)(E) from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). Open-source research found limited information on (b)(6);. Due to limited digital footprint and no other threats noticed from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); it is founded this type of speech is protected by the first amendment. //Case Closed//	Extraordinary Complaint or Outrage Over the Outcome of a Court Case	Email/Internet			
Closed	2022/08/01 00:00:00	2022/08/02 00:00:00	2022/08/02 00:00:00	2022/12/07 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	5	TX/S	Arrest/Closure	2022/12/07 13:35:09	On 11/30/2022, Deputy US Marshal (DUSM) (b)(6); (b)(6); emailed Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Special Agent (b)(6); (b)(6); who is assigned to the investigation regarding a threatening email sent to USD, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) from Email address: (b)(6); @gmail.com for any updates into the investigation. DUSM (b)(6); has not received a reply from Agent (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (See Previous USM-11's regarding case and Investigation Steps toward Mitigation). As of 12/07/2022, DUSM (b)(6); has not received any updates from FBI Agent (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). DUSM (b)(6); spoke with (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) USD, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) regarding this investigation. She stated to DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) that the threatening email from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) @gmail.com was the only type of communication sent to Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and no other contact from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) @gmail.com has been received by Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). DUSM (b)(6); is closing this threat case at this time due to lack of information obtained from email address: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) @gmail.com. Attempts to identify who the sender of the email have been exhausted. The JSU will reopen this Threat Case if further information is developed. Case closed at this time.	Veiled Threat	Email/Internet			

Closed	2022/09/13 00:00:00	2022/09/20 00:00:00	2022/10/11 00:00:00	5	TX/N	Arrest/Close	2022/10/10 16:03:18	On 27 September 2022, DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and Judicial Security Inspector (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) interviewed (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (FID: (b)(7)(E)) at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was accompanied by his wife (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); maiden name is (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated that he spoke to many famous and wealthy people, such as Dr. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) regarding the Coranvirus and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) regarding Tesla. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) also spoke about the Shiva religion he created and referred to himself as the minister of the Shivite Temple. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) spoke on many of his case filings, which requested that followers of Shiva be legally allowed to use marijuana. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) went on to speak about multiple case filings against the Drug Enforcement Agency, Federal Bureau of Investigations, and the U.S. Food and Drug Administration. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) detailed an issue he had with IRS tax returns, which he stated were scheduled to arrive on 28 September 2022. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) also stated he has filings in Collin county and Austin, TX. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was asked if he had access to any weapons, if he wanted to harm Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and if he had any history of mental illness. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) answered, saying that he did not own any weapons and did not wish to harm Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) also stated that he had not been diagnosed with any mental illnesses. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was asked if he was willing to be evaluated by a mental health professional. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) replied that he would not because (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) spoke in a loud tone which seemed to increase throughout the interview. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) repeatedly had to be stopped and refocused on answering the questions that were being asked. In summation, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has not been found to have the motive, intent, or ability to harm Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). This information will be reviewed with the JSI, JSC, and Supervisory staff. This concludes this investigation a request to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) this case will be submitted. The United States Marshals Service will continue to monitor the situation closely and take any security measures necessary to ensure the protection of all members of the Judiciary.	Extraordinary Complaint or Outrage Over the Outcome of a Court Case	Email/Internet			
Closed	2022/07/13 00:00:00	2022/07/15 00:00:00	2022/07/26 00:00:00	5	TX/S	Arrest/Close	2022/07/26 11:54:54	ON 7/19/22, DUSMs (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) INTERVIEWED (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) AND DETERMINED THERE ARE NO RISK ENHANCING FACTORS TO ENHANCE THIS CASE. ALSO, DUSMs (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) DETERMINED (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) POSES NO RISK TO USDJ (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). THIS CASE WILL BE CLOSED UNLESS FURTHER INFORMATION IS DEVELOPED. (See USM-11 response for further information).	Suspicious Behavior	Telephone			
Closed	2022/07/25 00:00:00	2022/07/26 00:00:00	2022/08/03 00:00:00	5	TX/S	Arrest/Close	2022/08/03 09:43:44	On 7/29/22 at approximately 10:00am, DUSMs (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) conducted an interview with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) at her residence located at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) black Lexus sedan (TXLP: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) was parked in the driveway and black Cadillac Escalade (TXLP: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) was parked in the garage with the garage doors open. Upon knocking, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) opened the front door and stepped outside. The above DUSMs identified themselves and advised (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) an investigation was being conducted. DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) informed (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) the USMS was there to hear her side of the story regarding her termination. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) proceeded to explain she was not provided due process regarding the termination procedures. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated her supervisor sat her down a few times and felt as if she was being "interrogated" and "felt fearful" during these meetings. According to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) she is familiar with the workings of human resources and found the termination letter inaccurate and believed the agency was attempting to "trick and deceive" her. DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) asked (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) why she continued to show up to the facility located at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated multiple times throughout the interview she wants her job back, and she wanted to show a pattern she still showed up to work and did not want the agency to say she abandoned her job. On 7/7/22, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) arrived at the above location, and was detained until HPD and FPS arrived. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was issued a Criminal Trespass Warning (CTW) and was advised to leave. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) told the above DUSMs she is aware she would be arrested if she showed back up. Also, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) mentioned she does not want to do anything to jeopardize her military career as she is currently in the Air Force Reserves. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) advised the DUSMs she filed her termination case through the Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) office and believes the response she received was attempting to "trick and deceive" her due to errors in the response she received. At this point, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) asked if she could retrieve documents from her residence to show the DUSMs. Once (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) returned, she was holding a stack of papers which she explained was all the documentation she has received pertaining to her termination. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated she was waiting until she could come up with the \$400.00 so she could file her case with the Federal Clerks office at the Federal Courthouse in downtown Houston, TX. DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) asked (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) what her overall objective was by appealing her termination and taking it to Federal Court which (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) replied, "I just want my job back". DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) asked (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) twice throughout the interview if she wanted to do harm to anyone at the above-mentioned address which she replied "no". (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) repeatedly stated she did not want to jeopardize her military career and wanted her job back. DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) advised (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to continue with fighting her case the proper way if she chose to do and informed her not to contact anyone at her former office unless advised by the court. The S/TX-JSU has determined (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is not a threat to the Immigration Judges or their staff. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) appears to comprehend the entirety of the situation and appears to be litigating her situation in the appropriate manner. Based on this investigation, this preliminary assessment will be closed at this time. INVESTIGATION CLOSED.	Suspicious Behavior	Suspicious Activity	2022/07/07 00:00:00		

Closed	2022/07/22 00:00:00	2022/07/25 00:00:00	2022/09/29 00:00:00	2022/09/29 00:00:00	CASE MANAGER TO JUDGE ROSENTHA	5	TX/S	Arrest/Closure	2022/09/27 14:54:58	On 9/22/22 at approximately 13:55, DUSMs (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) interviewed (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) at his mother's residence located at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). Upon knocking, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) opened the door and the above DUSMs identified themselves. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) appeared to be appreciative the USMS was there to speak with him. DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) informed (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) the USMS was there to ask him a few questions due to some concerning voicemails left on case manager (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), office phone. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) explained he was responsible for apprehending over 60 FBI most wanted fugitives and is seeking a monetary reward. DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) asked (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) why he is calling (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) specifically, which (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated because she works for the Chief USDJ. DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) asked (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) if he wanted to do any harm to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), which (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated, "of course not". (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) went on to explain he just wants his day in court. DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) informed (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) calling case managers is not the appropriate way to go about getting his day in court. As previously mentioned in prior USMS-11s, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) suffers from schizophrenia. Throughout this interview, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) made many sporadic comments and attempted to show the above DUSMs some sort of certifications regarding equations he claimed to create. DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) provided (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) with a business card which included DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) government email address. To this day, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has forwarded approximately 19 emails to DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). DUSMs (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) have determined this assessment will be closed at this time unless further information develops.	Suspicious Behavior	Telephone			
Closed	2022/08/02 00:00:00	2022/08/03 00:00:00	2022/11/02 00:00:00	2022/11/02 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	5	MS/N	Arrest/Closure	2022/11/02 07:43:02	On August 2, 2022, Deputy United States Marshal (DUSM) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) received an email from Judicial Security Inspector (JSI) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) in regards to concerning emails written to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) JSI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) forwarded DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) several emails from the past week written to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) from email address (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) @gmail.com sent to the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) chambers to the email address, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) @msnd.uscourts.gov. On October 7, 2022, DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) made contact with the local FBI Office in Oxford, MS and confirmed (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was told by FBI Agents not to email Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) about his complaints. The FBI is handling (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) situation. At this time (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has not sent any more concerning emails to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and this case is closed.	Suspicious Behavior	Email/Internet			
Closed	2021/10/26 00:00:00	2021/10/28 00:00:00	2021/12/13 00:00:00	2021/12/13 00:00:00	US PROBATION OFFICER	5	TX/S	Arrest/Closure	2021/12/13 17:48:31	On Friday, October 29, 2021, at approximately 9:45 a.m., District Threat Investigators (DTI) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) met with United States Probation Officer (USPO) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) about a series of text messages received from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (FID# (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)). USPO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) told Investigators on Tuesday, October 26, 2021, at approximately 2:00 p.m., (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (FID# (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) came into the United States Federal Courthouse (Bentsen Tower) in McAllen, TX, at the instruction of her Probation Officer. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was wanted out of the Southern District of Texas Victoria Division for violating the terms and conditions of her Pre-Trial release. On said date, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was arrested by USMS McAllen Deputies and taken to the USMS Cellblock. USPO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) said approximately 10 minutes later; she received four phone calls back-to-back from phone number (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). After the phone calls, approximately 30 to 40 minutes later, she received text messages from the same phone number. USPO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated the phone number belonged to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) who is currently (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) boyfriend. USPO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) said she did not answer (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) phone calls, and at 2:52 p.m., she received three consecutive text messages. The text messages are as follows "Answer," "Fucking Liar," and "Me and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) are way different I'll see you around Ms. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)." When asked about the text messages and what they meant to her, USPO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated she "feared for some type of physical retaliation." USPO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated that she had had two previous encounters with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). On October 6, 2021, the first encounter was when USPO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) spoke with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) about reporting immediately to the Probation Office after (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) release from a Corpus Christi Detention Facility. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was instructed to report immediately so that the electronic monitoring device could be placed on (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) ankle and set up at her place of residence. USPO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) said she gave (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) a specific time frame; there was a lot of back-and-forth communication because (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) gave excuses about meeting the time frame. At the end of that interaction, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) became frustrated with USPO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and stated in Spanish "Ve te A la Verga". USPO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated to DTIs that she interpreted/translated as: "Go Fuck Yourself." On October 7, 2021, USPO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and Supervisory USPO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) conducted a home visit at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) home (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). USPO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated there were no issues or any interaction with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) on the aforementioned date. On December 6, 2021, at approximately 4:10 p.m., DTI's (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) spoke with USPO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) in reference to this threat. USPO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) said that she has not been contacted or threatened by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). USPO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) further stated she no longer feels threatened or in fear of her life. Case Closed.	Veiled Threat	Written	2021/10/28 00:00:00		

Closed	2021/12/09 00:00:00	2021/12/11 00:00:00	2022/01/24 00:00:00	BANKRUPTCY JUDGE	5	(b)(6);	Arrest/Closure	2022/01/19 13:29:03	On Jan 18th, 2022, DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); met with Judge (b)(6); to discuss any recent issues in reference to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Judge (b)(6); advised he or his chambers have not received any communications from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) since the initial phone call in December. Judge (b)(6); stated that he has not seen any suspicious activity near his residence. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) bankruptcy case was dismissed, and the Bankruptcy Clerk's office advise they have not received any new filings. Case will be closed for inactivity.	Veiled Threat	Telephone			
Closed	2022/01/12 00:00:00	2022/01/12 00:00:00	2022/01/27 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT OTHER	5	TX/S	Arrest/Closure	2022/01/27 12:29:32	On January 13, 2022, Deputy United States Marshal (DUSM) (b)(6); of the District of New Mexico Roswell Office interviewed (b)(6); During the interview (b)(6); immediately admitted to acting out of frustration during her telephonic conversations with court staff about the denial of bond for her spouse. (b)(6); denied making any threats towards the staff or federal building. DUSM (b)(6); concluded after his interaction with (b)(6); that she is not a credible threat. Call logs were obtained from the Rio Grande Detention Center where (b)(6); spouse is currently housed and reviewed. One call (.Wave file (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(E)) had (b)(6); and her spouse shortly discussing her interactions with court staff and attorney. In this conversation (b)(6); made no reference to any threats made to court staff.	Extraordinary Complaint or Outrage Over the Outcome of a Court Case	Telephone			
Closed	2022/07/12 00:00:00	2022/07/13 00:00:00	2022/10/17 00:00:00	ATTORNEY FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFEND	5	TX/S	Arrest/Closure	2022/09/09 12:00:47	On July 12, 2022, District Threat Investigators (DTI) (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); and Judicial Security Inspector (JSI) (b)(6); (b)(6); met with (b)(6); (b)(6); (DOB (b)(6); (b)(7)(C))). Mr. (b)(6); is an employee of the Federal Public Defenders office and received a letter containing a threat from a former client (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (USM# (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)). On July 12, 2022, DTI (b)(6); spoke with case manager (b)(6); (b)(6); for (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) who is in custody at United States Prison (USP) Lompoc in Lompoc, CA. Mr. (b)(6); said that on May 25, 2022, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) contacted FCC Lompoc staff about a sexual assault that occurred to another inmate. According to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) the alleged perpetrator threatened him for reporting the assault. The investigation conducted by USP Lompoc staff has revealed that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was stealing items from the others and is disliked and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) claim is unsubstantiated. Mr. (b)(6); advised that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) will be designated to another facility due to his conduct while at USP Lompoc. As of this report, according to BOP, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is at FCI Safford in Safford, Arizona. On July 21, 2022, DUSMs (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); and (b)(6); (b)(6); interviewed (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); is the ex-wife of (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); told investigators that she no longer spoke to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and had not done so for several years. Their last contact with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) while he was in prison, was when he sent a letter, and their daughter responded without her permission. The letter was a request for church members' information. (b)(6); stated that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) had a history of violence and a bad temper but attributed that to an undiagnosed mental issue. (b)(6); said she was unaware of any other family members who maintain contact with him and he has no other ties to the area (Laredo, TX). On July 21, 2022, DTI (b)(6); (b)(6); and (b)(6); from the C/CA Riverside office interviewed (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) at USP Lompoc. According to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) it was a misunderstanding, and Mr. (b)(6); misunderstood the letter. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) wanted to know what Mr. (b)(6); would do because (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) believed or claimed he was a witness to a sexual assault in prison. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated that he was not threatening Mr. (b)(6); or his family. DTI (b)(6); advised (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) against writing letters or any other form of communication containing threats or inappropriate comments to Mr. (b)(6); or any Federal Judge. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was informed that those types of communications could lead to Federal charges. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) acknowledged that he understood and would send any future communication through his lawyer if needed. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) does not have any Cartel or Gang affiliations. (b)(6); was a United States Legal Permanent Resident (LPR) originally from Mexico. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has an Order of deportation issued, and his appeal was denied in 2020. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was sentenced to 160 months of custody in his original Case. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is currently housed at Federal Correctional Institution (FCI) Safford in Safford, Arizona, and his release date is March 6, 2025. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has denied making a threat and said it was a misunderstanding of a situation that occurred to himself. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) does not have the means to carry out any threat in person or through financial means. DTI (b)(6); spoke with Mr. (b)(6); and he stated everything was good and had not received any more letters or contact from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). Mr. (b)(6); stated that he now lives in the New Mexico area and his family (ex-wife and daughter) who live in (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) live at a new address, not the same address in Laredo during the time (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was his client. DTI (b)(6); believes that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is not a threat to any USMS protected persons. Case is closed.	Veiled Threat	Written			

Closed	2022/07/21 00:00:00	2022/07/21 00:00:00	2022	2022/07/25 00:00:00	ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY	5	TX/N	Arrest/Close	2022/07/25 14:20:45	On July 25, 2022, the U.S. Marshals Service (USMS), Amarillo Division, spoke to (b)(6); (b)(6); at the (b)(6); County Jail. Given the fact that (b)(6); is a USMS prisoner and already has appointed counsel, the USMS did not question (b)(6); they just informed (b)(6); of what federal laws he can be charged with if attempts to intimidate or threaten a federal prosecutor. It should be noted that this interaction was recorded on a USMS issued audio device and that (b)(6); (b)(6); was informed of his constitutional rights prior to the commencement of the conversation between he and the USMS. During this conversation the only questions that were ask of (b)(6); (b)(6); by the USMS, related to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) understanding of his constitutional rights to remain silent and to receive legal representation. Additionally, without being asked by the USMS, (b)(6); (b)(6); informed the USMS that he has no intentions of harming Assistant U.S. Attorney (AUSA) (b)(6); (b)(6) However, he did admit that he wants to use litigation to apply pressure to AUSA (b)(6) In conclusion, it is in the opinion of the USMS, Amarillo Division, that (b)(6); (b)(6); does not pose a credible threat toward AUSA (b)(6) and this case should be closed at this time.	Veiled Threat	Telephone			
Closed	2022/09/26 00:00:00	2022/09/27 00:00:00	2022	2023/11/06 00:00:00	U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE	5	MS/N	Arrest/Close	2023/11/06 13:22:21	On July 26, 2023 (b)(6); was found guilty of 18 U.S. Code 115, Influencing, impeding, or retaliating against a Federal official by threatening or injuring a family member. (b)(6); will be sentenced at a later date. CASE CLOSED..	Direct Threat	Email/Internet			
Closed	2022/08/24 00:00:00	2022/08/24 00:00:00	2022	2022/09/20 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	5	TX/N	Arrest/Close	2022/09/19 16:39:25	REFER TO USM 11 CREATED ON 9/8/2022, THAT IS THE CLOSING USM 11 FOR THIS T-CASE.	Veiled Threat	Email/Internet			
Closed	2022/08/14 00:00:00	2022/08/17 00:00:00	2022	2022/10/03 00:00:00		5	TX/S	Arrest/Close	2022/10/03 12:50:29	(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); was interviewed on 08/23/22 by Deputy United States Marshals (DUSMs) (b)(6); (b)(6); and (b)(6); (b)(6); his residence located at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). (b)(6); stated that he had been calling U.S Magistrate Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) since he had an open VA case with him. (b)(6); was told that he had no VA case open with Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); added that he had googled Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and had dialed the number that appeared in google. (b)(6); stated that the name of the judge for his VA case was (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). (b)(6); was advised to stop calling U.S Magistrate Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and to pursue his active VA case by contacting the appropriate officials who are handling this matter. (b)(6); was apologetic and stated that he did not want to hurt anyone. (b)(6); did display abnormal behavior while being interview. Throughout the interview, (b)(6); made numerous strange comments such as the government taking a brain sample from him, that he had saved 800 million live for the Soviet Union, and that he is currently taking medication and is seen by 3 physicians. (b)(6); has stop calling U.S Magistrate Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). Case closed.	Obsessive Desire for Contact	Telephone			
Closed	2022/01/07 00:00:00	2022/01/07 00:00:00	2022	2022/04/27 00:00:00	DEPUTY U.S. MARSHAL	5	TX/S	Arrest/Close	2022/04/25 16:42:24	On 04/14/2022, DUSM (b)(6); was informed by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(F) the Shooting Incident DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(F) was involved in was "No Billed" by the state's Grand Jury. As of 04/25/2022, The USMS has not received any new intelligence regarding the threat of violence towards Law Enforcement Officers in the Victoria Division. This investigation is considered closed.	Direct Threat	Informant	2022/01/07 00:00:00		2022/01/07 00:00:00

Closed	2022/03/08 00:00:00	2022/03/14 00:00:00	2022/03/14 00:00:00	2023/01/09 00:00:00	UNITED STATES ATTORNEY W/TX	5	TX/W	Arrest/Closure	2023/01/09 12:55:11	On January 6, 2023 DTI (b)(6); conducted a case review of the (b)(6); case. Multiple queries show no new leads. The most recent address for (b)(6); is an abandoned house and listed as his residence for several years prior. Local police in Arcadia, Florida have had prior contact with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) He has served jail time in local jail facilities before. Arcadia Police made an endeavor to the home for a well-being/ safety check, but found the home to be abandoned and unlivable. (b)(6); is a frequent mental health services consumer with no permanent residence. Attempts by the Arcadia Police Department to locate (b)(6); have failed. Further, attempts by DTI (b)(6); to locate him have also failed. (b)(6); is most likely still homeless with very limited means. Prior contact with Police, U.S. Secret Service, and the USMS show the same pattern of vagrancy and mental illness with no means to carry out threats or travel. Further, a prior case of this same nature with the USMS shows consistent and identical statements/behavior in 2007. That case was closed without prosecution. It has been almost a year since March 2022 since the threat voicemail left by (b)(6); in W/TX San Antonio. No other contact or other threats have been made since. No records show (b)(6); has ever lived, traveled, or had police interaction in San Antonio or the state of Texas. For the above reasons this case will be closed. It is unlikely (b)(6); will be prosecuted given his mental health status and track record of past investigations ending in prosecutorial declination. Assistant United States Attorney (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) will be briefed of the findings. Another security briefing will be offered. This case has been discussed in detail with senior USMS W/TX management. W/TX management concurs with DTI (b)(6); assessment. ---Case Closed---	Conditional Threat	Telephone	2022/03/09 00:00:00	2022/03/08 00:00:00
Closed	2022/03/29 00:00:00	2022/03/31 00:00:00	2022/03/31 00:00:00	2022/04/05 00:00:00	FEDERAL WITNESS	5	TX/S	Arrest/Closure	2022/04/05 15:03:53	On 04/01/2022, DUSM (b)(6); spoke with FBI Supervisory Special Agent (b)(6); (b)(6); regarding the threat investigation on (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (FID (b)(7)(E)). SSA (b)(6); informed DUSM (b)(6); that the FBI had reviewed the apartment complex video of encounter (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) had with the occupants of the Silver Lexus. The FBI was able to obtain a good license plate on the vehicle and determined it was registered to a (b)(6); (b)(6); B/M, DOB (b)(7)(E). The FBI was planning on making contact with (b)(6); (b)(6); over the weekend. SSA (b)(6); stated that after reviewing the video, the encounter may not meet the threshold for an obstruction charge. SSA (b)(6); said she would contact DUSM (b)(6); as more information develops after contact is made with (b)(6); (b)(6); On 04/04/2022, SSA (b)(6); calls DUSM (b)(6); and states (b)(6); (b)(6); was interviewed by the FBI on Friday evening (04/01/2022). FBI agents determined (b)(6); (b)(6); was at the complex at the date and time (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) reported the encounter with the Silver Lexus. (b)(6); (b)(6); denied knowing who (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); was or who (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was. (b)(6); stated he was not at the apartment complex to threaten or intimidate (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) he was visiting friends who lived there. (b)(6); (b)(6); identified the occupants in the Silver Lexus to the FBI. (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); was not an occupant in the vehicle. The FBI admonished (b)(6); (b)(6); several times about the severity of the situation and the charges that he could face. (b)(6); (b)(6); still denied any knowledge of knowing (b)(6); (b)(6); or (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) After the FBI concluded the interview, they considered the threat to (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to be mitigated. The FBI spoke with (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and advised her the outcome of the interview. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was satisfied with their response. Based on the FBI interview of (b)(6); (b)(6); DUSM (b)(6); concurs with the FBI that the threat to (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has been mitigated. The FBI and HHS-OIG will continue to provide security of (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) until the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has ended.	Suspicious Behavior	Suspicious Activity	2022/03/29 00:00:00	2022/03/29 00:00:00
Closed	2022/05/31 00:00:00	2022/06/01 00:00:00	2022/06/01 00:00:00	2022/09/14 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	5	TX/W	Arrest/Closure	2022/09/11 01:06:33	On September 8, 2022, District Threat Investigator (b)(6); (b)(6); conducted a case review and intelligence update on the (b)(6); case. (b)(6); remains in USMS custody in the W/TX El Paso Division. The Federal Bureau of Investigation is seeking federal charges for the written death threat to U.S. District Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) of the El Paso Division. The USMS/ FBI joint investigation continues. (b)(6); was found to be a lone actor and has no resources, no co-conspirators, or accomplices that share his goals or ideology. Further, (b)(6); will continue to remain in USMS custody pending federal charges. All mitigation strategies and (b)(7)(E) measures remain in place. Judge (b)(6); has been provided with several security briefings and a recent home visit by JSI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). Local Police were made aware of the threat and provide additional patrols as requested. Further, JSI (b)(6); has recently worked with Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to upgrade her home HIDS system. DTI (b)(6); will close this case. All threats have been mitigated and subject is in custody. No ability to carry out a threat remains and all possible (b)(7)(E) measures continue for Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). -----Predicated Threat Investigation Closed----	Direct Threat	Written	2022/05/31 00:00:00	2022/05/31 00:00:00

Closed	2022/06/16 00:00:00	2022/06/16 00:00:00	2022/06/16 00:00:00	2022/07/26 00:00:00	U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE	5	TX/S	Arrest/Closure	2022/07/25 23:13:27	On 06/16/2022, The USMS S/TX Houston Division was notified by U.S. Magistrate Judge (b)(6); (b)(6); that a Federal Detention Hearing for Defendant (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (FID: (b)(7)(E) regarding case: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (Sex Trafficking by Means of Force and Coercion) was broadcast/streamed on Youtube. The Detention Hearing was held on 06/14/2022 at the Federal Courthouse (Houston, Texas) and could be accessed by the public. In the Detention Hearing, AUSA (b)(6); (b)(6); (represents the federal government), (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) represents defendant (b)(6); (b)(6); and an FBI Agent (testifying on behalf of the federal government) identified as Agent (b)(6); are seen in the video. The USMS was notified by the U.S. Courts that on 06/13/2022 a person identifying as (b)(6); (b)(6); aka: (b)(6); (b)(6); emailed the U.S. Courts asking for the audio recording from Defendant (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); Case: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) hearing. (b)(6); (b)(6); contacted the U.S. Courts from email address (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)@gmail.com. (b)(6); was advised to place an order for a CD from the court's website at www.tx.uscourts.gov. On 06/14/2022 the federal detention hearing (case (b)(6); (b)(6); was streamed onto several social media internet sites (YouTube; Facebook; Instagram; Twitter). A link to the YouTube site was sent to the USMS by the U.S. Courts containing the video: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(6); AKA: (b)(6); (b)(6); is the creator of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); who posted the video on several social media sites. 07/13/2022, AUSA (b)(6); (b)(6); emailed DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); regarding the (b)(6); (b)(6); (Case: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Detention Hearing that was broadcast on social media. Ms. (b)(6); advised DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); that as of now the Government is not pursuing charges on (b)(6); (b)(6); for Violation of TITLE IX. GENERAL PROVISIONS, Rule 53. She mentions Rule 53 is silent on what happens if a civilian were to film/record/broadcast a detention hearing. Ms. (b)(6); went on to inform DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); that Magistrate Judge (b)(6); (b)(6); did not have any sort of prohibitory language available for viewers to be made aware not to record, film or broadcast the hearing. As of now there is no information indicating (b)(6); (b)(6); broadcasted the Detention Hearing to obstruct justice or assist defendant (b)(6); (b)(6); case. 07/25/2022, The USMS has not developed or received any information to suggest (b)(6); (b)(6); attempted to obstruct justice or assist defendant (b)(6); (b)(6); case. At this time USMS is closing this Predicated Threat Investigation, but will re-open the case if more information develops.	Suspicious Behavior	Informant	2022/06/16 00:00:00	2022/06/16 00:00:00
Closed	2022/06/16 00:00:00	2022/06/16 00:00:00	2022/06/16 00:00:00	2022/07/26 00:00:00	U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE	5	TX/S	Arrest/Closure	2022/07/15 14:02:54	On 07/13/2022, AUSA (b)(6); (b)(6); emailed DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); regarding the (b)(6); (b)(6); (Case: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Detention Hearing that was broadcast on social media on 06/14/2022. Ms. (b)(6); advised DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); that as of now the Government is not pursuing charges on (b)(6); (b)(6); for Violation of TITLE IX. GENERAL PROVISIONS, Rule 53. She mentions Rule 53 is silent on what happens if a civilian were to film/record/broadcast a detention hearing. Ms. (b)(6); went on to inform DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); that Magistrate Judge (b)(6); (b)(6); did not have any sort of prohibitory language available for viewers to be made aware not to record, film or broadcast the hearing. As of now there is no information indicating (b)(6); (b)(6); broadcasted the Detention Hearing to obstruct justice or assist defendant (b)(6); (b)(6); case. The FBI is still investigating the matter. The USMS is closing this Threat Assessment but will re-open the case if more information develops.	Suspicious Behavior	Informant	2022/06/16 00:00:00	2022/06/16 00:00:00

Closed	2022/07/12 00:00:00	2022/07/12 00:00:00	2022/07/12 00:00:00	2022/08/10 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	5	TX/N	Arrest/Closure	2022/08/10 10:31:36	Update 2 JSI (b)(6); spoke with FBI SA (b)(6); who is assigned to the district of Pittsburg, PA regarding a second interview conducted with (b)(6); in August, 2022. SA (b)(6); advised (b)(6); admitted he "did something I shouldn't have." (b)(6); stated that he wrote to the judge and prosecutor within the Northern District of Texas. Previously, (b)(6); admitted that he wrote the threatening communications to Judge (b)(6); and AUSA (b)(6); during FBI's initial interview in early July, 2022. Additionally, during the second interview conducted by FBI PA of (b)(6); (b)(6); stated he did not have any accomplices outside. SA (b)(6); stated BOP will likely not initiate an internal investigation against (b)(6); for his threatening communications sent to Judge (b)(6); and AUSA (b)(6);. SA (b)(6); further advised (b)(6); sent a letter on August 3rd 2022 to his son (b)(6);. The content of that communication is unknown. JSI (b)(6); has requested a copy of those communications. SA (b)(6); indicated (b)(6); appears to have some medical issues related to his heart. Within the last two weeks he has been out to medical services for his condition. It is unknown if (b)(6); will be prosecuted for his criminal behavior by the United States Attorney's Office within the Northern District of Texas or by another district. (b)(6); is currently in custody at FCI Loretto located in Pennsylvania. His projected release date is 3/16/2023. (b)(6); is scheduled to be transferred/picked up on a detainer filed by Denton County, Texas Sheriff's Office. Denton County SO extradition unit will move (b)(6); to TDCJ on or about 3/16/2023. JSI (b)(6); submitted USM 549 alerts to FCI Loretto, Denton County Sheriff's Office, and the Texas Department Criminal Justice (TDCJ) central administration Huntsville, Texas. Regarding motive, (b)(6); seems to have motive to threaten Judge (b)(6); as he was the presiding judge for (b)(6); sentencing within the Northern District of Texas. Regarding intent, (b)(6); admitted in his initial interview with the FBI that he wanted to harm Judge (b)(6); and AUSA (b)(6);. (b)(6); was angry and feels he was wronged for being charged and convicted of sexual assault of a minor. Regarding ability, (b)(6); has essentially a life sentence to serve in the state of Texas, TDCJ, for continuous sexual assault of a minor. (b)(6);, personally, does not have the ability to commit the crime. Judge (b)(6); was advised during the investigation that if he wished to block all communications from (b)(6); he could elect to do so. JSI (b)(6); provided a template to Judge (b)(6); and further advised all future correspondence from inmate (b)(6); would be forwarded to JSI (b)(6); for review. JSI (b)(6); has not received a response from the judiciary affirming this action. Presently, it appears the threatening communications from inmate (b)(6); have been mitigated as it relates to the judicial protection investigation case. There is nothing further to report. If future developments become apparent, JSI (b)(6); will provide a supplemental report containing those updates. Case closed	Direct Threat	Written	2022/07/12 00:00:00	2022/07/12 00:00:00
--------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------------	---	------	----------------	---------------------	---	---------------	---------	---------------------	---------------------

Closed	2022/08/17 00:00:00	2022/08/18 00:00:00	2022/11/08 00:00:00	2022/11/08 12:25:06	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	5	LA/E	Arrest/Close	Background: As of this report date, the full predicated investigation with (b)(6); (b)(6); under (b)(7)(E) is due to be closed. The following information is being provided as an overview of the investigation and the justification for the closure but does not include all investigative activity associated with the case. On 8/17/2022, the USMS E/LA was notified of multiple threatening texts sent to (b)(6); (b)(6); the son of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); The first text message stated the following: "Because you've been talking to one of my girls who offered you her service and you just wasted her time. I have set a fee for you to pay. If you don't pay her, a couple of your family members will lose their lives. Don't try to block me or turn off the phone because I have your address and your family's as well. Write me to solve something in a go." The text messages continued with threats to kill and multiple pictures of three guns with extended drum magazines pointed at the camera, a picture of a family with kids that appear to be shot to death, and a picture of a human head in an ice chest. The text messages claimed to be from (b)(6); (b)(6); On 8/18/2022, the Eastern District of Louisiana (E/LA) opened a full predicated investigation to determine (b)(6); motive, intent, and ability to harm (b)(6); (b)(6); and her family. Investigation: (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); and her husband (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) were interviewed at their residence. Information was developed that their daughter (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) had more information about the threat (b)(6); received. At this point, E/LA was informed that the language used in the threat was used in another threat case in Chicago along with some of the same pictures. It was determined that this was a scam call and (b)(6); (b)(6); and her husband were informed. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was subsequently interviewed along with the threat recipient (b)(6); (b)(6); During the interview of (b)(6); (b)(6); E/LA was informed that when (b)(6); was in Los Angeles, CA he used the internet on his phone to look up a local massage parlor for a massage. (b)(6); stated that called for information and prices but did not follow through with the massage. (b)(6); then stated that later he got a call from a male subject with a Spanish accent demanding payment for the massage. (b)(6); then started to receive threatening text messages came from a different number (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) then the massage parlor (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); believes that the massage parlor is the source of the threats. (b)(6); also stated that he made a \$1,000 Zelle payment to the threatener using an email address that was provided. E/LA then contacted the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) and forwarded them the screenshots of the phone numbers, pictures, and text messages. It was determined that the phone numbers that were used to make the threats were Voice Over Internet Protocol (VOIP) numbers. The results of that search were that the numbers originated from the Dominican Republic. E/LA also contacted the United States Marshals Service (USMS) (b)(7)(E) for information on the payment made by (b)(6); The (b)(7)(E) and the Technical Operations Group (TOG) for further analysis. (b)(7)(E) and TOG advised E/LA that the results from (b)(7)(E) were typical for a sophisticated scam organization. The names that were used were baseball players and only used a couple of times and then changed and the account closed. E/LA name (b)(6); (b)(6); was not identified in the (b)(7)(E) and is more than likely a fake name for this scam. Protective Response: E/LA's protective response during the initial information received was that (b)(6); (b)(6); was escorted to her residence and a 24/7 protection detail was initiated. Once the threat was determined to be a scam the protection detail was released. Mitigation: (b)(6); was advised not to answer the scammers calls anymore and to not pay anymore money. (b)(6); (b)(6);	Conditional Threat	Telephone	2022/08/17 00:00:00	2022/08/17 00:00:00
Closed	2022/09/07 00:00:00	2022/09/07 00:00:00	2022/10/07 00:00:00	2022/10/07 15:49:55		5	TX/S	Arrest/Close	On 10/6/22 at approximately 14:17, DUSMs (b)(6); and (b)(6); interviewed (b)(6); at the Harris County Jail located at 701 N. San Jacinto St, Houston, TX 77002. Also present was (b)(6); defense counsel, (b)(6); (b)(6); The purpose of this interview was to determine if (b)(6); poses a threat to United States Attorney (USA) (b)(6); (b)(6); and to inquire his intentions by mailing the suspicious package on 9/7/22. DUSM (b)(6); asked (b)(6); if he did in fact mail a package to USA (b)(6); which he replied yes. DUSM (b)(6); asked (b)(6); what the package contained. (b)(6); stated is was some sort of a relay switch from the driver side door panel to his 2001 Ford Explorer Sport. DUSM (b)(6); asked how he knew USA (b)(6); which (b)(6); stated he googled her and also has subscribed to the Department of Justice (DOJ) newsletters which some contain her name. DUSM (b)(6); asked if he knew what USA (b)(6); looked like, which (b)(6); stated he has only seen 1 picture of her. DUSM (b)(6); asked (b)(6); if he knew where USA (b)(6); lived, which (b)(6); stated no. DUSM (b)(6); asked (b)(6); if he knew if USA (b)(6); was married or had any children, which (b)(6); replied he did not know. DUSM (b)(6); asked (b)(6); why he sent the package to the USA and (b)(6); stated he thought she knew who he was from 2 emails he had sent her and she could help him. (b)(6); also stated he believed the relay switch was a tracking device put there by the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) or a group of Asian kids. DUSM (b)(6); asked (b)(6); if he was intoxicated with any kind of narcotics while not in custody which (b)(6); stated yes; he used methamphetamines 4-5 times a week. (b)(6); also stated he now realizes that sounded ridiculous and stated it was probably the narcotics making him paranoid. Multiple times throughout the interview, (b)(6); asked that DUSM (b)(6); and (b)(6); tell USA (b)(6); that he was sorry and did not intend to cause any harm or scare anyone. DUSM (b)(6); asked (b)(6); where he was going to live once his state case gets resolved, which (b)(6); stated he would live with his father who resides in (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) until he can get back on his feet. DUSM (b)(6); asked (b)(6); if he intended or wants to do any harm to USA (b)(6); or any Assistant United States Attorneys (AUSA), which (b)(6); stated no. DUSMs (b)(6); and (b)(6); believe (b)(6); did not and does not pose any threat to USA (b)(6); The suspicious package sent to USA (b)(6); was sent with no malice intent because (b)(6); was seeking help from her office to identify the part (b)(6); believed to be a tracking device. The above DUSMs believe this threat has been successfully mitigated and will consider this case closed at this time.	Direct Threat	Informant	2022/09/07 00:00:00	2022/09/07 00:00:00

Closed	2022/09/08 00:00:00	2022/09/08 00:00:00	2022/09/08 00:00:00	2022/10/17 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	5	TX/N	Arrest/Close	2022/10/05 12:48:09	On September 8, 2022, A collateral lead was sent to United States Marshals Service (USMS) Office in Houston, Texas in the Southern District of Texas (S/TX) in relation to a possible threat sent by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (FID (b)(7)(E)) towards United States District Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) on the social media platform, Twitter (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was believed to be residing at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), located within the Southern District of Texas. On September 9, 2022, DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) accompanied FBI Special Agent (SA) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and Texas Department of Public Safety (DPS) Agent (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) a Task Force Officer (TFO) with the FBI, to participate in an interview of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) at his residence to determine if (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) intended to carry out the threat against Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). During the interview (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) admitted to posting the threatening tweet, but stated that he did not intend any harm by the tweet. DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) asked (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) a series of questions regarding his motive, intent and ability to carry out the threat. After concluding the interview DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) FBI SA (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and TFO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) agreed that based on (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) answers that he does not pose a threat to Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) or his family at this time. It is unknown if the FBI or DPS will pursue the matter further or explore any other possible criminal charges. DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has been monitoring (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) social media accounts since the potential threat was made and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has not made any more threatening posts towards Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) or any other public official. With all of the information obtained through the interview of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and the monitoring of his social media accounts, DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) does not believe that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) poses a threat to Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) or his family. At this time, DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) believes that this matter does not merit any further investigation and should be closed. If any new derogatory information is developed, the case will be reopened.	Direct Threat	Email/Internet	2022/09/08 00:00:00		2022/09/08 00:00:00
Closed	2022/09/08 00:00:00	2022/09/08 00:00:00	2022/09/08 00:00:00	2022/10/17 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	5	TX/N	Arrest/Close	2022/10/17 16:11:20	Please refer to closing USM-11 dated 10/4/22.	Direct Threat	Email/Internet	2022/09/08 00:00:00		2022/09/08 00:00:00
Closed	2022/09/23 00:00:00	2022/09/26 00:00:00	2022/09/26 00:00:00	2023/04/11 00:00:00		5	LA/M	Arrest/Close	2023/02/13 11:10:11	Prior to today's date 02/09/2023, I have contacted the Bureau of Prisons (BOP) with all relevant information on (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). I last spoke with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) with BOP on 11/29/2022. He has not notified me of any disciplinary action taken on (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). Since there is no additional action to be taken by the USMS, I am closing this protective assessment. Case closed. Nothing Further.	Veiled Threat	Informant			2022/09/23 00:00:00
Closed	2022/09/23 00:00:00	2022/09/26 00:00:00	2022/09/26 00:00:00	2023/04/11 00:00:00		5	LA/M	Arrest/Close	2023/04/11 17:06:29	See previous usm-11.	Veiled Threat	Informant			2022/09/23 00:00:00
Closed	2022/08/03 00:00:00	2022/08/05 00:00:00	2022/08/05 00:00:00	2023/02/17 00:00:00	US MAG JUDGE	6	MI/W	Arrest/Close	2023/02/17 09:15:59	(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) s (DOB (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); FID (b)(7)(E)) communication has remained consistent and his message is non-threatening. His delivery and thought process is erratic and confusing to people that have limited interactions. During multiple conversations with DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(F) is able to complete his thoughts in prolonged and broken narrative. With consistent non-threatening communications, it is determined that the current case should be closed. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has regularly reached out to DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to speak in the past and these communications are anticipated to continue. DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) will reopen or complete field reports as needed. END OF REPORT.	Subsequent Communication by Prior IC Sender/Threatener	Telephone			

Closed	2022/08/03 00:00:00	2022/08/05 00:00:00	2022/02/17 00:00:00	2023/02/17 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	6	MI/W	Arrest/Close	2023/01/25 17:34:43	On January 25, 2023, at approximately 1531 hours, DUSM (b)(6) (b)(6) made telephone contact with (b)(6) (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); FID # (b)(7)(E)). (b)(6); answered his cell phone, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) while driving semi-truck between Sault Ste. Marie, MI and Marquette, MI. (b)(6); talked about his case, wanting a new criminal trial. He reiterated the same information that was shared in previous conversations. He also talked about personal matters, including his son and his current living situation. He wants a new trial to exonerate himself so that he can return to Canada and collect social security money. DUSM (b)(6); asked about what would happen if a retrial did not go his way. (b)(6); stated that wouldn't happen, but if it did he would gladly go back to prison and be with the other wrongly accused men. The latest filing in (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) case (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was filed on January 17, 2023. The notice, authored by (b)(6); is in response to the court removing U.S. District Court Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) from the case. (b)(6); was confused and wanted clarification about dates and timelines in the case. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) communication has remained consistent and his message is non-threatening. His delivery and thought process is erratic and confusing to people that have limited interactions. During multiple conversations with DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); is able to complete his thoughts in prolonged and broken narrative. With the prolonged non-threatening communications, it is determined that the current case should be closed. (b)(6); has consistently reached out to DUSM (b)(6); to speak in the past and these communications are anticipated to continue. DUSM (b)(6); will reopen or complete field reports as needed. END OF REPORT.	Subsequent Communication by Prior IC Sender/Threatener	Telephone			
Closed	2022/05/31 00:00:00	2022/06/01 00:00:00	2022/02/17 00:00:00	2022/10/17 00:00:00	MAGISTRATE JUDGE	6	KY/E	Arrest/Close	2022/08/15 14:18:32	(b)(6); (b)(6); made verbal threats to all members in the courtroom on June 1, 2022. (b)(6); is suffering from serious mental issues and has been sent off for mental competency. I do not believe any of the threats made by (b)(6); were known or valid threats. The verbal assaults were broad and not singular in nature. In fact (b)(6); did not even know where he was nor who he was threatening. (b)(6); was under the impression he was in Knox County Court and thought he was there for another matter unrelated. Further expression of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) mental acuity, when in the elevator he thought I was Osama Bin Laden who he stated "aren't you dead? We already killed you Osama Bin Laden." This case is considered closed. If further incidents occur upon (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) return the case will be reopened.	Direct Threat	Informant			
Closed	2022/06/04 00:00:00	2022/06/09 00:00:00	2022/02/02 00:00:00	2022/08/02 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	6	KY/E	Arrest/Close	2022/08/01 16:11:30	On 06/04/2022, 6th Circuit Court of Appeals Judge (b)(6); (b)(6); received a package addressed to his official title at his private residence in (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). The package was delivered by Federal Express and contained articles demanding the incarceration of President's Barack Obama and Joseph Biden. The package had a return address listed as (b)(6); (b)(6); of Columbus, OH. **Several Federal Judge's throughout the U.S. received the same package** After careful review of the contents, Senior Inspector (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) did not detect any direct or indirect threats. SI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) sent a collateral lead to the Southern District of Ohio requesting an interview of (b)(6); (b)(6); After 4 attempts the deputies in S/OH were unsuccessful in locating (b)(6); Since 06/04/22, Judge (b)(6); has not received any additional packages or inappropriate communications. Due to the lack of direct or indirect threats contained in the package and the mass mailings to various officials, SI (b)(6); is closing the case for a lack of threats and non-prosecutorial violations. //Case Closed//	Suspicious Behavior, Research Into Personal Affairs, Bizarre or Unreasonable Solicitation, Pseudo-Legal Court Filing from Quasi-Courts or Common Law Filing, Expression of Extreme or Obsessive Admiration or Affection	Written	2022/06/09 00:00:00		

Closed	2022/09/23 00:00:00	2022/09/24 00:00:00	2022 2	2022/10/04 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	6	TN/W	Arrest/Closure	2022/09/29 13:42:02	On 28Sept2022, Deputy United States Marshal (DUSM) (b)(6); (b)(6); DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6) and DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); along with FBI Agent (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) interviewed (b)(6); (b)(6); USMS # (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) at the Shelby County Detention Center around 1400. During the interview (b)(6); confirmed he does not know who District Court Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is. (b)(6); stated he would never threaten and has never attempted to harm anyone in the court family. (b)(6); confirmed his RNI # as (b)(6); and illustrated how he wrote both his name and RNI. When the evidence was presented to (b)(6); (envelope only), he confirmed that was his name however, not his handwriting and not his RNI number. (b)(6); confirmed he hasn't had any trouble with anyone at Shelby County Correctional Center (SCCC). (b)(6); was asked if he knew anyone by the name of (b)(6); (b)(6); USMS # (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); stated no, however once shown a facial of (b)(6); (b)(6); immediately identified him as (b)(6);. (b)(6); confirmed (b)(6); lives upstairs and he lives downstairs in the same pod. Both (b)(6); and (b)(6); have daily visuals of each other due to their proximity in the pod. According to research, (b)(6); is an Eastern Arkansas prisoner with no apparent connection to the Western District of Tennessee and/or Judge (b)(6); (b)(6); is a W/TN inmate. (b)(6); had a trial on 8/1/22 - 8/5/2022, was found guilty on 3 counts, and currently awaiting sentencing before Judge (b)(6); (b)(6); was advised to not have any unnecessary contact with (b)(6); and to not share this information with anyone in an attempted to get back at (b)(6); (b)(6); was also advised that (b)(6); may not have anything to do with the threatening letter however the United States Marshals Service is still investigating and to not get involved. Based off the information above, this Protective Investigation will be closed as of this date due to a lack of information that would indicate (b)(6); is currently associated with any identifiable threats directed at any USMS protectee. Protective Investigation CLOSED	Direct Threat	Written	2022/09/23 00:00:00		
Closed	2022/08/08 00:00:00	2022/08/10 00:00:00	2022 2	2023/02/15 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	6	MI/W	Arrest/Closure	2023/02/15 11:23:19	On August 8, 2022, (b)(6); (b)(6); sent a concerning email to The United States District Court (USDC) Western Michigan (MI-W) ECF Helpdesk regarding United States District Court Judge (USDCJ) (b)(6); (b)(6) In his email (b)(6); stated he was attempting to issue a Civil Warrant for USDCJ (b)(6); arrest. As a result, (b)(6); was added to the USMS Western District of Michigan (W/MI) escort notification list. DUSM (b)(6); contacted the Clerk's Office to obtain any other communications sent by (b)(6); to the Court or Court staff. DUSM (b)(6); also conducted an open-source search for (b)(6); social media accounts to assess any possible threat in his postings. (b)(6); has not had any known correspondence with the Clerk's Office since September 2022. (b)(6); to this date has not sent any known emails, voice messages, voice calls, or mailings to USDCJ (b)(6) or her staff. Based information obtained from (b)(6); filings he has no employment or substantial financial means as well as limited means of transportation. Based on the information known to the USMS and outlined above, (b)(6); does not appear to pose a risk to USDCJ (b)(6) at this time; therefore, this case is closed.	Suspicious Behavior, Bizarre or Unreasonable Solicitation	Email/Internet			
Closed	2022/09/20 00:00:00	2022/09/22 00:00:00	2022 2	2022/10/25 00:00:00	ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY	6	TN/W	Arrest/Closure	2022/10/25 15:35:12	On Friday October 21, 2022, Protective Intelligence Investigator (PII) (b)(6); and Judicial Security Inspector (JSI) (b)(6); made contact with (b)(6); (b)(6); at his residence located at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). PII (b)(6); informed (b)(6); of the reason for the contact to which (b)(6); stated he remembered the conversation with his father, (b)(6); (b)(6);. He stated that he was upset that "she" ratted out his father to save her own skin. PII (b)(6); inquired who (b)(6); meant by "she". He stated, "The snitch". PII (b)(6); further inquired if (b)(6); had any anger or bad intentions to harm the AUSA assigned to his father's case. He stated that he did not know there was an AUSA on his father's case, but quickly stated he did not intend any harm towards anyone, especially the AUSA. PII (b)(6); admonished (b)(6); and concluded the interview without incident. At this time, DUSM (b)(6); request to close this case based on the facts above. **CASE CLOSED** **LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES ONLY**	Veiled Threat, Direct Threat	Telephone			

Closed	2022/07/06 00:00:00	2022/07/07 00:00:00	2022/07/07 00:00:00	2022/11/16 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	6	TN/M	Arrest/Closure	2022/11/16 18:42:39	On July 6, 2022, (b)(6); (b)(6); was notified by (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); of an inappropriate letter that was received by the Clerk of Courts for the Middle District of Tennessee. On July 6, 2022, the Clerk of Courts notified (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); that they received a letter from (b)(6); (b)(6); an inmate at Morgan County Correctional Complex. The letter said, "You want to take my Money I'm Gone Kill You! And Kill the Judge!" Completed Investigative Activity: On July 6, 2022, (b)(6); (b)(6); retrieved the letter from the Clerk of Courts, (b)(6); (b)(6); and placed the letter into an evidence bag and put it in the vault. (b)(6); also informed (b)(6); that (b)(6); had filed two cases in the Eastern District of Tennessee and one case in the Middle District of Tennessee. On July 9, 2022, (b)(6); (b)(6); was contacted by Special Agent (b)(6); (b)(6); from the FBI. SA (b)(6); (b)(6); informed (b)(6); that she would send someone to pick up the letter and conduct an interview with (b)(6); On July 22, 2022, (b)(6); (b)(6); retrieved the letter from the evidence vault and turned the letter over to Special Agent (b)(6); from the FBI. (b)(6); (b)(6); uploaded a copy of the threat letter, USM-102 Seized Property and Evidence Control form, (b)(6); Felony Offender information Sheet, (b)(6); PACER form from E/TN, and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); PACER form from M/TN. Proposed Investigative Activity: On September 14, 2022, (b)(6); (b)(6); received an e-mail from SA (b)(6); who stated that they had started the initial investigation on (b)(6); On October 18, 2022, (b)(6); (b)(6); reached out to SA (b)(6); and found out that the case is still being investigated and ongoing. On October 24, 2022, SA (b)(6); reached out to (b)(6); (b)(6); and he stated that the case has been transferred the FBI Knoxville Office for further investigation and processing. Mitigation Strategy: Special Agent in Charge, East Tennessee Department of Corrections, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); will continue to watch (b)(6); (b)(6); is currently in the Mental Health POD and being watched by multiple Correctional Officers. The FBI Knoxville Office is currently investigating this case for further prosecution. Closing: The threat has been mitigated due the subject being in State custody at Morgan County Correctional Complex. (b)(6); is currently in the Mental Health POD and being watched by multiple Correctional Officers. (b)(6); is not scheduled to get out of jail for 5 more years. The FBI Knoxville Office is currently investigating (b)(6); The Middle District of Tennessee no longer has a (b)(7)(E) interest at this time. This case is closed.	Direct Threat	Written	2022/07/06 00:00:00		
Closed	2022/05/12 00:00:00	2022/05/26 00:00:00	2022/05/26 00:00:00	2022/06/28 00:00:00	CLERKS OFFICE	6	KY/W	Arrest/Closure	2022/06/28 13:20:14	The Protective Investigation into threats made by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); was closed on 06/17/2022 along with criminal investigation (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); due to a consensus between DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); and JSI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); is unable to carry out these threats due to his current long term incarceration with a minimum release date of April 2039. See USM-11 (b)(7)(E) for closure details.	Direct Threat	Written			
Closed	2022/09/16 00:00:00	2022/09/16 00:00:00	2022/09/16 00:00:00	2023/02/21 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	6	MI/W	Arrest/Closure	2022/12/29 20:51:27	There has been no more communication between (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); and Judge (b)(6); since the interview of November 4, 2022. On November 15, 2022 (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); has been at FCI Milan Detention center. With (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); no longer sending Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); inappropriate letters this investigations will be closed.	Bizarre or Unreasonable Solicitation	Written			
Closed	2022/09/16 00:00:00	2022/09/16 00:00:00	2022/09/16 00:00:00	2023/02/21 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	6	MI/W	Arrest/Closure	2023/02/21 10:16:55	There has been no more communication between (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); and Judge (b)(6); since the interview of November 4, 2022. On November 15, 2022 (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); has been at FCI Milan Detention center. With (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); no longer sending Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); inappropriate letters this investigations will be closed.	Bizarre or Unreasonable Solicitation	Written			
Closed	2022/05/31 00:00:00	2022/06/01 00:00:00	2022/06/01 00:00:00	2022/10/17 00:00:00	MAGISTRATE JUDGE	6	KY/E	Arrest/Closure	2022/09/19 13:09:40	This case is closed. No further investiagtion needed unless new evidence is presented.	Direct Threat	Informant			
Closed	2022/05/31 00:00:00	2022/06/01 00:00:00	2022/06/01 00:00:00	2022/10/17 00:00:00	MAGISTRATE JUDGE	6	KY/E	Arrest/Closure	2022/10/17 13:29:09	This case is closed. No further investigation is required. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); made a broad non specific threat to everyone in the courtroom. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); is a paranoid delusional person who did not even know where he was at the time of the incident. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); was recently found incompetent to stand trial and is being returned for attempts to rehabilitate. Nothing follows. Case CLOSED.	Direct Threat	Informant			

Closed	2021/12/11 00:00:00	2021/12/13 00:00:00	2022/02/24 00:00:00	USMS PERSONNEL	6	MI/E	Arrest/Closure	2022/02/14 17:07:31	On 11 Dec 2021 an investigation for possible threats against SDUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); in the E/MI was initiated. The alleged subject of this investigation is (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (DOB (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) SSN (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) who is reported to reside at (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) Continuous inquiries were made of SDUSM (b)(6); to confirm no additional contact was received from (b)(6); The last communication received from (b)(6); has not changed from 15 Dec 2021. Our physical surveillance of the known residence and historic data collection to determine travel and spending in the immediate area of SDUSM (b)(6); home provided negative results. DUSM (b)(6); emailed AUSA (b)(6); on 10 Jan 2022 to follow up on the subpoena requests submitted to his office. He has yet to respond. Recent criminal history check revealed no in custody arrests for (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) that would prevent contact with SDUSM (b)(6); USSS SA (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has reported no recent communications with (b)(6); related to SDUSM (b)(6); At this time the Eastern District of Michigan will close the threat case as (b)(6); has cease to continue communications with SDUSM (b)(6); Should SDUSM (b)(6); receive communications from (b)(6); again, we will re-open the investigation.	Reference to Death, Suicide, Weapons, Violence, Assassinations, etc., Veiled Threat, Obsessive Desire for Contact, Reference to Persons Attacked in Public, Bizarre or Unreasonable Solicitation, Religious or Historical Themes Including Admonishment of Lifestyle or Personal Behavior, Reference to Death, Suicide, Weapons, Violence, Assassinations, etc., Veiled Threat, Obsessive Desire for Contact	Telephone	2021/12/13 00:00:00		2021/12/13 00:00:00
Closed	2021/12/20 00:00:00	2021/12/20 00:00:00	2022/05/16 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT OTHER	6	OH/S	Arrest/Closure	2022/05/16 12:19:36	As of 5.16.2022, (b)(6); (b)(6); has made no attempt to contact the Federal Court family in several months. He has been out of mental health treatment for at least two months, and his mental health case worker (b)(6); (b)(6); reports he is compliant with medication and treatment. Both (b)(6); and (b)(6); brother (b)(6); (b)(6); have indicated they will contact (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) should (b)(6); (b)(6); situation deteriorate. At this time, the USMS considers the matter closed barring further developments. END OF REPORT.	Conditional Threat	Telephone	2021/12/20 00:00:00		2021/12/20 00:00:00
Closed	2022/04/05 00:00:00	2022/04/07 00:00:00	2022/09/12 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	6	OH/N	Arrest/Closure	2022/08/31 16:18:16	On 08/30/2022, PII (b)(6); (b)(6); confirmed with the USAO in N/OH that they will not seek prosecution against (b)(6); USDCJ (b)(6); has already concurred with that decision. At this time, there is no information or intelligence that (b)(6); poses any threat to any USMS protectee or facility. This PPI is CLOSED. END OF REPORT	Conditional Threat	Written	2022/04/05 00:00:00		2022/04/05 00:00:00
Closed	2022/04/05 00:00:00	2022/04/07 00:00:00	2022/09/12 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	6	OH/N	Arrest/Closure	2022/09/12 09:50:11	On 08/30/2022, PII (b)(6); (b)(6); confirmed with the USAO in N/OH that they will not seek prosecution against (b)(6); USDCJ (b)(6); has already concurred with that decision. At this time, there is no information or intelligence that (b)(6); poses any threat to any USMS protectee or facility. (b)(6); is currently incarcerated at the Toledo Correctional Institution, Toledo, Ohio, serving a Life Sentence with a Parole Hearing date of December 2025. There are also two consecutive Federal sentences for (b)(6); This PPI is CLOSED. END OF REPORT	Conditional Threat	Written	2022/04/05 00:00:00		2022/04/05 00:00:00
Closed	2022/05/05 00:00:00	2022/05/06 00:00:00	2022/07/07 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	6	MI/E	Arrest/Closure	2022/05/06 14:33:25	On 5/6/2022, fugitive (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (w/m, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) who was wanted on a violation of parole from the Michigan Department of Corrections. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was arrested with the assistance of CSO's (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), LCSO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and CSO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) along with MDOC ARU Investigator (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), at the Theodore Levin US Courthouse. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was taken into custody by ARU Investigator (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and was transported to the Lawton Parole Office. ***Closed by Arrest***	Suspicious Behavior, Conditional Threat, Obsessive Desire for Contact, Stalking	Telephone	2022/05/06 00:00:00		2022/05/05 00:00:00

Closed	2022/05/05 00:00:00	2022/05/06 00:00:00	2022/05/07 00:00:00	2022/07/07 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	6	MI/E	Arrest/Closure	2022/07/07 14:11:04	On 7/7/2022, I contacted MDOC Parole Agent (b)(6); (b)(6); regarding the disposition of Mr. (b)(6); (b)(6); Agent (b)(6); advised that after Mr. (b)(6); testified, the MDOC Parole Board voted to return Mr. (b)(6); to prison. at this time, it is unknown how long the sentence will actually be, but while he is incarcerated, it was explained that Mr. (b)(6); will be receiving mental health treatment. With the aforementioned disposition, this case shall be closed out as mitigated (subject incarcerated). Should the situation deteriorate and Mr. (b)(6); (upon his release) continues his original course of action, the case shall be revisited and the appropriate action taken. ***Case Closed***	Suspicious Behavior, Conditional Threat, Stalking, Obsessive Desire for Contact	Telephone	2022/05/06 00:00:00		2022/05/05 00:00:00
Closed	2022/06/20 00:00:00	2022/07/14 00:00:00	2022/07/18 00:00:00	2023/05/18 00:00:00	FEDERAL DEFENDER	6	OH/N	Arrest/Closure	2023/05/18 13:19:11	On 03/24/2023, PII (b)(6); (b)(6); queried the Shaker Heights Municipal Court website for (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) criminal case (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). On 03/21/2023, (b)(6); pled No Contest and was found guilty of Disorderly Conduct with a \$530 fine. (b)(6); has not received any communication from (b)(6); nor has there been any social media posts from (b)(6); about (b)(6); This PPI is CLOSED. END OF REPORT	Subsequent Communication by Prior IC Sender/Threatener	Email/Internet	2022/06/20 00:00:00		2022/06/20 00:00:00
Closed	2022/08/12 00:00:00	2022/08/15 00:00:00	2022/08/01 00:00:00	2022/12/01 00:00:00	ASSISTANT US ATTORNEY	6	OH/N	Arrest/Closure	2022/11/28 16:21:38	As of 09/26/2022, the N/OH is coordinating with the USAO and the FBI regarding (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was interviewed by DUSMs and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) admitted he had no intentions of threatening or harming anyone. No further communications have been made by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) On 11/22/2022, DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(F) confirmed with AUSA (b)(6); (b)(6); that the USAO will not pursue prosecution of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) next hearing is scheduled for February of 2023. At this time, there is no indication that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) poses a threat to AUSA (b)(6); or any other USMS protectee/facility. This PPI can be closed. This PPI is CLOSED. END OF REPORT	Reference to Death, Suicide, Weapons, Violence, Assassinations, etc.	Written	2022/08/12 00:00:00		2022/08/12 00:00:00
Closed	2022/08/18 00:00:00	2022/08/19 00:00:00	2022/08/15 00:00:00	2022/12/15 00:00:00		6	OH/S	Arrest/Closure	2022/12/14 11:49:34	As of December 2022, it does not appear local or federal authorities are planning to charge (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) for the bomb threat event resulting in this investigation beyond the misdemeanor charge documented in earlier reports. The USMS is aware of no further incidents or contacts made by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) against any federal asset. At this time, the USMS is closing the investigation, though a BOLO flyer will remain at the entry points of both federal courthouses in Columbus. END OF REPORT.	Direct Threat	Verbal	2022/08/18 00:00:00	2022/08/18 00:00:00	2022/08/18 00:00:00
Closed	2022/09/13 00:00:00	2022/09/14 00:00:00	2022/09/31 00:00:00	2022/10/31 00:00:00	ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY	6	MI/E	Arrest/Closure	2022/10/28 07:47:15	On 09/29/2022, search warrants were submitted for tower dumps on the areas covering the two homes of the Assistant US Attorneys (AUSAs). (b)(6); (b)(6); of the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) conducted an investigation into two vehicles, jail calls, pole camera, and data from the executed search warrants. Two vehicles were reported as being suspicious at locations of two different AUSAs. FBI determined the identity and nature of their business in the two locations. FBI was able to confirm that one of the vehicles was a driver for (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and the other was a private investigator unrelated to anyone involved in this threat investigation On 10/27/2022, USMS was notified by (b)(6); (b)(6); of the FBI that they are concluding their investigation into this matter. In addition to all the investigative steps indicated in the USM11 submitted on 10/03/2022 and the information provided by the FBI's latest results pertaining to the tower dumps and pole camera, there does not appear that neither vehicles were related to the AUSAs or (b)(6); (b)(6); To date, there has been no reports of any other vehicles nor any threats towards any of the AUSAs involved in (b)(6); (b)(6); case.	Suspicious Behavior	Suspicious Activity	2022/09/12 00:00:00		2022/09/12 00:00:00
Closed	2022/09/23 00:00:00	2022/09/26 00:00:00	2022/09/15 00:00:00	2022/11/15 00:00:00	U.S. ATTORNEY	6	TN/W	Arrest/Closure	2022/11/15 12:19:58	On 9/20/2022 at approximately 2:19 AM, an anonymous call was received by the United States Marshal Service call center about someone conspiring to murder the prosecutor who worked on his case. No pertinent information was recieved other than the name Bit Bit. The anonymous caller stated he would call back when more information such as the individuals real name was obtained. As of now, no new information has been obtained. There have been no further calls or information received concerning this potential threat. Based on the information highlighted above, this Protective Investigation will be closed as of this date due to a lack of information.	Direct Threat	Telephone	2022/09/23 00:00:00		2022/09/20 00:00:00
Closed	2022/09/09 00:00:00	2022/09/09 00:00:00	2022/09/13 00:00:00	2023/02/13 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	7	IL/N	Arrest/Closure	2023/02/11 18:02:47	On 02/10/2023 Deputy U.S. Marshal (DUSM) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); followed up with the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) regarding the case involving (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). The USMS has been able to determine without prejudice that none of the protectee's involved in this investigation are in jeopardy or are in anyway at risk of injury or death. Furthermore the USMS has no jurisdictional authority to pursue charges which now fall under the jurisdiction of the IRS. Based on these findings the IRS will now take the lead on this case going forward and the USMS will assist where needed and continue to monitor (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) S actions while in custody. End of report.	Mis-Belief That a Debt is Owed	Written			

Closed	2022/08/15 00:00:00	2022/08/15 00:00:00	2022	2023/02/13 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	7	IL/N	Arrest/Close	2023/02/11 17:59:52	On 02/11/2023 Deputy U.S. Marshal (DUSM) (b)(6); (b)(6) conducted a check of NCIC regarding (b)(6); (b)(6) (FID(b)(7)(E)) to determine if he has had any contact with law enforcement since the previous query. (b)(6); has two active warrants out of Cook County for assault/battery and false impersonation of a police officer (OCA# (b)(7)(E) and (OCA# (b)(7)(E); (b)(6); is listed as armed and dangerous, the Great Lakes Regional Fugitive Task Force (GLRFTF) is aiding the Chicago Police Department (CPD) and Illinois State Police (ISP) in an investigation whereby (b)(6); has threatened to kill an Illinois Supreme Court Judge; Details of this investigation have yet to be obtained. DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6) will be closing this case due to lack of activity and prosecutable evidence. When (b)(6); is eventually apprehended for his outstanding state charges DUSMs will interview (b)(6); and attempt to determine if he is the actual sender of the numerous fraudulent court orders and if so seek to obtain a confession from (b)(6); This case will be re-opened once new evidence is discovered or once (b)(6); is taken into custody. End of report.	Pseudo-Legal Court Filing from Quasi-Courts or Common Law Filing	Email/Internet			
Closed	2021/11/03 00:00:00	2021/11/05 00:00:00	2022	2021/12/29 00:00:00	COURT HOUSE	7	WI/E	Arrest/Close	2021/12/29 15:03:16	As of December 28, 2021, (b)(6); is unaware of any contact between (b)(6); (b)(6); and any USMS protectees. (b)(6); remains in the custody of the Wisconsin Department of Corrections-mental health facility-serving a life sentence. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) outgoing mail continues to be screened and monitored by the Wisconsin Department of Corrections. Based on the monitoring and the lack of contact with USMS protectees, (b)(6); will close the case.	Direct Threat	Written			
Closed	2021/09/29 00:00:00	2021/10/01 00:00:00	2022	2023/01/06 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	7	WI/E	Arrest/Close	2023/01/06 16:53:55	As of January 1, 2023 there has been no contact between (b)(6); (b)(6); here in after referred to as (b)(6); and any USMS protectee. In October 2021, while in the custody of the Bureau of Prisoner - Yankton Camp (minimum facility) in South Dakota, (b)(6); was moved to the special housing facility (lock down) at the facility based on the USMS investigation. On October 26, 2021, DUSMs from the District of South Dakota interviewed (b)(6); and two prisoners regarding the alleged threats. During the course of the interview with (b)(6); he had stated that all he ever tells everyone is that he would, "fight on paper". The interviews of the two prisoners witnesses revealed that (b)(6); was an extremely vindictive person and would make threats regarding a lot of people. (b)(6); was also described as bi-polar. It was also learned that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) would do research for (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) had gone through approximately \$300,000 of (b)(6); money. (b)(6); with the assistance of a (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has a "Go-Fund-Me" page to obtain money for the case. In November 2022, FPC -Yankton SIU had reviewed emails and phone calls. There were no references to any USMS Protectees. On December 15, 2021, (b)(6); was moved via JPATS from Federal Prison Camp-Yankton to the United States Prison-Leavenworth. Due to (b)(6); being under USMS investigation, he was reclassified to a higher custody. As of December 21, 2022, there were several collaterals sent to various districts to locate (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) with no success. (b)(6); currently remains in the custody of the Bureau of Prisons - Leavenworth USP until December 20, 2028. Based on the lack of contact between (b)(6); and USMS protectees, his lack of funding and current custody status, (b)(6); will close this case. Should any inappropriate contact or new information be discovered the case will be reopened.	Conditional Threat	Informant			

Closed	2021/12/15 00:00:00	2021/12/15 00:00:00	2022 2	2023/02/02 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	7	W/E	Arrest/Closure	2023/02/01 11:17:11	As of January 31, 2023, there has been no contact between (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). A brief history of the case is listed below. On December 7, 2021, (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); received a mailed package containing a binder of printed pages. The mailing was related to a Southern District of Illinois Bankruptcy Court case (b)(6); (b)(6); in which (b)(6); (b)(6); had presided over as a visiting United States Bankruptcy (b)(6);. The binder contained in the package consisted of the following: -The front cover exterior display pocket contained a photo montage including a small image of (b)(6); (b)(6);. The rear cover exterior display pocket contained an 8-1/2" x 11" image of (b)(6); (b)(6); overlayed with an image of an assault-style rifle. -A 33-page document entitled (b)(6); (b)(6);. In RE: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); A tabbed table of contents listing 13 documents and 16 charts. The table of contents page contained a what appeared to be a small version of the large image of (b)(6); (b)(6); overlayed with an image of an assault-style rifle contained in the rear cover exterior display pocket of the binder. -A tabbed table of contents listing 13 documents and 16 charts. The table of contents page contained a what appeared to be a small version of the large image of (b)(6); (b)(6); overlayed with an image of an assault-style rifle contained in the rear cover exterior display pocket of the binder. -Thirteen separate tabbed documents. - Fourteen collage image "charts". -A "CONTRACT OF SALE" document/"chart". -A final collage image "chart." In the documents (b)(6); accused (b)(6); (b)(6); of committing a fraud against him and requiring a response within 15 days. The document purported to contain evidence that the bankruptcy proceedings (b)(6); which resulted in the forced sale of a golf course partially owned by (b)(6); were somehow fraudulent. The document contained multiple references to "death" related to (b)(6);. In the document (b)(6); referred to himself as a decorated Marine veteran. The bottom of the 31st page contained the following text: "Recon Marines Kill first and ask questions later. They don't pass God's judgement, they make sure you show up for it." Page 33 included an accusation the "Federal Government" was conspiring with (b)(6); ex-wife (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), to "...murder him and steal his land." On December 12, 2021, the Southern District of Illinois interviewed (b)(6); at the request of the Eastern District of Wisconsin. During the interview, (b)(6); stated that he was aware of the binder and that he had wrote the letter but was unfamiliar of the picture of (b)(6); (b)(6); with the rifle in the background. (b)(6); stated, "Come on guys, do you really think a Marine would show his hand if I intended to harm a (b)(6);. (b)(6); stated that he thought (b)(6); (b)(6); here in after referred to as, (b)(6); might have mailed it. (b)(6); avoided answering the questions asked of him by talking about the Marines and the various law enforcement officers he knows. (b)(6); was advised that (b)(6); (b)(6); was not the primary (b)(6); for his case and that she does not have any say in the decision for it. (b)(6); responded by saying that he has moved on from the past and that (b)(6); (b)(6); did make at least one decision in his case and was wrong. (b)(6); provided contact information for (b)(6);. (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(E) was a party to the (b)(6); bankruptcy case that (b)(6); (b)(6); assisted the bankruptcy court with (b)(6); in the SD/IL. (b)(6); was also charged for Wire and Mail fraud. He was sentenced to 60 months CBOP and 5 years of supervised release. (b)(6); completed his supervised release in early 2022. In September of 2022, (b)(6); had sent a collateral lead request to the Eastern District of Missouri (St. Louis office) to interview (b)(6); regarding his involvement in this matter. In October of 2022, the St. Louis office of t	Suspicious Behavior	Informant			
Closed	2022/09/09 00:00:00	2022/09/12 00:00:00	2022 2	2022/11/14 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	7	W/E	Arrest/Closure	2022/11/14 14:28:08	As of this report date, Case (b)(7)(E) associated with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (FID (b)(7)(E) is being closed due to no further action or Inappropriate Communications made by the subject. Please see previous USM-11 for a brief overview of the investigation and case closure justifications. An interview of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) took place on 09/12/2022 by DUSM (b)(6);. An DUSM (b)(6); since this interview (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has not contacted Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) or his chambers and has followed the demands of the USMS. This case is being closed due to no recent activity and no other Inappropriate Communications. -End of Report	Conditional Threat	Informant			

Closed	2022/09/09 00:00:00	2022/09/12 00:00:00	2022 2	2022/11/14 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE	7	WI/E	Arrest/Close	2022/10/19 14:38:58	As of this report date, Case (b)(7)(E) associated with (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (FID (b)(7)(E) is being closed due to no further action or Inappropriate Communications made by the subject. The following information is provided as a brief overview of the investigation and justification for closure. This T-case was initiated on 09/09/2022 after (b)(6); contacted E/WI District Judge J (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) chambers and demanded that chambers allow him to speak with Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) regarding his recently dismissed case. Chambers personnel denied this request and did not allow (b)(6); to speak with the judge. (b)(6); was verbally upset by this denial and eventually made the comment, "Next week I am going to start doing some radical things if my grievances are not addressed!". This threat was described to Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) who was concerned with the comments and contacted E/WI JSI (b)(6); (b)(6); to voice his concerns with the statements made. On 09/12/2022 DUSM's (b)(6); and (b)(6); interviewed (b)(6); at his residence located at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) It was explained to (b)(6); how his comments and communications with chambers were inappropriate and taken with seriousness. (b)(6); was apologetic and explained to DUSM's that he did not mean any physical harm by the comments but instead was going to address his frustrations with the courts through social media and local news outlets. It was then explained to (b)(6); that any further communications with the court must be addressed through proper channels and filed through the E/WI Clerk of Courts office. (b)(6); agreed to this requirement and understood contacting chambers was unacceptable and assured DUSM's (b)(6); and (b)(6); that he would not contact Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) chambers again. (b)(6); has not contacted Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) or his chambers since this interview took place and has followed the demands of the USMS. This case is being closed due to no recent activity and no other Inappropriate Communications directed towards Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) or his chambers. -End of Report	Conditional Threat	Informant			
Closed	2022/08/23 00:00:00	2022/08/24 00:00:00	2022 2	2022/10/02 00:00:00	FEDERAL JUDGE	7	IL/N	Arrest/Close	2022/09/30 11:00:07	JSI (b)(6); is assigned to the Unknown Sender to Judge (b)(6); (b)(6); On 8/23/22, Judge (b)(6); (b)(6); reported receiving a letter at his home address. The letter had a return address of 219 S. Dearborn Chicago, IL (Dirksen federal courthouse). The letter was not threatening, anonymous and was typed. The letter discussed vaccine mandates and a recent case that Judge (b)(6); had ruled on. The author clearly agreed with the decision Judge (b)(6); had made. The fact that the letter was received at Judge (b)(6); home address was very disturbing to Judge (b)(6); absent any content. Post mark was Portland, Oregon. Judge (b)(6); and his staff have no idea who may have sent this letter. JSI (b)(6); has uploaded the letter to this event in capture and (b)(7)(C) Other investigative steps taken -JSC (b)(6); (b)(6); contacted to see if any similar letters had been received around the country. Copy of letter provided to JSC, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(F) Nothing else around the country was received. -Online Exposure Assessment and USM 640b conducted by OPI and results were delivered to Judge (b)(6); JSI (b)(6); discussed some of the results with Judge (b)(6); and went over proactive measures to reduce his online footprint. -Special watch initiated with Elmhurst PD. JSI (b)(6); confirmed with Sgt. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); Elmhurst PD. -Protective assessment opened -Online spot check for Judge (b)(6); and his family requested from 7th circuit librarian staff (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(F) and that report was generated. -Forms signed by Judge (b)(6); to authorize the US District Court to contact major data vendors on his behalf to remove his information from their sites pursuant to the Judicial Privacy Act in Illinois. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); is in the process of identifying vendors who display Judge (b)(6); personal information and letters have been sent to the vendors. -Alarm spot check done at the home of Judge (b)(6); and everything is functioning properly. Since the original letter, nothing else has been received, no other emails, calls, voicemails or communication of any kind was received. At this time, JSU investigators feel appropriate to close the investigation. CASE CLOSED>	Research Into Personal Affairs	Written			

Closed	2022/03/22 00:00:00	2022/03/23 00:00:00	2022	2022/05/26 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	7	WI/W	Arrest/Close	2022/05/24 10:55:49	(b)(6); (b)(6); is being investigated for sending a threat to U.S. District Judge (b)(6); (b)(6); of the Western District of Wisconsin. (b)(6); is currently in the custody of the Bureau of Prisons and has a release date of 03/29/2031. The Special Investigative Services unit at USP McCreary, where (b)(6); is housed, has conducted their own investigation into the threatening letter that he sent Judge (b)(6);. They have requested that he be transferred to USP Thomson, a high security penitentiary. A USM-529 was placed in his BOP file to ensure the USMS receives notice of any transfers and/or release. This case is being closed for the following reasons: (b)(6); is currently serving a federal sentence will a release date of 03/29/2031. (b)(6); has a history of mental health problems as well as disciplinary problems at custodial facilities to include spreading his own feces all over his cell (b)(6); stated in his letter that he was acting alone on his threats, "the demon says that I must take your life now" -The U.S. Attorney's Office declines to prosecute (b)(6); at this time due to his current lengthy sentence as well as his mental health history -BOP has decided to transfer (b)(6); to a high security penitentiary to ensure constant monitoring going forward This case may be reopened in the future if new information develops. Case closed.	Perception That Sender is Someone Other Than Self, Extraordinary Complaint or Outrage Over the Outcome of a Court Case	Written			
Closed	2022/07/13 00:00:00	2022/07/14 00:00:00	2022	2022/08/24 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT OTHER	7	WI/E	Arrest/Close	2022/08/24 14:51:01	On 07/13/22, at approximately 1504 hours, Senior Inspector (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) received an email from U.S. Federal Building and Courthouse (USFBC) GSA Supervisory Property Manager (b)(6); (b)(6);. The email contained a lengthy forwarded email string which began on 07/07/22 with an email from an Unknown Subject (UNSUB) using email address "(b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)@gmail.com>" to Wisconsin State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) Architecture and History Coordinator (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)@wisconsinhistory.org). The originating email had a subject of "Milwaukee Federal Courthouse(Former Main Post office)" and contained an inquiry for information on the USFBC. The email string showed (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) responded with a copy of the National Register Nomination for the building. The UNSUB responded he had that and asked if there was anything else in their archives. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) responded asking "what are you looking for?" The UNSUB responded with: "Anything, I am the facility manager for the property and I am putting together the history of the building." On the morning of 07/14/22, SI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) confirmed with (b)(6); the original request sent by "(b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)@gmail.com>" did not include his email signature block. SI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) met with the GSA USFBC Operations and Maintenance contractor (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Senior Facility Manager (b)(6); (b)(6); (FID: (b)(7)(E)). SI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) asked (b)(6); if he had recently requested records from the Wisconsin SHPO. (b)(6); stated he had and confirmed his personal email address was "(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)@gmail.com." (b)(6); stated he chose to use his personal email account rather than his government or work email account because he made the request from his computer at home. (b)(6); stated he did not identify himself by name in the request(s) because he didn't think he had to. He stated he submitted the request(s) in an attempt to supplement existing information about the USFBC in order to assist him in addressing courthouse maintenance issues. SI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) notified (b)(6); a Protective Assessment Investigation had been opened because the request for sensitive documents without any real identification information appeared suspicious. (b)(6); stated he understood, in retrospect, how the request(s) might appear suspicious. (b)(6); stated he never intended his request to cause any problems. SI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) called (b)(6); and informed him that (b)(6); had been identified as the original requestor. (b)(6); agreed there was no need to investigate further. PROTECTIVE ASSESSMENT INVESTIGATION CLOSED.	Suspicious Behavior	Email/Internet	2022/07/13 00:00:00		

Closed	2022/07/24 00:00:00	2022/07/27 00:00:00	2022/09/23 00:00:00	2022/09/23 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT OTHER	7	WI/W	Arrest/Close	2022/09/22 10:46:05	On 07/24/2022, (b)(6); (b)(6); was arrested for breaking the front glass door of the U.S. Federal Courthouse in Eau Claire, Wisconsin. At the time, JSI (b)(6); (b)(6); opened this predicated protective investigation to determine if there was a threat to the U.S. Federal Courthouse or it's employees. This case is being closed for the following reasons: - As of today's date, 09/22/2022, (b)(6); remains in local custody at the LaCrosse County Jail on several local charges - (b)(6); has several pending local cases that include similar criminal damage to property incidents at other buildings in and around the Eau Claire area; there does not appear to be a specific reason that he chose the courthouse that day - (b)(6); is known to the Eau Claire Police Department to suffer from mental health issues - Prior to being in custody, (b)(6); was homeless and does not have any financial means - FPS determined that the cost to repair the front door does not meet their charging threshold and therefore no federal charges are being sought - (b)(6); remains charged locally with criminal damage to property for this incident at the courthouse Case closed.	Suspicious Behavior, Direct Threat	Physical			
Closed	2022/08/04 00:00:00	2022/08/05 00:00:00	2022/08/05 00:00:00	2022/08/05 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT OTHER	7	WI/E	Arrest/Close	2022/08/05 14:43:18	On 08/03/22, at approximately 1345 hours, CSO (b)(6); (b)(6); was assigned to the Forward Watch security post at the Milwaukee U.S. Federal Building and Courthouse. A subject who identified himself as (b)(6); (b)(6); (FID: (b)(7)(E)) entered the at the 517 E Wisconsin Ave main public entrance. (b)(6); appeared agitated, spoke loudly and used profanity. (b)(6); made statements blaming former President OBAMA and President BIDEN for pain and business failures. (b)(6); made statements referencing voices in his head and a government tracking device. After several minutes, (b)(6); exited the courthouse without going through the security screening post. On 08/04/22, (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) received a (b)(7)(E) regarding the incident from CSO DS (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) On 08/05/22, (b)(6); entered a Disturbance Incident in Capture related to the incident (INCID (b)(7)(E)) and opened an Assessment Protective Investigation regarding the incident. (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) conducted a subject search of commercial information databases using (b)(7)(E) (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) conducted a subject search of USMS and law enforcement databases using JDIS, Capture, NCIC, III, NLETS and ETIME. The search indicated a 1995 misdemeanor arrest and conviction for Simple Assault. No wants or warrants were found. (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) conducted a subject search of federal court records using PACER. Though several records for subjects named (b)(6); (b)(6); and (b)(6); (b)(6); were found, none appeared to be the incident subject. (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) conducted a search of Wisconsin court records using the Wisconsin Circuit Court Access website. Though several records for subjects named (b)(6); (b)(6); and (b)(6); (b)(6); were found, none appeared to be the incident subject. A review of information gathered on (b)(6); indicated he did not appear to present an immediate danger or threat to USMS protectees or the USFBC at that time. ASSESSMENT PROTECTIVE INVESTIGATION CLOSED.	Suspicious Behavior, Reference to (or Claim Of) Mental Illness, Psychiatric Care, Anti-Psychotic Medications, etc., Bizarre or Unreasonable Solicitation	Verbal			
Closed	2022/01/18 00:00:00	2022/01/18 00:00:00	2022/01/27 00:00:00	2022/01/27 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	7	IL/S	Arrest/Close	2022/01/26 11:50:48	On Monday, January 24th, 2022, in the Southern District of Illinois, FBI Fairview Heights Field Office made contact with (b)(6); to conduct and interview in reference to the voicemails. FBI ADVISED SUBJECT DOES CONTAIN ALLEGATION OF CRIMINAL ACTIVITY WITHIN THE FBI JURISDICTION, BUT DOES NOT WARRANT FURTHER INVESTIGATION AT THIS TIME DUE TO: NOT HIGH ENOUGH OF A THREAT TO BE RESOURCED ADEQUATELY. See attached FBI Report. Cased closed without ARREST	Direct Threat	Telephone			

Closed	2021/11/18 00:00:00	2021/12/20 00:00:00	2022	2023/07/28 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	7	IL/N	Arrest/Closure	2023/07/28 11:34:58	On November 18th, 2021, Deputy United States Marshal (DUSM) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was notified by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Courtroom Deputy for Northern Illinois United States District Court Judge (USDCJ) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) of an email that was sent to her from a civil litigant, identified at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). The email was sent from the email address (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)@hotmail.com, at 6:40 a.m., and was directed toward Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) reviewed the email in its entirety and although it was not threatening, it was inappropriate in that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was adamantly attempting to contact USDCJ (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) directly regarding his case (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) that she is currently presiding over. On November 18th, 2021, Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) sent an email to Judicial Security Inspector (JSI) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stating, "I wanted to reach out to you about a pro se plaintiff in one of my cases (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). The Plaintiff's name is (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). His filings have been bizarre and are becoming stranger and more persistent over the last few weeks. He has been calling and emailing my courtroom deputy regularly. He lives close to me and I am slightly concerned that he would decide to reach out to me directly at home. I wanted to give you a heads up about this guy." On December 17th, 2021, DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) received an email from Assistant Chief Deputy United States Marshal (ACDUSM) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(F) advising that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(F) was just contacted by the court regarding (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) case. After ACDUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(F) conferred with him and spoke with Senior Inspector (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Threat Liaison Officer (TLO) of the Threat Investigation Unit (TIU) for the 7th Circuit, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) case will be elevated to a Predicated Investigation (T-Case). This is due to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) apparent obsessive desire for contact, continuing to email Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) despite being placed on the restricted filer list, possible preliminary research into AUSA (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) having contacted several Judicial Officers, Anti-Semitic comments and mentioning Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). On June 30, 2023, DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) took over this threat case from DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has been promoted to another district. Since taking over the case from DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has reviewed the entire case file. The case has been opened since late 2021. Since it has been opened, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) continues to file excessive long briefs which ultimately get denied. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has been warned to keep his briefs under 30 pages which he fails to do so. He claimed he was unaware of this limitation. In his briefs he rants about antisemitic religious jargon. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has not contacted the court via email, phone or in person. Deputies with USMS have visited and spoken with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) about his inappropriate communications. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) states he doesn't have the intention to harm anyone. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is looking for a favorable resolution to his lawsuit. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was told not to communicate with USDCJ (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) via email. Since then, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) continues to only communicate with the court via filings. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) does have a brother who suffers from mental illness who lives with him and his mother. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) resides at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) doesn't have any significant police contact. On July 27th, 2023, DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) conducted a Law Enforcement Automated Data Systems (LEADS) database check on (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). There is no current or historical issuance of a firearm owners identification card (FOID) nor a conceal carry weapon permit (CCW) in the state of Illinois. On July 27th, 2023, DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) conducted a National Crime Information Center (NCIC) date base check on (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) which yielded no warrants. On July 27th, 2023, DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) conducted a National Law Enforcement Telecommunications Systems (NLETS) check on (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has a valid Illinois drivers license (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) which expires 05/20/2025. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) owns a 2012, black Mercedes Benz ML350 with Illinois license plate number (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). On July 27th, 2023, DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) ran a location check on (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) vehicle for the l	Obsessive Desire for Contact	Email/Internet				
--------	---------------------	---------------------	------	---------------------	---------------------------	---	------	----------------	---------------------	--	------------------------------	----------------	--	--	--	--

Closed	2022/08/22 00:00:00	2022/08/23 00:00:00	2022	2022/10/12 00:00:00	U.S. MAGISTRATE	7	WI/W	Arrest/Closure	2022/10/12 15:47:30	(b)(6); (b)(6); remains in custody at the Wisconsin Resource Center until he is eligible for parole in 2036. Deputy (b)(6) spoke directly with AUSA (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) who is familiar with (b)(6); and his history of similar threats. AUSA (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) declined to pursue any charges against (b)(6); at this time. The Federal Bureau of Investigation had previously submitted the white powder substance to the Wisconsin State Crime Lab for analysis. Deputy (b)(6) obtained a copy of the Wisconsin State Crime Lab report regarding the analysis of the substance, indicating it was a mixture with the majority likely being dried toothpaste. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) current custodial situation, along with the previously reported Order issued by District Judge (b)(6); greatly reduces his potential to carry out any further or additional threat at this time. A copy of the Wisconsin Crime Lab report has been attached for reference. Based on these findings, as of 10/12/2022, this case should be considered closed.	Direct Threat	Written	2022/08/22 00:00:00		
Closed	2022/07/28 00:00:00	2022/08/10 00:00:00	2022	2022/11/09 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	7	IL/N	Arrest/Closure	2022/11/09 10:44:09	There has been no further activity in this case since August 2022. There have been no further reports of contact from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) from court staff. On 11/8/2022, an NCIC query was conducted. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)'s Failure to Appear warrant for Aggravated Assault (with weapon / screwdriver) from Oak Forest, IL Police Department appears to be NO LONGER ACTIVE. Executive Committee Order (b)(6); remains active for the Northern District of Illinois Courthouses. Paragraph four and five require that (b)(6); sign in when he arrives at the courthouses and be escorted by representatives of the U.S. Marshals Service. Due to inactivity in this monitoring case, the case is closed.	Pseudo-Legal Court Filing from Quasi-Courts or Common Law Filing	Telephone			
Closed	2021/10/16 00:00:00	2021/10/16 00:00:00	2022	2022/04/19 00:00:00	U.S. MAGISTRATE	7	IL/N	Arrest/Closure	2022/04/19 09:39:03	On 04/06/2022 at approximately 1235 hrs. Deputy U.S. Marshal (DUSM) (b)(6); (b)(6) received e-mail correspondence from Assistant U.S. Attorney (AUSA) (b)(6); (b)(6);. The e-mail correspondence was regarding the Unknown Blogger threat case (b)(7)(E) (b)(6);). AUSA (b)(6); informed DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6) that he was reassigned the case from AUSA (b)(6);. AUSA (b)(6); also acknowledged that the case was initiated without a suspect and that as of 04/06/2022 there is still no suspect who has been positively identified and there is also insufficient evidence to pursue any criminal charges. [*Declination Letter Uploaded into (b)(7)(C) Since the case was opened back on 10/16/2021 attempts to subpoena both Google and Reddit have proved fruitless. A search of the Reddit websites (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)" blog revealed no new postings regarding Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) or the (b)(6); (b)(6); case (b)(6);). Since the original posting on October 13, 2021, there have been no new postings and Reddit has taken down the original post. The author of the original post is still unidentified as of 04/18/2022 and there appears to be no ability to identify this Reddit user as Reddit does not require its users to register an account to post in their forums. Every attempt to identify the blogger has been exhausted and a deep-dive of the internet revealed no new information pertaining to threats made against Judge (b)(6); or any mention of criminal case (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)). Based on all the above listed facts this threat case will be closed pending any new evidence or potential identification of the suspect. End of report.	Veiled Threat	Informant	2021/10/16 00:00:00		2021/10/16 00:00:00

Closed	2021/11/06 00:00:00	2021/11/08 00:00:00	2022/01/07 00:00:00	2022/01/07 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	7	IL/N	Arrest/Closure	2021/12/19 23:26:46	On 11/18/2021, Deputy United States Marshal (DUSM) (b)(6); (b)(6) received a response from colleagues in the Northern District of Georgia (NDGA) regarding the investigation into the threat made against the Northern District of Illinois (NDIL) Judge (b)(6); (b)(6); DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); and Special Agent (SA) (b)(6); (b)(6); of the United States Secret Service (USSS) worked in coordination due to the bi-fold nature of this case and the multi-jurisdictional effort needed to perform this investigation properly. The following is a report of DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); interview and activity on 11/18/2021 at the (b)(6); residence: "On 18 November 2021 at approximately 1100am, U.S. Marshals Service (USMS) Northern District of Georgia (N/GA) (b)(7)(E); (b)(7)(E) Inspector (PII) (b)(6); (b)(6); met with U.S. Secret Service Special Agent (USSS S/A) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); at the Jonesboro, Georgia Police Department in preparation to interview (b)(6); (b)(6); pursuant to a collateral lead request from USMS, Northern District of Illinois (N/IL). The investigators met with Jonesboro P.D. Lieutenant (b)(6); and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); of the Georgia mobile mental health crisis unit. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); explained that his department has had repeated contact with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); for the past 18 months due to his deteriorating mental health, but that he had never been a dangerous individual, and his family couldn't convince him to get the treatment he desperately needed. The group went to (b)(6); (b)(6); home at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); and were greeted by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(6); (b)(6); aunt), who brought (b)(6); (b)(6); to the door from the bathroom. (b)(6); (b)(6); wearing only a pair of shorts, appeared disheveled and confused. (b)(6); (b)(6); explained to (b)(6); (b)(6); and Ms. (b)(6); (b)(6); the purpose of the visit. Ms. (b)(6); (b)(6); began to chastise (b)(6); (b)(6); about continuing to say "stupid things" on the internet and "bother people". (b)(6); (b)(6); stated he didn't threaten anyone, and that (b)(6); (b)(6); had hacked his phone and was "really a producer posing as an inmate". While S/A (b)(6); (b)(6); and (b)(6); (b)(6); spoke with (b)(6); (b)(6); PII (b)(6); (b)(6); asked Ms. (b)(6); (b)(6); what his problem was with (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); is (b)(6); (b)(6); cousin and has been incarcerated at U.S. Penitentiary Atlanta since his revocation in July 2021). Ms. (b)(6); (b)(6); stated that (b)(6); (b)(6); suffered from severe mental illness to the point where he never bathes, doesn't work, and never leaves the house. She went on to say that he and (b)(6); (b)(6); had a limited relationship to each other, and she doesn't know why (b)(6); (b)(6); had made the statements. Ms. (b)(6); (b)(6); affirmed that (b)(6); (b)(6); was not violent, didn't own a car, was unemployed, and possessed no weapons. At about that time, two men arrived and stated they were cousins of (b)(6); (b)(6); They also asked if we would be able to "take him away to a hospital" because of his deteriorated mental state. After speaking with (b)(6); (b)(6); and his family for more than 90 minutes, S/A (b)(6); (b)(6); and Ms. (b)(6); (b)(6); convinced (b)(6); (b)(6); to go into Clayton County Behavioral Health located at 853 Battlecreek Rd. Jonesboro, GA for a mental evaluation. (b)(6); (b)(6); summoned an additional Jonesboro Police unit, and under Ms. (b)(6); (b)(6); supervision, (b)(6); (b)(6); was taken to inpatient treatment. At no time during the interview was (b)(6); (b)(6); or his family hostile or adversarial. Ms. (b)(6); (b)(6); describes (b)(6); (b)(6); as "severely delusional and paranoid". Due to his limited means, his apparent mental health problems, and based on the testimony of his family members, PII (b)(6); (b)(6); does not believe (b)(6); (b)(6); is a threat to USMS protectees or the President." DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); believes, based on the findings and combined efforts of those investigators who assisted in this investigation, there is no significant threat to NDIL Judge (b)(6); (b)(6); or the President of the United States (POTUS). This assessment of the potential for harm has led investigators to conclude that (b)(6); (b)(6); has little to no means of carrying out any form of attack or injury to those protected persons named in his threatening communications. In addition, he was determined to have no significant financ	Direct Threat	Email/Internet	2021/11/06 00:00:00
--------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------------	---	------	----------------	---------------------	---	---------------	----------------	---------------------

Closed	2021/11/06 00:00:00	2021/11/08 00:00:00	2022/01/07 00:00:00	2022/01/07 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	7	IL/N	Arrest/Close	2022/01/07 10:30:56	On 11/18/2021, Deputy United States Marshal (DUSM) (b)(6); (b)(6) received a response from colleagues in the Northern District of Georgia (NDGA) regarding the investigation into the threat made against the Northern District of Illinois (NDIL) Judge (b)(6); (b)(6); DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); and Special Agent (SA) (b)(6); (b)(6); of the United States Secret Service (USSS) worked in coordination due to the bi-fold nature of this case and the multi-jurisdictional effort needed to perform this investigation properly. The following is a report of DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); interview and activity on 11/18/2021 at the (b)(6); residence: "On 18 November 2021 at approximately 1100am, U.S. Marshals Service (USMS) Northern District of Georgia (N/GA) (b)(7)(E); (b)(7)(E) Inspector (PII) (b)(6); (b)(6); met with U.S. Secret Service Special Agent (USSS S/A) (b)(6); (b)(6); at the Jonesboro, Georgia Police Department in preparation to interview (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) pursuant to a collateral lead request from USMS, Northern District of Illinois (N/IL). The investigators met with Jonesboro P.D. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6); (b)(6); of the Georgia mobile mental health crisis unit. (b)(6); (b)(6); explained that his department has had repeated contact with (b)(6); (b)(6); for the past 18 months due to his deteriorating mental health, but that he had never been a dangerous individual, and his family couldn't convince him to get the treatment he desperately needed. The group went to (b)(6); (b)(6); home at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and were greeted by (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); aunt), who brought (b)(6); (b)(6); to the door from the bathroom. (b)(6); (b)(6); wearing only a pair of shorts, appeared disheveled and confused. (b)(6); (b)(6); explained to (b)(6); (b)(6); and Ms. (b)(6); (b)(6); the purpose of the visit. Ms. (b)(6); (b)(6); began to chastise (b)(6); (b)(6); about continuing to say "stupid things" on the internet and "bother people". (b)(6); (b)(6); stated he didn't threaten anyone, and that (b)(6); (b)(6); had hacked his phone and was "really a producer posing as an inmate". While S/A (b)(6); (b)(6); and (b)(6); (b)(6); spoke with (b)(6); (b)(6); PII (b)(6); (b)(6); asked Ms. (b)(6); (b)(6); what his problem was with (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); is (b)(6); (b)(6); cousin and has been incarcerated at U.S. Penitentiary Atlanta since his revocation in July 2021). Ms. (b)(6); (b)(6); stated that (b)(6); (b)(6); suffered from severe mental illness to the point where he never bathes, doesn't work, and never leaves the house. She went on to say that he and (b)(6); (b)(6); had a limited relationship to each other, and she doesn't know why (b)(6); (b)(6); had made the statements. Ms. (b)(6); (b)(6); affirmed that (b)(6); (b)(6); was not violent, didn't own a car, was unemployed, and possessed no weapons. At about that time, two men arrived and stated they were cousins of (b)(6); (b)(6); They also asked if we would be able to "take him away to a hospital" because of his deteriorated mental state. After speaking with (b)(6); (b)(6); and his family for more than 90 minutes, S/A (b)(6); (b)(6); and Ms. (b)(6); (b)(6); convinced (b)(6); (b)(6); to go into Clayton County Behavioral Health located at 853 Battlecreek Rd. Jonesboro, GA for a mental evaluation. (b)(6); (b)(6); summoned an additional Jonesboro Police unit, and under Ms. (b)(6); (b)(6); supervision, (b)(6); (b)(6); was taken to inpatient treatment. At no time during the interview was (b)(6); (b)(6); or his family hostile or adversarial. Ms. (b)(6); (b)(6); describes (b)(6); (b)(6); as "severely delusional and paranoid". Due to his limited means, his apparent mental health problems, and based on the testimony of his family members, PII (b)(6); (b)(6); does not believe (b)(6); (b)(6); is a threat to USMS protectees or the President." DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); believes, based on the findings and combined efforts of those investigators who assisted in this investigation, there is no significant threat to NDIL Judge (b)(6); (b)(6); or the President of the United States (POTUS). This assessment of the potential for harm has led investigators to conclude that (b)(6); (b)(6); has little to no means of carrying out any form of attack or injury to those protected persons named in his threatening communications. In addition, he was determined to have no significant financial abilities or traini	Direct Threat	Email/Internet			2021/11/06 00:00:00
Closed	2021/12/03 00:00:00	2021/12/03 00:00:00	2022/01/06 00:00:00	2022/01/06 00:00:00	(b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) D ABORTION	7	IL/N	Arrest/Close	2022/01/06 11:50:50	On December 03, 2021, (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) employee (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); received an email from Your nightmare Boy, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) @gmail.com, providing the direct threat of stealing equipment from the facility and setting the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) building on fire. Mrs. (b)(6); (b)(6); forwarded the email to (b)(6); (b)(6); Head of Security, for (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) within the Chicago Region. Upon receipt of the email (b)(6); (b)(6); conducted notifications to JSI (b)(6); (b)(6); and FBI S/A (b)(6); (b)(6); Within the received email from Your Nightmare Boy, He states, " Hi Bitches. Y'all are murders (b)(6); (b)(6); is in big trouble. I'm pro-life extremely against abortion. Since I know, (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) won't stop doing abortions killing poor unborn babies who deserves to live. I'm waiting on the perfect moment to strike, planning on stealing all y'all's ability to murder innocent babies i'll set the (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) building on fire. Burning it too the ground you motherfuckers. Y'all deserve what I'm gonna do bitch asses y'all plus the women getting abortions are murderers. All of y'all are ridiculous. May God send y'all to hell for eternity. To insure the (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) buildings burns to the gound, I'm gonna use combination of more than 1 type of fuel as accelerants. Y'all better get ready n be prepared. You won't know when I'll strike. If y'all have cameras good luck trying to identify me if the security tapes survives because I will completely conceal my identity. No skin nor anything else showing not one but. If you Put my promised threats on the news or anything about this email it won't stop what I'm going to do. Ok bitches and motherfuckers. Nightmare boy about to strike in very near future. Bye assholes sincerely nightmare boy outlaw". Received emails have been uploaded to subjects FID. JSI (b)(6); (b)(6); and JSU members will continue to work with FBI Chicago and US Arroney's Office regarding this case. UPDATE Subpoena results received from Google which indicate no name associated with this email address. Results also show that this email address is not a longstanding address. It was created and used only on the night the email was received. No full name, no recovery email address. The email was created on a T Mobile device. JSI (b)(6); (b)(6); spoke to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and explained the results. (b)(6); (b)(6); stated that for now, we will not push further on any other search warrants or court orders unless more threats are received. Since the original email, no further emails have been received. No damage or attacks has ocured to any (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) facility in Chicago land. This case will be considered closed but will be examined for re-opening if any more emails are received CASE CLOSED	Direct Threat	Email/Internet	2021/12/03 00:00:00		2021/12/03 00:00:00

Closed	2021/12/28 00:00:00	2021/12/29 00:00:00	2022 2	2022/01/20 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	7	IL/C	Arrest/Close	2022/01/20 17:10:24	On 01/20/2022 JS (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(6); and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) went to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); with Bloomington Police Officers to arrest (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); A warrant had been issued for (b)(6); out of the Central District of Illinois for making threats to the President. The warrant was issued on 01/19/2022. Bloomington Police made a call to (b)(6); prior to arrival so she would not be surprised by police presence. Bloomington Police officers contacted (b)(6); at the front door threshold. Bloomington Police officers were able to easily take (b)(6); into custody despite her verbal resistance. Once outside (b)(6); was transitioned into what is referred to as a 3-piece suit. Belly chain with hands cuffed in front and ankle restraints. (b)(6); made numerous spontaneous comments about being in pain, being a victim of rape, and she did not threaten anyone she is the victim. (b)(6); was then transported by DUSM (b)(6); and (b)(6); to the Peoria County Jail.	Direct Threat	Telephone	2021/12/28 00:00:00	2021/12/28 00:00:00	2021/12/28 00:00:00
Closed	2022/02/09 00:00:00	2022/02/10 00:00:00	2022 2	2022/03/14 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	7	IL/N	Arrest/Close	2022/03/13 21:47:34	On February 9th, 2022, at 2:47 P.M., (b)(6) (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), Physical Security Specialist with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), notified the United States Marshals Service (USMS) in the Northern District of Illinois (N/IL) of concerning social media posts discovered through the course of his firm's routine online threat monitoring. The post was written on a messaging application known as Telegram by an individual who identified themselves as "White Mans Bible". The post was a statement made by (b)(6); (b)(6); leader of the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). These statements, which were uploaded to church's official website approximately 20 years ago, included hostility and threats directed toward N/IL United States District Court Judge (USDJ) (b)(6); (b)(6); USDJ (b)(6); had presided over a 2002 civil trademark infringement case where (b)(6); was a defendant. Judge (b)(6); ruled against (b)(6); resulting in (b)(6); soliciting for her murder. (b)(6); was convicted and found guilty on one count of solicitation of murder and three counts of obstruction of justice and sentenced to 40 years' incarceration. (b)(6); is serving his sentence at United States Penitentiary in Marion Illinois. On February 10th, 2022, after Deputy United States Marshal (DUSM) (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(E) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(E); (b)(7)(F) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(E); (b)(7)(F) which was posted on January 14th, 2022, along with identifying a possible owner of the "White Mans Bible" Telegram account. Upon scrolling to the bottom of all the posts, the very first posting stated, Friends and Supporters: Send me a \$25.00 donation and I will order (b)(6);s "Ending White Slavery" and "The Royal Loyalist Manifesto" from the printer and send directly to you. Also, I still have many (b)(6); books. For a \$35.00 donation, I will send you three "sought after" (b)(6); books: All (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) books are the original print and new! Building a Whiter Brighter World, The White Man's Bible, Nature's Eternal religion, On the Brink of a Racial Bloody War, Against the Evil Tide, Expanding Creativity, Salubrious Living, Rahowa, Trials, Tribulations and Triumphs, Revolution of Values Through Religion, (b)(6); Letters Vol 1 and (b)(6); Letters Vo l2." Then listed her contact information as (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is (b)(6); (b)(6); mother. On February 10th, 2022, DUSM Senior Inspector (b)(6); (b)(6); from the Judicial Security Division's (JSD) Office of Protective Intelligence (OPI) advised that through his research into "White Mans Bible" that (b)(6); company had located a posting on Telgram that was (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) words of approximately 20 years ago. That complete posting was located on the Southern Poverty Law Center (SPLC) website under the Creativity Movement. On February 11th, 2022, DUSM (b)(6); sent Assistant United States Attorney (AUSA) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) an email inquiring about a grand jury subpoena to obtain the subscriber information and who is posting on Telegram as "White Mans Bible." AUSA (b)(6); replied back advising that (b)(6); (b)(7)(E) On the Telegram application, it states, "To protect the data that is not covered by end-to-end encryption, Telegram uses a distributed infrastructure. Cloud chat data is stored in multiple data centers around the globe that are controlled by different legal entities spread across different jurisdictions. The relevant decryption keys are split into parts and are never kept in the same place as	Religious or Historical Themes Including Admonishment of Lifestyle or Personal Behavior	Email/Internet	2022/02/10 00:00:00		2022/01/10 00:00:00
Closed	2022/01/20 00:00:00	2022/01/25 00:00:00	2022 2	2022/09/06 00:00:00	U.S. ATTORNEY	7	IL/N	Arrest/Close	2022/02/01 22:17:20	On 01/28/2022 at approximately 0100 hrs. United States Marshals Service (USMS) personnel arrested (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (FID: (b)(7)(E)). According to preliminary verbal confirmation relayed to Deputy U.S Marshal (DUSM) (b)(6); (b)(6); at 0748 hrs. Preliminary notification was received from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(F) (b)(6); (b)(6); via telephone. (b)(6); (b)(6); advised DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6) that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was located at the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) located at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was apprehended without incident and taken into USMS custody. According to CDUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) will have an initial appearance and removal hearing in Billings, Montana. End of report.	Veiled Threat	Telephone	2022/01/25 00:00:00		2022/01/24 00:00:00

Closed	2022/01/20 00:00:00	2022/01/25 00:00:00	2022/01/25 00:00:00	2022/09/06 00:00:00	U.S. ATTORNEY	7	IL/N	Arrest/Closure	2022/08/31 16:20:40	On 08/31/2022 at approximately 1407 hrs. EST, Deputy U.S Marshal (DUSM) (b)(6); (b)(6) received notification that (b)(6); (b)(6); (FID (b)(7)(E)) was officially designated to a facility as per the court ordered committal. (b)(6); has been designated to the United States Medical Center for Federal Prisoners (MCFP) located at 1900 W SUNSHINE ST, SPRINGFIELD, MO (Greene County) 65807. Based on the current disposition of (b)(6); this investigation will be considered closed via court ordered commitment. In the event of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) s future release from custody and competency the United States Attorneys Office (USAO) for the Northern District of Illinois (NDIL) will retry criminal case (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) . Investigation Closed. End of report.	Veiled Threat	Telephone	2022/01/25 00:00:00		2022/01/24 00:00:00
Closed	2022/01/20 00:00:00	2022/01/25 00:00:00	2022/01/25 00:00:00	2022/09/06 00:00:00	U.S. ATTORNEY	7	IL/N	Arrest/Closure	2022/09/06 11:52:26	On 08/31/2022 at approximately 1407 hrs. EST, Deputy U.S Marshal (DUSM) (b)(6); (b)(6) received notification that (b)(6); (b)(6); (FID (b)(7)(E)) was officially designated to a facility as per the court ordered committal. (b)(6); has been designated to the United States Medical Center for Federal Prisoners (MCFP) located at 1900 W SUNSHINE ST, SPRINGFIELD, MO (Greene County) 65807. Based on the current disposition of (b)(6); this investigation will be considered closed via court ordered commitment. In the event of (b)(6); s future release from custody and competency, the United States Attorneys Office (USAO) for the Northern District of Illinois (NDIL) will retry criminal case (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) . Investigation Closed. End of report.	Veiled Threat	Telephone	2022/01/25 00:00:00		2022/01/24 00:00:00
Closed	2022/02/15 00:00:00	2022/02/15 00:00:00	2022/02/15 00:00:00	2022/05/20 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	7	IL/N	Arrest/Closure	2022/05/20 15:04:59	Mr. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has not written any further inappropriate communications or "curses" to the court since his interview by USMS and FBI personnel in February 2022. There has not been any documented law enforcement activity regarding (b)(6); pertaining to arrests and/or traffic stops. This investigation is now closed.	Disjointed, Sinister or Otherwise Questionable Content	Written	2022/02/15 00:00:00		2022/02/15 00:00:00
Active	(b)(7)(A)														
Active	(b)(7)(A)														

Closed	2022/06/03 00:00:00	2022/06/03 00:00:00	2022/06/03 00:00:00	2022/08/15 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	7	IL/N	Arrest/Closure	2022/08/14 22:50:07	On June 2nd, 2022, Deputy United States Marshal (DUSM) Senior Inspector (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) sent DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) an email with a voicemail from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) United States District Court Judge (USDCJ) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) daughter. Senior Inspector (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) did not state when the voicemail was sent to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). The contents of the voicemail were (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) attempting to contact (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) due to them both attending (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) school together. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) asked (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to call him back at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). On June 3rd, 2022, Senior Inspector (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) forwarded DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) a voicemail from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). The time and date of the voicemail was not provided. The contents of the voicemail were (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) advising (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) that he needed to speak with her mother, USDCJ (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) left a number for (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to call to call her back. The number was (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). It is the Judicial Security Center's (JSC) position that the Northern District of Illinois (NDIL) would be authorized to open a Protective Investigation into (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) so case number (b)(7)(E) was opened on June 3rd, 2022. The facts for opening the case that the JSC would point to are: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) accessing the semi-secure area of the courthouse on December 6th, 2021, while attempting to contact a law clerk that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) had no personal or professional relationship with; The existing court order prohibiting (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) from un-escorted access to the Dirksen Federal Courthouse in NDIL; (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) non-sensical statements about being monitored by the government on December 21st, 2021; (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) direct, unsolicited, contact with immediate family member of United States District Court Judge (USDCJ) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and requesting contact with USDJ (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) while having no discernable legitimate purpose for contacting the USDJ. The history of violent attack perpetrated against this specific USDJ and family." On June 13th, 2022, Chicago Police Department Sargent (Sgt) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) called Assistant Chief DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and advised that around 8:00 a.m., (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was found sleeping at the Chicago and State Redline station and appeared to be "high". CPD identified him and moved him along. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) went to the nearby McDonalds on foot where he bought a coffee. He was wearing a blue flannel shirt and jeans. On June 24th, 2022, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) came into the Dirksen courthouse and wanted to go to the United States Attorney's Office (USAO). He was advised that he was to be escorted while in the building. On June 30th, 2022, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) receptionist at the USAO's NDIL stated, "Just now one of the guards from downstairs came to reception to let me know that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (or (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is downstairs. Once again he's asking if we happen to have his check in our possession. I explained to the guard that Mr. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has been coming up here all this week and last week asking the same exact question. We also let him talk to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and he even has to explain to him that there's nothing for him here. Plus he even mentions wanting to speak with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). The guard told me that he's on what's called an "escort list". He wanted to tell me first before possibly having Mr. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) come to reception. After I explained everything in detail to the guard, he left and may have told Mr. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to leave." On July 1st, 2022, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) USAO, stated, "(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) summary is correct. Mr. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has been coming and calling here since last year and it seems since last week he has increased his visits here. I have spoken to him twice this week via reception phone and he continues coming back stating that we have a check that the City of Chicago owes him and that he wants to speak to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and others. Every time he changes the subject a bit a name drops somebody else to see if we budge. I advised him that nobody here will be speaking to him because the information he is given is not accurate and I have advised hi	Suspicious Behavior	Telephone	2022/06/03 00:00:00	2022/06/03 00:00:00
Closed	2022/07/05 00:00:00	2022/07/08 00:00:00	2022/07/08 00:00:00	2022/08/29 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	7	IN/N	Arrest/Closure	2022/08/29 11:46:11	This USM 11 serves as DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) request to close PI case (b)(7)(E) In the course of my Threat Investigation in regards to the vandalism that occurred at the residence at USDCJ (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) s residence on July 5th, 2022. To date, all investigative means have been exhausted and no suspect(s) have been identified. DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) investigated past criminal cases Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) presided over, including some previous defendants that he believed could be responsible. These suspected defendants court information was reviewed along with USBOP mail, email, telephone records and other data that was provided. Both of the defendants were ruled out as suspects either byher by having almost no family in NW Indiana, minimal contact with family in the NW Indiana area and including long prison sentences at USP facilities in the Eastern Coast of the United States. Both defednants have filled appeals and motions concerning their prison sentences (which is normal for many inmates/ per Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) s staff) , but none of those documents included threatening information against the judge or his family. DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) also worked in conjugation with the Munster (IN) Police Department on their investigation and they came up with negative results. Furthermore DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) worked with the FBI on enhancing nearby "RNG" security footage, that was also met with negative results. Since the day of the vandalism, Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) updated his home security system and personally purchased perimeter/ motion detecting flood lights around his residence. Extra patrol was requested to the Munster Police Department for the Judge's residence and no further incidents or suspicious activity has occurred since the original date of the vandalism since 7/5/22. Because of the foregoing, I'm requesting N/IN District management approval to close the Threat Investigation (b)(7)(E) (b)(7)(E) regarding the vandalism that occurred to the home residence on Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) s home residence as UNFOUNDED or FALSE.	Suspicious Behavior	Suspicious Activity	2022/07/05 00:00:00	2022/07/05 00:00:00

Closed	2022/07/07 00:00:00	2022/07/08 00:00:00	2022/07/13 00:00:00	2022/07/13 00:00:00	ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY	7	IL/N	Arrest/Close	2022/07/13 00:26:36	On 07/09/2022 Deputy U.S Marshals (DUSMs) (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); and Supervisory DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); conducted a protective detail on the wife of AUSA (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) She was intercepted from the Ohare International Airport and escorted to her residence at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Upon arrival to the residence DUSMs cleared the area and the residence to allow Mrs. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to retrieve personal belongings before departing the area. This operation was conducted out of an abundance of caution due to the direct threat made to AUSA (b)(6); (b)(6); On 07/11/2022 Deputy U.S Marshal (DUSM) (b)(6); (b)(6) completed a review of all the information, evidence, and intelligence reports gathered during this investigation. Findings: The threat that was received by Assistant U.S Attorney (AUSA) (b)(6); (b)(6); was part of a broad scam network which seeks to extort money from unsuspecting victims through threat of violence and intimidation. This scam often insinuates that the sender is part of a Mexican drug cartel, and that the receiver "victim" has somehow unwittingly upset the cartel. The suspect(s) will then DOX [search for and publish private or identifying information about (a particular individual) on the internet, typically with malicious intent] the victim to make them believe the threat is real by utilizing their birthdate, phone number and address. The suspect(s) hope is that the victim will be scared into remitting payment to avoid the consequences of the threat they received. DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6) and colleagues from the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) and Homeland Security Investigations (HSI) were able to determine after much research and further investigation that AUSA (b)(6); (b)(6); was a victim of such a scam. Based on all the information collected and comparisons to other threats made it was determined that the threat made to AUSA (b)(6); (b)(6); was one of the same that was sent to others and the language mirrors verbatim the content that was sent to AUSA (b)(6); (b)(6); personal phone. An (b)(7)(E) will be submitted to the (b)(7)(E) on AUSA (b)(6); (b)(6); behalf, to demonstrate how exposed he is online and afford him the opportunity to adjust his online presence. The intent is to minimize his accessibility to criminals seeking to exploit him for nefarious purposes. This threat case is to be considered closed due to lack of evidence, lack of suspect, an unsubstantiated threat, unverifiable communications platform, and the existence of similar scam cases utilizing the same method, manner, and message to carry out threats. End of report.	Direct Threat	Telephone	2022/07/08 00:00:00	2022/07/07 00:00:00
Closed	2022/07/04 00:00:00	2022/07/07 00:00:00	2022/09/27 00:00:00	2022/09/27 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	7	IL/N	Arrest/Close	2022/09/27 16:45:51	On 09/27/2022 at approximately 1333 hrs. Deputy U.S. Marshal (DUSM) (b)(6); (b)(6) conducted a telephonic interview with Marc (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (FID (b)(7)(E) via (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was initially confrontational during the phone interview. DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6) attempted multiple times to explain the purpose and the reason for the interview and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) continued to go on tangents regarding court rulings and mass shootings. Eventually, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) calmed down after numerous attempts to address the "questionable" and "veiled" statements that he sent via E-Mail communication to USDCJ (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6); DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6) was able to determine based on (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)'s responses that he is genuinely passionate about the gun violence in America and even more so on the way that federal judges rule on gun laws. Following the Highland Park mass shooting on July 4th, 2022, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) felt strongly that he needed to communicate with USDCJ (b)(6); (b)(6); regarding the shooting and spoke out of emotion. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was adamant that he in no way intended to threaten any federal judge nor intimidate anyone via E-Mail. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was asked if he owns any firearms, sought out any firearm training, or has any plans to harm anyone and he responded, "absolutely not". (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) does not have a FOID, or weapons carry permit, nor does he have any military or law enforcement background. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was asked if he ever conducted any intimate research of any federal judges outside of a basic google search and he stated that he has not. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated that he has not lived in Chicago, IL for seven years and that the last time he visited was for a religious event in March of 2020. Mitigating factors that were discovered are as follows: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is a father of twin daughters who are 12 years of age. He is married and works as an (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) where he now resides. Based on this information (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has risk reducing factors which include his family, career, geographical distance between (b)(6); and IL, and a strong church affiliation. This would indicate that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has not escalated on the pathway to violence past the grievance stage. For all these reasons DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6) finds no evidence whether circumstantial or otherwise to permit a continued investigation into (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)'s veiled threatening E-Mail communications. Based upon the interview and the other relevant information this case will be closed pending new information or evidence. End of report.	Conditional Threat	Email/Internet		2022/07/07 00:00:00

Closed	2022/07/11 00:00:00	2022/07/11 00:00:00	2022 2	2022/09/15 00:00:00	CLERKS OFFICE	7	IL/N	Arrest/Close	2022/09/15 15:35:22	On July 11th, 2022, Deputy United States Marshal (DUSM) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) received an email from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Clerk of the Court for the United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois (NDIL) regarding a phone call that was received by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Operations Specialist for the United States District Court, NDIL. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) advised that she received a call on her CAD Line and when she answered, the Caller (guessing is was (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) based on previous interactions) began speaking about how she recently met a hit man and would hate for them to do harm to us. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) further went on and said something to the extent of how she will do what needs to be done. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) reported the call right away and advised that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) also mentioned the Chinese but was not making sense. Also, while (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was composing a short email to report this (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) called again on her CAD line and let her know that she should tell her friend (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) that she is not going to jail. At this point, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) just hung up. On July 12th, 2022, DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) received an email from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) regarding (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) calling the Clerk's office that morning. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Assistant Operations Manager, United States District Court - NDIL stated in an email to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is back at it again this morning. At least 5 of the clerks in the office have received phone calls from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) this morning and is continuing her racist rants. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Deputy Clerk for the United States District Court, NDIL sent (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) an email stating, "This lady called and I said good morning USDC, this is (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) how may I help you? She was mumbling off random weird stuff about the government secret, and I said Hello? How may I help you. 1st thing she says, is STUPID B. I said excuse me? Then she said you heard me you Stupid N (word). I had her on speaker, and even (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) heard her. I hung up after that. (Very disturbed lady)" On July 1st, 2022, at approximately 12:53 pm (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Illinois Police Department (EPD) reported having made contact with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) located at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) EPD was dispatched to that location for a well being check on a white female wearing a white shirt in front of that location, screaming racial slurs and emptying her clothing from her suitcase. Subject was identified at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (DOB: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)). Officers advised that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was on her way to the social security office and stopped to look in her suitcase for her paperwork and was screaming at herself because she was upset about not having her paperwork. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) refused assistance from the police and moved on her way. On July 5th, 2022, at approximately 1:31 pm, EPD was dispatched to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) for a "check the well-being" call of a White female wearing a blue dress, singing, and yelling profanity, while sitting on a bench in front of that location. The subject was identified as (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Officers reported that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) refused assistance and did not appear to be a danger to herself or others. On September 2nd, 2022, DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) received an email from DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) who's located in the District of New Mexico, Albuquerque office. DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) sated that he received a phone call from an Albuquerque Police Department Officer who advised that they made contact/safety check with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) due to some recent 911-hangup calls from her. The service call was cleared with no arrest. It was reported that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) lives at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) with her boyfriend. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) current phone number is (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) spoke with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) mother. Delores said that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) father is deceased. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) advised that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) does call her from time to time, and suffers from mental illness, which includes paranoia and schizophrenia. She said that when (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is taking her medication, she is fine and her mental illness is controlled. It'	Suspicious Behavior	Telephone	2022/07/11 00:00:00	2022/07/11 00:00:00
--------	---------------------	---------------------	--------	---------------------	---------------	---	------	--------------	---------------------	--	---------------------	-----------	---------------------	---------------------

Closed	2022/08/31 00:00:00	2022/09/01 00:00:00	2022	2022/11/04 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT OTHER	7	IL/N	Arrest/Closure	2022/11/03 16:21:02	JSU (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is assigned the threat investigation for (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); who is under inspection for impersonation of a Deputy U.S Marshal and possession of a U.S. Marshals Service Badge. On 1 November 2022, USAO (b)(6); declined prosecution for (b)(6); related to this case. USAO (b)(6); has also declined prosecution for the provider of the fraudulent credentials (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). (b)(6); has shown a lot of remorse in this incident both to his church, his pastor and the USMS investigators. At this time, JSU Investigators feel it is appropriate to close this investigation.	Perception That Sender is Someone Other Than Self	Informant			2022/09/01 00:00:00
Closed	2022/05/27 00:00:00	2022/05/27 00:00:00	2022	2023/03/08 00:00:00	ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY	8	AR/E	Arrest/Closure	2022/06/23 11:12:25	***THREAT CASE*** On 06/16/2022 Deputy United States Marshal (DUSM) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); contacted FBI Special Agent (SA) (b)(6); (b)(6); in reference to (b)(6); (b)(6); According to SA (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(6); was interviewed in Arizona by the FBI and the threat posed by (b)(6); has been mitigated. End Case DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); E/AR - Little Rock	Direct Threat	Written	2022/05/27 00:00:00		
Closed	2022/05/27 00:00:00	2022/05/27 00:00:00	2022	2023/03/08 00:00:00	ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY	8	AR/E	Arrest/Closure	2023/03/08 10:35:47	***Threat Case*** On 09/03/2013 (b)(6); (b)(6); was arrested by ICE in the Eastern District of Arkansas on Possession of Child Pornography charges. On 08/26/2015 (b)(6); was sentenced to 120 months BOP and supervised release for life. On 05/27/2022 DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); was provided with information that indicated (b)(6); was potentially planning an attack on young girls when (b)(6); was released from the BOP. More specifically, the potential attack was focused on an AUSA's family in E/AR. DUSM (b)(6); coordinated with FBI. The FBI spoke with (b)(6); and (b)(6); cellmates at the BOP facility in Arizona. FBI was able to gain handwritten threats that were reportedly written by (b)(6); On 06/15/2022 (b)(6); was released from BOP. On 06/23/2022 DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); and FBI Special Agent (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) went to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to interview (b)(6); (b)(6); denied making any threats toward the AUSA and/or her family. (b)(6); also provided multiple handwritten documents to show that the handwriting in the journal in question was not his handwriting. FBI SA (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) made copies of the alleged journal, letters from both BOP inmates, and (b)(6); handwritten documents. These documents are being sent to the FBI's handwriting analysis unit to determine the original author of the journal in question. On 12/07/2022 an order from the court was approved allowing a modification to (b)(6); conditions of supervision. According to the order, the condition of location monitoring has been removed. (b)(6); is still residing at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and is required to check in and out of the facility. (b)(6); is restricted to a curfew while living at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). The FBI is continuing to investigate the handwriting samples to determine the original author. Currently, there is no indication of a threat or risk of criminal conduct from (b)(6); DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); E/AR - Little Rock	Direct Threat	Written	2022/05/27 00:00:00		

Closed	2021/12/01 00:00:00	2021/12/02 00:00:00	2022/01/05 00:00:00		8	AR/E	Arrest/Close	2022/01/04 09:58:48	***Threat Case*** On 12/09/2021, an inmate at the Garland County Detention Center (GCDC) named (b)(6); (b)(6); sent a letter to a guard at GCDC. This letter was intended for DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); and/or FBI SA (b)(6); (b)(6); The letter is uploaded in JDIS. On 12/14/2021, DUSM (b)(6); and FBI SA (b)(6); re-interviewed (b)(6); (b)(6); at GCDC. Agents with the local Drug Task Force (DTF) also accompanied DUSM (b)(6); and SA (b)(6); DUSM (b)(6); asked (b)(6); specifically about the threat mentioned in (b)(6); letter. (b)(6); explained that he believed the threat was aimed at him (b)(6); DTF Agents took note of (b)(6); complaint about guards at GCDC talking with other inmates about (b)(6); DTF Agents told (b)(6); they would investigate the situation. DUSM (b)(6); asked (b)(6); directly if (b)(6); had any exact information about the grenade threat on the courthouse. (b)(6); could not verify a time, date, and/or exactly who would conduct a grenade attack. According to (b)(6); the attack could happen, and it could not happen. (b)(6); had no knowledge of the actual potential of this threat. Currently, there is not sufficient evidence to conclude that the threat of a grenade attack on the Federal Courthouse in Little Rock, AR is bona fide. (b)(6); was not able to provide any specific information on when, who, and how the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) could pull off the attack. For this reason, the case is closed until more specific information is gained by the USMS and/or FBI. Case Closed DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); E/AR - Little Rock	Direct Threat	Informant	2021/12/01 00:00:00	2021/12/01 00:00:00	
Closed	2021/12/01 00:00:00	2021/12/01 00:00:00	2022/02/02 00:00:00		8	AR/E	Arrest/Close	2021/12/02 14:43:49	***Threat Case**** On 12/01/2021 this case was entered incorrectly. The subject of the investigation should not have been (b)(6); (b)(6); (FID (b)(7)(E)). See new case: (b)(7)(E) Case Closed DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); E/AR - Little Rock, AR	Direct Threat	Informant	2021/12/01 00:00:00		
Closed	2022/07/26 00:00:00	2022/07/27 00:00:00	2022/09/26 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	8	AR/W	Arrest/Close	2022/09/26 16:16:15	*DUPLICATE FOR ADMIN PURPOSES* On 20SEP22, the U.S. Marshals Service Western District of Arkansas confirmed there were no new social media posts from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) concerning Judge (b)(6); or any other USMS protected person or interest. Due to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) decision to remove the original social media posts, and the fact no additional information has been uncovered constituting inappropriate communication I/C this assessment will be closed. It is noted, OPI Circuit Inspector (b)(6); was contacted and agreed closure was appropriate at this time. End of report. Assessment closed.	Suspicious Behavior	Email/Internet			

Closed	2022/07/04 00:00:00	2022/07/05 00:00:00	2022 2	2022/08/25 00:00:00	8	IA/N	Arrest/Closure	2022/08/24 11:49:37	Background: As of this report date, the Protective Investigation associated with (b)(6); (b)(6); under (b)(7)(E) is due to be closed. The following information is being provided as an overview of the investigation and the justification for the closure but does not include all investigative activity associated with the case. The Protective Investigation was initiated on July 5th, 2022. The Protective Investigation dealt with an in-person confrontation of United States District Court Judge (USDCJ) (b)(6); by (b)(6); (b)(6); that had occurred on July 4th, 2022 at the (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) USDCJ (b)(6); notified the United States Marshals Service (USMS) of the incident after it occurred, via email to Judicial Security Inspector (JSI) (b)(6);. Investigation: Deputy United States Marshal (DUSM) (b)(6) and Supervisory Deputy United States Marshal (SDUSM) (b)(6); interviewed USDCJ (b)(6); in chambers on July 5th, 2022. USDCJ (b)(6); was reminded of security precautions that could be put in place, including personal safety measures and the initiation of extra patrol from local law enforcement, which was declined. USDCJ (b)(6); was reminded that he could contact the USMS and local law enforcement at any time. After the interview, DUSM (b)(6) distributed driver's license photographs of (b)(6); to the Court Security Officer security control point and control room at the U.S. Courthouse, with request for notification to the USMS if (b)(6); arrived on site. On July 6th, 2022 an interview was conducted with (b)(6); at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) by DUSM (b)(6) and DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); agreed to speak with DUSMs and did give his account of what happened at (b)(6); (b)(6); did state that the conversation was about a ruling that USDCJ had made on a case. (b)(6); was adamant that he did not threaten or harass USDCJ (b)(6); For additional see narrative entered by DUSM (b)(6) on DUSM (b)(6); behalf. DUSM (b)(6) contacted the Cedar Rapids Police Department (CRPD) and was informed that no reports were on file as related to (b)(6);. On July 12th, 2022 submitted a request for open source intelligence assessment. On July 20th, 2022, DUSM (b)(6) received results from the request. (b)(6); was determined to have an online and social media footprint. However, no direct threats or mentions of USDCJ (b)(6); or any other protected person/asset were located. On July 21st, 2022 video from (b)(6); was obtained by DUSM (b)(6); and added to the case file. For additional information see video review narrative by DUSM (b)(6);. On July 28th, 2022 DUSM (b)(6) asked Judicial Assistant (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) if USDCJ (b)(6); had any encounters or concerning interactions with (b)(6); or any other party since July 5th, 2022. Judicial Assistant (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) informed DUSM (b)(6) that USDCJ (b)(6); had not. DUSM (b)(6) made contact with Special Agents from the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms (BATF) and inquired if they could determine if there were National Instant Criminal Background Check System (NICS) checks for (b)(6); in any recent attempts to purchase firearms. DUSM (b)(6) was advised that negative results were obtained on that query. DUSM (b)(6) contacted the Mount Vernon and Lisbon Police Department and inquired as to any contact made with (b)(6); in the last 30-60 days. DUSM (b)(6) was informed that the department had no record of any contact with (b)(6); in that time period. On July 29th, 2022, DUSM (b)(6) emailed (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), director of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) retail security, and inquired about obtaining additional camera angles from the original incident on July 4th, 2022. On August 1st, 2022, DUSM (b)(6) contacted the Linn County Sheriff's Office (LCSO) dispatch in regard to (b)(6);. They had no record of interactions with (b)(6); to include traffic stops or calls for service, in the last 30-60 days. DUSM (b)(6) also spoke with the criminal division of the LCSO and asked if (b)(6); had or applied for a weapons permit through Linn County. DUSM (b)(6) was informed that LCSO crimin	Extraordinary Complaint or Outrage Over the Outcome of a Court Case	Verbal	2022/07/05 00:00:00			
--------	---------------------	---------------------	--------	---------------------	---	------	----------------	---------------------	---	---	--------	---------------------	--	--	--

Closed	2022/07/05 00:00:00	2022/07/07 00:00:00	2022	2022/10/04 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	8	MN/D	Arrest/Close	2022/10/04 16:02:15	Background: On July 5th, 2022, Protective Intelligence Coordinator (PIC) (b)(6); (b)(6); (writer) was contacted by Chief Inspector (b)(6); (b)(6); with the Office of International Operations. (b)(6); was forwarding information provided to USMS by (b)(6); (b)(6); Manager of Internal Security Operations for (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). The email was requesting someone with the District of Minnesota contact him regarding a potential threat to "the District Court and Judge in Minneapolis". (b)(6); (b)(6); contacted (b)(6); via phone. (b)(6); stated an individual by the name of (b)(6); (b)(6); has been sending concerning emails to the (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); is a previous employee of (b)(6); (b)(6); that was terminated for making threatening statements about blowing up the Minneapolis/St. Paul International Airport. After (b)(6); termination, he filed a civil lawsuit against (b)(6); (b)(6); for discrimination under the Americans with Disabilities Act (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) due his bipolar depression. (b)(6); has blames (b)(6); (b)(6); for the loss of his marriage, possessions, and financial means. (b)(6); is asking for \$2, 600,000.00 in damages. (b)(6); email is concerning and mentions his dissatisfaction with the courts and how long it has taken for his case to resolve. The email was sent on July 2nd, 2022, from email address (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) @gmail.com and at the end of the email (b)(6); states: "Our Justice is laughable. Our courts: kangaroo. Our senators are immoral and corrupt. Our government is held captive by corporations. Our law enforcement officers rarely face law enforcement for the crimes they commit. Our society will collapse soon. 4 years, 1460 days, 35040 hours, 2102400 minutes or 126,144,000 seconds is how long I've waited for Justice to happen. There is no Justice, not in this country. I waited all of that time for a Wrong to be made Right. I'm done waiting. With Malice, (b)(6); (b)(6); Investigation: (b)(6); has a minimal criminal history for criminal damage to property and fleeing a peace officer in 2008. (b)(7)(E) queries showed (b)(6); is currently residing at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). (b)(7)(E) queries confirmed (b)(6); address and was negative for any vehicle registrations. (b)(6); (b)(6); contacted the United States Secret Service (USSS) as well as the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) and reviewed reports and information gathered on (b)(6); Both agencies no longer have active investigations and had not deemed (b)(6); a threat. (b)(6); (b)(6); contacted (b)(6); psychiatrist (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); staff indicated (b)(6); has not been in for treatment in several months. (b)(6); indicated in interviews with the USSS that he does not take mental health mediations for his Bipolar diagnosis. (b)(6); (b)(6); forwarded (b)(6); the email from (b)(6); for assistance in his treatment plan. (b)(6); (b)(6); contacted (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) with the Stearns County Sheriff's Office and shared the concerning email from (b)(6); for officer safety and awareness. (b)(6); (b)(6); learned (b)(6); had applied for gun permits in the previous years but was denied. On July 22nd, 2022, (b)(6); (b)(6); spoke with United States District Court Judge (USDCJ) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) regarding (b)(6); case. USDCJ (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) notified (b)(6); (b)(6); she was going to dismiss (b)(6); case and provided a copy of the order before it was disseminated to (b)(6); electronically. After the issuing of the order, USDCJ (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) did not received any correspondences from (b)(6);. On August 17th, 2022, (b)(6); (b)(6); interviewed (b)(6); parents, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6); (b)(6); Both parents indicated they were concerned about (b)(6); and his obsession with the case. Both claimed (b)(6); has struggled with mental illness for years and has had a hard time holding down a job. (b)(6); will often demand money from them and does not speak with his father regularly. However, he does maintain contact with is mother, mainly via text. Both parents agreed (b)(6); is in the need of mental health assistance closer to his residence, and possible social services assistance to help him get on his feet an	Suspicious Behavior, Extraordinary Complaint or Outrage Over the Outcome of a Court Case	Informant	2022/07/05 00:00:00	2022/07/07 00:00:00
--------	---------------------	---------------------	------	---------------------	---------------------------	---	------	--------------	---------------------	---	--	-----------	---------------------	---------------------

Closed	2022/06/28 00:00:00	2022/06/30 00:00:00	2022/09/28 00:00:00	2022/09/28 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	8	MN/D	Arrest/Closure	2022/09/27 13:53:42	Background: On June 28th, 2022, Protective Intelligence Coordinator (PIC) (b)(6); (b)(6); (writer) was contacted via email by Courtroom Deputy (b)(6); (b)(6); with United States District Court Judge (b)(6); (b)(6); chambers. The email included a letter sent by (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); a state inmate being housed at the Sherburne County Jail. In the letter (b)(6); (b)(6); claims a USMS inmate was housed next to him. (b)(6); (b)(6); had been "stalking" USDCJ (b)(6); (b)(6); and Assistant United States Attorney (AUSA) (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); In the letter (b)(6); (b)(6); states: "He (b)(6); (b)(6); stalks everything about his prosecutor (AUSA (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); and his judge (USDCJ (b)(6); (b)(6); and has been trying to find out where you guys all live he is obsessed with this..." (b)(6); (b)(6); goes on to call (b)(6); (b)(6); "psycho" and claims (b)(6); (b)(6); has met with several law enforcement agencies, mainly the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) and the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF) and made several fictitious reports. Investigation: NCIC queries showed (b)(6); (b)(6); has an extensive arrest history for but no limited to prostitution-sexual contact, theft, DWI, 1st degree damage to property, 3rd degree damage to property, harassment, stalking, domestic abuse - violate OFP, check forgery, and receiving stolen property. (b)(6); (b)(6); also has two active protection orders and an active warrant out of Douglas County, WI for theft. On June 29th, 2022, (b)(6); (b)(6); contacted Investigator (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); with the Sherburne County Jail to set (b)(6); (b)(6); up on phone and mail monitoring. Investigator (b)(6); (b)(6); indicated (b)(6); (b)(6); did not have access to the internet. (b)(6); (b)(6); learned from law enforcement sources that jail informants were claiming (b)(6); (b)(6); was attempting to commit further acts of identity theft while at the Sherburne County Jail. The jailhouse informant also claimed (b)(6); (b)(6); was offering to pay other inmates to assault him to provide him with the basis for a lawsuit. (b)(6); (b)(6); credibility had already been in question after he provided information for Full Predicated Investigation (b)(7)(E); (b)(7)(E); There was no evidence to support (b)(6); (b)(6); allegations in that case and (b)(6); (b)(6); statement seemed to contradict other evidence in the case. (b)(6); (b)(6); felt (b)(6); (b)(6); was not credible and learned he has been aiding in several other cases in hopes of a sentence reduction. (b)(6); (b)(6); requested and was granted access to (b)(6); (b)(6); Presentence Investigation Report (PSR) that was completed by United States Probation Officer (USPO) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); The report showed (b)(6); (b)(6); has an extensive harassment history and has been the subject of several Harassment Restraining Orders (HRO)s. What was more concerning is (b)(6); (b)(6); appears to be very proficient in computers, and in one case used software to fabricate fictitious text message stings in attempt to gain an HRO against one of his victims in retaliation for her HRO against him. (b)(6); (b)(6); is organized and malicious against others when he feels he has been wronged. Most of his crimes seem to be motivated by greed or revenge and (b)(6); (b)(6); will show great patients and planning before acting out. However, (b)(6); (b)(6); does not typically act out violently, in most cases he would destroy the victim's property or in one case kidnap her dog which was never recovered. (b)(6); (b)(6); felt at that time, it appeared the greatest threat to the protectees in this case would be identify theft or some other means to attack their character which would benefit (b)(6); (b)(6); Based on this information (b)(6); (b)(6); felt an Online Exposure Assessment would be beneficial for both AUSA (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); and USDCJ (b)(6); (b)(6); and to provide them with recommendations to reduce their internet exposure. On June 30th, 2022, (b)(6); (b)(6); sent an Online Exposure Assessment (OEA) Questionnaire to USDCJ (b)(6); (b)(6); and AUSA (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); AUSA (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); was the one who completed and returned the questionnaire. On June 30th, 2022, (b)(6); (b)(6); also reached out to the USMS Behavioral Analysis Unit (BAU) to discuss the best interview strategy for this case	Suspicious Behavior, Stalking	Informant	2022/06/30 00:00:00		
Closed	2022/08/10 00:00:00	2022/08/10 00:00:00	2022/04/14 00:00:00	2023/04/14 00:00:00	U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE W/AR	8	AR/W	Arrest/Closure	2023/04/14 11:42:31	On 12APR23 SDUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); confirmed that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); is still incarcerated at the Sebastian County Jail awaiting transportation to the State Mental Hospital due to lack of bed space. To date (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); has not contact the Clerk's Office or the Court since his initial inappropriate communication in August 2022. Due to his mental incompetency finding and his future incarceration for mental health, W/AR and JSD SI (b)(6); (b)(6); concur that closure at this time is appropriate. Case Closed	Suspicious Behavior	Written			
Closed	2022/07/26 00:00:00	2022/07/27 00:00:00	2022/09/26 00:00:00	2022/09/26 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	8	AR/W	Arrest/Closure	2022/09/20 11:49:52	On 20SEP22, the U.S. Marshals Service Western District of Arkansas confirmed there were no new social media posts from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); concerning Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); or any other USMS protected person or interest. Due to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); decision to remove the original social media posts, and the fact no additional information has been uncovered constituting inappropriate communication I/C this assessment will be closed. It is noted, OPI Circuit Inspector (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); was contacted and agreed closure was appropriate at this time. End of report. Assessment closed.	Suspicious Behavior	Email/Internet			

Closed	2022/08/05 00:00:00	2022/08/05 00:00:00	2022 2	2022/10/05 00:00:00	FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER	8	MO/W	Arrest/Close	2022/09/06 17:06:03	On August 5, 2022, at approximately 10:50 am, Laclede County Sheriff's Deputy (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) contacted DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and advised he and another officer familiar with (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) were at (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) residence. Deputy (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) advised (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has a history of presenting with mental health symptoms although (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) does not believe he has any mental health issues. DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) asked if (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) appeared to be under the influence of any drugs and Deputy (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated no and advised that Laclede County Sheriff's Office (LCSO) has responded to calls from (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) dating back to 2019 in which (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) exhibited the same behavior. Deputy (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) believes someone is continuously stalking him and has abused the 911 emergency communications system on multiple occasions which led to (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) arrest on August 1, 2022. DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) informed Deputy (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) about (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) contact with the Federal Public Defenders Office and asked if LCSO has considered involuntarily committing (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) on a 96-hour mental health hold. Deputy (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated they were attempting to get (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to agree to being voluntarily transported to (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) but (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was not willing to go at that moment. Deputy (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) girlfriend, (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was also at the residence and was trying to get (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to cooperate with officers, however (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) refusal to accept assistance stems from (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) belief that all law enforcement officers are corrupt. Deputy (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) asked (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) if he had contacted the Federal Public Defenders office today and DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) could hear (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) state "yes." Deputy (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) asked (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) why he contacted their office when he had already contacted them. DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) could hear (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) reply "because I wanted to tell them that someone put a hit on me." Deputy (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) asked (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) if he would agree not to contact the Federal Public Defenders office again. DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) could not hear (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) answer and Deputy (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) advised (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was instructed not to contact the Federal Public Defenders office. DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) conducted a search for (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) in the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri Case Management / Electronic Case Files system and did not locate any federal court proceedings or filings involving (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). A search was also conducted in (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) which did not produce a record of (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). At approximately 12:30 pm, Deputy (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) advised (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) voluntarily agreed to be evaluated by a doctor but stated he would not comply with taking medication and was transported to (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) on a voluntary basis. On September 6, 2022, DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) contacted Deputy (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to determine if LCSO has had any further contact with (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) since he was committed to (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) on August 5, 2022. Deputy (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has not contacted LCSO since that date and he was not aware if (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was fully committed to (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)'s psychiatric unit past the initial 96-hour hold. DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) contacted LCSO county jail and requested a copy of (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) August 1, 2022 arrest booking sheet and photograph. On September 6, 2022, DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) attempted to contact (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) girlfriend, (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) at (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and received an automated message the line has been placed out of service. On September 6, 2022, DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) contacted (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) with the United States Federal Public Defenders Office, (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and confirmed (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has not contacted their office since his initial inappropriate communication on August 5, 2022. On September 6, 2022, DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) contacted Senior Inspector (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to advise of this information and suggested closing this case. End of report. Case closed.	Reference to Death, Suicide, Weapons, Violence, Assassinations, etc.	Telephone			
Closed	2021/12/22 00:00:00	2021/12/22 00:00:00	2022 2	2022/02/08 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	8	MO/W	Arrest/Close	2022/02/08 11:05:16	On January 27, 2022, in the Eastern District of Missouri, Deputy US Marshal (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and Judicial Security Inspector (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) concluded interviews of (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (FID# (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) and (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (FID# (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C))). The interviews were conducted at Potosi Correctional Center. The investigation is deemed inconclusive and the judicial threat has been mitigated based on a lack of intent or ability to commit the accusations, since the (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is in custody at Potosi until 03/07/2024. It was unable to be determined who wrote the letter, due to multiple inmates having access to prisoner ID numbers, and therefore it has been deemed inconclusive. It should be noted that (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) appeared cooperative, and Potosi staff stated that (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) generally takes credit for any wrong doings he has been involved. See collateral response from E/MO on 01/27/2022 for further information. That report has been uploaded into JDIS. CASE MITIGATED/CASE CLOSED.	Direct Threat	Written			

Closed	2022/07/05 00:00:00	2022/07/05 00:00:00	2022	2022/08/05 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT OTHER	8	MN/D	Arrest/Closure	2022/07/27 17:46:11	On July 7th, 2022, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was sentenced before United States District Court Judge (USDCJ) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to the sentence indicated below: ***Begin copy and paste from judgment and commitment*** The Defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a total term of Two Hundred Forty-Five (245) Months. This term consists of 240 months on Count 1 of the Indictment and 12 months on Count 1 of the Information, to run consecutively with each other and concurrently with Defendant's undischarged term of imprisonment in relation to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) The term is further adjusted from 252 months to 245 months, to account for Defendant's confinement from May 29, 2020, until October 8, 2020, and from April 20, 2021, until June 24, 2021, in relation to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) where the Bureau of Prisons will not award prior custody credit for that confinement. Upon release from imprisonment, the Defendant shall be on supervised release for a term of Five (5) Years on Count One (1) of the Indictment, and One (1) Year on Count One (1) of the Information to run concurrently with each other. ***end copy and paste*** Protective Intelligence Coordinator (PIC) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) submitted a USM 640 request to the Judicial Security Division (b)(7)(E) (b)(7)(E). Results were negative for any threats directed at USMS protectees or (b)(7)(E) interests. This case remained open for monitoring and again yielded no new threats. Based on the information obtained this protective investigation is closed.	Extraordinary Complaint or Outrage Over the Outcome of a Court Case	Informant			
Closed	2022/04/08 00:00:00	2022/04/08 00:00:00	2022	2022/06/16 00:00:00	U.S. MAGISTRATE	8	MO/E	Arrest/Closure	2022/06/16 16:47:47	On June 14, 2022, Judicial Security Inspector (JSI) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) conducted an open-source query of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has multiple social media profiles. The profiles listed below were reviewed by JSI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has a Twitter account (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and an Instagram account (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) No information was found on any of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) profiles to cause concern for the safety of USMJ (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) or any other protected persons within the Eastern District of Missouri. JSI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has attempted to contact U.S. Pre-Trial Services Officer (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) several times, but has not had a response. No evidence has been discovered to suggest (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is responsible for the projectile being fired through USMJ (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) chambers window. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was in custody at the time of the incident and no information suggests he was responsible. Through the investigative efforts outlined previously, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) does not appear to have the desire to cause physical harm to USMJ (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). Although (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) states in his jail call, "I will shoot him," he states it in a joking matter and then says "I'm kidding" to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) states multiple times in his phone calls he knows he is being recorded. The projectile being fired through the window and his statement during a phone conversation to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) are coincidental. This investigation is considered closed. If further information develops to suggest otherwise this investigation will be re-opened. CASE CLOSED	Assault or Attempted Assault	Suspicious Activity	2022/04/08 00:00:00		

Closed	2022/09/28 00:00:00	2022/09/29 00:00:00	2022	2022/10/07 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT CLERK	8	MN/D	Arrest/Close	2022/10/07 16:07:34	On September 28th, 2022, Protective Intelligence Coordinator (PIC) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (writer) was contacted by Operations Supervisor (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) with the U.S District Court, District of Minnesota. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated pro se civil litigant (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) had visited the St. Paul Federal Courthouse and became disruptive and verbally abusive to court staff in the Clerk of Courts Office. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was "irate" and called staff "white mother fuckers" and said they were not mailing his forms because he was black. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) could not recall any specific threats but did made comments about what he would do if he did not get his forms. After (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was asked to leave the building, he continued to be verbally combative with Court Security Offices (CSO)s and did not exit the building immediately as instructed. Based on the information provided (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) opened an assessment to determine if (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) poses any threat to any USMS protectee or (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) interest. On October 3rd, 2022, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) spoke with court clerk staff who stated (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) comes into the St. Paul Courthouse Clerks Office almost daily. He is never super friendly but prior to September 28th he had never been threatening before. On September 28th (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) seemed to escalate when the clerks asked (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to speak to them respectfully because they always treat him with respect. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) then yelled "stop talking to me like I'm a child!" After (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was asked to leave, he demanded the number for their supervisor. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was provided (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) s contact information before he left. JDIS and Capture queries showed no results for (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) other than several civil process endeavors for multiple civil case filings. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) queries show (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has filed 16 civil cases since 2015. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has 10 open cases, 8 have been filed in 2022. Below is a list of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) current open civil cases: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) court filing indicated the most recent address for (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and phone number (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). Filings also indicated (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is not employed and currently collects social security. A review of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) civil cases seems to indicate a pattern where (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) files lawsuits based on his perception people are not treating him equally or appropriately because he is African American. Minnesota Department of Public Safety records show (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has a valid drivers license. His current address is listed as (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has three registered vehicles but only one with current tabs, a Black 2016 Mercedes-Benz, Model E, MN LIC (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) queries show (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) DOB of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and SSN (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and mirrored (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and PACER listings of a current address of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). NCIC queries showed no active warrants for (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) but an extensive criminal history with arrests for but not limited to theft, criminal damage to property, battery, unlawful restraint, kidnapping, aggravated kidnapping, murder, armed violence, first degree criminal sexual conduct, and possession of a firearm. On September 29th, 2022, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) prepared a Caution Notice and disseminated it to all CSOs at all District of Minnesota Courthouses. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is to be escorted anytime he enters any District of Minnesota Courthouse. On October 4th, 2022, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) contacted (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) for an update on (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated she had spoken with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) that morning. She indicated to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) no one is treated differently by the clerk's office because of the color of their skin. Sh	Suspicious Behavior	Informant			
Closed	2022/09/09 00:00:00	2022/09/09 00:00:00	2022	2022/10/04 00:00:00	U.S. ATTORNEY OTHER/STAFF	8	MN/D	Arrest/Close	2022/10/04 16:02:38	On September 9th, 2022, Protective Intelligence Coordinator (PIC) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (writer) was notified the United States Attorney's Office has contacted District of Minnesota Management stating members of the U.S Attorney's Office were planning a group visit to George Floyd Square (38th Street and Chicago Ave, Minneapolis MN). This prompted significant security concerns and a Threat Environment Assessment was requested. On September 16th, 2022 (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) received the Threat Environment Assessment from Assistant Chief (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) with the Judicial Security Division. The overall risk to law enforcement in George Floyd Square was assessed as "Moderate". (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was notified by Supervisory Deputy United States Marshal (SDUSM) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) that the United States Attorney's Office has decided not to visit George Floyd Square as initially planned. Based on these developments this Assessment is closed.	Suspicious Behavior, Extraordinary Complaint or Outrage Over the Outcome of a Court Case	Informant			

Closed	2022/03/26 00:00:00	2022/03/28 00:00:00	2022/03/28 00:00:00	2022/04/26 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	8	IA/N	Arrest/Closure	2022/04/26 14:07:45	On Tuesday, April 19, 2022, Special Agent (SA) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) of the FBI replied to my earlier email requesting if the FBI had any open investigation. SA (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated that the FBI did not have anything regarding the investigation. They did receive the DPPD's report. After a complete investigation and interviews of the reporting party and the local police response, I am confident that this mortar had been in the area for quite some time. This was not the result of someone threatening USDCJ (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and her safety is not at risk as a result. This investigation will be considered closed by the United States Marshals Service.	Assault or Attempted Assault	Explosive	2022/03/28 00:00:00		
Closed	2022/03/25 00:00:00	2022/03/26 00:00:00	2022/03/26 00:00:00	2022/11/18 00:00:00		8	MO/W	Arrest/Closure	2022/11/17 14:41:22	Per USMS policy, a 30 day update is required. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (FID (b)(7)(E)), whom is the son of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was scheduled to be sentenced by Federal US District Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), in Springfield, Missouri on November 16, 2022. He received a 110 months on Counts 1 and 5 to run concurrently; 120 months on Count 3 consecutive to all other counts, 120 months on Counts 2 and 4 to run concurrent to all counts, for a total of 230 months custody. No reported outbursts were relayed to DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), in regard to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). In conclusion, there is no longer a reason to substantiate thinking that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) holds any threat to Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). License plate readers have not indicated he has been in Kansas City, MO, where Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) both works and lives. No recorded jail calls indicate any aggressive demeanor when (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (FID (b)(7)(E)) has spoken to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) FID (b)(7)(E). This case will be closed. CASED CLOSED.	Direct Threat	Telephone			
Closed	2022/07/15 00:00:00	2022/07/20 00:00:00	2022/07/20 00:00:00	2022/08/19 00:00:00	U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE/STAFF	8	MN/D	Arrest/Closure	2022/08/19 12:20:39	Protective Intelligence Coordinator (PIC) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (writer) has not been notified of any new social media posts by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) involving USMS protectees since his original post on July 8th, 2022. NCIC queries revealed (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has an active warrant for his arrest of out of the Denton County Sheriff's Office in Denton, TX for a probation violation which has been active since December 4th, 2018. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) criminal history includes arrests in Texas and Louisiana for possession of marijuana, criminal trespass, possession of stolen property, criminal mischief, possession of cocaine, resisting the officer, operating vehicle with suspended license, simple assault, harassment communications, domestic abuse and resisting an officer. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has had no new criminal charges since 2018 after the warrant for his arrest issued. (b)(7)(E) queries identified a possible current address for (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) which is has been identified as "(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)". On July 29th, 2022, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) received the (b)(7)(E) RFI Response for (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) prepared by Intelligence Research Specialist (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was associated with over 266 social media accounts as well as memberships to several government websites. (b)(7)(E) did not observe any direct threats from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to any members of the judiciary. It was noted that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is a serial court filer in the Northern District of Texas who seems to use the court system to file lawsuits to pursue personal vendettas and on behalf of other individuals who are not familiar with the system. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) relates to Sovereign Citizen ideology; (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) does not believe the United States Government has jurisdiction over citizens. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has created his own government where he claims to have attorney and law enforcement authority. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has photos on his social media account where he is displaying a badge that says, "They Fear Truth Federal Government of America". (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) identifies as a politician and claims to be the son of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) who was a leader of the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) party but does not produce evidence of proof. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is the founder and CEO of "(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)". (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) claims his "(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)" will allow members of the Black Panther Party to carry badges which will allow them to "arrest the police who are doing injustice to our people and communities". (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has assigned a "Minister of Defense" named (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) who was recently arrested for impersonating a law enforcement officer. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) behavior and posts are concerning. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) states in interviews with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) on Facebook that he was a drug dealer who has been shot 9 times. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) claims after being shot he realized he was the son of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) believes the U.S government has planned assassination attempts on him because of his heritage and his rare blood type. These statements bring concerns about the possibility (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is suffering from some type of mental health issues. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) criminal history and social media rhetoric would suggest he identifies with Sovereign Citizen ideology; however, he never directly claims to be a Sovereign Citizen, just a member of his new government he created. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) does not seem to respect government officials working in positions of authority and could pose a threat if confronted. Due to the concerning content identified in the (b)(7)(E) RFI Response, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) provided a copy to DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) with the Northern District of Texas where (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) resides and files civil cases regularly. Based on the information above, it does not appear (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) poses a direct threat to any USMS protectee at this time. However, extra precautionary measures may want to be taken at federal government courthouse due to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) believe in sovereign citizen ideology, criminal history, and belief he has arresting and prosecutor authority (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has forwarded her and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) findings to DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) with the Northern D	Suspicious Behavior	Informant	2022/07/20 00:00:00		
Closed	2022/07/05 00:00:00	2022/07/05 00:00:00	2022/07/05 00:00:00	2022/08/05 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT OTHER	8	MN/D	Arrest/Closure	2022/08/05 13:09:13	See previous closed case report USM11 (b)(7)(E) Protective investigation closed.	Extraordinary Complaint or Outrage Over the Outcome of a Court Case	Informant			

Closed	2022/08/05 00:00:00	2022/08/05 00:00:00	2022	2022/10/05 00:00:00	FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER	8	MO/W	Arrest/Closure	2022/10/05 10:42:13	This is a follow up report for case # (b)(7)(E), (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), FID # (b)(7)(E). This case is being closed pursuant to the approved USM-11 dated 09/06/2002. End of report.	Reference to Death, Suicide, Weapons, Violence, Assassinations, etc.	Telephone			
Closed	2022/07/11 00:00:00	2022/07/12 00:00:00	2022	2022/11/14 00:00:00		8	MN/D	Arrest/Closure	2022/11/10 10:28:20	Update as of November 9, 2022: Since opening this assessment in July 2022, this case has been closely monitored by (b)(7)(E) & DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). As of today, there have not been any new threats posted by (b)(6); on any of his social media accounts. (b)(6); last post with relevance to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was when he was trying to organize a march in late July 2022 titled "SAY THEIR NAMES" that started at the Governor's mansion in St. Paul and ended at the capitol. Since all has been quiet with (b)(6); in the past five months, DUSM (b)(6); is will be closing this T-Case upon approval of this USM-11. //Case Closed//	Veiled Threat	Email/Internet			
Closed	2021/10/19 00:00:00	2021/10/20 00:00:00	2022	2021/12/30 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	8	MN/D	Arrest/Closure	2021/12/30 15:10:49	On October 19th, 2021, Protective Intelligence Coordinator (PIC) (b)(6); (b)(6); (writer) was forwarded, and email received by United States District Court Judge (USDCJ) (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) chambers. The email was from (b)(6); (b)(6); regarding (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was sentenced by USDCJ (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) in 2001 to 300 months custody for possession with the intent to distribute a controlled substance and escape. (b)(6); is the ex-wife of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and assisted (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) in his escape from the Anoka County Jail in 2001. (b)(6); email stated "Now hes (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) pist at you too. He said in the past when u first convicted him that he was going to kill you (USDCJ (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) when he gets out". USDCJ (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) offered (b)(6); (b)(6); several hundreds of pages of correspondences she received from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) over the years. Upon review of the correspondences, it was clear (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was trying to find any excuse he could to get released from prison. Immediately after his conviction (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) claimed he was not given a fair trial by USDCJ (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated in one of his letters "I was depressed, exhausted, and furious after I lost my case". In 2005 (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) claimed there was a "federal coverup" surrounding his case and also filed to vacate his sentence based on "deprivation of rights, ineffective counsel, and the judges interreference with his wife (b)(6); from testifying". (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) told USDCJ (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) in several letters that his children were being abused at the hands of (b)(6); and he needed to be released to help them. He also claimed USDCJ (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was "racist" and didn't like him because he was "Mexican" and "poor". In one letter (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) says, "do the righteous thing and let me back in court". (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) called USDCJ (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) "evil" and stated he doesn't know how she can sleep at night with what she does to people. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) then began attempting to assist the government with cases and testify against other inmates in hopes of a sentence reduction or early release. In one of these cases (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was targeted and severely assaulted by other inmates. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) then used his safety and health as a reason he needed to be released early. In April 2020 (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was granted a sentence reduction by USDCJ (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) for his cooperation with the government on a case. Over the years (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) developed a strong hatred for his ex-wife (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) claimed she was an alcoholic and addicted to methamphetamine. He described her as having "severe mental health problems" resulting from being an "alcoholic drug addicted mother". (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) admitted to calling child (b)(7)(E) services several times over fear of his sons' safety. In 2019, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) wrote USDCJ (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and wanted to tell her if she was notified by (b)(6); he was threatening her it was a lie. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated: (b)(6); does not want me out because she does not want me to help our son who needs my help my son has serious mental health issues because he has seen his mother get sexually assaulted and beaten by boyfriends and the boyfriends cus at him and always tell him he's slow and a mental case. (b)(6); is a serious alcoholic and drug addict". On 12/13/21, (b)(6); (b)(6); interviewed (b)(6); (b)(6); via phone. According to (b)(6); her and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) were being housed at the Anoka County Jail after their arrest in 2002 for escape. (b)(6); would see (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) occasionally in passing and when he would walk past her, he would mouth "I'm gonna kill that bitch". (b)(6); (b)(6); asked if he was ever specific about who he was talking about, (b)(6); response was "who else would he be talking about?". (b)(6); stated (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) never spoke about the threats via phone or mail because he was a "smart inmate". (b)(6); never heard (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) talk about how or when he was planning on killing USDCJ (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). She said the last time she spoke to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was before (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was transferred to BOP custody in 2002, almost 20 years ago. (b)(6); confirmed her relationship with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) deteriorated	Direct Threat, Extraordinary Complaint or Outrage Over the Outcome of a Court Case	Informant		2021/10/20 00:00:00	2021/10/20 00:00:00

Closed	2021/10/20 00:00:00	2021/10/21 00:00:00	2022-2	2021/12/30 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	8	MN/D	Arrest/Closure	2021/12/30 15:06:17	Protective Intelligence Coordinator (PIC) (b)(6); (b)(6); (writer) has continued to monitor (b)(6); (b)(6); phone calls and correspondences over the past two months. Initially, (b)(6); was very frustrated with his case and had a lot of anger towards United States District Court Judge (USDCJ) (b)(6); (b)(6); On 10/6/2021, (b)(6); called USDCJ (b)(6); several derogatory names and made several threats like "I've lost everything I own, my peoples, I barely talk to my peoples, I don't give a fuck, this motherfucker (USDCJ (b)(6); is going to pay for this. Watch this! Watch this! I've been sitting in this motherfucker for three years!" On 10/27/21, (b)(6); appeared before USDCJ (b)(6); for his pretrial hearing. (b)(6); explained his frustration with his case to USDCJ (b)(6); USDCJ (b)(6); was able to find common ground with (b)(6); and (b)(6); decided to plead guilty. (b)(6); continued to monitor (b)(6); calls after the hearing. (b)(6); seemed relieved to plead guilty and was optimistic about the sentencing. (b)(6); no longer seemed to have issues or anger towards USDCJ (b)(6); As a matter of caution, (b)(6); (b)(6); wanted to leave the case open and continue monitoring until after (b)(6); sentencing in case his sentence was more than he anticipated. On 12/14/21 (b)(6); was sentenced by USDCJ (b)(6); to 60 months in custody. (b)(6); (b)(6); has monitored (b)(6); calls since the sentencing. (b)(6); is very pleased with the sentence and is very excited to be out of custody in approximately 11 months. (b)(6); made comments on phone calls to friends and family about how the prosecutors were trying to give him more time because of allegations (b)(6); was involved in a shooting. According to (b)(6); USDCJ (b)(6); "wasn't hearing it" and said if the government or state had evidence of that, they should have prosecuted him and that was not going to be consideration in the sentencing. (b)(6); seems to think USDCJ (b)(6); was on his side. After his sentencing, (b)(6); made a call to a friend (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and stated nothing is bothering him right now and he could "see the light" (b)(6); stated he is going to focus on working out for the next nine months and is considering relocating out of Minnesota after his release. On 12/25/21, (b)(6); has a video visit with his children telling them he will be home in 11 months and how excited his is to see them. (b)(6); frustration with USDCJ (b)(6); seemed to stem from how long it was taking the case to get resolved and thinking USDCJ (b)(6); did not like him. (b)(6); felt USDCJ (b)(6); was not prioritizing criminal cases over civil cases. All these issues are no longer a factor and have been resolved. At this time in the investigation, it does not appear (b)(6); has any motive to harm Judge (b)(6); USDCJ (b)(6); seemed to mitigate this case through communication with (b)(6); in the courtroom. Any frustration or ill will (b)(6); had for USDCJ (b)(6); seemed to be rectified during the pretrial hearing on 10/27/21. (b)(6); has continued to be optimistic and in good spirits since his sentencing. He has made no threats or spoke negatively of anyone associated with his case. He seems focused on the future and has family and friend support upon his release from custody; therefore, this case is closed.	Veiled Threat, Extraordinary Complaint or Outrage Over the Outcome of a Court Case	Telephone			2021/10/20 00:00:00
Closed	2021/11/01 00:00:00	2021/11/03 00:00:00	2022-2	2022/05/31 00:00:00	U.S. PROBATION OFFICER	8	MO/E	Arrest/Closure	2022/05/31 11:46:05	On November 2, 2021, United States Probation Officer (USPO), (b)(6); (b)(7)(b)(6); notified the United States Marshal's Service (USMS) via email of concerning statements made to him by inmate (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was arrested for Violations of Supervised Release on October 26, 2021 and USPO (b)(6); provided in the days leading up to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) arrest, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) mental condition began declining. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) had been sending USPO (b)(6); numerous text messages which included the phrases, "America must be destroyed," and "Prepare for God's unrelenting wrath." (b)(6); stated in his email that on November 1, 2021, and November 2, 2021, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) left numerous voicemail messages to him while in custody at the Ste. Genevieve County Jail. The voicemail's contained phrases such as, "you made a mistake and it is your responsibility to correct it," and "I'd like to tell you I have many friends, one named (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), who has direct contact with the Cali Cartel in Colombia, and you are welcome to mess with me anymore." Additionally, USPO (b)(6); provided (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was wondering why he could not bring a gun into a federal building. On May 31, 2022, Judicial Security Inspector (JSI) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) contacted the Tri-County Detention Center for a status update of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Nurse (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) relayed to JSI (b)(6); that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is responding to medication and he has been compliant. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has not had any recent incidents after taking his medication as prescribed. Additionally, he is seeing medical providers routinely on a weekly basis. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has not made any additional veiled threats towards USPO (b)(6); since November 2, 2021. Furthermore, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has not attempted to contact USPO (b)(6); since the original incident. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has a history of severe mental illness and his behavior appears to be controlled by medication. Per the Tri-County Detention Center, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) seems to be responding well to medication. As of May 31, 2022, there is no reason to believe (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) will act on the veiled threats he originally made towards USPO (b)(6); Additionally, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) does not have the resources or capability outside of the jail to cause harm to USPO (b)(6); Medication appears to have helped (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) in stabilizing his severe mental illness and the Tri-County Detention Center has indicated he has been compliant in taking his medication. For the reasons stated above this threat assessment will be closed. If future information should develop to cause concern for the safety of USPO (b)(6); and/or any other protected persons within the Eastern District of Missouri, this case will be re-opened. CASE CLOSED	Veiled Threat	Telephone	2021/11/03 00:00:00	2021/11/03 00:00:00	

Closed	2021/12/01 00:00:00	2021/12/02 00:00:00	2022/02/17 00:00:00	2022/02/17 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	8	MO/E	Arrest/Closure	2022/02/17 16:44:09	On November 30, 2021, United States District Court Assistant Case Manager, (b)(6); (b)(6); notified the United States Marshal's Service (USMS) of fowl and belligerent voicemail messages left for United States District Judge (USDJ), (b)(6); (b)(6); Case manager (b)(6); forwarded four voicemails to the USMS left by the same individual. The voicemails were in reference to a recent case USDJ (b)(6); presided over under case# (b)(7)(E). The caller was angry with USDJ (b)(6); sentence of the individual in the case and repeatedly called USDJ (b)(6); a, "Fucking piece of shit!" All the voicemails received were of the same nature and consisted of similar language calling USDJ (b)(6); a, "White racist piece of shit!" and "you piece of garbage!" Case manager (b)(6); provided the voicemails originated from phone number (b)(6); (b)(6); with caller ID name, (b)(6); (b)(6); On February 17, 2022, DUSM (b)(6); conducted an open-source query on (b)(6); No new information was discovered. (b)(6); has not attempted to contact USDJ (b)(6); since he left his initial voicemails in November of 2021. Due to the amount of time which has passed since (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) initial contact with USDJ (b)(6); the unlikelyhood for the case to garner more publicity, and (b)(6); stating he was upset but does not wish any harm to USDJ (b)(6); the Eastern District of Missouri is closing this case. (b)(6); was angered at the time, but had no continued contact with USDJ (b)(6); since November of 2021. If (b)(6); contacts USDJ (b)(6); in the future a new (b)(7)(E) investigation will be open. END OF REPORT	Subsequent Communication by Prior IC Sender/Threatener	Telephone	2021/12/02 00:00:00		2021/12/02 00:00:00
Closed	2021/12/10 00:00:00	2021/12/10 00:00:00	2022/03/07 00:00:00	2022/03/07 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT OTHER	8	MN/D	Arrest/Closure	2022/03/07 12:29:40	In the District of Minnesota, the George Floyd case has been a platform for all police brutality and racial injustice in the criminal justice system. The defendants in the case (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); and (b)(6); have been threatened numerous times on social media since 2020. The public posted on several social media sights prior to the trial that the officers would face consequences for their actions. There were substantial concerns for the safety and security of the St. Paul Federal Courthouse, possible jury tampering, and threats towards USMS protectees associated with the case. On January 20th, 2022, jury selection began for the federal case of (b)(6); (b)(6); and (b)(6); Jury selection took one day, and jurors were given instructions to report back on January 24th, 2022, for opening statements. The trial concluded on February 24th, 2022, with the jury returning a verdict of guilty against all three former Minneapolis Police Department officers. The District of Minnesota was supported by the Judicial Security Division (JSD) (b)(7)(E) (b)(7)(E) USMS Special Operations Group (SOG), and USMS Office of Strategic Technology (OST). The District of Minnesota also coordinated with federal, state, and local partners Federal Protective Services (FPS), U.S General Services Administration (GSA), St. Paul Police Department (SPPD), and Minnesota Bureau of Criminal Apprehension (BCA). The District of Minnesota investigated five Protective Assessments associated with the trial, all deemed false. There were no significant threats directed at any USMS protectees. There were three scheduled protests, none affecting any USMS operations. All juror and judicial transports were successfully completed without any issues. Now that the case has concluded, the fence around the St. Paul Federal Courthouse has been removed and the building has been reopened. There have been no new threats or issues associated with this case since the courthouses reopening, therefore this case will be closed. Protective Intelligence Coordinator (PIC) (b)(6); (b)(6); (writer) does have concerns about the safety and security of the St. Paul Federal Courthouse and Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) at the time of sentencing, if the sentence is not to the public's liking. Prior to the sentencing, (b)(6); (b)(6); will complete a USM-640 request to determine if there are any potential threats directed at Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) or any USMS protective interests. (b)(6); (b)(6); spoke with OST and the parties have agreed to leave the temporary cameras installed at Judge (b)(6);'s residence until the completing of the sentencing.	Suspicious Behavior, Extraordinary Complaint or Outrage Over the Outcome of a Court Case	Email/Internet	2021/12/10 00:00:00	2021/12/10 00:00:00	2021/12/10 00:00:00

Closed	2021/12/10 00:00:00	2021/12/15 00:00:00	2022/03/15 00:00:00	2022/03/15 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	8	IA/S	Arrest/Closure	2022/03/15 17:36:58	On March 4th, 2022 United States District Court Judge (b)(6); (b)(6); held a retirement party in Davenport, Iowa. Judge (b)(6); had been threatened by (b)(6); (b)(6); (USM (b)(7)(E)), (b)(6); was sentenced by Judge (b)(6); in November of 2021. (b)(6); has gang ties to Davenport, Iowa. (b)(6); known gang association is the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). The United States Marshals Service (USMS) in the Southern District of Iowa sent Judicial Security Inspector (JSI) (b)(6); (b)(6); Deputy United States Marshal (DUSM) (b)(6); (b)(6); and DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); to Davenport, Iowa to ensure Judge (b)(6); safety. The Davenport Office of the United States Marshals Service assisted the protection detail with Supervisory Deputy United States Marshal (SDUSM) (b)(6); (b)(6); and DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6);. The USMS assigned DUSMs to The Office, where drinks were hosted before the United States Courthouse (USCH) Official Ceremony. DUSM (b)(6); and JSI (b)(6); walked with Judge (b)(6); from the Office to the United States Courthouse. DUSM (b)(6); drove an (b)(7)(E) from The Office to the USCH. Once the official ceremony had concluded at the USCH, DUSM (b)(6); and JSI (b)(6); walked with Judge (b)(6); back to The Office and DUSM (b)(6); drove the (b)(7)(E) DUSM (b)(6); JSI (b)(6); DUSM (b)(6); SDUSM (b)(6); and DUSM (b)(6); performed a security function for the Judge until the party had concluded. There was not an incident involving the Judge or any of his party. Judge (b)(6); presided over his last hearing on March 10, 2022, in Davenport, Iowa. Judge (b)(6); is officially retired. There has not been further information regarding an active threat to Judge (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); is currently incarcerated at FCI Forrest City, Arkansas. This threat case will be closed. ///END OF REPORT///	Direct Threat	Informant	2021/12/10 00:00:00	2021/12/15 00:00:00
Closed	2021/12/29 00:00:00	2022/01/03 00:00:00	2022/06/29 00:00:00	2022/06/29 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	8	MO/E	Arrest/Closure	2022/06/29 08:39:37	On June 23, 2022, JSI (b)(6); (b)(6); spoke with Dr. (b)(6); (b)(6); the Director of Forensic Services for the Missouri Department of Mental Health (573-536-1525), regarding (b)(6); (b)(6); Dr. (b)(6); stated to JSI (b)(6); that (b)(6); is currently being evaluated and he is unfit to re-enter the community. Furthermore, Dr. (b)(6); stated if his competency is restored, he will return to the St. Louis County Justice Center to proceed with his criminal case. If (b)(6); is found to be permanently incompetent, he will be housed at a state institution. JSI (b)(6); asked Dr. (b)(6); if he could provide any family contact information, but the information could not be given. Dr. (b)(6); stated he would provide JSI (b)(6); contact information to any family members (b)(6); has on file. Given (b)(6); mental status, monitoring may be appropriate with help from (b)(6); family. On June 24, 2022, JSI (b)(6); spoke with (b)(6); (b)(6); the public defender representing (b)(6); in his state case. (b)(6); stated to JSI (b)(6); that (b)(6); is mentally unhealthy, and his case will be re-evaluated in six months after the Missouri Department of Mental Health can evaluate him. Additionally, (b)(6); stated (b)(6); routinely calls the public defender's office and calls the offices of other federal defenders. He has never mentioned a federal civil case with USDJ (b)(6);. (b)(6); could not provide any additional information due to attorney/client privileges. On June 24, 2022, JSI (b)(6); contacted (b)(6); mother (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); provided the following information: (b)(6); was diagnosed with Schizophrenia at the age of 18 or 19. He does not consistently take his medication which has caused him to be in and out of jail for most of his life. (b)(6); stated when (b)(6); is taking medication as prescribed, he is completely normal and seems like a different person. (b)(6); currently resides in (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and she has taken (b)(6); into her home several times to attempt to care for him. While (b)(6); was there, he would often scream at people and pace the streets. He ultimately returned to St. Louis and was later arrested. (b)(6); has no other family in St. Louis he could live with. He had a falling out with his brother (b)(6); (b)(6); approximately 15 years ago and they have not spoken since. (b)(6); has witnessed (b)(6); scream and yell, but she has never witnessed him be violent. She believes he has had to put on a tough persona due to him being in and out of jail. -Should (b)(6); be released from custody (b)(6); believes she cannot care for him because he refuses to take his medication. (b)(6); stated (b)(6); would be better in an institutionalized setting where he can be monitored and have medication administered. (b)(6); advised she would contact JSI (b)(6); if there is any concern for the safety of the judiciary. Currently, (b)(6); is confined by the Missouri Department of Mental Health for approximately six months to undergo forensic evaluation. It is likely (b)(6); may be deemed unfit to proceed with his case. (b)(6); has significant mental health issues which seem to be controlled when he takes his medication. (b)(6); has shown he cannot take his medication routinely and being institutionalized in a setting where medication can be administered is likely to occur. (b)(6); does not have contacts who he routinely talks to, and family and friends are almost non-existent. He does not have the means and capability to carry out harm to USDJ (b)(6); through outside associates. (b)(6); has sent a recent letter to the courts asking for assistance with his federal civil case, but his contact has not been outlandish or vulgar. Due to (b)(6); being in the custody of the Missouri Department of Mental Health, there being no attempted contact with USDJ (b)(6); or continued calling to the Clerk of Court, and no mention of USDJ (b)(6); to his mother (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), or federal defender, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) it is unlikely (b)(6); intends to harm USDJ (b)(6); and more	Veiled Threat	Telephone	2022/01/03 00:00:00	2022/01/03 00:00:00

Closed	2022/01/06 00:00:00	2022/01/06 00:00:00	2022 2	2023/05/17 00:00:00	USMS PERSONNEL	8	MN/D	Arrest/Closure	2023/05/16 17:42:11	On 01/06/2022 Senior Inspector (SI) (b)(6); (b)(6); (writer) received an incident report from Investigator (b)(b)(6); with the Hubbard County Sheriff's Office. The incident report was dated 12/05/21 and revealed threats by (b)(6); (b)(6); towards SI (b)(6); and Deputy United States Marshal (DUSM) (b)(b)(6); (b)(6); had been arrested by Hubbard County for DWI and 5th Degree Drug Possession. After her arrest, she requested to speak to a deputy about threats and information about criminal activity. (b)(6); was the subject of previous threats to SI (b)(6); and DUSM (b)(6); from 04/19/2019 - 12/21/2020 (b)(7)(E) (b)(6);. (b)(6); is also the current subject of (b)(7)(E) investigation (b)(7)(E) involving threats to DUSM (b)(6); now that he has relocated to another district. Below is a summarized version of the threats made by (b)(6); to Hubbard County Investigator (b)(b)(6); (b)(7)(C): ***Begin copy and paste*** -I am going to kill the U.S. Marshals. -My plan is to get ahold of somebody, get them involved in a case. -I have been conspiring about this since 2016. -I want to see (b)(b)(6); dead because he hurt me. -When (b) put the marshal restraints on me he would pull me towards his body, drop a lock near my vagina, and do it in a way where he was leading me on. -I started having feelings for him. -I started reaching out to him and have harassment complaints against me -I plan on ambushing (b) before work or after work. -I will use a gun that was given to me which is a Glock. -I think on his way to work I would ambush him. -I hired private investigators to track him down and they told on me. -I will disguise myself as a male. -I will tell him, "Hey Pal" and then "bam" shoot him. -I plan on shooting him in the head. -After I shoot him, I will take his stuff. -He drives a white Ford F150. -I would take it and the gun up to Red Lake and sell both of them. -I plan on killing the U.S. Marshal (b)(6); (b)(6); as well. -I would probably make her do sexual stuff first. -I would fist her pussy and asshole at the same time. -I will tie her up, put a gun to her head and make her do it. -Whatever Marshal comes out of the office first that I recognize that's the one I am going to kill. -If (b)(6); has a gun on her I will shoot her publicly. -If I have a chance to get away I am going to make the getaway. -I will tell her "Hey bitch do you remember me". -If she doesn't remember me then I will give her a brief version of how she would remember me. -Then I will say get the fuck in the vehicle. -I will hand cuff her. -I will put her in the trunk. -If there is no trunk I will make her sit upside down. -I'm going to kill her or (b) one of the two. -I will take her to Red Lake because I know of a lot of places to bury somebody. -If I were to choose, I would kill (b) During the rest of the conversation (b)(6); began expressing on staying in custody and off of the "streets". (b)(6); began asking about getting into a federal prison. (b)(6); mentioned that she wants to be in a prison where people are getting raped and murdered. (b)(6); inquired to Investigator (b)(6); if she assaulted a U.S. Marshal if it would go Federal. Shortly after (b)(6); inquired as to if she assaulted investigator (b)(6); if it would go Federal. Investigator (b)(6); advised that assaulting him wouldn't probably be a very good idea. At this time (b)(6); stood from her chair and stated, "I am going to assault you.". Investigator (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and I stood up and I observed (b)(6); raise her arms and advance towards Inv. (b)(6); ***end copy and paste*** (b)(6); was then additionally charged with 4th degree assault on an officer and threats of violence. (b)(6); has had an extreme obsession with USMS for several years involving an obsessive desire for contact in which she wavers between admiration and hate for USMS personnel. As indicated in previous case reports, (b)(6); has a history of reporting knowledge of criminal activity and participating in new threatening communications involving USMS personnel in attempts	Direct Threat, Obsessive Desire for Contact	Informant	2022/01/06 00:00:00	2022/01/06 00:00:00	
Closed	2022/03/10 00:00:00	2022/03/10 00:00:00	2022 2	2022/05/09 00:00:00		8	NE/D	Arrest/Closure	2022/05/09 10:34:45	On 5/9/2022 DUSM (b)(6); spoke with USPO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) in regards to this case. There are no further concerns at this time and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has expressed no overt ill will towards any USMS protectee. Any negative activity has been mitigated. DUSM (b)(6); will close this case. End of report.	Veiled Threat	Telephone	2022/03/10 00:00:00	2022/03/10 00:00:00	2022/03/10 00:00:00

Closed	2022/03/09 00:00:00	2022/03/11 00:00:00	2022 2	2022/05/09 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT OTHER	8	MN/D	Arrest/Close	2022/05/09 11:15:20	Protective Intelligence Coordinator (PIC) (b)(6); (b)(6); (writer), with the assistance of Homeland Security Investigations (HSI) Special Agent (SA) (b)(6); (b)(6); have continued to monitor (b)(6); (b)(6); communications while incarcerated at the Sherburne County Jail. To date, (b)(6); has made no further attempts to acquire information on Judge (b)(6); nor made any threatening statements directed at any USMS protectees. As stated in earlier reports, (b)(6); (b)(6); hoped the reassignment of (b)(6); immigration case would pull any attention away from Judge (b)(6); (b)(6); originally requested an associate of his (b)(6); acquire information on Judge (b)(6); in February 2022. Because (b)(6); was being monitored by HSI since his arrest, (b)(6); (b)(6); knew (b)(6); request was never entertained by (b)(6); and (b)(6); never asked for the information again. Further supporting that (b)(6); request may have just been an angry response to Judge (b)(6); order she issued against him. The motive in the case appeared to be (b)(6); discontent with Judge (b)(6); comments about him and her decision to detain him in Immigration Customs Enforcement (ICE) custody in the order she issued on January 31st, 2022. (b)(6); was set to appear before Judge (b)(6); on February 3rd, 2022. Because (b)(6); requested the personal information from (b)(6); on February 2nd, 2022, it would seem the intent would have been to use the information to intimidate her at his next hearing or just an angry response to the order in general. (b)(6); had made comments in the past that he felt Judge (b)(6); was abusing her authority and power. Shortly after the February 3rd hearing, (b)(6); was transferred to USMS custody to face Felon in Possession of a Firearm charges. (b)(6); requested to stay in USMS custody going forward and that request was granted by Magistrate Judge (b)(6);. It is also possible part of (b)(6); frustration was being in ICE custody in a facility which he claimed made it difficult to communicate with legal counsel. Since that time, (b)(6); has been focused on his defense in his federal gun case. It would appear any anger or frustration (b)(6); had against Judge (b)(6); has subsided. Currently, it does not appear (b)(6); has any intent to harm Judge (b)(6); and (b)(6); (b)(6); feels the threat/risk to Judge (b)(6); is low. There has been no evidence to suggest (b)(6); has demonstrated any attack related behaviors (stalking, planning, preparation, etc.). Furthermore, (b)(6); has not even mentioned Judge (b)(6); name in several months. (b)(6); incarceration also ensures he does not have direct access to the protectee. (b)(6); (b)(6); provided safety and security recommendations to assist Judge (b)(6); in her personal security both at work and in her personal life, in hopes any personal information on Judge (b)(6); would be difficult to obtain. Based upon the information listed above, this (b)(7)(E) Investigation is closed.	Suspicious Behavior, Research Into Personal Affairs, Extraordinary Complaint or Outrage Over the Outcome of a Court Case	Email/Internet	2022/03/10 00:00:00	2022/03/10 00:00:00	2022/03/10 00:00:00
Closed	2022/03/22 00:00:00	2022/03/22 00:00:00	2022 2	2022/10/11 00:00:00	ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY	8	ND/D	Arrest/Close	2022/10/11 14:39:40	On March 30, 2022, the District of North Dakota (D/ND) sent a collateral lead to the District of Arizona (D/AZ) in reference to inappropriate and concerning communications originating from (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b) to the United States Attorneys Office (USAO) in Fargo, ND. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was serving a sentence in USP Tucson with an estimated release date of May 30, 2022. As a result of continued inappropriate communications, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was sanctioned with loss of good conduct time. He was not released until September 16, 2022. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) will remain in the D/AZ for his supervision period and has requested his supervision to be transferred to Yuma, AZ. He will remain in the halfway house for 6-12 months. If (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) makes any new threats towards the courts or court family, the D/AZ will open an appropriate investigation into the threat(s) and seek possible prosecution. The D/AZ will remain in close contact with U.S. Probation in the event (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) absconds from his supervision. Case will be closed in D/ND.	Veiled Threat	Written	2022/03/22 00:00:00		2022/03/22 00:00:00
Closed	2022/03/28 00:00:00	2022/03/30 00:00:00	2022 2	2023/01/26 00:00:00		8	MN/D	Arrest/Close	2023/01/24 11:27:44	On January 05, 2023, (b)(6); (b)(6); met with Sherburne County Case Worker (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) A financial worker, (b)(6); was also present during the meeting. They reviewed (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) goals. (b)(6); has been talking with (b)(6); every day and is helping him work towards his contract for deed. (b)(6); is currently working on his housing occupancy and after that he will work with an investor he was referred to. (b)(6); also stated she has several other support items to assist (b)(6); (snow plowing his driveway, etc.) and conversations have been going smoothly with him. Protective Intelligence Coordinator (PIC) (b)(6); (b)(6); believes (b)(6); is a "Howler" and not a "Hunter". The Sherburne County case managers will act as a third-party monitor. The caution notice, (b)(6); (b)(6); has provided to the Court Security Officers (CSOs), will also stay in place. (b)(6); (b)(6); believes (b)(6); no longer has an intent to cause harm to courthouse personnel or Judge (b)(6); at this time. Based on the information developed during the course of the investigation, it is believed the mental health professional intervention coupled with third-party monitoring efforts are effective mitigation options; therefore, this case is closed at this time.	Reference to Death, Suicide, Weapons, Violence, Assassinations, etc., Direct Threat, Extraordinary Complaint or Outrage Over the Outcome of a Court Case	Informant	2022/03/28 00:00:00	2022/03/28 00:00:00	2022/03/28 00:00:00

Closed	2022/03/25 00:00:00	2022/03/28 00:00:00	2022/03/28 00:00:00	2022/08/04 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT CLERK	8	MN/D	Arrest/Closure	2022/08/03 18:20:06	On March 25th, 2022, at approximately 1630, Protective Intelligence Coordinator (PIC) (b)(6); (b)(6); (writer) was notified via email of a threat received by the U.S District Court Clerks Office. At 1553 an individual listed as "(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)" was participating in the "chat" option through the clerk's office website. The comment left was "I'm coming up there to kill somebody for taking my money". The staff at the clerk's office did not respond to the threat. Operations Supervisor (b)(6); (b)(6); with the U.S Clerk of Courts Office notified USMS of the threat and included the IP address from the sender. (b)(6); (b)(6); contacted Acting Judicial Security Inspector (AJSI) (b)(6); (b)(6); who immediately notified all Court Security Officers (CSOs) and Federal Protective Services (FPS). (b)(6); (b)(6); also contacted all local law enforcement departments around any District of Minnesota Courthouse. On March 28th, 2022, (b)(6); (b)(6); contacted Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Special Agent (SA) (b)(6); (b)(6); to begin coordinating to identify the sender of the threat. SA (b)(6); prepared an exigent subpoena request to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) which provided subscriber information for an individual by the name of (b)(6); (b)(6); residing at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Additional inquiries indicated several parties who may be residing at the residence with (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); and (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); does not have a criminal record and worked for the Minneapolis Police Department for almost 20 years. The other parties in the residence did have criminal records, active warrants, and (b)(6); (b)(6); appeared to be associated with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). However, no information acquired explained the motive for the threat made to the clerk of courts office on March 25th, 2022. (b)(6); (b)(6); and SA (b)(6); sought additional grand jury subpoenas in attempts to identify the sender of the threat. However, no additional information obtained could definitively identify the sender of the threat or identify a motive for the threat. On June 23rd, 2022, (b)(6); (b)(6); and SA (b)(6); interviewed (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); indicated during the interview she felt her son (b)(6); (b)(6); was responsible for the threat. (b)(6); (b)(6); stated (b)(6); is harmless, but he has a temper and "anger issues". (b)(6); also stated (b)(6); has had tax issues over the past several years resulting in him losing all his tax refunds. (b)(6); has been frustrated for some time and he has tried to call the IRS and find out what the issues is, but he never gets any answers. When (b)(6); heard (b)(6); was going to speak with (b)(6); (b)(6); and SA (b)(6); (b)(6); stated "I'm sorry Mom, it's probably because of me". On June 23rd, 2022, (b)(6); (b)(6); contacted Special Agent (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) with the US Department of the Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration. SA (b)(6); indicated he was not aware of any threats made to the IRS by (b)(6); SA (b)(6); also connected (b)(6); (b)(6); with IRS Taxpayer Advocate (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) who could assist with guidance to provide to (b)(6); to rectify his tax issues. On July 2nd, 2022, (b)(6); contacted SA (b)(6); (b)(6); indicated he has not been able to sleep waiting for law enforcement to contact him. SA (b)(6); scheduled an interview with (b)(6); for July 5th, 2022, at 1300. (b)(6); was interviewed on July 5th, 2022, by (b)(6); (b)(6); and SA (b)(6); (b)(6); indicated he has been dealing with high levels of stress over the past several years. (b)(6); (b)(6); was in a severe accident, and he has been attempting to care for her the best he can. (b)(6); also stated he has been greatly affected by the suicide death of his father a year ago. (b)(6); brother (b)(6); has recently moved back into the residence and they do not get along. (b)(6); does not like the way (b)(6); treats his mother. When shown a copy of threat made to the clerk of courts office on March 25th, 2022, he could not remember making the threat. (b)(6); stated he had been using drugs and alcohol to cope with his stress and although h	Reference to Death, Suicide, Weapons, Violence, Assassinations, etc., Suspicious Behavior, Direct Threat	Email/Internet	2022/03/28 00:00:00	2022/03/25 00:00:00	2022/03/28 00:00:00
--------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------------	---	------	----------------	---------------------	--	--	----------------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------

Closed	2022/04/04 00:00:00	2022/04/04 00:00:00	2022/04/04 00:00:00	2023/08/18 00:00:00	USMJ	8	NE/D	Arrest/Closure	2023/08/18 10:43:13	Threat Investigation Purpose A threat case has been initiated (b)(7)(E) regarding (b)(6); (b)(6); (FID (b)(7)(E)), (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (FID (b)(7)(E)), (b)(6); GISBON (FID (b)(7)(E)), and (b)(6); (b)(6); (FID (b)(7)(E)). The case is necessary to investigate activities of concern with United States Magistrate Judge (USMJ) (b)(6); (b)(6); (FID (b)(7)(E)). Background In October of 2021, (b)(6); and his wife, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) were involved in a counterfeit scheme in the Omaha, NE area. Both were arrested. When (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was arrested, she provided a post arrest statement to law enforcement stating (b)(6); and herself found the case agent's home via Google and drove by the home, while (b)(6); was armed, and took photos and videos, it is assumed that (b)(6); wanted to confront the case agent about the case. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) also stated they googled the judge's name in the case and had assume the judge's name was "(b)(6);" but did not go by her house. It is understood by the USMS that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) used the wrong name of (b)(6); but was referring to USMJ (b)(6); Completed Investigative Activity On August 17, 2023, (b)(6); was sentenced in both case (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). In case (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(6); was sentenced to the BOP on 4 counts to 120 months, supervise release of 2 years. In case (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(6); was sentenced to the BOP on 6 counts to serve 150 months (concurrent to 120 months in case 00072), supervise release of 5 years (concurrent to 2 years in case 00072). On July 17, 2023, (b)(6); plead guilty in case (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and is scheduled for sentencing on October 13, 2023. Per AUSA (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is back to working on a plea deal with the government. On August 4, 2023, (b)(6); (b)(6); appeared before Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) for her initial appearance in the district of Nebraska. All four defendants remain in custody. (b)(6); is scheduled to move to BOP's custody within the week. Proposed Investigative Activity There is currently no/low level of concern due to lack of intent and motive by the defendants in the case. The defendants have not presented a threat of violence or indicated any behavior that warrants a need for intervention. All defendants in the case are in federal custody. (b)(6); will be transported to the BOP where he will carry out his 150 month sentence. (b)(6); has not stated any new threats, he willingly took a plea deal with the government, and does not have the means, ability, or intent to carry out threats. DUSMs (b)(6); has mitigated the risk to Judge (b)(6); by having the judge enroll in online PII removal programs and the judge is removed from the county assessor online records. There are no further identifiable actions to take place and the case should be closed. Case is closed.	Research Into Personal Affairs	Informant	2022/04/04 00:00:00	2022/04/04 00:00:00	2022/04/04 00:00:00
Closed	2022/05/31 00:00:00	2022/06/01 00:00:00	2022/06/01 00:00:00	2022/06/30 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	8	MO/E	Arrest/Closure	2022/06/30 11:54:32	On June 29, 2022, JS (b)(6); received an email from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) from USP Lee in reference to an interview that was conducted with (b)(6); on June 28, 2022. JS (b)(6); had previously requested interview of (b)(6); to determine what he means by writing, "I now know what I have to do" in his letter to USDJ (b)(6);. (b)(6); provided that when (b)(6); was asked about the statement, he stated he was referring to filing a complaint to the Supreme Court. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) further stated he has no intent of any kind to harm Judge (b)(6);. (b)(6); is incarcerated for life and does not have the ability or means to carry out harm to USDJ (b)(6);. Furthermore, he has indicated his written statement refers to his plan to file a motion, or complaint, to the Supreme Court due to USDJ (b)(6); not granting him leave from prison to visit his dying mother. Additionally, (b)(6); written statement was of concern, but was not a direct threat to the judge. (b)(6); has stated he has no intent to harm USDJ (b)(6);. Due to the reasons listed above this investigation will be considered closed. INVESTIGATION CLOSED.	Veiled Threat	Written	2022/06/01 00:00:00		2022/06/01 00:00:00

Closed	2022/06/04 00:00:00	2022/06/05 00:00:00	2022	2023/01/05 00:00:00	ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY	8	MO/E	Arrest/Close	2023/01/04 17:26:49	As of January 4, 2023, Judicial Security Inspector (JSI) (b)(6); (b)(6); has reviewed phone calls made by (b)(6); (b)(6); at the Phelps County Jail. Many of the phone calls made by (b)(6); were to (b)(6); (b)(6); (girlfriend), (b)(6); (b)(6); (girlfriend), and (b)(6); (b)(6); (mother). No concerning information was discovered. JSI (b)(6); reviewed (b)(6); (b)(6); Facebook profile (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) No information was discovered to suggest any harm to AUSA (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) or any other protected person within the Eastern District of Missouri. An account could not be found for (b)(6); (b)(6); or (b)(6); (b)(6);. Additionally, social media accounts could not be identified for affiliates; (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(6); and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). Since the original incident took place on June 4, 2022, no information has been discovered to suggest (b)(6); intends to harm AUSA (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). Initially, (b)(6); attempt to obtain a picture of AUSA (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) seemed concerning. (b)(6); has stated to DUSMs during interview that his intention for obtaining the picture was to determine if his girlfriend, (b)(6); (b)(6); was looking at the correct Facebook profile. (b)(6); further admitted he wanted to contact AUSA (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) for a proffer because he had more information to share with the government. He further stated he was impatient when his attorney was not returning his phone calls and decided to attempt to contact AUSA (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) himself. Additionally, (b)(6); stated emphatically during interview that he did not know what he was doing was wrong and he means no harm to AUSA (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). (b)(6); provided no signs of being deceptive during interview and was eager to communicate with DUSMs. At this time, there is no reason to believe (b)(6); has been untruthful in stating his reason for wanting the picture of AUSA (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). The triggering incident for this investigation was not a threatening communication, but rather concerning behavior. Through investigation and interview, no information was discovered to suggest (b)(6); was being untruthful to DUSMs. No further information has been discovered since the original incident on June 4, 2022 to suggest any concern for the safety of AUSA (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). For the reasons stated above, this investigation will be closed. Should further information be discovered to suggest otherwise, this investigation will be re-opened. INVESTIGATION CLOSED.	Suspicious Behavior	Telephone			2022/06/04 00:00:00
Closed	2022/07/11 00:00:00	2022/07/18 00:00:00	2022	2022/08/10 00:00:00	ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY	8	ND/D	Arrest/Close	2022/08/10 14:54:21	On July 18, 2022, the District of North Dakota (D/ND) sent a collateral lead to the Northern District of Illinois (N/IL) to determine whether (b)(6); (b)(6); sent the suspicious envelope, and as to his Motives, Intent, and Ability. On July 28th, 2022, at approximately 2:00 pm., Judicial Security Inspector (JSI) (b)(6); (b)(6); DUSMs (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); and (b)(6); (b)(6); went to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to interview (b)(6);. Upon arrival, we spoke with his aunt, (b)(6); (b)(6); who said that she will go and get (b)(6); (b)(6); came down the stairs and out the front door where we spoke with him on a screened in porch. We identified ourselves to (b)(6); as DUSMs and explained to him as to why we were there. (b)(6); said that he was sleeping and just needs a few minutes to wake up. DUSM (b)(6); asked (b)(6); if he was familiar with (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); replied that "yes, she was the one who sent him to prison and that she was the one who prosecuted his case." DUSM (b)(6); asked (b)(6); if he was also familiar with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and explained that (b)(6); was a Supervising Officer with the North Dakota Parole and Probation. (b)(6); advised that he was supervised by him years ago, like in 2017. DUSM (b)(6); explained to (b)(6); that they had received an envelope in the mail that contained cocaine and an Advil wrapped in aluminum foil, and that the envelope was addressed to Prosecutor (b)(6); (b)(6); DUSM (b)(6); showed (b)(6); a picture of the front of the envelope and asked (b)(6); if he had mailed any letters, specifically this one to the Prosecutor's Office in North Dakota. (b)(6); said that he did not send that letter, but he had sent her previous letters and an email telling (b)(6); that he had liked her when he was in Oklahoma. (b)(6); stated that that is not his handwriting and that he did not send that letter to (b)(6); (b)(6);. (b)(6); was asked if he has any ill will directed towards the Prosecutors Office in North Dakota. (b)(6); said that he does not and that he had written (b)(6); a letter thanking her and that she gave him a blessing for dropping it to 5 years. (b)(6); was asked if he would wish that (b)(6); would die and he said, "hell no, fuck no" and that he has a lot of sense. (b)(6); was asked if he knew where (b)(6); lives, and he said that he did not. (b)(6); was asked if he was trying to stalk or hurt anybody and he said, "hell no" and that he hasn't touched a gun in like 20 or 30 years. (b)(6); said that if he feels that if he needs a gun then he doesn't need to be around you and tries to stay away from troublesome people. (b)(6); was asked if he ever goes back to North Dakota, and he said that sometimes he sneaks there to go and see his girl there and that he really doesn't know anyone in here in Chicago. He said that he has about 10 to 15 girls that he kicks it with in North Dakota and has a son there also. He son's name is (b)(6); (b)(6); and the mother's name is (b)(6); (b)(6); and said that she lives down south by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); said that he also has a baby with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and she lives in North Dakota. (b)(6); said that he just got his car back from the case, from Detective (b)(6); who had kept it for 5 years. (b)(6); said that it was originally seized during his case, but it was not and was returned to him. (b)(6); advised that he is not currently working anywhere and gets money from his family and from his girl. (b)(6); said that he doesn't have any plans to travel back to North Dakota right now but wants to get out of Chicago because his Probation Officer keeps trying to put a band on him and that he's only been out for 4 months and wants to move back to Minnesota with his Mom to get closer to North Dakota.	Suspicious Behavior	Written	2022/07/11 00:00:00	2022/07/11 00:00:00	2022/07/11 00:00:00

										(b)(6); was asked if he has any mental issues or if he's on any medication for a mental illness. (b)(6); said that he is currently prescribed Quetiapine Fumarate because he can't sleep and has stayed up three days at a time, but he sleeps in the daytime because he is a God. (b)(6); said that he is a God because					
Closed	2022/07/18 00:00:00	2022/07/21 00:00:00	2022	2023/01/06 00:00:00		8	AR/W	Arrest/Closure	2023/01/06 16:00:01	On January 3, 2023 at 1200 CST (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and Judicial Security Inspector (JSI) (b)(6); had a conference call with 8th Circuit Senior Inspector (SI) (b)(6); to update him on (b)(6);'s current case status. Investigation has revealed that (b)(6); has no current means, ability or motive to harm any USMS protected interests. (b)(6); has had no additional threatening communications, has denied wanting to harm any USMS protected persons, and is currently in BOP custody at FCI Memphis until May 31, 2025. SI (b)(6); expressed agreement with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), (b)(6);, and district management's assessment of the case and case closure based on the information obtained throughout the investigation. Case is closed. (b)(6);	Subsequent Communication by Prior IC Sender/Threatener	Written			2022/07/18 00:00:00

Closed	2022/07/27 00:00:00	2022/08/04 00:00:00	2022	2023/02/17 00:00:00	ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY	8	IA/S	Arrest/Closure	2023/02/17 21:53:10	BACKGROUND: As of this date, it is believed the assessment associated with (b)(6) (b)(6); under (b)(7)(E) is due to be closed. The following information is being provided as an overview of the investigation and the justification for the closure but does not include all investigative activity associated with the case. This assessment was initiated on July 30, 2022, which dealt with activity of concern directed toward the Southern District of Iowa (S/IA) Assistant United States Attorney (b)(6); (b)(6); The activity of concern centered around a jail call where (b)(6); requested his wife (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to conduct research on AUSA (b)(6); AUSA (b)(6); is the lead prosecutor in (b)(6); conspiracy to distribute a controlled substance case# (b)(6); (b)(6); AUSA (b)(6); had a subsequent encounter with (b)(6); while in passing at the Polk County Jail as she was meeting with an incarcerated government witness. (b)(6); called out to AUSA (b)(6); stating, "Hi (b)(6); in a manner she believed was supposed to be taunting or demonstrate his awareness of who she was. On another IWEB visit AUSA (b)(6); was listening to, (b)(6); asked Mrs. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) if she had conducted the research, he requested on AUSA (b)(6); On the call, Mrs. (b)(6); tells (b)(6); about prior cases AUSA (b)(6); was involved with and their outcomes. Mrs. (b)(6); concluded advising (b)(6); she would continue looking. INVESTIGATION: Information was developed indicating (b)(6); was a high ranking official in the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) criminal street gang based out of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), and was listed as a "Prince" among the ranks. The criminal case stems from a migration of (b)(6); members to the Des Moines metropolitan area who were distributing drugs throughout the community. These members were allegedly making trips back to Chicago, picking up more drugs from (b)(6); who was their main supplier. (b)(6); has a substantial criminal history to include burglary, theft, battery, carry/possess firearm, robbery, multiple cases of illegal possession of a weapon by a felon, assault, possession of a controlled substance, gambling, disorderly conduct, driving suspended, manufacture/sell controlled substance, and trespassing. AUSA (b)(6); during a security brief brought up to USMS attention that FBI agents informed her of (b)(6); 2011 sentencing in Chicago, where there was a significant amount of people in the gallery. The courtroom was full, and spectators became unruly during the event. Subsequently, during USMS investigation while monitoring jail calls it revealed (b)(6); wanting to get many supporters to come out during his trial, which raised security concerns with USMS. The initial jail call of concern was July 7th, 2022. However, the United States Attorneys Office didn't bring the incident to USMS attention until July 27th, 2022, when (b)(6); asked Mrs. (b)(6); for follow up on information about AUSA (b)(6); USMS investigators reviewed both phone calls. The July 7, 2022, call (b)(6); does state, "I want you to look this attorney up" Mrs. (b)(6); states, "What's the name?", followed by a response from (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is her middle name. She's an AUSA." "What all she has done, what she has won, what she has lost. I just want to know what's her. That's who (b)(6); are going against." (b)(6); further states, "See what they are saying, has charm, is she young, what are they saying." USMS investigators reviewed numerous hours of jail calls and IWEB visits between Mrs. (b)(6); and (b)(6); which did not reveal any further information collection or request for AUSA (b)(6); (b)(6); would mention AUSA (b)(6); occasionally, but it was nothing deemed concerning. It was concluded that the initial request made by (b)(6); to Mrs. (b)(6); was in attempt to identify information as it pertains to AUSA (b)(6); professional career. (b)(6); intent appears to be merely professional as it relates to knowing who his attorney would b	Suspicious Behavior	Telephone	2022/07/27 00:00:00
--------	---------------------	---------------------	------	---------------------	-------------------------	---	------	----------------	---------------------	--	---------------------	-----------	---------------------

Closed	2022/08/30 00:00:00	2022/09/04 00:00:00	2022/09/04 00:00:00	2023/06/07 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	8	IA/S	Arrest/Closure	2023/06/06 18:55:00	Background: As of this report date, it is believed the full predicated investigation associated with (b)(6); (b)(6); under (b)(7)(E) (b)(6)s due to be closed. The following information is being provided as an overview of the investigation and the justification for the closure but does not include all investigative activity associated with the case. This full predicated investigation was initiated on September 4th, 2022, and dealt with activity of concern directed toward the Southern District of Iowa (S/IA) United States District Court Judge (USDCJ) (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) United States Attorney (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), and AUSA (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). (b)(6); was engaged in civil litigation in the Southern District of Iowa, Case# (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) involving the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs. (b)(6); case started as a small-claims suit in state court out of Johnson County, Iowa. The claim was against Veteran Affairs doctor (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), regarding a medical related procedure performed at the Iowa City Veterans Medical Center. (b)(6); alleges that (b)(6); represented he would perform the surgery, but instead a student/resident Dr. (b)(6); performed the surgery. (b)(6); alleged that Dr. (b)(6); falsified the VA consent form illegally by adding (b)(6); signature to a "Student Informed Consent" that he doesn't recall seeing. (b)(6); claims he suffered significant post-surgery complications at the hands of the student resident and the VA has refused to diagnose the condition and red flagged him from their facility. The activity of concern centered around motions filed by (b)(6); to the courts. In (b)(6); filings he referred to the government as "Copying Putin's Russia". In another filing he mentions the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, Department of Justice, and other government officials as believed to be engaged in an ongoing criminal enterprise or secret society known as the "Star Chamber". (b)(6); had also attached a photo of U.S. Attorney (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and stated he "rubber stamps" all agency requests. Throughout (b)(6); filings he exhibited an extraordinary complaint or sense of outrage over the handling of his civil case, and blamed those who were involved regarding the outcome. Investigation: Information was developed indicating (b)(6); was a veteran of the US Air Force as a Captain, JAG Officer, with the Logistics Command and 1st Special Operations Wing. (b)(6); had lived in five overseas countries and traveled to several others. (b)(6); is recognized as an expert on Islam and a linguist who has studied several other languages. In 2008, (b)(6); was appointed as a senior adviser attached to the U.S. Embassy in Baghdad. However, (b)(6); filed a civil lawsuit against Secretary of State, John Kerry and the U.S. State Department regarding ineffective safety equipment issued to embassy employees and other security concerns. Further investigation revealed that (b)(6); is a lawyer who specializes in the field of regulatory law, environmental law, and contracts law. He has a Bachelor of Science degree from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is a member of the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). There are various websites associated with cases (b)(6); has been associated with to include U.S. State Department, Walmart, Commissioner of Revenue, MSPB, U.S. District Court of Massachusetts case (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), were (b)(6); is the plaintiff against (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and the current VA case in Southern Iowa. Investigative efforts further identified (b)(6); having an extensive legal history in various courts throughout the nation. These courts include California Southern District Court, California Eastern District Court, Florida Northern District Court, Texas Northern District Court, Texas Southern District Court, Texas Southern Bankruptcy Court U.S. Court of Appeals for the 9th Circuit, Illinois Northern District Court, Massachuset	Extraordinary Complaint or Outrage Over the Outcome of a Court Case	Written			2022/08/29 00:00:00
Closed	2022/09/14 00:00:00	2022/09/15 00:00:00	2022/09/15 00:00:00	2022/10/03 00:00:00	US MAGISTRATE JUDGE	8	MO/W	Arrest/Closure	2022/10/03 12:10:50	(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has not sent any further inappropriate communications or threats to Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). He will be incarcerated for the foreseeable future. He does not have any known outside contacts that may be a threat. This case is closed.	Extraordinary Complaint or Outrage Over the Outcome of a Court Case	Written			2022/09/14 00:00:00
Closed	2022/09/23 00:00:00	2022/09/23 00:00:00	2022/09/23 00:00:00	2022/12/05 00:00:00	ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY	8	MN/D	Arrest/Closure	2022/12/01 16:38:04	Protective Intelligence Coordinator (PIC) (b)(6); (b)(6); has continued to monitor all of (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) communications while incarcerated at the Washington County Jail. As of the date of this report, there have been no new threats or activity of concern identified in (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) communications. (b)(6); continues to vehemently deny ever making any threats directed to Assistant United States Attorney (AUSA) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) or any other USMS Protectee. At this point in the investigation, it does not appear that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has any intent to harm AUSA (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). (b)(6); seems focused on his sobriety and getting his sentenced reduced by going to treatment and gathering character witnesses on his behalf. (b)(6); continues to claim (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is a liar and he never threatened anyone. (b)(6); is currently incarcerated and is facing 120+ months imprisonment and has no capability. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)s lengthy incarceration coupled with institutional monitoring are effective mitigation options; therefore, this case is closed.	Suspicious Behavior, Extraordinary Complaint or Outrage Over the Outcome of a Court Case	Informant	2022/09/23 00:00:00		2022/09/23 00:00:00

Closed	2022/08/12 00:00:00	2022/08/16 00:00:00	2022	2023/10/05 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	8	MN/D	Arrest/Close	2023/10/04 12:12:24	On September 27, 2023, (b)(6); (b)(6); was transferred from the Sherburne County Jail in Minnesota to the Federal Bureau of Prisons (BOP) facility located in Thomson, Illinois. Protective Intelligence Coordinator (PIC) (b)(6); (b)(6); spoke with BOP Special Investigative Services (SIS) and informed them of (b)(6); history. (b)(6); (b)(6); also provided the SIS team with a USM-549C to monitor (b)(6); movement if/when he is moved from the facility. (b)(6); (b)(6); met with United States District Court Judge (USDCJ) (b)(6); (b)(6); to brief her on (b)(6); United States Marshals Service (USMS) personnel spoke with (b)(6); sister, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). The USMS will attempt to use (b)(6); as a third-party monitor when (b)(6); is released from the BOP custody. (b)(6); fixation appears to have shifted from USDCJ (b)(6); and he is now focused on a former United States Probation Officer, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), who has since been terminated from his position as a Federal Probation Officer. (b)(6); is attempting to file Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests with the U.S. Probation Office and the Federal Clerk of Courts. (b)(6); has always been very vocal when filing grievances so the USMS will be aware of his actions pending with the courts. Based on the information developed during the course of the investigation, it is believed the lack of ability coupled with third-party monitoring efforts are effective mitigation options; therefore, this case is closed at this time.	Extraordinary Complaint or Outrage Over the Outcome of a Court Case	Informant			2022/10/18 00:00:00
Closed	2021/11/29 00:00:00	2021/11/30 00:00:00	2022	2021/11/30 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	9	CA/E	Arrest/Close	2021/11/30 19:02:52	Accidentally opened T-Case (b)(7)(E). At the time of this report, the investigation is still on-going and remains at the Protective Assessment level. T-Case to be closed and PA to be opened in (b)(7)(E) at this time.	Suspicious Behavior	Email/Internet			
Closed	2021/10/04 00:00:00	2021/10/05 00:00:00	2022	2021/11/15 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	9	AZ/D	Arrest/Close	2021/11/15 10:46:59	As of November 12, 2021, there have been no further communications to Judge (b)(6);, or the Clerk's Office by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). Mitigation strategies were discussed between the District of Arizona (D/AZ) Judicial Security Unit (JSU), and the Bureau of Prisons in Indiana. At this time, these measures are not identified as necessary. (b)(6); has a history of mental illness, and has sent bizarre, inappropriate communications in the past. The recurring theme has been identified as (b)(6); attempting to garner special accommodations, less restrictive conditions of confinement, along with attempts at compassionate release terms. In addition, these attempts, and the information that (b)(6); has claimed is fantastical, and unsubstantiated. The JSU believes that (b)(6); may attempt future inappropriate communication, but that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) does not pose a threat to any United States Marshals protected persons. Case Closed	Conditional Threat	Written			
Closed	2022/01/13 00:00:00	2022/01/13 00:00:00	2022	2022/09/07 00:00:00	MAGISTRATE JUDGE	9	CA/E	Arrest/Close	2022/09/07 13:58:24	As of September 7th, 2022 (b)(6); has not made any new attempts to communicate with the court. DUSM (b)(6); will continue to monitor (b)(6); for any additional inappropriate communication with said courts.. At this time, (b)(6); threat case will be closed due to inactivity. (b)(6); interaction with the courts in Eastern District of California has ceased and (b)(6); whereabouts are unknown. (b)(6); does not have any other court cases and he is not required to attend any other court hearings. DUSM (b)(6); does not plan to interview (b)(6);. If any new inappropriate communications or threats come from (b)(6);, the USMS will take appropriate measures and interview subject. DUSM (b)(6); has deemed it appropriate to close this case since all contact with the court has stopped. Case closed.	Reference to Death, Suicide, Weapons, Violence, Assassinations, etc.	Telephone	2022/01/10 00:00:00		

Closed	2022/03/07 00:00:00	2022/03/07 00:00:00	2022	2022/05/03 00:00:00	US PROBATION OFFICER	9	AZ/D	Arrest/Closure	2022/05/03 18:42:09	As of this report date, it is believed the Protective Investigation associated with (b)(6); (b)(6); under (b)(7)(E) will be closed. The following information is being provided as an overview of the investigation and the justification for the closure but does not include all investigative activity associated with the case. Deputy US Marshal (DUSM) (b)(6) was unable to develop any information indicating (b)(6); was diagnosed with a mental health condition. (b)(6); had a history of alcohol abuse and minimal criminal history. Through investigation it was determined that US Probation Officer (USPO) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) positional involvement played no direct role in the threatening phone call placed by (b)(6); but instead was predicated on the disdain for his own family members and the ongoing legal issues. (b)(6); was found to have no current weapon ownership or fascination, and no illegal substance abuse. No information was noted indicating (b)(6); had conducted any extensive research or physically traveled to the neighborhood or place of work for USPO (b)(6); (b)(6); has no vehicle and relies on nearby neighbors for transportation to the nearby store, however, statements made by sources within close proximity to (b)(6); residence indicated no one would give him a ride off of the Navajo Reservation. (b)(6); was found to have no current employment history and no significant social structure or support outside of his nephew and nearby neighbors. Mitigation: On March 15, 2022 (b)(6); was arrested on an outstanding Federal Supervised Release warrant. Investigators conducted short term monitoring of jail calls with no results. Queries with the Flagstaff US Probation Office has shown they have not received any communications with (b)(6); since the initial threats. (b)(6); is set for a disposition hearing in his Probation Revocation case on May 25, 2022 before Mag. Judge (b)(6); in the Sandra Day O'Connor US District Courthouse. Results: Based on the information highlighted above, this Protective Investigation will be closed as of this date due to a lack of information that would indicate (b)(6); is currently associated with any identifiable threat directed at any USMS protectee. Based on (b)(6); history of alcohol abuse issues, it is possible the USMS may be advised of new activity. Should new information be provided to the USMS, the information will be reviewed and evaluated to determine whether a new protective assessment or protective investigation should be opened. Protective Investigation CLOSED.	Conditional Threat	Telephone			
--------	---------------------	---------------------	------	---------------------	----------------------	---	------	----------------	---------------------	--	--------------------	-----------	--	--	--

Closed	2022/09/09 00:00:00	2022/09/09 00:00:00	2022	2023/11/10 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	9	CA/S	Arrest/Closure	2023/11/10 21:22:58	Background: As of this report date, it is believed the investigation associated with (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); under case (b)(7)(E) is due to be closed. The following information is being provided as an overview of the protective investigation (PI) and the justification for the closure but does not include all investigative activity associated with the case. On September 7, 2022, Protective Intelligence Investigator (PII) (b)(6); (b)(6); made the decision to open a full predicated investigation on (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); after receiving information he was harassing and angrily calling United States District Court Judge (USDCJ) (b)(6); (b)(6); chambers. Specifically, (b)(6); stated in one voicemail message, dated September 2, 2022, "Judge (b)(6); I am pushing this on to the other veterans, and they can deal with your ass soon. Have a nice day. These veterans are going to be really pissed off at you. I'd like a court date, and I'd like to do this in court please." Further research revealed (b)(6); was frequently showing up at the James M. Carter and Judith N. Keep United States Courthouse (CKCH), located at 333 West Broadway in San Diego, CA 92101, with a rottweiler dog that he claimed to be his service animal. On August 12, 2022, (b)(6); yelled at the front lobby Court Security Officers (CSOs) "You might want to double security for (b)(6); and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Referring to USDCJs (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) they keep dismissing my complaints! All it takes is a Trump supporter like Cincinnati! They won't send Marshals to arrest the election officials for fraud! They know the election was fraud!" On September 7, 2022, United States Marshal Service (USMS) Southern District of California (S/CA) Judicial Security Unit (JSU) contacted the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) to request additional investigative queries on (b)(6); and records indicated he was recently interviewed by the FBI due to threats directed towards New Hampshire United States Senators (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) S/CA FBI reported that the interaction with (b)(6); was very contentious and that he continued to allege that the states utilized natural and manmade disasters to veil their illegal actions of altering the Constitution of the United States. (b)(6); reported to S/CA FBI that he is (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and on medication. The S/CA FBI's report and subsequent interviews are available in JDIS. On December 8, 2022, Protective Intelligence Investigator (PII) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was contacted by Judicial Security Inspector (JSI) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) at approximately 12:45pm and advised that (b)(6); verbalized "I'm going to kill three judges." It was reported these statements were made in front of the Edward J. Schwartz United States Courthouse (ESCH) located at 221 West Broadway, San Diego, CA 92101. On April 24, 2023, PII (b)(6); received a CSO 003 report detailing that a male approached the CKCH, who was recognized as (b)(6); accompanied by his larger rottweiler dog. (b)(6); stated he wanted to go the fourth floor, Clerk's Office. (b)(6); was notified that the Clerk's Office did not open until 8:30am. CSOs were aware of a Safety and Security Advisory issued by the USMS S/CA JSU for (b)(6); dated September 14, 2022. (b)(6); entered the CKCH, with his dog, arriving at the fourth floor Clerk's Office at approximately 8:30am. CSOs were already there when (b)(6); entered. (b)(6); looked at the CSOs and stated, "Is it just the three of you [CSOs]? It's going to take 12 to take care of me!" (b)(6); concluded his business departing the CKCH at 9:15am. Investigation: Throughout the pendency of this investigation, S/CA JSU has submitted requests for information and collaborated with S/CA FBI, United States Secret Service (USSS), as well as the San Diego Sheriff's Office and the Riverside County Sheriff's Office. S/CA JSU also referred (b)(6); for an evaluation through the San Diego County Psychiatric Emergency Response Team (PERT). (b)(6); has a federal and state criminal hist	Veiled Threat, Extraordinary Complaint or Outrage Over the Outcome of a Court Case	Telephone	2022/09/09 00:00:00		
--------	---------------------	---------------------	------	---------------------	---------------------------	---	------	----------------	---------------------	---	--	-----------	---------------------	--	--

Closed	2022/08/24 00:00:00	2022/08/25 00:00:00	2022	2023/02/15 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT CLERK	9	CA/C	Arrest/Closure	2023/02/14 10:12:23	Background: On 08/24/2022 at approximately 1700hrs, US Courts Case Processing Supervisor (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) sent an email to the USMS Security Intelligence email box. Email content reads: "I wanted to bring this to your attention. We've been getting multiple belligerent and threatening calls from a number identified on our caller ID as (b)(6); (b)(6); but we believe his name is (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) He has multiple cases, but his most recent case is (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) I was able to get a recording of his last call to Intake. He calls regularly and shouts expletives, but now he's saying he is going to come to the courthouse. Please let me know if you have any questions. Thank you". Case Processing Supervisor (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) also forwarded an email from Generalist Clerk (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to the Security (b)(7)(E) email box. Email content reads: " Good afternoon, I have received three calls today from the caller identified on our called ID as (b)(6); (b)(6); from telephone number (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) . He tends to ask a question right when I answer, then goes off in a tangent. He states things such as "why are we sending him guns" and that we "owe him money" and that he is "going to collect the money next week" from us. He yells bad words and racial slurs and refers very heavily to the (b)(6); I have hung up on him all three times as there is no obvious solution that I can provide to his calls. Thank you." Including with the emails was an attachment of a voice recording of (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); can be hard in the recording going on a tangent with explicit words, and making threats to come up to the courthouse. Acting Protective Intelligence Coordinator (A-PIC) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) reviewed the emails and listened to the recorded audio of (b)(6); making threats to come to the courthouse. Based on the information received and reviewed, APIC (b)(6); has determined that a predicated (b)(7)(E) investigation should be initiated. Investigation: On 08/26/2022 at approximately 1200hrs Acting Protective Intelligence Inspector (A-PII) (b)(6); and DUSM (b)(6); went to interview (b)(6); at his residence of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) . The apartment complex happens to be a low income housing for veterans. Once APII (b)(6); and DUSM (b)(6); arrived at the apartment complex, they spoke to the staff members of the apartment housing and the staff members provided some information to (b)(6); (b)(6); background. Staff member stated that (b)(6); has an alcohol abuse problem and whenever he has a drink he gets very aggressive in which they have had to call Local authorities in the past. Staff member said once local authorities arrive, he tends to calm down. They also said he is always complaining about someone owing him some money, which is related to his closed civil case. After speaking with the staff members, they walked APII (b)(6); and DUSM (b)(6); to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) apartment. After several attempts by knocking on the door, there was no response. Saff members also gave APII (b)(6); contacts to (b)(6); s VA Social workers. DUSM (b)(6); called (b)(6); on the cell phone number provided by the Clerks Office. (b)(6); answered and stated that he was out shopping with his girlfriend. DUSM (b)(6); told (b)(6); that we would like to speak with him. (b)(6); said we can meet on 08/29/2022 at 1000hrs at his residence. On September 27, 2022, Acting Protective intelligence Coordinator APIC) (b)(6); made a follow up phone call to Veterans Affairs (VA) Clinical Social Worker (CSW) (b)(6); Ms (b)(6); is the VA appointed CSW to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) APIC (b)(6); asked Ms. (b)(6); if she has any update to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Ms (b)(6); stated that she has not seen or heard anything about or from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Ms (b)(6); said she has decided not to deal with (b)(6); but she has the contact to his VA Peer Support Specialist. Ms. (b)(6); gave APIC (b)(6); the contact information to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(7)(E) . Ms (b)(6); is the assigned peer support specialist that has been working with Mr (b)(6); On	Direct Threat	Telephone				
--------	---------------------	---------------------	------	---------------------	---------------------------	---	------	----------------	---------------------	--	---------------	-----------	--	--	--	--

Closed	2022/03/07 00:00:00	2022/03/07 00:00:00	2022	2022/05/03 00:00:00	US PROBATION OFFICER	9	AZ/D	Arrest/Close	2022/04/04 13:19:51	On 03/09/2022, DUSMs (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); Task Force Officer (TFO) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); and (b)(6); investigated the last known location for (b)(6); in (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). The gray cinder block house is located approximately 5.5 miles northwest of the Canyon De Chelly National Monument. Several attempts to reach occupants of the house were unsuccessful. Information was later developed that (b)(6); was expecting the "US Marshals" to come after him so he would leave his residence early, and come back later in the day. (b)(6); was reportedly seen that morning just north of his residence on nearby dirt road. Investigators searched the nearby area which was covered with cedars and brush. DUSMs (b)(6); (b)(6); and TFO (b)(6); made contact and arrested (b)(6); 1 mile north of his residence. The odor of intoxicating beverages was strong on his person, and he was holding a small plastic bottle of vodka with 3/4 remaining when taken into custody. (b)(6); stated he had drank 2 bottles of vodka in 4 hours. Ambrose was taken into custody without incident, and transported to the Coconino County Detention Facility. As of this report he is in custody at the CCA facility in Florence, AZ. CASE CLOSED END OF REPORT	Conditional Threat	Telephone			
Closed	2022/05/26 00:00:00	2022/05/27 00:00:00	2022	2022/06/03 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT OTHER	9	CA/C	Arrest/Close	2022/06/03 20:22:01	On 06/02/2022, District Threat Investigator (DTI) (b)(6); (b)(6); from the Central District of California (C/CA) reviewed the findings of this case with Chief Inspector Deputy U.S. Marshal (CIDUSM) (b)(6); (b)(6); from the Southern District of New York (S/NY) and Judicial Security Inspector (JSI) (b)(6); (b)(6); from the Northern District of California (N/CA). On 05/31/2022, Deputy United States Marshals (DUSM) (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); and (b)(6); (b)(6); interviewed (b)(6); (b)(6); (DOB: (b)(6); cell: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) at his residence located at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). After being advised of the identities of interviewing DUSMs and the nature of the interview, (b)(6); provided the following information: (b)(6); explained to the USMS investigators that he is aware of an anonymous tipster who is targeting (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) cast members by creating fake social media direct messages (DM's), and anonymously reporting them to Crime Stoppers. (b)(6); stated that he was contacted by the New York City Police Department in April of this year to discuss the allegations against him that was provided to "Crime Stoppers" through fake DM's. (b)(6); stated that there were two allegations against him. According to (b)(6); the first allegation accused him of raping 23 children and the second allegation accused (b)(6); as being a subway shooter. (b)(6); stated that he never received any demands from the anonymous source. (b)(6); stated that he felt as though he was being targeted for his work being that the tipster stated that (b)(6); can be found at the Gershwin Theater. (b)(6); stated that recently he was replaced in his role in (b)(6); " by a new cast member. (b)(6); stated that soon after he was replaced, the new cast member was targeted in the same manner. An anonymous source made allegations against his replacement to Crime Stoppers. It was reported that the replacement was going to target a specific group of people. (b)(6); was not surprised being that he was similarly targeted a few months earlier. (b)(6); stated that he thinks English is a second language for the person who is making these allegations. (b)(6); stated that the people he has regular contact with doesn't communicate in the same manner of the person making the allegations. Additionally, (b)(6); stated that he does not know (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) who is associated with a similar incident in C/CA. In response to a question regarding social media, (b)(6); stated that he often reviews his Instagram messages. (b)(6); stated that his messages are mostly positive and usually a response to a (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) performance. (b)(6); stated that he has other social media accounts but is not active on them. (b)(6); expressed that he deliberately does not have a strong social media presence because it is not his preferred way to engage with others. At the conclusion of the interview, the USMS investigators exchanged contact information. (b)(6); agreed to be contacted by the USMS if additional information is needed. As such, (b)(6); reached out to the DUSMs in S/NY and stated he received messages from an (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) who's been wanting to interview him and another colleague from the Broadway production, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). After looking into it, S/NY concluded there was no connection. On 06/01/2022, at approximately 09:54 AM, District Threat Investigator (DTI) (b)(6); (b)(6); and Judicial Security Inspector (JSI) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) conducted an interview of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). We were also accompanied by Federal Protective Service (FPS) Special Agent (SA) (b)(6); (b)(6); who is attached to the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Joint Terrorism Task Force CT6. (b)(6); stated he has never made such threats, nor has he ever been in conversations of such a topic with anyone. (b)(6); also stated that within the last five days this is the third time someone has to come to his residence inquiring about such threats. He provided the foll	Murder, Direct Threat, Bizarre or Unreasonable Solicitation	Email/Internet	2022/05/27 00:00:00		

Closed	2021/12/13 00:00:00	2021/12/14 00:00:00	2022/01/24 00:00:00	2022/01/24 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	9	WA/W	Arrest/Close	2022/01/24 11:59:59	On 12/15/2021, DUSM (b)(6); spoke with (b)(6); (b)(6); (phone# (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) of the Yuba County Jail. (b)(6); was informed by (b)(6); that (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) was being evaluated for mental issues, which (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) is known to have. (b)(6); asked (b)(6); about information regarding (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) ability, mental state, motive and intent of the letters sent. (b)(6); stated that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) has sent letters out randomly and done this numerous times in the past, to different attorney's, judges, probation officers and other persons. (b)(6); stated that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) just wants out of jail and trying to get attention. (b)(6); stated that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) averages about 10 letters a day that are sent to various persons that he finds in the (b)(7)(E) database, which in California inmates have access to. (b)(6); stated that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) does this every time he is in custody. (b)(6); stated that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) is homeless and without resources and has no means or ability to carry out what he states in his letters. (b)(6); stated that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) intent appears to be that of attaining attention and hopefully released from jail. (b)(6); stated that in the past when (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) has done this, once he is released from jail no one hears from him again and until the next arrest. On 12/22/2021, JSI (b)(6); DUSM (b)(6); received Marysville, CA police department report and Yuba County Jail records. Uploaded into JDIS. On 01/14/2022, deputies (b)(6); & (b)(6); were notified that AUSA (b)(6); in Portland, OR office had been assigned to the (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) investigation regarding a threat to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)). Sax was told (b)(6); and (b)(6); were overseeing the investigation, including threats to both (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) and to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)). On 01/14/2022, (b)(6); was notified that W/WA USAO was recusing themselves from this case. (b)(6); stated (b)(6); is the lead on this case for W/WA, and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) in D/OR received the threat for (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) in Oregon. As far as (b)(6); and (b)(6); know, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) should not be released anytime soon and is facing multiple State charges. The USMS submitted a USM 549c which is a release notification form to Yuba CO jail. AUSA (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) had communicated with the local prosecutor. AUSA (b)(6); talked to the Yuba County DPA (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) on Friday (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) @co.yuba.ca.us), 01/14/2022. (b)(6); was notified that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) was found incompetent. The next step for (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) is restoration. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) will go to a lockdown facility, where (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) will be there until he is restored, which can be up to three years. For now he's still in jail, and he will probably be there until a bed opens up sometime next month. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) information: Case# (b)(7)(E) (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) SSN# (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) FBI# (b)(7)(E) Residence status: Homeless Recommend case transfer to D/OR Portland office. END OF REPORT///	Direct Threat	Written			
Closed	2022/01/19 00:00:00	2022/01/19 00:00:00	2022/09/05 00:00:00	2023/09/05 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	9	CA/N	Arrest/Close	2023/09/05 12:30:36	On August 30, 2023, Senior Inspector (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) called the Yuba County Jail to inquire the whereabouts of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) (b)(6); (FID# (b)(7)(E)). (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) was released on a cruise waiver for 90 days on February 27, 2023. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) failed to turn himself back in to the jail for sentencing. On April 10, 2023, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) was ordered to appear before the Yuba County Superior Court for sentencing however (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) didn't show. On April 25, 2023, an FTA warrant was issued by Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) for (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)). On August 30, 2023, SI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) called the Yuba County Probation office (530-749-7550) and spoke to Probation Office (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) to inquire about (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)). (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) informed SI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) that on June 21, 2023 (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) was scheduled to appear back in court but the case was dropped from the calendar and the FTA warrant remained in place. SI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) then called the Butte County Jail (530-538-7471) and inquired if (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) had been arrested and his custody status. SI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) was informed that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) was in custody as of May 7, 2023, at 0345hrs. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) has a court date set for September 20, 2023, at 0830hrs. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) also has a hold with the Marysville Police Department which he will be released to them after his sentencing. Due to the in-custody status and no correspondence or threats from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) this case will be closed. End of Report.	Direct Threat	Telephone			
Closed	2021/10/13 00:00:00	2021/10/13 00:00:00	2022/02/28 00:00:00	2022/02/28 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	9	AZ/D	Arrest/Close	2022/02/25 13:48:06	On February 25, 2022, a review of (b)(6); case file on (b)(7)(E) revealed no new filings, or any response to the case dismissal. There have been no further communications to the Judges chambers since the (b)(6); interview. As documented in previous post interview report, (b)(6); verbally stated that he would not call chambers, and that he would not harm anyone. If (b)(6); wants to continue to file complaints, it id his right to do so. (b)(6); suffers from mental illness, and has numerous contacts with the federal courts, in addition to local law enforcement contacts in the past. At this time, the District of Arizona Judicial Security Unit (D/AZ JSU) does not deem (b)(6); as a threat to USMS protected persons. This case will be closed. CASE CLOSED	Conditional Threat	Telephone			

Closed	2022/09/10 00:00:00	2022/09/13 00:00:00	2022/09/14 00:00:00	2023/02/14 00:00:00	U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE/STAFF	9	CA/C	Arrest/Closure	2023/02/10 16:08:21	On January 11, 2023, The Eastern Office, Riverside Sub-Office of C/CA is requested the assistance of the Northern District of Oklahoma (N/OK) to interview (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); FID # (b)(7)(E) (b)(6); is person of interest in making inappropriate calls and emails to the chambers of Bankruptcy Judge (b)(6); (b)(6); On February 09, 2023, DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); and DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); from the Northern District of Oklahoma (N/OK) office made contact with (b)(6); at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) mother address) (b)(6); answered the door and invited DUSM (b)(6); and DUSM (b)(6); inside so that they could talk. Once inside the apartment, the DUSM's also made contact with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); mother. DUSM (b)(6); advised (b)(6); why they were there speaking with him and asked if he had been making any phone calls to Judge (b)(6); (b)(6); stated that he has not made any phone calls to California and remembered his conversation with DUSM (b)(6); DUSM (b)(6); advised (b)(6); that if he felt like he was in danger he needed to contact the Tulsa Police Department or contact the US Marshals office in Tulsa. DUSM (b)(6); explained that the Judge would not be able to help him in California. (b)(6); further stated to the DUSM's that he has had some people trying to mess with him and steal his identity. He said that they are trying to use the names (b)(6); and (b)(6); which are his grandfathers names. (b)(6); expressed that he was frustrated with trying to get some help with these people trying to steal his identity. He feels like he is being bounced all over with no help. DUSM (b)(6); noticed that there was a laptop open and that there were some legal paperwork open that (b)(6); was working on. DUSM (b)(6); asked him about that and he said that he was trying to type up a protective order on the people messing with him. DUSM (b)(6); and (b)(6); reminded (b)(6); that he is to have no contact with the Judge in California and if he has any concerns he needs to address them with the local law enforcement in Oklahoma or the USMS Office in Tulsa. As February 10, 2023, (b)(6); has not made any attempts to contact the judge (b)(6); chambers since September 2022. Based on the information known to the USMS as of this report and outlined above, (b)(6); does not appear to pose a risk to any known USMS protected person, facility, or event at this time. This case will be re-opened later if additional information is provided to the USMS that would support additional investigation. Therefore, at this time this case will be considered closed. Please forward any questions regarding this case to DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(E) @usdoj.gov	Subsequent Communication by Prior IC Sender/Threatener, Obsessive Desire for Contact, Bizarre or Unreasonable Solicitation	Telephone			
Closed	2022/07/01 00:00:00	2022/07/06 00:00:00	2022/07/05 00:00:00	2022/08/05 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	9	CA/S	Arrest/Closure	2022/08/03 15:30:59	On June 28, 2022, an article was published online detailing the leak of thousands of California state gun owners' personal identifiable information (PII) by a new online dashboard from the California Attorney General's office released on June 27, 2022. According to the article, the leaked information included people's full names, home addresses, dates of birth, and permit issue dates, as confirmed by a lawyer for the California Rifle and Pistol Association, that obtained a copy of the data. The data revealed the type of permit one holds and whether the person holding the permit is a judge or a member of law enforcement, the report found. Initially, this information was available to download on the website by downloading the background information behind the graphics, but it had been updated by June 28, 2022, morning so that any PII was no longer public. As of that afternoon, the state's database site appeared entirely inactive. The United States Marshals Service (USMS) Judicial Security Division (JSD) has been in contact with the California Department of Justice (CA DOJ) and is working on receiving the complete dataset to account for all USMS protected persons. The Northern California Regional (b)(7)(E) Center (NCRIC) reported on June 29, 2022, they conducted (b)(7)(E) and did not find any copies of the exposed database and indicated they would continue to monitor for potential PII leaks of judges in the Northern District of California. The San Diego Law Enforcement Coordination Center (SD-LECC) reported on June 30, 2022, they also conducted open-source searches and were able to obtain several screenshots of the data leak, however, no PII of judges in the Southern District of California (S/CA) were identified at that time. A request for information has been submitted to the California Cybersecurity Integration Center, however, no response has been received by our office. On July 1, 2022, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) reported he was contacted by the CA DOJ and advised his PII was a part of the exposure, however, they do not believe the information identified him as a judge. On July 5, 2022, the CA DOJ advised Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) were included in the information exposed. (b)(7)(E) assessment was opened to determine through open-source investigation if additional judges and/or USMS protected persons PII was released and to identify any potential risks of harm posed by the release of this information. On July 5, 2022, a Request for Information was submitted to the (b)(7)(E) (b)(7)(E) As of today's date, there have been no findings from (b)(7)(E) or (b)(7)(E) regarding a significant amount of online conversation following the leak of information of any of the impacted federal judges or any reports of positive findings for any doxing. Based on the information above, this assessment will be closed as of this date due to a lack of findings that would indicate any identifiable threats, concerning communications, or publishing of PII of any USMS protected person. Based on the leaked information being published online and available for download for an estimated 24 hours, it is possible the USMS may be advised of new activity or doxing attempts. Should new information be provided to the USMS, the information will be reviewed and evaluated to determine whether a new assessment should be opened.	Suspicious Behavior	Email/Internet	2022/06/30 00:00:00		

Closed	2022/02/15 00:00:00	2022/02/16 00:00:00	2022/02/21 00:00:00	2023/07/21 00:00:00	FEDERAL COURTHOUSE	9	AZ/D	Arrest/Closure	2023/07/21 19:29:51	On June 29, 2023, Deputy US Marshal (DUSM) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(F) conducted a search of the Maricopa County Superior Court website regarding (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) FID # (b)(7)(E). In the criminal case of the State of Arizona v. (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(7)(E), (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) plead guilty to Count 002 A.R.S. 13-1602A1 Criminal Damage-Deface, Felony 4 and A.R.S. 13-3408A1 Narcotic Drug-Possess/Use, Felony 4. On June 27, 2023, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was sentenced to a mitigated term of one year Imprisonment and Supervised Probation for a term of two years in the Superior Court of Arizona County of Maricopa. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has been committed to the Department of Corrections/Arizona State Prison. Based on the information developed throughout this case and the disposition highlighted above, this assessment will be closed. There has been no indication that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is currently associated with any identifiable threat directed at any USMS protected person. Assessment CLOSED.	Suspicious Behavior	Physical		2022/02/15 00:00:00	
Closed	2022/02/15 00:00:00	2022/02/16 00:00:00	2022/02/21 00:00:00	2023/07/21 00:00:00	FEDERAL COURTHOUSE	9	AZ/D	Arrest/Closure	2023/06/29 18:16:32	On June 29, 2023, Deputy US Marshal (DUSM) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(F) conducted a search of the Maricopa County Superior Court website regarding (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) FID # (b)(7)(E). In the criminal case of the State of Arizona v. (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) plead guilty to Count 002 A.R.S. 13-1602A1 Criminal Damage-Deface, Felony 4 and A.R.S. 13-3408A1 Narcotic Drug-Possess/Use, Felony 4. On June 27, 2023, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was sentenced to a mitigated term of one year Imprisonment and Supervised Probation for a term of two years in the Superior Court of Arizona County of Maricopa. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has been committed to the Department of Corrections/Arizona State Prison. Based on the information developed throughout this case and the disposition highlighted above, this assessment will be closed. There has been no indication that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is currently associated with any identifiable threat directed at any USMS protected person. Assessment CLOSED.	Suspicious Behavior	Physical		2022/02/15 00:00:00	
Closed	2022/03/02 00:00:00	2022/03/03 00:00:00	2022/03/21 00:00:00	2022/08/11 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	9	CA/E	Arrest/Closure	2022/07/13 14:22:23	On March 2, 2022 at 0627, DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); was called by USMS (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(F) to inform DUSM (b)(6); that he had received a phone call from Sacramento Police (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(F) about a shooting that had happened in front of the Federal Courthouse. DUSM (b)(6); called (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); and learned that there was a shooting at the Federal Courthouse and the Sacramento PD needed any video footage from the Federal Courthouse to help identify the shooter. DUSM (b)(6); responded to the courthouse, on his way he called SDUSM (b)(6); and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(F) and briefed them both on the shooting. At approximately 0700 DUSM (b)(6); arrived to the courthouse located at 501 I Street, Sacramento, Ca and met with (b)(6); (b)(6); who briefed DUSM (b)(6); on the events of the morning. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); told DUSM (b)(6); that a dark sedan with orange writing on the back window had driven past an off-duty sheriff's deputy who was walking towards the Federal Courthouse at 0555 and fired two shots in the direction of the deputy. One bullet struck the window of the courthouse. The deputy was not injured, and the vehicle sped off in the direction of interstate five. Immediately following the shooting, Detectives from the Sacramento Police Department began conducting a thorough investigation. In collaboration with the California Highway Patrol and Sacramento County Sheriff's Office, detectives were able to locate evidence and eventually identify the suspect as (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). On March 2, 2022, at approximately 8:00 p.m., Sacramento SWAT Officers responded to (b)(6); residence where (b)(6); was safely taken into custody. During a search of the residence, officers recovered an illegally possessed handgun (Glock 21). (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was arrested for violations of California Penal Code sections 245(a)(2) (Assault with a firearm), 246 (Discharge of a firearm at an inhabited dwelling), and 29800(A)(1) (Felon in possession of a firearm) and booked at the Sacramento County Main Jail (Bond was set at \$2.5 million dollars). Case Closed.	Direct Threat	Physical		2022/03/02 00:00:00	

Closed	2022/03/02 00:00:00	2022/03/03 00:00:00	2022/08/11 00:00:00	2022/08/11 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	9	CA/E	Arrest/Closure	2022/08/10 19:11:56	On March 2, 2022 at 0627, DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); was called by USMS (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); to inform DUSM (b)(6); that he had received a phone call from Sacramento Police (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) about a shooting that had happened in front of the Federal Courthouse. DUSM (b)(6); called (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and learned that there was a shooting at the Federal Courthouse and the Sacramento PD needed any video footage from the Federal Courthouse to help identify the shooter. DUSM (b)(6); responded to the courthouse, on his way he called SDUSM (b)(7)(F) and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(F) and briefed them both on the shooting. At approximately 0700 DUSM (b)(6); arrived to the courthouse located at 501 I Street, Sacramento, Ca and met with (b)(6); (b)(6); who briefed DUSM (b)(6); on the events of the morning. (b)(6); (b)(6); told DUSM (b)(6); that a dark sedan with orange writing on the back window had driven past an off-duty sheriff's deputy who was walking towards the Federal Courthouse at 0555 and fired two shots in the direction of the deputy. One bullet struck the window of the courthouse. The deputy was not injured, and the vehicle sped off in the direction of interstate five. Immediately following the shooting, Detectives from the Sacramento Police Department began conducting a thorough investigation. In collaboration with the California Highway Patrol and Sacramento County Sheriff's Office, detectives were able to locate evidence and eventually identify the suspect as (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). On March 2, 2022, at approximately 8:00 p.m., Sacramento SWAT Officers responded to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)'s residence where (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was safely taken into custody. During a search of the residence, officers recovered an illegally possessed handgun (Glock 21). (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was arrested for violations of California Penal Code sections 245(a)(2) (Assault with a firearm), 246 (Discharge of a firearm at an inhabited dwelling), and 29800(A)(1) (Felon in possession of a firearm) and booked at the Sacramento County Main Jail (Bond was set at \$2.5 million dollars). Case Closed.	Direct Threat	Physical	2022/03/02 00:00:00	
Closed	2022/09/06 00:00:00	2022/09/06 00:00:00	2022/11/28 00:00:00	2022/11/28 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	9	AZ/D	Arrest/Closure	2022/11/23 13:04:56	On November 23, 2022, District Threat Investigator (DTI) (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) conducted a review of social media outlets associated to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and other open sources. The most recent (b)(6); (b)(6); upload was a YouTube video from October 26, 2022. In this particular video, (b)(6); (b)(6); is being fairly argumentative with representatives of an unknown car dealership. (b)(6); (b)(6); rants about some sort of conspiracy with "Auto Nation". The dealership representatives explain that they are not associated with "Auto Nation", and that (b)(6); (b)(6); should go and boycott them. (b)(6); (b)(6); argued that he was within his rights to be on the sidewalk and was gathering support from the public to boycott "Auto Nation". Currently, (b)(6); (b)(6); does not have any active federal court matters on record. There have been no new reports that (b)(6); (b)(6); has contacted the Judiciary in the District of Arizona. The D/AZ JSU does not believe that (b)(6); (b)(6); poses a threat to the Federal Judiciary, or any assets under the USMS protection. This Protective Assessment will be closed. Protective assessment closed.	Bizarre or Unreasonable Solicitation	Telephone		

Closed	2022/07/05 00:00:00	2022/07/15 00:00:00	2022/07/29 00:00:00	2022/09/29 00:00:00	U.S. PROBATION OFFICER	9	AK/D	Arrest/Close	2022/09/29 15:10:47	Starting in April of 2022 USPO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was contacted approximately seven times over a 3-month period via text messages and voicemails from a former supervisee of hers, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). During my inquiry into the matter, I met with USPO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and viewed the text messages on her phone that were from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) using telephone number (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). Those text messages contained two pictures of an erect penis and four sexually explicit written texts. Additionally, there were several sexually explicit voicemails from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) that were left on USPO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) cellphone. On 8/10/2022 I again contacted USPO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to determine if (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was continuing to contact her by any means. USPO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated that the last contact from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was on 7/15/2022. At that time, I instructed USPO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to notify me if (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) continued to try and contact her and to block (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) number on her cellphone. On approximately 9/14/2022, I met with the U.S. Courts IT department for the District of Alaska to determine if the texts could be electronically extracted from USPO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) phone. The IT department stated that they do not have the technological means or knowledge to do so. As of 9/26/2022 I have received nothing further from USPO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) with respect to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). In total it has been almost three months since USPO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has had any contact from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). At this time, I believe this case should be closed due to the following. It has been several months since (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has attempted to contact USPO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was last known to be living in Texas with his parents and all previous contact was via a phone number with a Texas area code. It appears that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) had a brief sexual infatuation with USPO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) after he had been released from custody in Texas. As previously mentioned, it has been an extended period since (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) last contacted USPO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). Considering all known information, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) does not appear to be a viable threat to USPO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and the overall incident appears to have been a brief sexual infatuation. I will continue to periodically contact USPO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) in the future with respect to the matter. End of Report.	Suspicious Behavior	Telephone			
Closed	2022/07/23 00:00:00	2022/07/24 00:00:00	2022/08/16 00:00:00	2022/08/16 00:00:00	9TH CIRCUIT COURT NOMINEE	9	AZ/D	Arrest/Close	2022/08/16 16:12:49	The D/AZ has been reviewing social media for any threats made towards the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). The D/AZ has not located any threats/actions of concern and has not been made aware of any threats/actions of concern through court staff. The D/AZ considers this Protective Assessment closed.	Bizarre or Unreasonable Solicitation	Email/Internet			
Closed	2022/07/28 00:00:00	2022/08/02 00:00:00	2022/10/26 00:00:00	2022/10/26 00:00:00	MAGISTRATE JUDGE	9	OR/D	Arrest/Close	2022/10/26 15:20:09	There are no updates for case number (b)(7)(E) and for Unknown Subject (FID (b)(7)(E)). An identify for Twitter post/account (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) remains unknown. No further suspicious posts have been identified or reported. No further information to report. Case closed.	Suspicious Behavior	Written	2022/07/29 00:00:00		
Closed	2021/12/02 00:00:00	2021/12/02 00:00:00	2022/10/19 00:00:00	2022/10/19 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT OTHER	9	OR/D	Arrest/Close	2022/10/14 12:32:55	This USM-11 serves to close this case. There has been no update from the Federal Bureau of Investigation as to the seized computers from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). However, the seizure of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)'s phones did not reveal evidence pertaining to this case. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has new employment and has not been seen in the downtown Portland area. By all reports (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is doing well and complying with all aspects of the Emergency Protection Order served by the Columbia County Sherriff's Office. He remains an active member of his church and has not isolated since losing his former employment as a Court Security Officer. As a result, DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) does not believe (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is a current threat to USMS (b)(7)(E) interests. ///CASE CLOSED///	Veiled Threat	Informant			

Closed	2021/10/21 00:00:00	2021/10/21 00:00:00	2022	2022/09/29 00:00:00	ASSISTANT FEDERAL DEFENDER	9	(b)(6);	Arrest/Close	2022/08/25 17:33:54	On 08/24/2022, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) pled guilty to felony Interstate Threats (18 (b)(6); (b)(6); for threatening to kill a Phoenix Federal Public Defender and their Investigator. (b)(6) was sentenced to time-served, mandatory mental health treatment, mandatory drug treatment, and 3 years supervised release. (b)(6) is currently living in (b)(6); and will remain in (b)(6); for the foreseeable future. The (b)(6); JSU considers this case closed by prosecution.	Conditional Threat	Informant			2021/10/21 00:00:00
Closed	2021/10/21 00:00:00	2021/10/21 00:00:00	2022	2022/09/29 00:00:00	ASSISTANT FEDERAL DEFENDER	9	(b)(6);	Arrest/Close	2021/10/26 19:26:30	On 10/23/2021, members of the District of Arizona (b)(6); Judicial Security Unit (JSU) (b)(6); and Class 1 Warrant's (SDUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); TFO (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); coordinated the arrest of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) at his residence, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). Class 1 personnel established surveillance of the residence at approximately 0730 hours. Due to officer safety concerns with conducting surveillance of the residence, Class 1 personnel utilized a USMS drone to provide an aerial view of the residence. JSU coordinated a Phoenix Fire/Police Threat Liaison Officer (TLO) to be on scene to expedite medical services in the event (b)(6) had a medical emergency. Class 1 personnel observed (b)(6); move in and out the residence and even depart the residence on foot. Since the arrest warrant had not been issued at this point, personnel continued surveillance. Senior Inspector (SI) (b)(6); telephonically swore out the arrest warrant at approximately 0945 hours with the duty magistrate judge. SI (b)(6); contacted the Class 1 team to advise of the signed warrant. SI (b)(6); provided an electronic copy of the warrant to the Class 1 Supervisor. Upon receipt of the warrant, the Class 1 team develop their arrest strategy and executed the arrest warrant for (b)(6);. (b)(6); was taken into custody without incident outside of his residence. Upon SI (b)(6); arrival to the residence (approximately 1030 hours), SI (b)(6); spoke to (b)(6); and advised the reason for his arrest. SI (b)(6); advised Bown of his Miranda Rights (documented on USM-309), which he invoked. (b)(6); signed the form and SI (b)(6); witnessed. SI (b)(6); asked (b)(6); if he had any medical conditions or needed any medications before transport. (b)(6); said he has high blood pressure and takes medication for that condition. (b)(6); said his medications were in the bathroom. Before SI (b)(6); could get the medications to the transportation team, they had already departed for Florence. SI (b)(6); took a photo of the medications and texted the photo to SDUSM (b)(6);. SI (b)(6); asked (b)(6); if he had keys to lock up the residence when the USMS finished. (b)(6); said he did not have keys and good luck locking it because the lock is broken. (b)(6); was secured in a USMS vehicle and transported to CoreCivic in Florence, AZ, where (b)(6); was booked into federal custody. SI (b)(6); contacted the Federal Public Defender's office to advise of (b)(6);'s arrest. The extra patrols were discontinued with the local police. SI (b)(6); completed a USM-130 (Prisoner Alert Notice) for (b)(6); to be separated from inmate (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). The USM-130 was emailed to CoreCivic staff and updated in Capture. A separate report will be submitted to detail the execution of the search warrant. A copy of the arrest warrant and USM-309 have been uploaded into (b)(7)(C). (b)(6); has a pet grey cat living in the residence. USMS personnel ensured there was several days of food and water in the kitchen. A neighbor, (b)(6); who lives at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) agreed to look after the cat. SI (b)(6); spoke with the management of Holiday Spa to advise of the cat remaining in the residence. Investigation continues.	Conditional Threat	Informant			2021/10/21 00:00:00
Closed	2021/10/21 00:00:00	2021/10/21 00:00:00	2022	2022/09/29 00:00:00	ASSISTANT FEDERAL DEFENDER	9	(b)(6);	Arrest/Close	2022/09/29 14:00:17	This investigation is mitigated and closed by prosecution.	Conditional Threat	Informant			2021/10/21 00:00:00

Closed	2021/10/21 00:00:00	2021/10/21 00:00:00	2022 2	2022/09/29 00:00:00	ASSISTANT FEDERAL DEFENDER	9	AZ/D	Arrest/Close	2022/09/19 15:09:52	This report is being generated to close this investigation. There is no new information to add. Investigation is closed by prosecution.	Conditional Threat	Informant			2021/10/21 00:00:00
Closed	2021/12/03 00:00:00	2021/12/03 00:00:00	2022 2	2023/03/24 00:00:00	DISTRICT JUDGE	9	CA/E	Arrest/Close	2023/03/22 18:34:57	On 01/28/2022, DUSM [b](6) was contacted, via telephone, by Judicial Security Inspector (JSI) [b](6); (b)(7)(C) in regard to a letter received by [b](6); (b)(7)(C) of the District Court Clerk's Office [b](6); (b)(7)(C) which contained threats to District Judge [b](6); and Magistrate Judge [b](6) of the Eastern District of California (E/CA), as well as Sacramento District Attorney [b](6); (b)(7)(C) from [b](6); (b)(7)(C) (DOB: [b](6); SSN: [b](6); (b)(7)(C), FBI: [b](7)(E) FID: [b](7)(E) at California State Prison - Sacramento, CDCR# [b](7)(E) Facility/Bed [b](7)(E) which stated: Thurs. 1-27-22 Dear [b](6); (b)(7)(C) - -And - [b](6); When you take me "serious",- I'll stop writing these letters, But until then, I'm gonna - Blow your "courts", "Cars", and "houses" up into - "Ashes" when I "parole". - [b](6); (b)(7)(C) ",-Sacramento - District Attorney", can you send- Me some "Packages"? And I won't Blow up your "courts", and "house"!! "Enjoy that C/O"tick", "Enjoy that cop Dick",-Enjoy that "Nat"! On 03/14/2023, DUSM [b](6) was contacted, via email and telephone, by N/CA AUSA [b](6); (b)(7)(C) in regard to [b](6); (b)(7)(C) AUSA [b](6); stated he had received final word that the AUSA's Office would be declining [b](7)(E) [b](6); [b](7)(E) due to weak/insufficient admissible evidence. AUSA [b](6); further stated that as had been discussed in a previous telephone call between DUSM [b](6) and AUSA [b](6); the AUSA's Office did not anticipate being able to meet the objective and subjective elements required under "true threats" case law. On 03/22/2023, DUSM [b](6) will CLOSE the ongoing Threat Investigation into [b](6); (b)(7)(C) upon USM-11 Approval. Unless new information develops, the Threat Investigation for [b](6); (b)(7)(C) will be CLOSED based upon the following factors: [b](6); current Earliest Possible Release Date is 6/29/2024, as provided by CDCR. [b](6); (b)(7)(C) criminal case(s) remains under review SAC DA Office and has been declined prosecution by the AUSA Office. [b](6); (b)(7)(C) has no financial means to carry out any attack while incarcerated. [b](6); [b](7)(C) has no contact, via email, in person, via written mail, via telephonic, with anyone outside the facility to carry out an attack. - The USMS will be notified of any change to [b](6); [b](7)(C) status by the CDCR. --Case to be Closed--	Murder	Informant	2021/12/03 00:00:00	2021/12/03 00:00:00	2021/12/03 00:00:00
Closed	2021/12/13 00:00:00	2021/12/14 00:00:00	2022 2	2021/12/14 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	9	WA/W	Arrest/Close	2021/12/14 14:10:32	This is a duplicate case opened in error. Case Closed END OF REPORT///	Direct Threat	Written	2021/12/13 00:00:00		2021/12/13 00:00:00

Closed	2021/12/20 00:00:00	2021/12/22 00:00:00	2022	2023/10/09 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	9	CA/S	Arrest/Closure	2023/10/09 20:12:13	Background: As of this report date, it is believed the investigation associated with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) under (b)(7)(E) is due to be closed. The following information is being provided as an overview of the investigation and the justification for the closure but does not include all investigative activity associated with the case. On December 20, 2021, Protective Intelligence Investigator (PII) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and District Threat Investigator (DTI) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) were notified of two letters containing threatening correspondence sent to United States District Court Judge (USDCJ) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). Both letters appeared to originate from the Florence Correctional Center in Florence, AZ (PO Box 6300, Florence AZ, 85132). Both addressed to the USDCJ (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). One letter was addressed to Southern District Court House - 221 W. Broadway, Ste 3185 and the other to Southern District of California, 333 W. Broadway. There are two letters, one in each envelope and each written in pencil, copy 1 and copy 2. Both letters contained similar language, as quoted below: --- Dear Honorable Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) by this matter I will tell you what will happen, all because of the piece of shit that you are. I will fucken beat up your face until you piss your pants like the pussy ass, son of a bitch you are. There's nothing you can do about it. I will see you soon. There is a reason of this, I will eventually let you know. I mean it. --- On January 21, 2022, a third letter was received and reported as similar in context to the two previously received letters. On January 31, 2022, DTI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) received notification of a fourth letter. The postage date on the envelope was January 26, 2022, with a return address for (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) at the Florence Correctional Center. The letter was dated January 19, 2022, and contained the following writing, "Dear Honorable Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) by this matter I will like to inform you that I will fucken Knock your lights out, by the time I get out of here. I never thought you'd be a snitch. you told the Marshals, you, lame, but guess What they didn't do shit. See you soon." Investigation: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) remained in custody throughout the pendency of this investigation. On January 13, 2022, Senior Inspector (SI) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and Deputy United States Marshal (DUSM) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) interviewed (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) in the District of Arizona (D/AZ) (see USM-11 Intelligence Update dated February 24, 2022, in (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) for full interview). At the time of the interview (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) admitted to threatening USDCJ (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), writing each of the letters, and admitting to his intention of harming the judge. It should be noted that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is an illegal alien with prior deportations and throughout the January 13, 2022, interview, he makes contradicting statements that he truly intends to harm the judge and that he did it because of the threats he faces in Mexico. It appeared (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was making these threats in an attempt to prevent his deportation and remain in federal custody in the United States. On March 1, 2022, PII (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and DTI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) discussed the D/AZ United States Attorney's Office (USAO) attempting to prosecute, which was declined at that time. PII (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and DTI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) reported the following information (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has repeatedly indicated that he is making the threats towards USDCJ (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (S/CA) to remain in custody and change his venue to San Diego with the hope of not being deported to Mexico. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is claiming he wants to remain in custody out of fear of being killed by the cartel/or because someone in prison told him to make the threat. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is scheduled to be deported out of San Ysidro, CA as part of his D/AZ plea agreement. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has a history of mental health issues. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is believed to have been in San Diego Bureau of Prisons (BOP) Custody twice during his competency visits with a doctor. Allegedly, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) preferred the psychiatrist in San Di	Direct Threat	Written	2021/12/21 00:00:00
--------	---------------------	---------------------	------	---------------------	---------------------------	---	------	----------------	---------------------	--	---------------	---------	---------------------

Closed	2022/01/05 00:00:00	2022/01/05 00:00:00	2022	2023/01/13 00:00:00	ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY	9	WA/W	Arrest/Close	2023/01/13 18:14:02	On 01/03/2023 at approximately 0900 HRS, the investigative team which includes FBI SA (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) DEA SA (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) AUSA (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and USMS JSI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) conducted a proffered interview of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(E) FID# (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) at the US District Courthouse, 700 Stewart Street, Seattle, WA 98101. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) attorney was present during the entirety of the interview. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) can speak English, but it is not his first language. A Spanish interpreter was present to assist with English to Spanish translation. DEA SA (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and AUSA (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) led the interview. After being advised of the identities of the interviewing Agents / Inspectors and the nature of the interview, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) provided the following information specific to threats: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated that when the driver, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was pulled over and arrested, he went to the police station to pick him up after he was released. He questioned (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) about the traffic stop and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) told him the cops that pulled him over were not in uniform. This led (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to believe the cops may have been corrupt and stole his money. Specific to threats made against the law enforcement officer that arrested (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated he "said some things" but never planned on carrying out an attack on the officer. He advised he was given a card for the officer, but stated the card only had police station information and no information specific to the officer, not even his name. He stated he tried to call the phone number on the card to get additional information about the stop, but the station would not give him information. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) claimed he never even saw a picture of the cop. When asked if he sent the officer's card to anyone, he said he did. He texted the card to a contact named (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) as proof of the traffic stop. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was asked if he knew anyone by the name (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) or sent the card to anyone by the name (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and he stated he did not. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated the traffic stop cost him \$60k in losses and he had to pay the money back. Additionally, he stated his daughter had failing health and the \$60k was supposed to be profits and go towards his daughter's medical bills which increased his anger regarding the traffic stop. He stated he had read the transcript of an intercepted call between he and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (FID# (b)(6); (b)(7)(E)) and he admitted the call sounded really bad, but you can say things and not mean it. When asked about threats he may have made against others, he stated (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) The (3) names are co-defendants currently housed at FDC SeaTac, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (FID# (b)(6); (b)(7)(E)) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(6); (b)(7)(E)) and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (FID# (b)(6); (b)(7)(E))). (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated they are afraid of me because they have stuff that belongs to me, specifically money and drugs. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has a Camaro car that belongs to him, and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) needs to send it to Mexico to pay debts. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was asked if he knew (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and he stated he did. He was then asked if he had ever used (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to pass letters / messages and he stated he had sent letters / messages to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) through (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) When asked the substance of the letters, he said he just wrote that he missed her, was trying to help her, and wanted to talk about their relationship. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was asked if he ever tried to gather information on the Assisting US Attorneys (AUSAs) involved in his case, and he stated he had not. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was asked if there are any guards in particular at the FDC that he talks to and he stated the Spanish speaker guards. He stated he would ask guards to pass verbal messages to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) who is housed at the FDC in another unit. When asked what kind of messages, he said he asked guards to tell (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) he loved her, and missed her, but only the English-speaking guards would help him. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)	Direct Threat	Informant	2022/01/05 00:00:00		2022/01/05 00:00:00
Closed	2022/04/05 00:00:00	2022/04/05 00:00:00	2022	2023/04/11 00:00:00	ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY	9	WA/E	Arrest/Close	2023/04/11 14:43:57	On March 27, 2023, US Probation Officer (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) sent a referral to the Mental Health Crisis Hotline informing them that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) made threats of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) in communication to his probation officer. On March 28, 2023, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was involuntarily committed due to his threats of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was detained until April 7th and was released after receiving mental health treatment. After meeting with JSU Supervisor (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), a decision was made to close the case until further communication is received from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). Case closed.	Research Into Personal Affairs	Verbal	2022/04/05 00:00:00		2022/04/05 00:00:00
Closed	2022/04/13 00:00:00	2022/04/14 00:00:00	2022	2022/08/03 00:00:00	USAO INFORMATION RECEPTIONIST	9	OR/D	Arrest/Close	2022/08/03 16:44:42	There are no new updates regarding the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (FID# (b)(6); (b)(7)(E))) Protective Intelligence (PI) case. No additional threatening-type phone calls, to the United States Attorney's Office (USAO), have been reported regarding (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). At this time, this Protective Investigation (PI) will be closed and may be reopened upon a new triggering event. No further information to report.	Suspicious Behavior	Telephone	2022/04/14 00:00:00	2022/04/14 00:00:00	2022/04/14 00:00:00

Closed	2022/05/10 00:00:00	2022/05/10 00:00:00	2022	2022/08/02 00:00:00	US ATTORNEY	9	WA/W	Arrest/Close	2022/08/02 11:24:08	On November 3, 2020, a threat assessment was opened on (b)(6) (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b) (FID: (b)(7)(E) in the Western District of Washington based on a series of inappropriate communications. Based on the findings of Deputy U.S. Marshal (DUSM) (b)(6); the assessment was closed on November 13, 2020. However, communications resumed, and a new assessment was opened on January 4, 2021. The assessment was then transferred to DUSM (b)(6); on April 1, 2021. The details of this assessment can be found in the Capture Field Reports under incident number (b)(7)(E) In one email titled "'Forensic interview' is a fucking federal crime", (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) made several explicit statements pertaining to a civilian named (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) These statements are not limited to but include: "I will literally take (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) pathetic fucking life", "I can fucking kill (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) whenever the fuck I want to" and "I will get away with having to fucking kill her". During this time, (b)(6); (b)(6); was appointed to the position of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) for the Western District of Washington and became the primary recipient of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) emails. On February 28, 2022, (b)(6) (b)(6); received an email from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) known email address stating "(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) I am officially going to personally make sure YOUR dick gets cut off too, understand?". In addition to this violent rhetoric, there were several factors that gave DUSM (b)(6); cause for concern for the safety of (b)(6) (b)(6); Among the hundreds of emails received, numerous emails accused (b)(6) (b)(6); of conspiring to commit crimes on the Native American reservation. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was also observed on video making trips in person to the Seattle Courthouse and has a history of violence. Additionally, (b)(6) (b)(6); was planning on speaking at a public event at a venue where (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) used to work. Based on all these factors, it was determined that the assessment should be elevated to a full Protective Investigation. Based on the violent statements, it would appear that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) intent was to cause harm or to intimidate (b)(6) (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) appeared to be motivated by the delusional belief that (b)(6) (b)(6); was part of some larger conspiracy to commit crimes on the reservation. Due to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) being at large and him making regular trips to the workplace of (b)(6) (b)(6); DUSM (b)(6); believed that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) had the ability to carry out his threats. Several steps were taken to mitigate the threats of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) These steps included providing (b)(6) (b)(6); with a (b)(7)(E) (b)(7)(E) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) This same notice was disseminated to Court Security Officers at all Federal Courthouses within Western Washington, and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) would not be allowed to enter the building without an escort. All emails received from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) were read for threats, and (b)(6) (b)(6); was provided a (b)(7)(E) detail when attending a public speaking event. During the course of this assessment/investigation the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) was also working a criminal case on (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) for the threats made against (b)(6) (b)(6); as well as the civilian, (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) On June 8, 2022, the FBI arrested (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) on these charges. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) then attended a detention hearing and was remanded to the custody of the U.S. Marshals Service pending his trial. DUSM (b)(6); contacted the Assistant U.S. Attorney (AUSA) assigned to the case, and the AUSA stated that there is no indication at this time that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) will be released before his trial. Based on (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) being in physical custody, DUSM (b)(6); believes that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) no longer has the ability to inflict harm on (b)(6) (b)(6); and therefore believes this (b)(7)(E) Investigation should be closed. This case can be reopened at a later time if (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is released and there is cause for concern. End of report.	Obsessive Desire for Contact	Email/Internet	2022/05/10 00:00:00	2022/05/10 00:00:00	2022/05/10 00:00:00
Closed	2022/05/11 00:00:00	2022/05/12 00:00:00	2022	2023/09/01 00:00:00	LEA	9	AZ/D	Arrest/Close	2023/08/30 16:03:55	On August 29, 2023 District Threat Investigator (DTI) (b)(6); (b)(6); contacted Probation Officer (PO) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) who is (b)(6); assigned PO during his 36 month term of supervised release. DTI (b)(6); asked if (b)(6); was adjusting well, and if there were any negative reports since he has been at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) in May of 2023. PO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated that (b)(6); has been doing well, and there have been no concerns to date. The District of Arizona (D/AZ) Judicial Security Unit (JSU) will be closing this investigation at this time based on the fact that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has completed his sentence, and is under the supervision of the United States Probation office. PO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) will remain in contact with the USMS if there are any negative report related to (b)(6);. Case Closed.	Direct Threat	Suspicious Activity			2022/05/12 00:00:00

Closed	2022/06/01 00:00:00	2022/06/01 00:00:00	2022 2	2022/08/23 00:00:00	9	WA/W	Arrest/Closure	2022/08/23 15:18:12	On 08/23/2022, at approximately 0840 hours, Judicial Security Inspector (b)(6); and I contacted (b)(6); (b)(6); (FID (b)(7)(E)), at his residence (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)). The reason for contacting (b)(6); was due to episodes where he drove over the median on Pacific Avenue and across the trolley tracks and onto the sidewalk - adjacent to Tacoma Union Station, then exiting his vehicle and rolling a large stone towards the Protective Security Officer (PSO), and then driving away. (b)(6); arrived back again moments later, parking in the same manner and then exiting his vehicle to video tape the facility and PSO. (b)(6); fled the area prior to U. S. Marshal and Federal Protective Service arrival. (b)(6); drove to Olympia, WA where he was arrested for Felony Harassment and 2nd Degree Malicious Mischief. (b)(6); was incarcerated at the Thurston County, WA jail until he was remanded to a mental health facility. (b)(6); was placed in a Less Restrictive Order status (LRO), and received treatment at a local area mental treatment facility, while remaining under the jurisdiction of the Thurston County District Court. This LRO will expire on 9/21/2022. Upon arrival at the (b)(6); residence, I rang the doorbell. We were greeted by an elderly lady. I showed her my credentials and told her I was from the U. S. Marshals and needed to talk with (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)). She then advised she was (b)(6); mother and then invited us into the residence. A short time later (b)(6); (b)(6); was awakened by his mother and met JSI (b)(6); and I in the living room of the residence. He was dressed in a T shirt and gym shorts. His hygiene was normal. Again, I introduced myself to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) showing him my credentials and proving my business card. I explained to (b)(6); that I wanted to discuss his behavior and actions that occurred in June 2022 at the Tacoma Union Station (TUS). (b)(6); denied ever being at the TUS, stating he recalled being at the Tacoma Car Museum. JSI (b)(6); allowed him to watch the video of his visits to TUS. (b)(6); then recalled being at the TUS and his actions in question. He stated he was having a bad day and was delusional. He stated he had been in jail, and then the mental treatment facility for over 20 days and is now receiving mental health treatment. (b)(6); stated he was seeing a mental health provider weekly, and checking in with the Fife, WA court monthly. (b)(6); stated he was taking four types of mental health medicines that are prescribed by his doctor in Chehalis, WA. I explained that his actions could have gotten him injured. I further explained that the state of heightened alertness and security at government facilities is at an all time high due to civil unrest, and that his actions could have had serious consequences. He stated he understands this now, and that he doesn't want to behave like he did in the past. He stated he wants to return to work as a professional driver, but is having difficulty obtaining employment. I suggested he make this a goal and to discuss this with his provider and counselor. He stated he would. (b)(6); remains in a Disqualified Status pertaining to his Commercial Driver's License. I also suggested he work with his provider and counselor in clearing this so that he can be productive and go back to work. JSI (b)(6); and I spoke with (b)(6); in the presence of his mother, and towards the end of the interview with his father being present. His mother stated he (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) was a sickly child, being (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)). She stated he had (b)(6); and other serious medical issues. I asked his mother if he still had weapons. She stated no, they were all sold, and he did not possess anything dangerous, e.g., Baseball bat, guns, or knives. Mrs. (b)(6); stated she would ensure her son remains in treatment and on his medication. She stated she would call me if he went away, as well as notifying th	Suspicious Behavior	Suspicious Activity	2022/06/01 00:00:00	2022/06/01 00:00:00	2022/06/01 00:00:00
--------	---------------------	---------------------	--------	---------------------	---	------	----------------	---------------------	--	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------

Closed	2022/08/01 00:00:00	2022/08/01 00:00:00	2022/08/01 00:00:00	2022/08/18 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	9	WA/W	Arrest/Closure	2022/08/18 17:41:00	Background: The full predicated investigation associated with (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6) (FID: (b)(7)(E)) under (b)(7)(E) is due to be closed. The following information is being provided as an overview of the investigation and the justification for the closure. This full predicated investigation was initiated on August 01, 2022. The investigation dealt with the activity of concern directed towards Western District of Washington (W/WA) Intake Clerk (b)(6) (b)(6) and United States District Court Judge (USDJ) (b)(6); (b)(6); The activity of concern centered around a phone call received by (b)(6); from (b)(6); at approximately 9:36 AM on August 01, 2022. The phone call expressed veiled threats and a sense of outrage over a recently denied motion by USDJ (b)(6); in the civil case (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) filed by (b)(6); pro se, on January 18, 2022, against (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). In this case, (b)(6); filed a complaint against (b)(6); alleging his civil rights were violated, but the case was dismissed by USDJ (b)(6); due to no evidence that (b)(6); has effectively served (b)(6); his summons and complaint. Investigation: Information gathered indicated that (b)(6); is unemployed, collects Social Security Income, and has a lengthy criminal history (drugs, prostitution, theft) dating back to 1969. (b)(6); does not have a history of physical violence or assault but does have federal and state records of coercion by use of threat, forgery, possession of a dangerous weapon, promoting prostitution, disorderly conduct, and harassment. (b)(6); suffers from paranoia and believes there are contract hits out against his life. He also has some mobility issues and walks with some impairment. Through investigation, it was determined the case assigned to USDJ (b)(6); was based on a civil action complaint filed by (b)(6); against (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). In the complaint, (b)(6); alleges that (b)(6); violated his civil rights and wants the court to award him a total of \$50,000,000. (b)(6); states that he wants the money to purchase; a Home; that's a House In a Gated Community, Where People, from the General Public, Have to Be on a Documented Guest List, In Order be Permitted and Allowed to Enter; the Gated Community." (b)(6); filed a motion requesting that the United States Marshals Service (USMS) serve the summons to (b)(6); the motion would later be denied by USDJ (b)(6);. According to (b)(6); he then had the summons served by a close friend, (b)(6); and now seeks a default judgment of \$50,000,000. The court denied the motion for a default judgment based on (b)(6); failing to effectivity serve (b)(6); the complaint within six months of filing the complaint. The court mailed (b)(6); the dismissed notification and, according to (b)(6); receiving the mail made him upset. (b)(6); called the intake clerk and only grew angrier with her responses and said some things in "the heat of the moment." During the interview (b)(6); was found to have no current ownership of weapons or access to weapons, he also stated that he knows that he is a prohibited person and is not allowed to own weapons. According to (b)(6); when asked about (b)(6); and USDJ (b)(6); "I don't know those people from a can of paint." (b)(6); believes there is a "HIT" on him and just wants to feel safe and live in a "gated community." (b)(6); express remorse for his statements and offered to apologize. Mitigation: Based on all available information, a mitigation plan focusing on a caution notices/security advisories, subject target transfer, and subject warning/confront was utilized to mitigate the threat. Immediately after the initiation of the threat case, a Security Alert Notice was created for (b)(6); by Judicial Security Inspector (b)(6); and provided to Court Security Officers for awareness. During the interview, DUSM (b)(6); provided (b)(6); with his contact number and advised (b)(6); to call him when he feels he's getting angry and needs to vent. (b)(6); was admonished and made clear t	Veiled Threat, Direct Threat	Telephone	2022/08/01 00:00:00	2022/08/01 00:00:00
Closed	2022/08/05 00:00:00	2022/08/05 00:00:00	2022/08/05 00:00:00	2022/11/16 00:00:00	FEDERAL PROBATION OFFICER	9	AZ/D	Arrest/Closure	2022/11/14 16:45:03	(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) completed his term of supervised release on November 11, 2022. There have been no further attempts to communicate with Probation Officer (PO) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); subsequent meetings, compliance, and communications with last assigned PO (b)(6); have been positive. There is no information that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) poses a threat at this point, and this investigation will be closed. If (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) makes contact in the future, the case may be re-opened. Case closed.	Stalking	Verbal		2022/08/05 00:00:00

Closed	2022/08/05 00:00:00	2022/08/07 00:00:00	2022/08/29 00:00:00	CLERK OF COURTS	9	AZ/D	Arrest/Closure	2022/09/19 15:13:22	The USMS D/AZ has not been made aware of any additional attempts to solicit Bitcoin, directed at the D/AZ Clerk of Court, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). The D/AZ has been working with the district court IT staff to located any other similar emails that may have been sent to other court employees. No additional emails were located. Ms. (b)(6); has not received any further emails demanding payment to prevent the release of personal video footage. It is believed the email is a scam looking to put fear into the victim, to get the victim to pay a ransom, via Bitcoin. Because of the lack of leads, the D/AZ will close this investigation.	Suspicious Behavior	Email/Internet			2022/08/05 00:00:00
Closed	2022/08/05 00:00:00	2022/08/07 00:00:00	2022/08/29 00:00:00	CLERK OF COURTS	9	AZ/D	Arrest/Closure	2022/09/29 14:03:54	This investigation is closed due to a lack of investigative leads.	Suspicious Behavior	Email/Internet			2022/08/05 00:00:00
Closed	2022/08/31 00:00:00	2022/08/31 00:00:00	2023/02/06 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	9	WA/W	Arrest/Closure	2023/01/20 22:18:44	On 01/20/2023, this case was inadvertently reopened. An entire case file review was conducted by SDUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). This case was properly mitigated and will be closed as false. End of report.	Research Into Personal Affairs	Email/Internet	2022/08/31 00:00:00		2022/08/31 00:00:00
Closed	2022/08/31 00:00:00	2022/08/31 00:00:00	2023/02/06 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	9	WA/W	Arrest/Closure	2023/01/06 13:22:05	On 12-05-2022, at approximately 1011 hours, I received an email from Assistant United States Attorney (AUSA) legal assistant (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). The email contained the subpoena response from Google. The response provided Google subscriber information. The account came back to a (b)(6); and (b)(6); (b)(6); Open-source searches revealed negative results for both names. A recovery email (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)@gmail.com came back to a wholefood market account in Newport, Virginia. An associated number (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to the account revealed as a robocall number and has been reported multiple times to the Federal Trade Commission (FTC). On 10-05-2022, at approximately 1520 hours, the account (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)@gmail.com) was closed/terminated. I conducted open-source research on multiple Internet Protocol addresses (IP) which ranged from 08-22-2022 to 09-29-2022. It came back to a variety of carriers and locations: Buffalo, Brooklyn, New York (Verizon) Madison, New jersey (Optimum Online) Montreal, Quebec, Ontario, Canada (M247 Europe SRL) Miami, Florida (Vodafone Germany) Lagos, Nigeria (Spectra Net) Ann Arbor, Macomb, Blanchard, Michigan (AT&T) Waldorf, Maryland (Verizon) Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania (Verizon) The IP addresses ranged from 5-1000-kilometer hits. I believe this unknown scammer(s) is using a Voice over Internet Protocol (VOIP) number and has deleted the account (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)@gmail.com) due to being compromised. The unknown scammer(s) may also be using a virtual private network (VPN) which would hide their real IP address/location. On 01-04-2023 at approximately 1622 hours, I sent an email to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (Judge (b)(6); Judicial Assistant) (b)(6); replied that Judge (b)(6); has not received any additional emails/information. At 1629 hours, I sent an email to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(7)(E) providing subscriber information. On 01-05-2023, at approximately 1012 hours, I received an email from (b)(6); Based on the IP locations I provided, (b)(6); said they (scammers) are using a VPN (dead end) (b)(6); was able to locate (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)@gmail.com and confirm that it was also used in a phishing email back in 2014. The motive was to defraud but was unsuccessful as Judge (b)(6); recognized the invoice not being legitimate. The unidentified person intent was to receive monetary/financial gains. Even though the sender has remained inactive, it is possible that Judge (b)(6); name and title may be used again. Detection is likely, as the USMS and Judge (b)(6); remain vigilant with this sort of activity. This case can be reopened should any new information comes to light. //CASE CLOSED//	Research Into Personal Affairs	Email/Internet	2022/08/31 00:00:00		2022/08/31 00:00:00
Closed	2022/08/31 00:00:00	2022/08/31 00:00:00	2023/02/06 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	9	WA/W	Arrest/Closure	2023/02/06 13:58:47	This is an administrative closure. This case was investigated and mitigated by Deputy (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and Judge (b)(6); has been briefed. End of report.	Research Into Personal Affairs	Email/Internet	2022/08/31 00:00:00		2022/08/31 00:00:00

Closed	2022/09/22 00:00:00	2022/09/23 00:00:00	2022/09/23 00:00:00	2023/06/23 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT OTHER	9	CA/N	Arrest/Closure	2023/06/23 14:29:58	As of June 23, 2023, (b)(6); (b)(6); Chance (FID: (b)(7)(E)) remains out of custody and in the San Jose area. The US Attorney's office in the Northern district of California declined prosecution of (b)(6); (b)(6); currently has ongoing cases with the San Jose District Attorney's office. There is no further information to report regarding (b)(6); based on no further threats this case is closed. End report.	Direct Threat	Telephone	2022/09/22 00:00:00		2022/09/22 00:00:00
Closed	2022/08/29 00:00:00	2022/08/29 00:00:00	2022/08/29 00:00:00	2022/11/28 00:00:00	US ATTORNEY	10	OK/N	Arrest/Closure	2022/11/28 15:13:56	As of November 28, 2022, no further inappropriate communications or threats from (b)(6); (b)(6) have been reported to the USMS Northern District of Oklahoma. USMS has not determined the need for any further security measures at this time as (b)(6); has not made any further attempts to communicate with the U.S. Attorney's Office. This case will be considered closed as of November 28, 2022. End of report.	Direct Threat	Email/Internet			
Closed	2022/01/27 00:00:00	2022/01/28 00:00:00	2022/01/28 00:00:00	2022/02/09 00:00:00	U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE/STAFF	10	CO/D	Arrest/Closure	2022/02/08 19:07:44	ASSESSMENT/CLOSURE: RISK LEVEL LOW PII (b)(6); evaluated the information discovered in this investigation and brought forth the following conclusion(s): (b)(6); is a prolific Pro Se filer in both the State and Federal Court systems. Since 2009, (b)(6); has filed 19 civil cases before the D/CO Federal Court and numerous civil cases State Court. Review of the Federal cases showed that they are furtherance of appeals to the State cases with the exception of one case, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) where (b)(6); was a defendant and was sued by his daughter over the rights to the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) property. Ultimately, this case was dismissed along with the majority of the remaining 19 cases. Exception should be noted that (b)(6); currently has 5 open cases out of the 19 before the Federal Court in regard to his appeal to the D/CO Bankruptcy Court case (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). These cases are still under review. The review of the Federal civil cases showed a history between (b)(6); and his family members disputing the rights over the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) property where they have sued each other over the inheritance. Further investigation showed that (b)(6); attached himself to (b)(6); bankruptcy case in 2010 as a creditor that she owed money to. Upon the resolution of (b)(6); bankruptcy, (b)(6); was dismissed as a legitimate creditor. PII (b)(6); spoke to Deputy Clerk for the Bankruptcy Court (b)(6); (b)(6); for further information on (b)(6); bankruptcy case before USBJ (b)(6); Ms. (b)(6); stated that (b)(6); attached herself as a person interested in the case after (b)(6); filed for bankruptcy. This allowed (b)(6); to be informed of any rulings or findings in (b)(6); case. Ms. (b)(6); further stated that (b)(6); submitted a very similar letter of concern to the court's email system in (b)(6); case on May 13, 2021. In this letter, (b)(6); begged the court to bring the bankruptcy to a close so her father could get paid his share of the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) property. She further wrote to USBJ (b)(6); that (b)(6); was a "sociopath" and "request that Mr. (b)(6); be placed in custody so that the house can sale." (b)(6); continued with the same statements made in her later letter calling (b)(6); a "professional criminal" and that she did "not want another person to die, get hurt or lose their life savings due to his actions." It should be noted that PII (b)(6); was unable to verify the accusation of escaping from the Arapahoe County Jail statement made by (b)(6); in both of her letters to the Court. PII (b)(6); spoke with the Trustee assigned to (b)(6); bankruptcy case (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Mr. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated that (b)(6); declared approximately (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) of debt owed to creditors in his Chapter 11 bankruptcy application. Mr. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was able to collect approximately (b)(6); from sales of (b)(6); other properties owned as well as the final sale of the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) property. These sales and collection of money satisfied the debts owed to the legitimate creditors declared by (b)(6); Mr. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) did state that (b)(6); has filed appeals before the D/CO Federal Court contesting the sale of the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) property. However, the property was officially sold via court order on January 31, 2022 and (b)(6); no longer had any rights to the property. PII (b)(6); verified via law enforcement databases available to the USMS that (b)(6); does have a permanent non-domestic violence restraining order against (b)(6); for threatening to kill him in 2012. This order was last validated on February 19, 2021. PII (b)(6); has been unable to locate any inappropriate comments or threats made by (b)(6); throughout any of his cases before the D/CO Federal Court. At no time has PII (b)(6); been made aware of any inappropriate communications or threatening language by members of the D/CO Federal Court until the notification of the letter of concern written by (b)(6); that was received by USBJ (b)(6); PII (b)(6); assesses that the information provided by (b)(6); in her two letters, which are dated	Reference to Death, Suicide, Weapons, Violence, Assassinations, etc., Conditional Threat, Veiled Threat, Murder, Extraordinary Complaint or Outrage Over the Outcome of a Court Case	Informant		2022/01/27 00:00:00	

Closed	2022/09/01 00:00:00	2022/09/07 00:00:00	2022	2023/08/26 00:00:00	U.S. CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE	10	CO/D	Arrest/Closure	2023/08/25 18:51:44	CASE CLOSURE PII (b)(6); has determined this case can be closed pending any new information or contacts by (b)(6); based on the following: (b)(6); was sentenced in State court to 115 years' incarceration in the Colorado Department of Corrections for Retaliation Against Witness/Victim, 2nd Offense Stalking/Threatening and Following Victim, Stalking Causing Emotional Distress, Violation of Protection Order, and Menacing. (b)(6); is (b)(6); years of age and will be approximately (b)(6); years of age when eligible for parole in 2079. (b)(6); filed multiple civil lawsuits in Federal Court based in reference to his State cases and incarceration. These civil lawsuits led to additional lawsuits focused on the presiding Federal Judges, USDJ (b)(6); and USDJ (b)(6); as (b)(6); was upset with their rulings and dismissals of his cases. (b)(6); then filed appeals in the 10th Circuit Court of Appeals and when denied, sent inappropriate communications to the Circuit Court. (b)(6); was subsequently listed as a frivolous filer in both the District of Colorado Federal Court and the 10th Circuit Federal Court of Appeals and was prohibited from further filings of any type unless done through appropriate counsel. Since the prohibition of filings, (b)(6); has not attempted to file any new cases or motions, nor sent any new threatening or inappropriate communications. Investigation and assessment of (b)(6); showed that (b)(6); was solely focused on USDJ (b)(6); and USDJ (b)(6); only during the time of his federal cases. Once the federal cases were dismissed and the approaching sentencing date in State Court, (b)(6); was again intensely focused on his victim and remains so. No evidence was found that there were any resources available to, or outside cooperation from others to provide support to (b)(6); to carry out any threats towards USDJ (b)(6); or USDJ (b)(6);. It was further determined that (b)(6); does not have any means, ability or any further intent to cause harm to either USDJ (b)(6); or USDJ (b)(6);. A victim notification notice has been implemented with the Colorado Department of Corrections to provide notice to PII (b)(6); of any changes in status or custody of (b)(6);. PII (b)(6); will then alert any of the Judiciary involved in (b)(6); cases of the changes. PII (b)(6); has discussed this case with OPI Circuit Senior Inspector (b)(6); and she concurs. This case is considered closed pending any new information.	Direct Threat, Extraordinary Complaint or Outrage Over the Outcome of a Court Case	Written			
Closed	2022/02/19 00:00:00	2022/02/22 00:00:00	2022	2022/03/30 00:00:00	DEPUTY US MARSHAL	10	CO/D	Arrest/Closure	2022/03/30 16:09:22	CASE CLOSURE: On March 25, 2022, PII (b)(6); reviewed this case. As of this date, there had been no reported further attempts of contact by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); by either DUSM (b)(6); or (b)(6);. PII (b)(6); contacted Assistant US Attorney (AUSA) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); to discuss the potential of criminal charges for harassment and stalking. PII (b)(6); presented all information of the voicemails and the arrest of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C);. Based on that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); waiting over a year to contact both DUSMs (b)(6); and (b)(6); and then only had work contact numbers on which he left non-threatening voicemails, AUSA (b)(6); declined to open a prosecution case. At this time, there is not enough evidence to support the elements of the statute for either harassment or stalking. However, if at some point in the future (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); does elevate his harassing behavior, AUSA (b)(6); will consider prosecution. PII (b)(6); contacted both DUSM (b)(6); and DUSM (b)(6); and discussed this case and the declination of prosecution. Both stated they understood and will continue to practice security awareness and will report to PII (b)(6); any new contacts from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C);. PII (b)(6); discussed the closure of this case with OPI Circuit Inspector (b)(6);. It was determined that closure was appropriate at this time pending any new harassing contact or conduct by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C);. Case closed.	Extraordinary Complaint or Outrage Over the Outcome of a Court Case, Stalking	Telephone			

Closed	2022/09/09 00:00:00	2022/09/11 00:00:00	2022 2	2022/09/15 00:00:00	CLERK OF THE COURT	10	NM/D	Arrest/Close	2022/09/15 17:16:15	On 09/09/2022, the Judicial Security Unit (JSU) for the District of New Mexico Received an email from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (Generalist Clerk, United States District Court, District of New Mexico). The email subject: "Suspicious Mail from Inmate". The email contained an attachment titled (b)(6); Letter". 09/15/2022 At the time the case was opened very little information was known about (b)(6); (b)(6) Over the course of the investigation reports from the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) and the facility where (b)(6); (b)(6) is being housed were received. From the information provided (b)(6); (b)(6) has a history of sending similar letters across the United States. IDOC has been able to intercept some letters but (b)(6); (b)(6) still manages to send letters out by marking the envelopes as legal mail. (b)(6); (b)(6) was convicted of murder in 1994 and was sentenced to 70 years in prison. According to the records received (b)(6); (b)(6) is due to be released from custody between 02/20/2032 and 02/20/2037. According to the FBI records IDOC considers these threats as unfounded as the prisoner will be incarcerated for the foreseeable future. "IDOC officials do not believe that the inmate has the means to carry out the threats, and an interview of the inmate will only aggravate the situation, and threats will increase." The United States Attorney's Office has been made aware of the letter as well as the information provided by other agencies. After reviewing all the information provided it appears (b)(6); (b)(6) does not have the means nor ability to carry out any threats toward USMS protectees in New Mexico at this time. If any other communications are received, they will be evaluated and investigated per USMS policies. It is recommended the case be closed. Case Closed END OF REPORT	Veiled Threat	Written	2022/09/09 00:00:00		
--------	---------------------	---------------------	-----------	---------------------	--------------------	----	------	--------------	---------------------	--	---------------	---------	---------------------	--	--

Closed	2021/10/08 00:00:00	2021/10/09 00:00:00	2022	2021/12/07 00:00:00	U.S. MAGISTRATE	10	NM/D	Arrest/Closure	2021/12/07 16:56:44	On 10/8/2021, the United States Marshal Service (USMS) for the District of New Mexico (D/NM) received an email from the Honorable (b)(6); (b)(6); United States Magistrate Judge. In the Email Judge (b)(6); specifies concerns from a filing she had received (document attached to email). The envelope is sent from (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (USMS# (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)). The main letter appears to be written by (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (USMS# (b)(6);). The filing also provides the name of (b)(6); (b)(6); (USMS# (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)). All three are addressing themselves as plaintiffs/petitioners within pages of the filing. Within the filing there are six pages with concerning language. Five of those pages only have a handwritten message on them. The sixth is written within one of the paragraphs. P. 4 "EXECUTIONS COMING SOON" P. 6 "NOTHING CAN STOP WHAT IS COMING" P. 8 "NO ONE ESCAPES NO ONE!" P. 10 "Wicked Gentiles will be DESTROYED" P. 12 "SOON VERY SOON! Q" P. 16 "defendants can be executed immediately by firing squad or other means." (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6); appear to be fixated on prosecuting the United States Judicial System for violations of the 1st, 5th, 6th, and 13th amendments. Update: On 11/16/2021, (b)(6); (b)(6); was interviewed at the Otero County Prison Facility in Chaparral, New Mexico. (b)(6); signed the USM-309 Waiver of Rights form and spoke with deputies. (b)(6); stated that he signed the filings but did not have any knowledge of the pages inserted with the verbiage stated above. (b)(6); does not wish to harm anyone and wishes his suit to move through the normal process. (b)(6); also stated that (b)(6); (b)(6); was very intelligent and that he did not believe that he wanted to hurt anyone. USM-11 was created for the interview. On 11/23/2021, (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was interviewed at the Pete V. Domenici U.S. Courthouse in Albuquerque, New Mexico. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) does not speak or write the English Language. Deputies spoke to him in Spanish and presented him with a USM-308 Waiver of Rights in Spanish. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) understood his rights and signed the USM-308. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated that he was looking to move his case forward since the attorney he had at the time was seemed to not be pushing his case. He does recall signing the documents sent to the court but denies having any knowledge of the inserted documents with the language stated above. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) does not wish harm on anyone and apologized for not knowing the documents were part of the filing. USM-11 was created for the interview. On 12/7/2021, Protective Intelligence Coordinator (PIC) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) reviewed the Capture and noticed that a transport order active. Based on the court record (b)(6); has been found incompetent and has been ordered for evaluation and restoration of competency. An interview with (b)(6); is not recommended at this point based on the court order and findings of incompetent to stand trial. Based on the information contained in this JDIS record it is believed that (b)(6); does not have the means nor ability to carry out a threat against a United States Marshal Service (USMS) Protected person. It is recommended that this Protective Investigation be closed and that any further filing be reviewed and acted upon according to USMS policies. End of Report	Veiled Threat	Written			
Closed	2021/10/08 00:00:00	2021/10/09 00:00:00	2022	2021/12/07 00:00:00	U.S. MAGISTRATE	10	NM/D	Arrest/Closure	2021/12/07 16:55:16	On 11/16/2021, (b)(6); (b)(6); was interviewed at the Otero County Prison Facility in Chaparral, New Mexico. (b)(6); signed the USM-309 Waiver of Rights form and spoke with deputies. (b)(6); stated that he signed the filings but did not have any knowledge of the pages inserted with the verbiage stated above. (b)(6); does not wish to harm anyone and wishes his suit to move through the normal process. USM-11 was created for the interview. Based on the information contained in the JDIS record and the interview conducted on 11/16/2021, it is believed that (b)(6); does not have the intent, motive, or ability to carry out a threat against United States Marshal Service (USMS) protected persons. It is recommended that this Protective investigation be closed. If any new or contrary information is received it shall be reviewed and acted upon according to USMS policies. End of Report	Veiled Threat	Written			

Closed	2021/10/08 00:00:00	2021/10/09 00:00:00	2022	2021/12/07 00:00:00	U.S. MAGISTRATE	10	NM/D	Arrest/Close	2021/12/07 16:55:50	On 11/23/2021, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was interviewed at the Pete V. Domenici U.S. Courthouse in Albuquerque, New Mexico. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) does not speak or write the English Language. Deputies spoke to him in Spanish and presented him with a USM-308 Waiver of Rights in Spanish. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) understood his rights and signed the USM-308. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated that he was looking to move his case forward since the attorney he had at the time was seemed to not be pushing his case. He does recall signing the documents sent to the court but denies having any knowledge of the inserted documents with the language stated above. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) does not wish harm on anyone and apologized for not knowing the documents were part of the filing. USM-11 was created for the interview. Based on the information contained in the JDIS record and the interview conducted on 11/23/2021, it is believed that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) does not have the intent, motive, or ability to carry out a threat against United States Marshal Service (USMS) protected persons. It is recommended that this Protective investigation be closed. If any new or contrary information is received it shall be reviewed and acted upon according to USMS policies. End of Report	Veiled Threat	Written			
Closed	2022/08/13 00:00:00	2022/08/15 00:00:00	2022	2023/01/20 00:00:00	U.S. ATTORNEY	10	NM/D	Arrest/Close	2023/01/20 17:25:21	On 8/13/2022, the District of New Mexico (D/NM), Las Cruces Office was made aware of an email sent to several New Mexico and New Jersey Federal judges. The email was sent on August 13, 2022, at approximately 14:07 hrs EDT, the sender identifies himself as (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) email (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)@gmail.com. The concerning language within the email, "The consequences of this, for many, will be irreparable." After reviewing the information received across the country it appears that sender (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)@gmail.com is a prolific emailer. Information has been reviewed for the past six months and there appears to be no escalation. The sender appears to be complaining as well as warning Americans to stay away from the area he is at which is possibly in Europe. Based on all the information reviewed it does not appear that the sender is an immediate threat to USMS protected persons at this time. The clerk's officer has been informed to continue forwarding any correspondence they receive from the sender. This Assessment is being closed but any new correspondence will be reviewed and acted upon as needed. Assessment Closed End of Report	Veiled Threat	Email/Internet			

Closed	2022/09/22 00:00:00	2022/09/23 00:00:00	2022 2	2022/12/23 00:00:00	U.S. PROBATION OFFICER	10	NM/D	Arrest/Close	2022/12/21 11:44:06	On 9/22/2022, the Judicial Security Unit (JSU) for the District of New Mexico (D/NM) received an email from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (Deputy Chief United States Probation Office, D/NM). The email was to report that United States Probation Officer (USPO) (b)(6); (b)(6); was receiving concerning text messages from a former client. The Client was identified as (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (FID# (b)(7)(E) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has been sending text messages to USPO (b)(6); government issued cellular phone from phone number. On 12/20/2022, Protective Intelligence Coordinator (b)(6); conducted a review of the Justice Detainee Information System file on this assessment. District Threat Investigator (DTI) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has conducted a through assessment of the activity of concern. During the assessment DTI (b)(6); was informed that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was venting his feeling toward his mother and the government (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated it was his constitutional Freedom of Speech Right." The information was provided by the New Mexico State Probation Office. The New Mexico State Probation Office stated that a mental health hospital would not conduct a mental health evaluation due to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) not threatening to harm himself. The State Probation Office also stated that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has been reporting to both New Mexico Probation and Parole Department as well as the Rehab Counseling Center. (b)(6); only has 14 days left on his State Supervision and the State Probation Regional Manager and State Probation Supervisor in Deming, New Mexico decided that there was no violation of probation when presented with the text messages sent to USPO (b)(6); On 10/25/2022, DTI (b)(6); was informed that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was successfully discharged from the New Mexico State Probation Office. Since September (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has not attempted to contact USPO (b)(6); and has had no contact with law enforcement. Based on all the information collected this assessment is being closed. There appears to be no immediate threat to USPO (b)(6); at this time. If any new information is provided it will be assessed per USMS policy. USPO (b)(6); has been provided with security briefings as well as increased patrols in her neighborhood. It has been noted that USPO (b)(6); husband is a (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Sheriff's Deputy. Assessment Closed END OF REPORT	Suspicious Behavior, Obsessive Desire for Contact	Telephone			
--------	---------------------	---------------------	--------	---------------------	------------------------	----	------	--------------	---------------------	--	---	-----------	--	--	--

Closed	2022/09/06 00:00:00	2022/09/08 00:00:00	2022	2022/10/24 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	10	CO/D	Arrest/Closure	2022/10/24 17:43:00	UPDATE: Case Review, Assessment and Closure REVIEW: On September 3, 2022 (b)(6) gained unauthorized access to the Byron Rogers Federal Courthouse via an unsecured handicap entrance. While attempting to exit via an emergency exit, (b)(6) caused damage to government property by forcing his way out of the courthouse and leaving personal property behind. On September 6, 2022 (b)(6) was interviewed by PII (b)(6) and JSI (b)(6) regarding his unauthorized entry into the Byron Rogers Federal Courthouse on September 3, 2022. In the interview, (b)(6) stated that he had been chased into the courthouse by "gang stalkers". He stated that he heard a voice tell him to go to the handicap entrance. He ran to the handicap entry door and found it unsecured and walked in. After entering the courthouse, (b)(6) attempted to exit via another door and found himself trapped in a secure stairwell fire exit. Eventually, he was able to force the door open to the exterior but not before attempting to manipulate secure access card readers leading to damage of government property within the secure stairwell exit area. (b)(6) at no time indicated or alluded to that his unauthorized entrance into the courthouse was related to his civil cases before the Colorado District Courts. Closed circuit video surveillance video of (b)(6) actions while in the courthouse was reviewed and did not show him exhibiting behaviors of research or ambush. PII (b)(6) asked (b)(6) about his current civil case to which he said he was expecting a payout of at least a million dollars for his wrongful arrests and convictions, similar to that of (b)(6), (b)(6) and (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) (Both had been wrongfully convicted for murder and eventually exonerated by the State of Colorado in 2008 and 2012 respectfully). (b)(6) stated he was confident that he was going to win his appeal and get paid. (b)(6) further stated that the Government and law enforcement were using the "gang stalkers" to set him up to prevent him from getting his payment. (b)(6) is a Pro Se litigant who has filed 12 civil cases before the Colorado District Court and 6 appellate cases before the 10th Circuit Court of Appeals. All District Court civil cases have been dismissed without prejudice. As of this report, only 2 cases are currently open before the 10th Circuit Court of Appeals. One has been issued orders to cure deficiency, the second is pending. There has been no recent interaction or activity between the District Court and (b)(6) reported to PII (b)(6) since the initial incident of unauthorized entry on September 3, 2022. (b)(6) last filing with the 10th Circuit Court of Appeals was via mail on September 23, 2022 in case 2022pr1120. In this last filing by (b)(6) he filed a handwritten, self-created court document "Notice to the Pannel". In the (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) appellate case filing, (b)(6) stated that he had been chased and threatened by "gang stalkers" since July 19, 2018 and that he had been "shot in the mouth and head with a shot gun chased and left to bleed to death...". (b)(6) continued stating that he was always being chased around the state by "gang stalkers" and calling 911 for help but was always arrested and served jail sentences. (b)(6) stated he has done nothing wrong and "Colorado's law enforcement is trying to kill me". (b)(6) requested the 10th Circuit Court panel to place him into "protective custody from the state of Colorados judicial system and law enforcement". He further wrote that [He] "I was chased into the federal courthouse this is ongoing and a effort to interfere with my compensation suire wich is a federal offense. I motion this pannell to order the entity known as Gangstalkers to stop its stalking". "I honestly belive this pannell is 100% aware of whats going on." It should be noted that (b)(6) referenced the two former Colorado State inmates that were exonerated for their crimes and that he feels that he was wrongfully persecuted and owed restitution also. On Septemb	Suspicious Behavior	Suspicious Activity	2022/09/06 00:00:00
--------	---------------------	---------------------	------	---------------------	---------------------------	----	------	----------------	---------------------	--	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------

Closed	2021/10/04 00:00:00	2021/10/05 00:00:00	2022	2022/01/27 00:00:00	U.S. CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE	10	NM/D	Arrest/Close	2022/01/27 16:07:44	On 10/4/2021, at approximately 08:00 hrs., The United States Marshal Service (USMS), District of New Mexico (D/NM), Albuquerque Office, received information from the chambers of United States Circuit Judge (b)(6); (b)(6); Paralegal (b)(6); (b)(6); reported that she had found a bullet hole in the kitchen window of the office space located in the Albuquerque Plaza - Office Space, 201 3rd Street Northwest, Suite 1870, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102. The incident occurred over the weekend and no employees were in the office spaces. Judicial Security Inspector (JSI) (b)(6); and Protective Intelligence Coordinator (PIC) (b)(6); responded to the office along with Inspector (b)(6); (b)(6); (Federal Protective Service - Region 7). While at the building it was found that the Alcohol Tabaco and Firearms (ATF) Office (Suite 1555) had also sustained damage to one of their windows. Later the United States Attorney's Office (USAO) also reported damage to their office spaces located on the 9th and 8th floors of the building. After further inspection it was found that offices on the 7th (private office space), 8th, 9th (USAO), 15th (ATF), and 18th (judicial office space) floors had sustained damage to windows facing west. On October 11, 2021, the Bernalillo County Building at 415 Silver Ave SW, Albuquerque, NM 87102, was vandalized by apparent gun shots. On October 12, 2021, the Bernalillo County Sheriff's Office (BCSO) obtained security footage from cameras located within the parking garage at the Simms Building (400 Gold Ave SW). Some individuals on the footage were identified and one individual was charged. BCSO reports provide the detailed information. The two incidents have not been linked. The Albuquerque Police Department is still working on trajectory data. Update: On 01/25/2022, PIC (b)(6); reviewed the information provided by the Albuquerque Police Department Crime Laboratory Case No. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). After reviewing the information provided the event appears to be a random act of violence. The Albuquerque Downtown area has become an area where individuals commonly fire round into the air or at buildings. Instances of individuals firing weapons from moving vehicles has also been reported. The area has seen an increase in these types of random acts. The information collected and reviewed by the Albuquerque Police Department places the shots possibly being fired from a city owned parking structure on Copper Ave NW (between 5th and 6th streets). The structure was searched days after the incident with no positive results for casings. The structure does not have security cameras at the top of the building. The shots may also have just been fired from that general direction. Based on the information collected it appears that no USMS protected person was the target of this random act of violence. It is recommended that this Protective Investigation be Closed.	Suspicious Behavior	Physical	2021/10/04 00:00:00	2021/10/04 00:00:00	2021/10/04 00:00:00
--------	---------------------	---------------------	------	---------------------	--------------------------	----	------	--------------	---------------------	--	---------------------	----------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------

Closed	2021/10/18 00:00:00	2021/10/20 00:00:00	2022/03/11 00:00:00	U.S. PROBATION OFFICER	10	NM/D	Arrest/Closure	2022/03/11 14:08:29	On 10/18/2021, Protective Intelligence Coordinator (PIC) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) received a request from Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Special Agent (SA) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). The request was for assistance in identifying an individual's cell mate. After a closer review of the email chain (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) noticed that Federal Public Defender (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) had informed Assistant United States Attorney (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) of the following: "Mr. (b)(6); told me yesterday afternoon that his cell mate has been fuming about his PSR and has been saying he is going to blow up the Probation Department when he gets out? The sense I get is that the cellmate is just mouthing off, but, he is apparently in jail facing charges of threats on a federal building. According to Mr. (b)(6); he previously called in (a) bomb threat(s), and that's what landed him in your office's cross hairs to start with. That led me to think that this might be significant." "I am writing to see if this information is of potential value, and to determine whether you would want to debrief him on it?" Mr. (b)(6); has been identified: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) USMS# (b)(6); located at the Cibola County Correction Center (FBI Arrest-Indictment, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Possession of a Weapon). Former Cell mate identified: (b)(6); (b)(6); USMS# (b)(6); located at the Cibola County Corrections Center (FBI Arrest-Criminal Complaint, (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Terroristic Threats). Update: On 03/11/2022, Protective Intelligence Coordinator (PIC) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and District Threat Investigator (DTI) (b)(6); (b)(6); conducted an in-person interview with (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) United States Marshal Service Number (USMS#) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) at the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). (b)(6); was willing to speak with investigators and provided information that he did not want to harm anyone or cause harm to the federal courthouse, probation office or Mr. (b)(6); and his family (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) believes it was someone at the facility who wanted to lessen their time who made the false statements about him making threats. Based on the information provided from the interview and the lack of evidence to the contrary it is recommended that this threat investigation be closed. Based on the record (b)(6); does not have the motive intent or ability at this time to carry out the threats alleged against him. If any further information is developed or received it will be reviewed and acted upon per USMS policy. End of Report Case Closed	Suspicious Behavior	Informant	2021/10/13 00:00:00	2021/10/13 00:00:00
--------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------	------------------------	----	------	----------------	---------------------	--	---------------------	-----------	---------------------	---------------------

Closed	2022/01/18 00:00:00	2022/01/18 00:00:00	2022/09/08 00:00:00	2022/09/08 00:00:00	US DISTRICT JUDGE	10	CO/D	Arrest/Closure	2022/09/08 13:22:30	ASSESSMENT and CLOSURE: Court Cases: (b)(6); (b)(6); has filed seven (8) civil lawsuits in Federal Courts in the Districts of Colorado, Delaware, New Jersey, Western District of Pennsylvania, and Southern New York. All these lawsuits were focused on suing his family (S/NY) or Jefferson County, CO, Colorado State Judge (b)(6); and his former landlord (b)(6); (b)(6); and her minor child (D/CO, D/DE, D/NJ). All federal lawsuits have been dismissed for failure to follow courts orders and filing procedures except for Western Pennsylvania. (b)(6); has filed appeals on three (3) of these cases and all three (3) have upheld the District Courts rulings and dismissals. A search of the State of Colorado State Courts database showed (b)(6); was involved in four (4) cases including one (1) criminal case for Driving Under the Influence. Criminal History: NCIC/NLETS has only one arrest on record for (b)(6); In 2014 he was arrested in the State of Colorado for Driving Under the Influence. The charge was pled down to Reckless Driving with 180 days of unsupervised probation and completion of driver safety class. Court records showed that (b)(6); successfully completed both probation and the class. No other criminal history was located. PII (b)(6); conducted an NCIC/NLETS and Query Wanted Persons on (b)(7)(E) on July 14, 2022. Returned results were unchanged with no new arrests or warrants. Family: Investigation has revealed that (b)(6); is estranged from his family and holds them responsible for his problems. (b)(6); has filed numerous lawsuits against his father and step-mother as well as had police reports and restraining orders filed against him. PII (b)(6); has attempted to obtain the police reports in New York. As of this report, no reports have been provided. Assessment: Based on (b)(6); court filings in Federal Court, his beliefs appear to be irrational, takes no responsibility and blames others for his issues. (b)(6); believes that he is the victim of human trafficking and that the actual State of Colorado is the trafficker, his former landlord (b)(6); (b)(6); along with her minor child, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and the Internal Revenue Service along are responsible. (b)(6); further believes that (b)(6); is an undercover United States Marine operative and that she and her minor child are responsible for hacking his social media causing him to receive friend requests of transgender individuals as well as harassing him by calling him from different phone numbers. Further research of public court filings and documents submitted by (b)(6); show that he believes that multiple unknown domestic and foreign hackers have manipulated his credit score, academic records, social media accounts and employment in conspiracy with (b)(6);, the Internal Revenue Service, Federal Bureau of Investigation, State of Colorado, State Judge (b)(6); Facebook and various multiple social media platforms to harm him and prevent him from earning a wage that he feels he is entitled to. PII (b)(6); has reviewed multiple documents, court responses and (b)(6); emails sent to the District of Colorado Clerk's Office for threats and inappropriate communications. As stated in the justification of this investigation, (b)(6); stated multiple times of "retaliation" towards all parties involved in his civil lawsuits. The statement of "retaliation" was in general terms and (b)(6); never stated any specific threats nor did he ever specifically address USDJ (b)(6); (b)(6); as a target. (b)(6); did mention in general terms the Federal Judge presiding over his civil lawsuit but never stated USDJ (b)(6); (b)(6); As a precaution that there may be, is or will be an act of potential violence towards USDJ (b)(6); PII (b)(6); opened this predicated investigation. It should also be noted that PII (b)(6); has provided information to the Jefferson County Sheriff's department whose jurisdiction covers State Judge (b)(6); and (b)(6); (b)(6); of (b)(6); statements. Jefferson County Sheriff has	Mis-Belief That a Debt is Owed, Direct Threat, Extraordinary Complaint or Outrage Over the Outcome of a Court Case	Email/Internet	2022/01/19 00:00:00	2022/01/19 00:00:00	2022/01/18 00:00:00
--------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------	-------------------	----	------	----------------	---------------------	---	--	----------------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------

Closed	2022/01/27 00:00:00	2022/01/27 00:00:00	2022	2023/05/12 00:00:00	CLERK OF THE COURT	10	NM/D	Arrest/Closure	2023/05/11 18:44:59	On 01/27/2022, The Honorable (b)(6); (b)(6); (United States Magistrate Judge District of New Mexico), contacted Supervisory Deputy United States Marshal (SDUSM) (b)(6); (b)(6); Judge (b)(6); (b)(6); provided SDUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); with a filing made on December 13, 2021, by (b)(6); (b)(6); in case number (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Judge (b)(6); (b)(6); expressed her concern for the language contained in the filing. SDUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); contacted Protective Intelligence Coordinator (PIC) (b)(6); (b)(6); and provided him with Judge (b)(6); (b)(6); concern. On 03/17/2022, (b)(6); (b)(6); was transported out of the District of New Mexico in transit to a Bureau of Prisons Facility for evaluation. On 01/24/2023, Protective Intelligence Coordinator (b)(6); (b)(6); received communication that (b)(6); (b)(6); arrived in New Mexico on 01/20/2023. According to the New Mexico Attorney General's Office (USAO) (b)(6); (b)(6); completed his dangerousness evaluation. According to the USAO Judge (b)(6); (b)(6); has found (b)(6); (b)(6); incompetent and the USAO is going to dismiss the charges. Based on the information provided the attorney is working to have (b)(6); (b)(6); weapons removed from his home. (b)(6); (b)(6); did not like being in custody and does not want to repeat it again. Possibly planning to move to Texas. On 01/27/2023, the United States District Court for the District of New Mexico issued an order to dismiss the indictment in case (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(6); The order states the following: THIS MATTER comes before the Court on the United States' Motion to Dismiss the Indictment as to Defendant (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); The Court, being fully advised in the premises, makes the following findings: 1. Mr. (b)(6); (b)(6); suffers from a (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(6); and defect which renders him (b)(6); (b)(6); incompetent to stand trial and assist in his defense; 2. There is no probability in the foreseeable future treatment that Mr. (b)(6); (b)(6); will be restored to competency; and 3. Mr. (b)(6); (b)(6); does not meet the criteria for commitment for dangerousness pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 4246. Doc. 117-1. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the indictment in this cause be dismissed without prejudice as to Defendant (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(6); IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the United States Clerk's Office provide three (3) certified copies of this order each to the United States Marshal Service, as its authority to proceed hereunder. End of Order Signed by Judge (b)(6); (b)(6);. The order is being uploaded into (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(6); From the time of (b)(6); (b)(6); release to date DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); has been in contact with Attorney (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(6);s representation of (b)(6); (b)(6); has ended, and Mr. (b)(6); (b)(6); has no further information on (b)(6); (b)(6); DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); has contacted the U.S. Secret Service along with the Bernalillo County Sheriffs Office. Both agencies have not had any further contact with (b)(6); (b)(6); and (b)(6); (b)(6); has not attempted to file any other cases or paperwork in federal court. Based on all the information contained in the Justice Detainee Information System it appears (b)(6); (b)(6); is no longer writing what he was prior to his release. Mr. (b)(6); (b)(6); had mentioned that (b)(6); (b)(6); main concern was while he was in custody. Once released (b)(6); (b)(6); did not want any further contact with law enforcement and just wanted to get his life back in order. It appears that (b)(6); (b)(6); has taken his attorneys advise. Based on all the information reviewed it appears that (b)(6); (b)(6); is not an immediate threat to USMS protected persons at this time. It is recommended that this case be closed and that any new information received be reviewed and acted upon per USMS policy. No further actions are required at this time. Case Closed End of Report	Direct Threat	Written	2022/01/27 00:00:00
--------	---------------------	---------------------	------	---------------------	--------------------	----	------	----------------	---------------------	--	---------------	---------	---------------------

Closed	2022/03/01 00:00:00	2022/03/03 00:00:00	2022	2023/03/06 00:00:00	U.S. MAGISTRATE	10	CO/D	Arrest/Closure	2023/02/27 18:08:34	CASE BACKGROUND On March 1, 2022, Protective Intelligence Inspector (b)(6); received an email from District of Colorado (D/CO) U.S Clerk of the Court's Office, Case Administrator Supervisor (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) involving a threatening letter addressed to U.S District Judge (USDJ) (b)(6); (b)(6); U.S Magistrate Judge (USMJ) (b)(6); (b)(6); and USDJ (b)(6); (b)(6); from (b)(6); (b)(6); In the letter, (b)(6); accused both USDJ (b)(6); and USMJ (b)(6); of "waffling" excuses and "have now set in motion a situation that must be resolved in violence." (b)(6); continued "Judge (b)(6); now is sentenced to death. I have to kill her now because of what she did by dismissal of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) against (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) She was warned. I catch her, she dies." (b)(6); further stated to USDJ (b)(6); "you're going to have to be killed unless you get me criminally charged, so I can prove fraud by the state courts. No other way out of this now." "I am extremely tired of Judge (b)(6); and sorry about how this has to go now, but I have no choice I have to use deadly force after I am released from state custody in four to five years or so." (b)(6); continued to write threats of violence towards all three federal judges with explanation that his intent is to be criminally charged for threatening a federal judge in order to be brought into the federal system, so he can present his evidence of state fraud and torture in a criminal trial. (b)(6); is currently incarcerated in the State of Colorado Department of Corrections (CDOC) Centennial Correctional Facility which offers treatment for inmates suffering from mental health issues. (b)(6); suffers from schizoid personality disorder and refuses to take medication. D/CO has investigated (b)(6); in 2017 and 2018 for prior written threats towards USDJ (b)(6); and USDJ (b)(6); using the same language and reasoning to be charged criminally, so he can present independent evidence based on his delusions during his criminal trial. (b)(6); has represented himself as Pro Se without legal representation in prior civil cases he has filed in D/CO Federal Court. (D/CO predicated protective investigation case numbers: (b)(7)(E) and (b)(7)(E) (b)(7)(E). In both cases, prosecution for the threats was declined.) INTENT: (b)(6); suffers from mental illness. (b)(6); states in his letters that his threats are intended to have him charged federally on threatening judicial officers, so he can use his trial process to put the Federal Government on trial for various conspiracies. MOTIVE: (b)(6); is under the delusion that he is to bring the Federal Government on trial to expose the conspiracy of 9/11 terrorist attacks. (b)(6); is further convinced that he is being forced mind-altering drugs to keep him silent. ABILITY: Unknown. (b)(6); is currently incarcerated and suffers from mental illness. His behavior should be considered unpredictable and presents a possibility of harm to others. (b)(6); made previous references to "punching staff" at his facility in order to go to trial in State Court to "expose" the corruption in Federal Government as well as write letters to the Federal Courts with threats to kill judges. METHOD OF DELIVERY: Written. (b)(6); has written three letters to the District of Colorado Federal Court and addressed them to U.S District Judge (USDJ) (b)(6); (b)(6); U.S Magistrate Judge (USMJ) (b)(6); (b)(6); and USDJ (b)(6); (b)(6); VICTIMS: U.S District Judge (b)(6); (b)(6); U.S Magistrate Judge (b)(6); (b)(6); U.S District Judge (b)(6); (b)(6); INCARCERATED/ NOT INCARCERATED: Incarcerated. Centennial Correctional Facility- Canon City, Colorado IMMEDIATE OR DEFERRED This case is initially considered deferred because (b)(6); remains incarcerated in the CDOC. However, due to the deterioration of (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), criminal history and new threats made towards USDJ (b)(6); USDJ (b)(6); and USMJ (b)(6); as well as Public Defense (b)(6); and Colorado District Judge (b)(6); prosecution will be considered upon further discussion	Reference to Death, Suicide, Weapons, Violence, Assassinations, etc., Reference to (or Claim Of) Mental Illness, Psychiatric Care, Anti-Psychotic Medications, etc., Conditional Threat, Veiled Threat, Direct Threat, Bizarre or Unreasonable Solicitation, Extraordinary Complaint or Outrage Over the Outcome of a Court Case	Informant	2022/03/01 00:00:00			2022/03/02 00:00:00
Closed	2022/08/04 00:00:00	2022/08/05 00:00:00	2022	2022/09/06 00:00:00	ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORN	10	OK/E	Arrest/Closure	2022/09/06 13:55:38	Case is closed after threat was mitigated. Please see previous USM11's for further information.	Suspicious Behavior	Suspicious Activity			2022/08/04 00:00:00	
Closed	2022/08/04 00:00:00	2022/08/05 00:00:00	2022	2022/09/06 00:00:00	ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORN	10	OK/E	Arrest/Closure	2022/08/08 10:30:20	On August 5th, 2022, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) provided DTI (b)(6); with follow-up information regarding the client that hired his company and the logistical reasons for (b)(6); (b)(6); location in front of AUSA (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)'s residence the previous morning. The narrative included a google maps diagram showing the target location. DTI (b)(6); finds this explanation reasonable and believes there is no credible threat currently to AUSA (b)(6); As as result, the threat case will be closed.	Suspicious Behavior	Suspicious Activity			2022/08/04 00:00:00	

Closed	2022/09/13 00:00:00	2022/09/15 00:00:00	2022	2023/01/25 00:00:00	DOJ ATTORNEY - ATR	10	CO/D	Arrest/Closure	2023/01/24 23:04:49	ASSESSMENT AND CLOSURE: On January 19, 2023 PII (b)(6); contacted (b)(6); (b)(6); Chief of Security and Facilities Management for the USDOJ Antitrust Division to follow up on this case. Chief (b)(6); stated that there had been no further reports from (b)(6); (b)(6); about contact from (b)(6); since the interview with (b)(6); on October 21, 2022 by PII (b)(6); and JSI (b)(6); PII (b)(6); reviewed this case with the Judicial Support Center Circuit Senior Inspector (b)(6); and it was determined that this case should be closed pending new information based on the following assessment and information: (b)(6); has not made any new attempts to contact (b)(6); (b)(6); since being interviewed by USMS in Colorado Springs, CO. During the interview with (b)(6); there was no indication that (b)(6); had conducted in-depth research into (b)(6); (b)(6); personal life. At the time, the reference (b)(6); made to "see you in Texas" in the September 9, 2022 email, (b)(6); (b)(6); had various personal information available on the internet found through open-source social and professional media listing Texas. At no time, did (b)(6); refer to specific locations, cities or describe any intimate information about (b)(6); (b)(6); in any of his emails to her. The Amtrak itinerary (b)(6); emailed to (b)(6); (b)(6); showed a change of train in Chicago, IL during his travel to the District of Columbia. PII (b)(6); researched the train stop in Chicago and found that Chicago is the main hub for Amtrak train travel across the continental United States and to change trains to continue travel is common. At no time did (b)(6); itinerary email or any other email mention Chicago or the fact that (b)(6); (b)(6); position with the Antitrust Division is based in Chicago. PII (b)(6); contacted the District of Columbia District Court Judicial Security Unit to request assistance in notifying the Department of Justice and Federal Protective Service of (b)(6); intent to travel to the Department of Justice Main Division building to give a "deposition". Neither PII (b)(6); or the Judicial Security Division were notified by building security or Federal (b)(7)(E) Service of (b)(6); attempt to enter the building. (b)(6); did not show up. At the same time as (b)(6); train arrival, (b)(6); (b)(6); was concerned about attending an awards ceremony and training in the District of Columbia. None of this information was publicly available and (b)(6); did not have knowledge of either the ceremony or training or (b)(6); (b)(6); intent to travel. (b)(6); did not make any reference to the dates of travel or the ceremony or training in any of his previous emails or during the interview. (b)(6); stated he sent the itinerary to (b)(6); (b)(6); to let her know that he was going to be in DC to do a deposition at the DOJ to expose the corrupt politicians in Colorado and their LGBTQ influence during his interview with PII (b)(6); and JSI (b)(6); PII (b)(6); requested a Protective Interest File be created and submitted to the NCIC on (b)(6); to be notified of (b)(6); contacts with law enforcement. As of this report, there have been no contacts between (b)(6); and law enforcement. PII (b)(6); requested that the PIF Notification in NCIC be removed/closed through the Threat Management Center with US Marshal's Service. PII (b)(6); conducted a search using the (b)(7)(E) database available to law enforcement and found two possible current cities for (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); stated during his interview that he had family in (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and was going to go live with them and seek medical treatment at the Veterans Affairs Hospital located in Richmond. It is believed that (b)(6); currently resides in the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) area. Based on the above information and no further interaction between (b)(6); and (b)(6); (b)(6); since October 20, 2022, PII (b)(6); has determined that this investigation be closed pending any new information. Case Closed.	Bizarre or Unreasonable Solicitation	Informant	2022/09/15 00:00:00	2022/09/15 00:00:00
--------	---------------------	---------------------	------	---------------------	--------------------	----	------	----------------	---------------------	--	--------------------------------------	-----------	---------------------	---------------------

Closed	2022/09/01 00:00:00	2022/09/01 00:00:00	2022/09/01 00:00:00	2023/01/23 00:00:00	U.S. MAGISTRATE	10	NM/D	Arrest/Closure	2023/01/23 12:47:07	On 09/01/2022, Protective Intelligence Coordinator (PIC) (b)(6); received information of law Clerk (b)(6); (b)(6); having his courthouse access terminated. The information provided states that (b)(6); has been experiencing personal issues - divorce/child custody. (b)(6); has made several unusual postings that could be deemed threatening. (b)(6); was terminated by the New Mexico District Court on 09/01/2022. On 01/23/2023, (b)(6); conducted a review of the Justice Detainee Information System (b)(7)(E) on this case. After being terminated by the courts (b)(6); exhibited some mental health concerns. The Albuquerque Police Department (APD) Crisis Intervention Team (CIT) was asked to assist along with the Bernalillo County Sheriffs Office (BCSO) CIT. The collaborative team worked diligently in monitoring (b)(6); for any possible threats to himself as well as to USMS protected persons and places. Over the course of the investigation (b)(6); was committed several times for mental health evaluations. Two of the times were unsuccessful but the team continued to work with family to help (b)(6); get the required treatment. On 10/27/2022, (b)(6); was apprehended on criminal burglary charges of a business as well as on a failure to appear warrant. The Bernalillo County Metropolitan Detention Center (MDC) received all history and worked with APD on treating (b)(6); (b)(6); was released from MDC on 11/02/2022 and transferred to the University of New Mexico Hospital (UNMH) for treatment. While at UNMH (b)(6); was stabilize and was released on 11/21/2022. (b)(6); social media accounts were monitored for any signs of possible threats. To date there have been no such threats and it appears that (b)(6); has stabilized with the treatment provided by UNMH. APD CIT visited (b)(6); on 12/13/2022 and reported that (b)(6); appeared to be doing well. (b)(6); acknowledged his psychosis in recent contacts. (b)(6); also stated to CIT that he was compliant with his treatment. APD CIT subsequently closed out their case on (b)(6); (b)(6); has been ordered by the 2nd Judicial Court to abstain from cannabis and to submit to random drug testing. The Bernalillo County Sheriffs Office (CIT) continues to monitor (b)(6); since he still has open cases within their jurisdiction. Based on all the information reviewed it is the recommendation of the D/NM JSU to close this protective investigation. Currently (b)(6); case has been mitigated by medical intervention/treatment as well as the monitoring of the 2nd Judicial Court, and BCSO CIT. There appears to be no immediate threat to USMS protected persons or places at this time. The court family has been informed of the current closure of this case but has been advised to report any activity of concern regarding (b)(6); If any additional information is received it will be reviewed and acted upon per USMS policy. Protective Investigation Closed End of Report	Suspicious Behavior, Obsessive Desire for Contact	Email/Internet	2022/10/13 00:00:00	2022/10/20 00:00:00
Closed	2022/07/27 00:00:00	2022/07/28 00:00:00	2022/07/28 00:00:00	2022/11/02 00:00:00	US COURT FACILITY	11	GA/N	Arrest/Closure	2022/10/03 11:04:32	(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has not contacted the 11th Circuit Court of Appeal and nonspecific US Marshals Service (USMS) protectees with any inappropriate or threatening communications since his initial contact on 7/27/2022. Active online searches have revealed no communications from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). The case will be closed.	Direct Threat	Telephone		
Closed	2022/08/22 00:00:00	2022/08/22 00:00:00	2022/08/22 00:00:00	2022/08/23 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	11	GA/N	Arrest/Closure	2022/08/22 15:39:22	Case open in error. Refer to (b)(7)(E) Case close	Bizarre or Unreasonable Solicitation	Telephone		

Closed	2022/06/28 00:00:00	2022/07/01 00:00:00	2022	2022/07/07 00:00:00		11	GA/S	Arrest/Close	2022/07/07 15:39:50	Follow up investigation revealed that (b)(6); (b)(6); has an extensive criminal history, with multiple arrests for weapons offenses, aggravated assaults, battery, drug possession, terroristic threats, willful obstruction, and criminal trespass. Although (b)(6); criminal history demonstrates that he is a convicted felon, there has been no information discovered that suggests any nexus between (b)(6); and the federal criminal justice system at the time of this incident. Further information has suggested that (b)(6); was not intentionally targeting the Frank M. Scarlett Federal building or its occupants but was running away from a perceived threat and attempting to seek shelter at the nearest building. According to the Brunswick PD incident report in this matter, United States Post Office personnel who witnessed (b)(6); banging on the exterior loading dock doors said (b)(6); had repeatedly stated that someone was trying to shoot him. A review of the camera footage from the rear of the Frank M. Scarlett Federal Building appeared to confirm (b)(6); frame of mind, as he could be seen constantly looking over his shoulder at or about the time this incident was occurring. The camera footage also showed that (b)(6); possessed a small firearm as he walked back and forth from door to door on the loading dock, but never used the pistol to accost any USPS employee at the Frank M. Scarlett Federal Building. In fact, the evidence indicates that (b)(6); did just the opposite, purposely moving the weapon from the hand in which he was holding it and hiding it from view by placing it inside a balled-up t-shirt when interacting with a USPS employee. (b)(6); activities on the loading dock that morning are not indicative of a factual predicated threat and there is no known evidence to suggest that (b)(6); currently possesses the motive or intent to harm USMS Protective Interests. At this time, (b)(6); remains in custody at the Glynn County Jail in Brunswick, GA with pending state charges for Felon in Possession of Firearm, Drug Possession, and Trespassing. Federal charges for Felon in Possession may also be pursued. Given that (b)(6); does not currently possess the motive and intent to physically harm USMS Protective Interests and the determination made that no factual predicated threat exists, S/GA will not elevate this matter to a PI Threat case. Given the determination that (b)(6); does not currently possess the motive and intent to physically harm USMS Protective Interests and that no factual predicated threat exists, this PI Assessment will not be elevated to a PI Threat and will be closed on July 7th, 2022.	Suspicious Behavior	Suspicious Activity			
Closed	2022/01/26 00:00:00	2022/01/27 00:00:00	2022	2022/07/13 00:00:00	ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY	11	FL/M	Arrest/Close	2022/07/11 15:39:59	On 05/02/2022, the Honorable (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) of the Circuit Court and Comptroller, entered Judgement and Sentence for (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(6); was adjudicated guilty for Murder, Burglary of Dwelling with person assaulted, Armed Robbery with a Firearm, Possession of a Firearm by Convicted Felon, Arson of Dwelling and Tampering with Physical Evidence. (b)(6); was Sentenced to 4 Life Sentences plus 50 Years. After all interviews were concluded it is this office's belief that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); was wanting to be sentenced to life in the federal system to avoid the Death Penalty in the State of Florida. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); was subsequently allowed to make a plea to 4 life sentences to avoid that outcome. It is this office's opinion that this case is currently mitigated after reviewing all interviews and the current sentences imposed by the state. All parties that were threatened by (b)(6); have been debriefed on the results of the investigation. This case will be closed in the files of this office pending any new information which warrants its reopening. INVESTIGATION CLOSED	Direct Threat	Informant			

Closed	2022/06/02 00:00:00	2022/06/02 00:00:00	2022/06/21 00:00:00	2022/06/21 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	11	FL/M	Arrest/Close	2022/06/21 09:03:02	On 06/16/22, contact was made with (b)(6); mother and conducted an interview with her. She was aware of the USMS interview that had recently taken place at the prison with her son. She related that he was not a violent person and but had numerous psychological disorders that prevent him from carrying on normal conversations or having normal interactions with people. She further related that he sometimes sends letters and that the way he writes could get misunderstood as threatening in nature. She stated that he has a documented brain injury that occurred as a baby and that he has had learning disabilities since. It was related to the her that the letters he had sent were border line veil threats and if he crosses that line if could be prosecuted federally. She stated she would contact his attorney to explain the seriousness of his actions and that she would try to explain it to him when he calls. Collateral lead response from N/FL is in (b)(7)(F) (b)(6); explanation for the wording in his letter is as follows: "There is nothing threatening about the letter, it was written to show anger at the civil case ruling by the judge who is corrupt like the State Courts". "How can the Judges and Attorney be such liars and corrupt, having children and setting a bad example to them, and in turn them getting corrupted, living in a corrupt society". It is my opinion that this case is currently mitigated as a threat at this time. We will monitor any future correspondence he has with USDCJ (b)(6); for threats. We will be closing this case pending any further action which warrants it's reopening as a threat. INVESTIGATION CLOSED	Veiled Threat	Written			
Closed	2022/02/02 00:00:00	2022/02/03 00:00:00	2022/02/08 00:00:00	2022/02/08 00:00:00		11	FL/M	Arrest/Close	2022/02/07 16:30:38	Please see the attachment labeled HSI tipline investigation saved in case documents in (b)(7)(F) under (b)(6); (b)(6); FID # (b)(7)(E). This is an email sent from Special Agent (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to Jacksonville USAO. This email outlines the interview of (b)(6); as well as the decision of the USAO to not prosecute (b)(6); currently. Based on the above information DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) will close this Threat case.	Reference to Acts of Terrorism or War	Email/Internet			
Closed	2022/09/28 00:00:00	2022/09/28 00:00:00	2022/12/20 00:00:00	2022/12/20 00:00:00	11TH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS	11	GA/N	Arrest/Close	2022/12/20 11:11:52	(b)(6); (b)(6); has not contacted the 11th Circuit Court of Appeal and nonspecific US Marshals Service (USMS) protectees with any inappropriate or threatening communications since October 20, 2022. Active online searches have revealed no communications from subject. The case will be closed.	Conditional Threat, Bizarre or Unreasonable Solicitation	Email/Internet			

Closed	2022/09/23 00:00:00	2022/09/23 00:00:00	2022/11/23 00:00:00	2022/11/23 00:00:00	U.S. MAGISTRATE	11	GA/S	Arrest/Close	2022/11/23 15:15:58	Shortly after the inappropriate communication involving (b)(6); (b)(6); aka (b)(6); (b)(6); FID (b)(7)(E), was reported on September 8th, 2022, a CSO Bulletin was circulated at S/GA Courthouse Facilities and, to date, there have been no other reported incidents or events involving (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Although it was initially thought that her recent behavior and activity might continue to escalate, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) motion to reopen S/GA civil action (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was denied by the Court and her case ultimately terminated on October 18th, 2022, without any follow-up action by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Further investigation provided additional insight that (b)(6); (b)(6); may suffer from chronic mental health issues and a deeper dive into her self-published book, "You Must Plead Guilty", showcases (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) extreme beliefs and resulting compulsive behaviors which do not appear to be grounded in reality. The book also uncovers the extent of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) political, religious, and discriminatory beliefs which are firmly held and freely expressed and clearly demonstrate how (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) viewpoints are often proffered as a scapegoat for her perceived detrimental and unfair treatment by others. Inappropriate comments contained within (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) book include a statement on Page 165 that S/GA PO (b)(6); (b)(6); "should be hung" and a statement on Pages 411-412 that "justice from the almighty is coming to you fbi, and judges, and employers who will become out of business". Even though (b)(6); (b)(6); has expressed abhorrently inappropriate communications like S/GA PO (b)(6); (b)(6); "should be hung" and the Judge "is going straight to hell", there is no known history or current evidence to suggest that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) herself intends to physically harm USMS Protective Interests. Additionally, a review of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) passages in her recently self-published book implies that she is estranged from relatives and has no close associates, and the known information at this time suggests that (b)(6); (b)(6); does not actively possess the disposition to form or maintain close associations. Aside from her formidable verbal expressions of her strongly held opinions and beliefs, the known evidence suggests that (b)(6); (b)(6); continues to redress her grievances through legally and socially acceptable means like filing civil actions and publicizing her views through her self-published book. A review of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) criminal record indicates a history of nonviolent offenses and even though (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) verbal and written commentaries have been opinionated, abrasive, and sometimes inappropriate, her communications to date do not rise to the level of a predicated threat. MOTIVE (b)(6); (b)(6); is clearly motivated to retaliate against and ultimately remedy what she perceives as her unfair prosecution by the Federal Justice System that occurred years prior as well as correct what she believes is the improper dismissal of her current civil action, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) by the S/GA Court. INTENT (b)(6); (b)(6); is currently retaliating against these perceived injustices through scathing opinions and commentary which have occasionally risen to the level of inappropriate communications. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has historically sought and continues to seek remedy for her grievances through legally and socially acceptable forms of redress. Currently, there is no known information to indicate that (b)(6); (b)(6); possesses the intent to physically harm S/GA Protectees. In addition, there are no known associates willing to act on (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) behalf. ABILITY According to the S/GA Clerk's Office, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has never appeared in person to file anything with the Court, but some mailings to the Court in civil case (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) displayed a return address of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), which is approximately 40 minutes from the Courthouse. While it appears that one of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) many business ventures is associated with the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) address, most of her recent correspondence with the Court was postmarked from San Diego, CA and follow-up database checks lead the USMS to believe that (b)(6); (b)(6); has been	Suspicious Behavior, Disjointed, Sinister or Otherwise Questionable Content, Extraordinary Complaint or Outrage Over the Outcome of a Court Case	Telephone			
--------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------	-----------------	----	------	--------------	---------------------	--	--	-----------	--	--	--

Closed	2022/09/15 00:00:00	2022/09/16 00:00:00	2022	2022/11/15 00:00:00	US PROBATION OFFICER	11	GA/S	Arrest/Close	2022/11/15 14:50:08	Since the initial incident occurred on September 15th, 2022, in which (b)(6); left the two concerning voicemail messages for S/GA PO (b)(6); (b)(6); approximately two months have passed without any additional reported contact by (b)(6); Prior to the voicemail incident which initiated this PI Assessment, (b)(6); last contacted PO (b)(6); in May 2022 to complain of people gathering around the home where he and his mother reside at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) In that instance, (b)(6); told PO (b)(6); that he was going to do what he had to do to take care of that situation, clearly reflecting a similarity in the tone and language often used by (b)(6); and further establishing a known pattern of behavior. A follow up query with the Columbia County Sheriff's Office revealed that the CCSO was familiar with (b)(6); but there were no documented incidents or events during the May 2022 timeframe and no other contact with (b)(6); since March 2021. For additional background, the USMS contacted (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) in Augusta, GA to request any records for (b)(6); but was informed that the facility did not have any records on file from when (b)(6); was a resident back in 2016. An online open-source search for (b)(6); (b)(6); did not reveal any inappropriate communications or predicated threats against USMS Protective Interests and a USM-640 request sent to (b)(7)(E) confirmed no online presence or posts from (b)(6); According to PO (b)(6); the behavior exhibited is typical for (b)(6); as he has a documented (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) history of Schizophrenia with visual and auditory hallucinations and has also been known to self-medicate with controlled substances. While the catalyst for each of his inappropriate communication incidents is unknown, it's believed that (b)(6); unchecked mental health issues along with periods of self-medication likely contribute to his continual bouts of inappropriate communications. What is known is that while (b)(6); has a longstanding history of periodic contact with PO (b)(6); to express complaints against the USPO, he has never followed up any of his verbal complaints with in-person contact or physical acts of harm. A criminal records check revealed that (b)(6); has a long criminal history which includes state and/or federal charges for sexual assault, weapon offenses, drug offenses, theft offenses, willful obstruction, and harassing phone calls going back to 1989. In 2010, (b)(6); was sentenced to 96 months of BOP custody on a federal conviction and subsequently violated his conditions of confinement while housed at the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) in Augusta, GA near the end of his original term of incarceration. That period of incarceration was followed by 3 years of Supervised Release in which (b)(6); violated the terms of his Supervision and received an additional 11 months, eventually being released from both Supervision and BOP custody in 2018. Throughout his years on Supervision and beyond, (b)(6); has regularly expressed his longstanding complaints against both the RRC and USPO, but (b)(6); has never escalated his tone or rhetoric or physically acted on those verbal complaints. In addition to (b)(6); himself never having taken any substantive steps towards committing acts of physical harm against these entities, there is no known information to suggest that (b)(6); has any associates willing to carry out acts on his behalf. MOTIVE Although (b)(6); complaints are motivated by his frustration with the RRC for allegedly publicizing his criminal history as a sex offender and the USPO for allegedly not doing more to aid in his rehabilitation, his complaints are likely exacerbated by his underlying mental health issues and his tendency to self-medicate which occasionally manifests into incidents of inappropriate communications. INTENT It appears that (b)(6); is content with periodically expressing his frustration with the RRC and USPO through verbal admonishments and there is no historical or	Suspicious Behavior, Disjointed, Sinister or Otherwise Questionable Content	Telephone			
Closed	2022/09/07 00:00:00	2022/09/07 00:00:00	2022	2022/10/14 00:00:00	U.S. CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE	11	(b)(6);	Arrest/Close	2022/09/14 11:25:12	This Assessment will be closed as of this date. The USMS N/AL provided a security presence (3 DUSMs on September 8th and 2 DUSMs on September 9th) for events associated with the Federalists Society based on the presence of associated USMS protectees. Both events took place without incident. Assessment CLOSED.	Suspicious Behavior	Informant			
Closed	2022/08/23 00:00:00	2022/08/23 00:00:00	2022	2022/10/11 00:00:00	FEDERAL JUDGE	11	FL/M	Arrest/Close	2022/10/11 09:41:02	THIS PRELIMINARY INVESTIGATION WILL BE CLOSED DUE TO LACK OF CURRENT INFORMATION. THE ALLEGED VEILED THREAT WAS VAGUE AND OVER 1 YEAR AND 6 MONTHS OLD WHEN REPORTED TO USMS. HIS FEDERAL CASE WAS SUBSEQUENTLY DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE ON 06/23/21, A COUPLE OF MONTHS AFTER THE ALLEGED TEXT WAS SENT. ATTEMPTS TO LOCATE (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) MET WITH NEGATIVE RESULTS. IT IS BELIEVED HE RESIDES SOMEWHERE OUTSIDE OF THE CONTINENTAL UNITED STATES. IT IS THIS OFFICE'S BELIEF THAT (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) DOES NOT POSE A THREAT AT THIS TIME. THIS CASE WILL BE CLOSED PENDING AND NEW INFORMATION WHICH WARRANTS ITS REOPENING. PRELIMINARY INVESTIGATION CLOSED	Veiled Threat	Written			

Closed	2022/04/06 00:00:00	2022/04/08 00:00:00	2022 2	2022/05/27 00:00:00	ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY	11	FL/M	Arrest/Closure	2022/05/27 15:36:54	On 04/13/2022 (b)(6); (b)(7)(E) and (b)(6); (b)(6); register number (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) were interviewed at the United States Penitentiary in Thomson, Illinois (USP-TOM) by FBI SA (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(F). Also present was FBI Special Investigative Services Technician (SIST) (b)(6); (b)(6);. The FBI reports documenting a summary of those interviews have been uploaded into (b)(7) as part of this investigation. It should be noted that the interviews were electronically recorded and a copy of such is maintained by the FBI. Through the investigation it was learned from interviews and research by SIST (b)(6); and (b)(6) of the USP-TOM that (b)(6); has been placed on "required monitoring" by the BOP since 05/04/2014. SIST (b)(6) explained that due to the nature of the crime (b)(6); was convicted of (threat to a judge), (b)(6); was placed on this status and will remain so through the duration of his prison term. The details of this status are such that all telephone calls, regular outgoing mail, or emails sent by (b)(6); are to be monitored by SIS staff. Per BOP policy, all communications of inmates with this status had to be reviewed within 7 days of creation or transaction of said communication. If any communication were deemed potentially criminal or illegal in nature (such as drug trafficking, threats to life, etc., the communication would have been flagged by SIS. SIST (b)(6); provided an outgoing call log of both (b)(6); and (b)(6);. As such the call log indicated that (b)(6); made a total of 4 phone calls between October 1st, 2021, and April 13th, 2022. 2 phone calls on January 13th, 2022, one on February 16th, 2022, and one on March 13th, 2022. Of the calls made by (b)(6); nothing in reference to (b)(6); a plan to solicit a hitman or this investigation was discussed. The call log history for (b)(6); indicated that (b)(6); had made no outgoing calls from October 1st, 2021, to April 13th, 2022. SIST (b)(6); provided the commissary account balances for (b)(6); and (b)(6);. (b)(6); balance as of 04/13/2022 was \$191.04. (b)(6); had a balance of \$0.11 for the same period of time. The commissary report is maintained in the case file of this record by the FBI. In speaking with DUSM (b)(6); specifically discussing (b)(6); demeanor and relationship with (b)(6); during the interview. (b)(6); advised that it was his opinion that (b)(6); had no intention of taking part in any kind of murder for hire plot and wanted nothing to do with (b)(6);. He was fully cooperative and open with law enforcement and appeared to have nothing to hide. (b)(6); stated that during their 9 days in the cell together, (b)(6); continuously asked (b)(6); for commissary items like paper and other items because he didn't have the money to purchase his own. That statement is corroborated by (b)(6); in the attached FBI reports of interview as well. It should be noted that at the time of this investigation (b)(6); was listed by the BOP as having "restricted status," which means (b)(6); was not allowed access to telephone calls, emails, or commissary, and had those privileges revoked due to numerous internal disciplinary actions within the BOP. A digital copy of (b)(6); disciplinary history is maintained in this record by the FBI. It is the opinion of all the law enforcement agencies involved in this investigation that (b)(6); does not have means of the funds to execute the plot intended in his letters. However, as (b)(6); is currently serving a lengthy prison sentence (30 years) and has maintained a poor disciplinary record within the BOP, it is likely that his sending threats and disciplinary problems will continue in the future. This case is considered mitigated and closed in this district pending any new information which warrants its reopening.	Direct Threat	Written	2022/04/06 00:00:00		2022/04/07 00:00:00
Closed	2022/07/25 00:00:00	2022/07/20 00:00:00	2022 2	2023/05/17 00:00:00	COURT CLERK	11	FL/M	Arrest/Closure	2023/05/12 10:19:29	In reference to the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) threat investigation. After an investigation conducted by the Florida Department of corrections detectives the FLDOC has not produced sufficient evidence to go forward with a state prosecution and is closing the investigation. The U.S. Marshal Service kept this investigation open trailing the outcome of the potential for a state prosecution. Since that prosecution is not going forward the Marshal Service will be closing this case which has been mitigated due to (b)(6);'s circumstances. (b)(6); is currently serving a lengthy sentence in state prison on an unrelated case and does not have the means or capability to act on any threats.	Conditional Threat	Written	2022/07/20 00:00:00	2022/07/20 00:00:00	2022/07/20 00:00:00

Closed	2022/08/17 00:00:00	2022/08/18 00:00:00	2022 2	2022/09/21 00:00:00		11	AL/N	Arrest/Close	2022/09/21 11:10:47	On September 20, 2022 Deputy United States Marshal (DUSM) (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); spoke by telephone with DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); regarding recorded jail calls from (b)(6); (b)(6); DUSM (b)(6); had listened to calls made by (b)(6); after his return from his revocation hearing and advised he had not noted any concerning information. While on the phone, DUSM (b)(6); played the recording of the first call made by (b)(6); when he returned to jail after the hearing. The call was between (b)(6); and his girlfriend (b)(6); (b)(6);. In the call, (b)(6); appeared surprised that a recorded call had been played in court during his hearing and stated they had to be careful talking on the phone. (b)(6); related how the hearing went and discussed the sentence he received. (b)(6); indicated he felt he had won on a couple of issues in the hearing (being around a known felon and health related concerns). (b)(6); made two comments about his previous remark that he would beat her ass in court when speaking about United States Probation Officer (USPO) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); stated it was taken out of context which was consistent with previous information indicating the reference was speaking about winning his case and not a physical threat. (b)(6); discussed how he felt he would be released to a halfway house sooner rather than later and then discussed how (b)(6); would be able to travel to Mississippi to visit him based on a belief he would receive furlough releases while at the location. Based on queries by DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); is in transit with the Federal Bureau of Prisons (BOP) and will not be released in the timeframe mentioned by (b)(6); on the call. DUSM (b)(6); stated (b)(6); attempted to make multiple calls just prior to his transfer to BOP but none of the calls were answered. On the same date, DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); spoke with USPO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and discussed the information. USPO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated she had received a call from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) after the hearing asking about how soon (b)(6); would be released. (b)(6); was previously identified as being on federal supervision with USPO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) but she was also the sister of (b)(6); USPO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated (b)(6); was no longer living in the location where she had lived with (b)(6); but was living with relatives. DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); stated the USMS would be closing the investigation based on a lack of information showing any identifiable threat. DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); requested USPO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) contact the USMS if she learned of any additional activity of concern related to (b)(6);. On the same date, DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); spoke by telephone with Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Task Force Officer (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to follow up on a pending request for the interview of (b)(6); and to discuss any pending FBI activity. TFO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated no additional steps were being taken based on the statement by (b)(6); TFO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated he would check on the status of the (b)(6); interview report and later advised another TFO named (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) had the information. DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); spoke by telephone with TFO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) who advised the interview had been recorded (video and audio) and he would provide a copy to DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); Nothing was noted indicating the interview revealed any information indicating the statement made by (b)(6); was a credible threat. Based on all available information, it is believed this investigation is due to be closed due to a lack of information identifying a credible threat directed at a USMS Protectee. This investigation was opened based on the reported comment by (b)(6); that he would beat USPO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) 's ass in court. No other threats or potential threats were noted. To date, nothing has been noted to indicate (b)(6); statement to 'beat her ass in court' was related to any actual threat of physical violence. (b)(6); has been in the physical presence of USPO (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) on at least two occasions since the remark was made and made no attempts to harm her. (b)(6); has been difficult to supervise and has appeared to be man	Direct Threat	Telephone	2022/08/17 00:00:00		2022/08/18 00:00:00
Closed	2022/02/25 00:00:00	2022/02/28 00:00:00	2022 2	2022/05/09 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	12	DC/S	Arrest/Close	2022/05/09 14:56:28	As previously mentioned, all cases filed by (b)(6); have been dismissed and closed. (b)(6); has been ordered to seek pre-approval prior to filing any new cases at the DC Superior Court. Investigators believe that (b)(6); has returned to (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). At this time, no further legal paperwork has been delivered to any USMS protected personnel at their residence by (b)(6);. This case is being closed and may be reopened upon any triggering events.	Research Into Personal Affairs	Written			

Closed	2021/11/30 00:00:00	2021/12/01 00:00:00	2022	2022/08/12 00:00:00	DC SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE	12	DC/S	Arrest/Close	2022/08/12 14:28:46	Background On November 30th, 2021, Deputy United States Marshals (DUSMs) (b)(6); (b)(6); and (b)(6); responded to a report of threats made toward Judge (b)(6); (b)(6); After responding to courtroom C-10, DUSM's were advised (b)(6); (b)(6); had made multiple threats while being moved from courtroom C-10 to the United States Marshal Service (USMS) cell block. (b)(6); became upset after being held in C-10 arraignment court and said that he was going to kill Judge (b)(6); DUSMs (b)(6); (b)(6); and (b)(6); conducted an interview of (b)(6); in the USMS cellblock. As Investigators entered the area, (b)(6); immediately started making statements to the effect that he was going to "kill that Judge". DUSM (b)(6); used his government issued phone to record the spontaneous statements made by (b)(6); (b)(6); continued to make statements about how many people he allegedly killed and has never been caught. DUSM (b)(6); attempts to communicate with (b)(6); and was continuously interrupted by (b)(6); After (b)(6); finally calmed down enough for DUSM (b)(6); to read him his rights, (b)(6); continued to make interrupting statements. After DUSM (b)(6); finished reading (b)(6); his Miranda rights, (b)(6); stated he wanted a lawyer. At which time questioning about the alleged threat to kill the Judge ceased. Mitigation (b)(6); was incarcerated at D.C. Jail for the entirety of his cases. DUSM (b)(6); and (b)(6); continued to monitor (b)(6); through his numerous court appearances. (b)(6); never made additional threats during his court appearances or during his incarceration. Result On June 29, 2022, (b)(6); was seen in (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) for a status hearing in cases (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); accepted a global plea offer and changed his plea to guilty. Judge (b)(6); conducted a sentencing hearing as a result of the plea acceptance. (b)(6); was sentenced to 150 days of incarceration. After calculating time served, (b)(6); completed his sentence and was released on July 11, 2022. (b)(6); has not made any additional threats and has not had any additional interactions with law enforcement since his release, therefore this case is closed at this time. Case closed	Direct Threat	Verbal			
--------	---------------------	---------------------	------	---------------------	-------------------------	----	------	--------------	---------------------	--	---------------	--------	--	--	--

Closed	2022/09/21 00:00:00	2022/09/22 00:00:00	2022 2	2022/12/15 00:00:00	U.S. ATTORNEY	12	DC/S	Arrest/Closure	2022/12/15 13:56:40	Background: The following information is being provided as an overview of the investigation and the justification for the closure of threat assessment (b)(7)(E) but does not include all investigative activity associated with the case. This (b)(7)(E) assessment was initiated on 9/21/22. The PIU assessment coincided with DOJ OIG Special Agent (b)(6); investigation to determine if (b)(6); (b)(6); divulged DOJ information to unauthorized parties, and if she knowingly manipulated or removed official court documents. Given (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) access to DOJ and USAO information, including sensitive information concerning USMS protected parties, PIU opened the protective assessment to detect, obtain information about, and prevent federal crimes against USMS protected parties. Investigation: The Protective Investigations Unit (PIU), along with SA (b)(6); determined (b)(6); had been in a romantic relationship with (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); had contacted the USAO with a tip alleging (b)(6); had allowed him to view DOJ databases as an unauthorized person. On 9/27/22, DUSMs (b)(6); (b)(6); and (b)(6); (b)(6); interviewed (b)(6); along with SA (b)(6); (b)(6); denied ever sharing information of USMS protected persons (judges, AUSAs, or witnesses), with unauthorized persons or parties. (b)(6); stated she did not have access to databases that would include information of USMS protected parties such as their home addresses or phone numbers. Upon the conclusion of the interview, SA (b)(6); informed DUSMs (b)(6); and (b)(6); that (b)(6); did have access to information regarding witnesses. Whether or not she was aware she had access and/or did access and divulge this information is still being investigated. On 10/27/22, DOJ OIG Special Agent (SA) (b)(6); (b)(6); sent an update about their investigation via email. SA (b)(6); stated DOJ OIG did not have any additional leads or any information that would be of interest to the USMS. Additionally, the DOJ OIG cyber investigators had made an image of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) government laptop to search for any material of concern. On 11/14/22, DOSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); contacted PIU with an update on (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(6); retired from the USAO in October 2022. DOSM (b)(6); believed all pending administrative actions against (b)(6); were concluded. (b)(6); would not be returning to work for the USAO in any capacity. As a result of her retirement, (b)(6); would no longer have access to the USAO, its network or systems. DOSM (b)(6); received notification that (b)(6); had returned all of her U.S. Government issued equipment. PIU has not received further notification from SA (b)(6); that DOJ OIG has continued their investigation into (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); SA (b)(6); presented his findings to the USAO in Greenbelt and they declined to pursue any charges. Mitigation: Currently, no further mitigation strategies are necessary. PIU has not found any threats against USMS protected parties as a result of the alleged actions taken by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); Any alleged criminal activity has been investigated by DOJ OIG. (b)(6); retired and no longer has access to the USAO or any of its databases. Results: Based on the information highlighted above, this protective assessment will be closed as of this date due to no known threats to USMS protected parties and the lack of any further activities of concern. It is possible the USMS may be advised of new activities of concern regarding (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); Should new information be provided to the USMS, the information will be reviewed and evaluated to determine whether a new assessment or full predicated investigation should be opened. Protective assessment CLOSED.	Suspicious Behavior	Informant			
--------	---------------------	---------------------	--------	---------------------	---------------	----	------	----------------	---------------------	---	---------------------	-----------	--	--	--

Closed	2022/05/16 00:00:00	2022/05/17 00:00:00	2022	2022/10/04 00:00:00	ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY	12	DC/S	Arrest/Closure	2022/10/04 15:55:01	Background: The following information is being provided as an overview of the investigation and the justification for the closure of threat case (b)(7)(E) but does not include all investigative activity associated with the case. This full predicated investigation was initiated on 5/17/22. The investigation concerned the direct threat from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to Assistant U.S. Attorney (AUSA) (b)(6); (b)(6) while AUSA exited D.C. Superior Court (DCSC). AUSA (b)(6); represents the U.S. Government in US versus (b)(6); (b)(6); case (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) - Murder (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is the mother of (b)(6); (b)(6); After a hearing, AUSA (b)(6); did not recognize (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) while exiting the courthouse. AUSA (b)(6); held the door open for (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated she did not want AUSA (b)(6); to hold the door for her. AUSA (b)(6); laughed, still unaware that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was the mother of the defendant. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) made the statement, "Go ahead and laugh. Hee hee hee. You are lucky we are at the courthouse, or I would punch you in the face and beat your ass and you can go tell them inside that I said that." (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) gestured towards the courthouse. Investigation: The Protective Investigations Unit (PIU) determined (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) had two pending misdemeanor court cases (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) for driving without a permit. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) had no outstanding warrants. PIU attended the hearings for (b)(6); (b)(6); to monitor (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and potentially contact her in reference to the threatening statements she made. (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has not attended one of her son's hearings since the incident occurred. PIU attempted to contact (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) in the courthouse at her own hearings. Those hearings took place remotely. PIU has no information that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has returned to DCSC since her son's hearing in May 2022. On 8/9/22, PIU attended (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) sentencing hearing. The hearing also took place remotely and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was not physically present in the courthouse. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) pleaded guilty to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was dismissed. She received a suspended sentence of 20 days and six months of unsupervised probation. On 9/9/22, PIU re-interviewed AUSA (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has not contacted AUSA (b)(6); or had any further interaction since the incident in May 2022. AUSA (b)(6); believes the incident that occurred while exiting the courthouse was isolated and indicative of a mother angry about the situation involving her son. Mitigation: PIU provided AUSA (b)(6); with a security brief and monitored (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) through her own case hearings and those of her son. AUSA (b)(6); declined USMS escort to and from the courthouse or further security measures. Results: Based on the information highlighted above, this full predicated investigation will be closed as of this date due to the lack of further activity of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Based on the potential for heightened emotions in regards to the case involving (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) son, it is possible the USMS may be advised of new activity. Should new information be provided to the USMS, the information will be reviewed and evaluated to determine whether a new assessment or full predicated investigation should be opened. Full predicated investigation CLOSED.	Conditional Threat, Direct Threat	Verbal			
--------	---------------------	---------------------	------	---------------------	-------------------------	----	------	----------------	---------------------	--	-----------------------------------	--------	--	--	--

Closed	2022/09/06 00:00:00	2022/09/09 00:00:00	2022	2023/03/29 00:00:00	SUPERVISOR CHILD VISITATION	12	DC/S	Arrest/Close	2023/03/28 16:56:16	Background: The following information is being provided as an overview of the investigation and the justification for the closure of threat case (b)(7)(E) but does not include all investigative activity associated with the case. This (b)(7)(E) assessment investigation was initiated on 09/06/22. The investigation concerned the veiled threat from (b)(6); (b)(6); to Program Manager (b)(6); (b)(6); while he attempted to contact her in her office space at D.C. Superior Court (DCSC). Ms. (b)(6); oversees the court-ordered child visitation program at DCSC. (b)(6); was granted court-ordered visitation privileges and must coordinate his visitation with his children through Ms. (b)(6);. (b)(6); currently resides in (b)(6); and consistently complained about the time zone difference in conjunction with his availability due to his work schedule. (b)(6); expressed frustration over his requirement to work with the court in order to virtually visit with his children. On 09/06/22, (b)(6); physically came to the courthouse and asked other court employees for the location of Ms. (b)(6); office. (b)(6); made a statement to the effect of "it will not be pretty" if he did not locate Ms. (b)(6);. Investigation: The Protective Investigations Unit (PIU) determined (b)(6); resided in Texas, and likely traveled to the District of Columbia-Maryland-Virginia (DMV) area on 09/06/22 for a court hearing coinciding with his daughter's birthday. A criminal records check on 03/28/23 indicated (b)(6); did not have any active warrants. (b)(6); continued to contact Ms. (b)(6); and the office of the DCSC Executive Officer via email and phone calls. Although his language conveyed anger and resentment, (b)(6); made no additional veiled or direct threats. His concerning behavior diminished while under the supervision of Mr. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) a male supervisor. Even after Mr. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) could no longer accommodate (b)(6); visitation demands, (b)(6); continued weekly virtual visits with his children. Ms. (b)(6); conveyed to PIU that the DCSC Executive Office had no concerns about (b)(6);. She also confirmed that he still resides in (b)(6); and has no indication he plans to return to the DMV area. PIU did not have the opportunity to interview (b)(6);. Based the continued conversations with Ms. (b)(6);, PIU believed any attempts of the USMS to contact (b)(6); via a collateral lead would further agitate him. PIU has no information that (b)(6); has returned to DCSC since 09/06/22. Mitigation: PIU provided Ms. (b)(6); with a security brief and monitored (b)(6); through updates from Ms. (b)(6);. PIU conducted criminal records checks throughout the investigation to determine if (b)(6); behavior had deteriorated further. PIU conducted onsite security survey's of both Ms. (b)(6); workspace as well as the DCSC Executive Office. Results: Based on the information highlighted above, this protective assessment investigation will be closed as of this date due to the lack of further activity of (b)(6);. Based on the potential for heightened emotions in regard to (b)(6); court-ordered, supervised child visitation, it is possible the USMS may be advised of new activity. Should new information be provided to the USMS, the information will be reviewed and evaluated to determine whether a new assessment or full predicated investigation should be opened. Protective assessment investigation CLOSED.	Veiled Threat	Verbal			
--------	---------------------	---------------------	------	---------------------	-----------------------------	----	------	--------------	---------------------	---	---------------	--------	--	--	--

Closed	2022/08/11 00:00:00	2022/08/12 00:00:00	2022	2022/10/06 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	12	DC/S	Arrest/Closure	2022/10/06 14:43:41	On August 11, 2022, D.C. Superior Court (DC/SC) Deputy United States Marshal (DUSM) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was notified of a phone call received by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) DC/SC Administrative Assistant to DC/SC (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) In that phone call, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (FID: (b)(7)(E) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), distraught over his ex-spouse currently having custody over his daughter, asked to speak directly and only with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) over this matter. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) denied this request. No threats were made during this phone call. A preliminary assessment was initiated to determine, what, if any, threat may be associated with this phone call and to mitigate any future possible threats to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Completed Investigative Activity Since (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) contacted chambers on August 11, 2022, DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has been in constant communication with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) On October 5, 2022, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) advised DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) contacted chambers on September 14, 2022, wherein he was calm and indicated he would write a letter to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to express his concerns over his current case (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), which includes a no-contact order between him and his minor child. This case is being overseen by DC/SC Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Since then, chambers has not received any correspondence from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) On September 15, 2022, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) indicated that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) called chambers once again. The email below details this brief conversation: "Hi Deputy (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Mr. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) reached out the following day and was very emotional. I did not speak to him, but the law clerk did. I do know she ended up hanging up on him due because of his behavior (very distraught and would not listen). We have not heard from him since. His case currently has no next date." According to law enforcement databases, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has not had any new law enforcement contact or active warrants. He has ceased visits to the H. Carl Moultrie Courthouse and ceased attempted contact with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) or her chambers. Proposed Investigative Activity At this juncture, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has not made any threatening statements to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) or her staff members, therefore, there are no proposed investigative activities to perform. Mitigation Planning There are no mitigation strategies to implement at this moment. Protective Response Thus far, the PIU has no reason to believe that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) poses an imminent threat to the judge, nor have his actions required any interventions on behalf of the PIU, therefore, there are no protective measures we believe should be implemented at this moment and this preliminary assessment will be closed. Should (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) re-initiate contact with judge's chambers and/or make threatening statements, the PIU will re-open this assessment and plan accordingly. Investigation closed.	Suspicious Behavior, Bizarre or Unreasonable Solicitation, Extraordinary Complaint or Outrage Over the Outcome of a Court Case	Telephone			
--------	---------------------	---------------------	------	---------------------	---------------------------	----	------	----------------	---------------------	--	--	-----------	--	--	--

Closed	2022/08/17 00:00:00	2022/08/18 00:00:00	2022/10/12 00:00:00	2022/10/12 00:00:00	D.C. SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE	12	DC/S	Arrest/Closure	2022/10/11 16:40:57	On July 19, 2022, D.C. Superior Court (DC/SC) Deputy United States Marshal (DUSM) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was notified of a letter sent to D.C. Superior Court (DC/SC) Associate Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) chambers. The sender of the correspondence was determined to be (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (FID: (b)(7)(E)). Enclosed was a 23-page article titled, "Public and International Notice of Trespass and Breach of Trust", written by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) or (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) a self-proclaimed Sovereign Citizen out of Big Lake, Alaska. The article can be found on (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) website: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) This case is associated to case numbers (b)(7)(E) For the DC/SC case, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) mailed the same article that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) mailed to Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to the judge's residence, whereas (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) mailed it to the judge's chambers. As for the E/PA case (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) mailed the same article to U.S. District Court Judge (USDCJ) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) On September 7, 2022, the E/PA case was closed due to inactivity. None of the letters sent by either sender contained direct nor indirect threats towards the respective judges. The (b)(7)(E) Investigations Unit (PIU) does not believe there is a nexus between (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) presently resides in (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) resides in (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) . Completed Investigative Activity DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) provided Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) with two security briefs, the second was conducted after she received the letter from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) indicated she has never heard of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and has never had any cases from her on her docket. Further, D.C. court databases revealed no active or closed cases for (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) out of DC/SC. An open-source inquiry revealed no information on (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) . A law enforcement inquiry revealed that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) presently resides in (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) She has had no new law enforcement contact since her last in 2018 for a domestic dispute. No arrests followed this encounter. Proposed Investigative Activity At this juncture, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has not made any threatening statements to Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) or her staff members, therefore, there are no proposed investigative activities to perform. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) continues to reside outside of D.C. which reduces the potential for future physical contact with Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) . Mitigation Planning There are no mitigation strategies to implement at this moment. Protective Response Thus far, the Protection Investigations Unit (PIU) has no reason to believe that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) poses an imminent threat to the judge, nor have her actions required any interventions on behalf of the PIU, therefore, there are no (b)(7)(E) measures we believe should be implemented at this moment and this preliminary assessment will be closed. Should (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) re-initiate contact with judge's chambers and/or make threatening statements, the PIU will re-open this assessment and plan accordingly. Investigation closed.	Suspicious Behavior, Bizarre or Unreasonable Solicitation, Extraordinary Complaint or Outrage Over the Outcome of a Court Case, Mis-Belief That a Debt is Owed,Pseudo-Legal Court Filing from Quasi-Courts or Common Law Filing	Written			
Closed	2022/07/01 00:00:00	2022/08/01 00:00:00	2022/10/11 00:00:00	2022/10/11 00:00:00	D.C. SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE	12	DC/S	Arrest/Closure	2022/10/11 12:55:28	Mis-Belief Tha+M734t a Debt is Owed	Suspicious Behavior	Written			

Closed	2022/07/01 00:00:00	2022/08/01 00:00:00	2022	2022/10/11 00:00:00	D.C. SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE	12	DC/S	Arrest/Closure	2022/10/11 12:55:28	On July 5, 2022, D.C. Superior Court (DC/SC) Deputy United States Marshal (DUSM) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was notified of a letter sent to D.C. Superior Court (DC/SC) Associate Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) residence. The sender of the correspondence was determined to be (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (FID: (b)(7)(E)). Enclosed was a 23-page article titled, "Public and International Notice of Trespass and Breach of Trust", written by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) , or "(b)(6); (b)(7)(C) ", a self-proclaimed Sovereign Citizen out of Big Lake, Alaska. The article can be found on (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) website: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) This case is associated to case numbers (b)(7)(E) . For the DC/SC case, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) mailed the same article that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) mailed to Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to the judge's chambers, whereas (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) mailed it to the judge's residence. As for the E/PA case, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) mailed the same article to U.S. District Court Judge (USDCJ) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) . On September 7, 2022, the E/PA case was closed due to inactivity. None of the letters sent by either sender contained direct nor indirect threats towards the respective judges. Completed Investigative Activity DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has been in constant communication with E/PA regarding the sending of these letters by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) E/PA DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) indicated that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is a known pro-se filer in their district, who has been barred from future filings due to multiple past frivolous filings. Further, DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) provided Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) with two security briefs, the second due to the Judge receiving the same letter at her chambers sent by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) . No nexus has been found between (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) . (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) presently resides in (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) , and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) presently resides in (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) . According to an open-source inquiry, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has filed lawsuits with dozens of federal and local courthouses nation-wide, due to being denied to multiple law schools after he applied without taking his law school admission test (LSAT). He has been barred from a handful of courthouses due to these frivolous filings. According to law enforcement databases, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has not had any new law enforcement contact or active warrants. He has ceased attempted contact with Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and her chambers. Proposed Investigative Activity At this juncture, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has not made any threatening statements to Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) or her staff members, therefore, there are no proposed investigative activities to perform. Mitigation Planning There are no mitigation strategies to implement at this moment. Protective Response Thus far, the Protection Investigations Unit (PIU) has no reason to believe that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) poses an imminent threat to the judge, nor have his actions required any interventions on behalf of the PIU, therefore, there are no protective measures we believe should be implemented at this moment and this preliminary assessment will be closed. Should (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) re-initiate contact with judge's chambers and/or make threatening statements, the PIU will re-open this assessment and plan accordingly. Investigation closed.	Bizarre or Unreasonable Solicitation, Mis-Belief That a Debt is Owed, Pseudo-Legal Court Filing from Quasi-Courts or Common Law Filing	Written				
--------	---------------------	---------------------	------	---------------------	---------------------------	----	------	----------------	---------------------	--	--	---------	--	--	--	--

Closed	2022/06/11 00:00:00	2022/06/14 00:00:00	2022/11/10 00:00:00	CLERK	12	DC/S	Arrest/Close	2022/11/09 16:05:48	On June 10, 2022, (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (FID: (b)(7)(E)) made alleged threats towards courtroom clerks via webex. The audio recordings consisted of the following: audio (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) at approximately 1513 HOURS (6:36 minutes), "[Unintelligible]...clerks...I'm going to slaughter you." Shortly thereafter, on audio (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) at approximately 1527 HOURS (8:27 minutes), "[Unintelligible]...going to do something to the clerks." The clerks indicated that at the time which these comments were made, no one other than (b)(6); was connected to the courtroom via webex. The following is an update to this investigation. Completed Investigative Activity During the course of this investigation, Deputy United States Marshal (DUSM) (b)(6); (b)(6); has been in constant communication with Supervisory Pretrial Services Officer (PSA) (b)(6); (b)(6); via telephone and email, who reports that (b)(6); has not violated any of his conditions of release. The last address provided by (b)(6); to PSA is: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) This address was also corroborated by multiple law enforcement databases as (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) residence. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) next court hearing (trial readiness) is scheduled for February 10, 2023, at 09:30 A.M. in Courtroom 311. Since June 10, 2022, (b)(6); has not had any court hearings at D.C. Superior Court (DC/SC) nor has he made any new threats towards USMS protected persons. Further, there have been no new law enforcement contacts since January 6, 2021. Law enforcement databases shows (b)(6); continues to have a Stay-Away Order in place from D.C. for the exception of in-person court appearances in relation to this case as well as in-person meetings with his counsel or PSA. On September 12, 2022, DUSM (b)(6); submitted a USM-640 (Judicial Security Division Request for Information (JSD- (b)(7)(E)) to the Threat Management Center (TMC). On September 27, 2022, that request was answered. (b)(7)(E) indicated on the report that they did not observe any threats to the United States Marshals Service (USMS) protected persons or facilities on any of the accounts associated with (b)(6); The PDF document containing the full RFI response will be uploaded onto JDIS. Proposed Investigative Activity There are no new investigative endeavors to be conducted at this time. Mitigation Planning There are no mitigation strategies to implement at this time. Protective Response The information developed during the course of this investigation has shown that (b)(6); does not have the motive, intent, or the ability to carry out any harmful actions towards any USMS protected persons. (b)(6); lacks a motive to harm the courtroom clerks that witnessed (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) statements on June 10, 2022, as he has no nexus to these individuals. This investigation provided no information regarding (b)(6); having any intent to harm any staff at DC/SC - he has very little to no social media presence and no references to any USMS protected persons were found. Lastly, (b)(6); lacks the ability to carry out any threats allegedly made toward DC/SC personnel due to a Stay-Away Order in place which hinders (b)(6); from entering D.C. without having prior approval for court appearances, meetings with his attorney, or PSA Officer. (b)(6); continues to reside outside of D.C. which reduces the potential for future physical interaction with any USMS protected persons. At this juncture, (b)(6); has not made any additional threatening statements to any staff or USMS protected persons at DC. The PIU believes that the statements made by (b)(6); on June 10, 2022, were not specifically directed at any one person, but instead made aloofly, without knowledge of who was present inside the courtroom at the time in which he made his statements. Moreover, (b)(6); has never appeared in person for hearings at DCSC due to previous COVID-19 protocols as well as having a Stay-Away Order from D.C., which is still in place. Based on this information, the PIU has determined that (b)(6); poses no imminent	Suspicious Behavior, Veiled Threat, Direct Threat	Verbal				
--------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------	-------	----	------	--------------	---------------------	--	---	--------	--	--	--	--

Closed	2022/08/12 00:00:00	2022/08/15 00:00:00	2022/08/26 00:00:00	2023/09/26 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT (b)(6);	12	DC/S	Arrest/Close	2023/09/26 11:41:17	On or about August 12, 2022, a case was brought to the attention of the USMS involving an unknown female subject attempting to contact D.C. Superior Court (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) at her residence (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) husband engaged the unknown female in conversation, and she expressed to him that she was dissatisfied with D.C. Superior Court (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) handling of her case (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) husband, (b)(6); (b)(6); reported that the unknown female did not make any threats toward (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) or any other member of the D.C. Superior Court (DC/SC) Judiciary. On September 13, 2022, (b)(6); (b)(6); provided USMS with three possible suspects over which cases the (b)(6); had recently presided over and who matched the description of the unknown female provided by (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); provided (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) as possible plaintiffs matching the description of the suspect encountered at the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) residence. On October 12, 2022, DUSM (b)(6); contacted (b)(6); (b)(6); in order to identify the unknown female who previously appeared at the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) residence. After viewing photographs provided by DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); indicated that the photographs of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) very much resembled the woman who came to their house and that the race, complexion, apparent age range, and general facial features were all consistent with the unknown female subject. On October 26, 2022, DUSM (b)(6); and DUSM (b)(6); interviewed (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) at DC/SC. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated that she was at DC/SC to inquire about civil court cases that she had filed. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) made various statements of unknown veracity during the interview and made assertions of conspiracies that she was a target of. At the conclusion of the interview, DUSM (b)(6); and DUSM (b)(6); observed (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) enter a waiting light blue-green Ford Focus station wagon which resembled the vehicle that (b)(6); reported the unknown female utilizing during the initial encounter. On December 21, 2022, DUSM (b)(6); contacted one of the other possible suspects provided by (b)(6); (b)(6); Based on information developed during the interview (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was eliminated as a suspect in the case. On January 17, 2023, DUSM (b)(6); and DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); contacted the second of three possible suspects provided by (b)(6); (b)(6); Based on information developed during the interview (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was eliminated as a suspect in the case. On January 23, 2023, the USMS was notified of an additional incident involving (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) making threatening statements via phone toward a clerk at the D.C. Court of Appeals. DUSM (b)(6); met with the clerk who relayed that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated on the phone that "I'm coming to the motherfucking Marshal's Office, I'm coming down to the sheriff's, and I'm coming down right now." On May 8, 2023, DUSM (b)(6); contacted (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Judicial Administrative Assistant to (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to inquire if there had been any contacts with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); stated that had had been contacted by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) telephonically approximately 2 weeks prior. (b)(6); stated that as soon as (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) realized she was speaking to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), she immediately terminated the phone call. (b)(6); stated that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) did not make any threats during her call to his office. On June 20, 2023, DUSM (b)(6); contacted (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Executive Assistant to (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) in reference to two additional contacts from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Lis stated that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) did not make any threats, was told that the office could not help her, and that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) hung up (b)(6); stated that the second contact from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) involved (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) calling to complain about having her calls being screened and having to wait on hold. (b)(6); stated that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) did not make any threats in the second phone call. On July 31, 2023, DUSM (b)(6); was made aware of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) contacting (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) at the (b)(6); (b)(6); Chambers on July 28, 2023. (b)(6); stated that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) want	Research Into Personal Affairs	Verbal			
--------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------	-----------------------------	----	------	--------------	---------------------	---	--------------------------------	--------	--	--	--

Closed	2022/08/16 00:00:00	2022/08/18 00:00:00	2022/08/18 00:00:00	2023/04/09 00:00:00	ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY	12	DC/S	Arrest/Closure	2023/04/08 20:46:34	On or about August 16, 2022, a case was brought to the attention of the U.S. Marshals Service (USMS) involving (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); making verbal threats against Assistant U.S. Attorney (AUSA) (b)(6); (b)(6); of the Civil Division District of Columbia U.S. Attorney's Office. The threat was delivered via email from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C);@yahoo.com to AUSA (b)(6); Department of Justice work email. The emails to AUSA (b)(6); included statements such as "I will fuckccassssking sklllls you," "Fkkk you," and "You are about to lose in every meaningful way." The emails contained a signature listing (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); as the sender and a telephone number of "(b)(6); (b)(7)(C);". On August 18, 2022, Deputy U.S. Marshal (b)(6); (b)(6); contacted AUSA (b)(6); in reference to the (b)(6); incident. AUSA (b)(6); provided DUSM (b)(6); with a synopsis of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); contacts with the court and detailed his interactions with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); AUSA (b)(6); indicated that he was assigned to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); civil case and that he was aware of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); pattern of filing frivolous lawsuits in multiple jurisdictions. AUSA (b)(6); stated that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); current civil case would be dismissed with prejudice. DUSM (b)(6); provided AUSA (b)(6); with a security briefing and was instructed to contact DUSM (b)(6); if additional contact with (b)(6); occurred. On September 21, 2022, DUSM (b)(6); contacted AUSA (b)(6); to inquire about any additional contacts from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); AUSA (b)(6); stated that he had not had any additional contacts from (b)(6); since the initially reported incident in August. AUSA (b)(6); stated that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); case had been recently dismissed in court and he was unsure if (b)(6); had become aware of the dismissal yet. AUSA (b)(6); stated that (b)(6); may become, "hyped up about it at that point", and stated that he would contact DUSM (b)(6); immediately if he had any further contacts from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); On October 18, 2022, DUSM (b)(6); made telephonic contact with AUSA (b)(6); regarding the (b)(6); case. AUSA (b)(6); stated that he was no longer concerned or felt threatened by (b)(6); and that he had not had any contacts with (b)(6); since the initial incident. On December 5, 2022, DUSM (b)(6); and DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); contacted (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(6); stated that he resided in the building and was aware of (b)(6); also being a resident of the same building. (b)(6); stated that (b)(6); has had numerous incidents with other residents in the building involving arguments of various nature. (b)(6); stated that (b)(6); would commonly have arguments with his next-door neighbor but would state to (b)(6); that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); neighbor, "was his friend." (b)(6); also stated that (b)(6); appeared to consume large quantities of alcohol on a regular basis, which was corroborated by a liquor store employee next door. (b)(6); provided the contact information for a case worker with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); he had seen working with (b)(6); previously. On January 12, 2023, DUSM (b)(6); contacted a representative of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); to inquire about services (b)(6); may have been receiving through their organization. The representative queried their internal database and stated that (b)(6); had previously been assisted by staff at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C);. The representative stated that (b)(6); appeared to have been transferred to another program in May of 2022. The representative stated that one of their Clinical Directors would be able to provide additional information. On February 9, 2023, DUSM (b)(6); contacted (b)(6); (b)(7)(C);, Senior Director of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C);, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); stated that she was familiar with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); case and that he had received services from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); in the past. (b)(6); stated that (b)(6); had multiple incidents with staff and that (b)(6); "would send abusive emails" to staff members. (b)(6); stated that (b)(6); had been moved through multiple providers within the DC Department of Human Services (DHS) network	Veiled Threat	Email/Internet				
--------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------	-------------------------	----	------	----------------	---------------------	--	---------------	----------------	--	--	--	--

Closed	2022/08/02 00:00:00	2022/08/05 00:00:00	2022 2	2023/03/03 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	12	DC/S	Arrest/Closure	2023/03/03 08:33:44	On or about August 2, 2022, a case was brought to the attention of the U.S. Marshals Service (USMS) involving (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (PDID (b)(7)(E) making verbal threats against D.C. Superior Court (DC/SC) Magistrate Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). The threat was delivered to Deputy U.S. Marshal (DUSM) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) in the cellblock area behind Courtroom 120. At the time the threat was made, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was being held without bond in cases (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). On September 22, 2022, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was seen in Courtroom 314 for her cases, was released on personal recognizance, and was scheduled for a status hearing the following week. As part of her release conditions (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was ordered to receive and participate in (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) treatment facilitated by the D.C. Pretrial Services Agency (PSA). On October 26, 2022, DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) made contact with a representative of the D.C. PSA who stated that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was appearing at her designated Pretrial Services Officer (PSO) appointments, that she had been compliant with her medication regimen, and that she had been connected with a mental health provider. On December 7, 2022, DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) made contact with Court Services & Offender Supervision Agency (CSOSA) Supervisor who stated that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) did not have a fixed address of residence, however, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) continued to make all scheduled court ordered appearances and continued to receive mental health treatment as required by the terms of her release. On January 5, 2023, DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) made telephonic contact with Mr. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) who stated that he has been providing mental health services to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) since approximately September 2022 and that she had been continually appearing in person for services and medication. Mr. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) had mentioned "being ashamed" of an outburst she had around the August 2022 time frame and that he was working with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) on strategies for dealing with stressful situations. Mr. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) did not appear to be fixating on any Judge or member of the DC/SC Judiciary and stated that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has appeared to be making an effort at working on her mental health issues. DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) asked Mr. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) if he would be willing to provide a third party assist for (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and Mr. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) agreed to do so. Mr. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated that he would immediately contact DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) if (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) appeared to begin fixating on any Judge or member of the DC/SC Judiciary in the future. On February 27, 2023, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) accepted a Global Plea Offer in case (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and was sentenced on the same date. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was sentenced to 30 days of incarceration, with all 30 days suspended, and was also sentenced to 1 year of probation. A copy of the Sentencing Documents will be uploaded into (b)(7)(C). As part of her sentence, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was ordered to be assessed for placement into the Behavioral Health Unit of CSOSA. As of the date of this report, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has not made any additional threats or attempted to act on her threat toward Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) since the initial incident in August 2022. Further, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) appears to be continuing her mental health treatment as required by the terms of her release. This appears to indicate that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) does not intend to engage in further threatening or inappropriate behavior toward a USMS protected person. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) also appears to be following her conditions of release, as set by CSOSA, including appearing at all required in-person appointments. Information developed from investigative efforts in this case also appear to indicate that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is homeless, does not have stable income, and does not have long term cell phone access which reduces her ability to threaten or engage in further inappropriate behavior toward Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). Further, during (b)(6); (b)(7)(C).	Direct Threat	Verbal			
--------	------------------------	------------------------	-----------	------------------------	---------------------------	----	------	----------------	------------------------	---	---------------	--------	--	--	--

Closed	2022/09/22 00:00:00	2022/09/22 00:00:00	2022 2	2022/10/20 00:00:00	U.S. MAGISTRATE	12	DC/S	Arrest/Closure	2022/10/20 15:39:05	On or about September 22, 2022, a case was brought to the attention of the U.S. Marshals Service (USMS) involving (b)(6); (b)(7)(E) (FID (b)(7)(E)) making threats against undisclosed members of the D.C. Superior Court Judiciary. The threat was delivered via a notification to the USMS P3 Tip Line at 4:21 PM from a (b)(7)(E) (b)(6); (b)(7)(E) who left a contact number of (b)(7)(E) (b)(7)(E). The narrative of the threat tip stated (b)(7)(E) Guns child trafficking wanting to kill a judge 9/21/22." On or about September 22, 2022, Supervisory Deputy U.S. Marshal (SDUSM) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(E) made telephonic contact with a subject believed to be (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), sister of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). During her conversation with SDUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); appeared to be evasive in her answers and stated "why y'all playing on my phone" and asked "you sure u have the right number." On September 27, 2022, beginning at approximately 6:10 AM until 7:24 AM, the USMS was made aware of four additional P3 Tip Line notifications. All four tips were documented in Capture under (b)(7)(E) DUSM (b)(6); reviewed the four tip line notifications and determined that the language used in all four tips indicated a single point of origin. Queries performed by the USMS Protective Intelligence Unit in open-source social media databases revealed that the names listed in the tips were all connected through the same friend group. This appeared to indicate that the tips may have been a result of an interpersonal conflict within the group. DUSM (b)(6); along with District Threat Investigator (b)(6); (b)(6); of the District of Maryland, both concluded that the submitted tips were not credible based on the similar patterns and language used in the tips. Senior Inspector (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) from the Behavior Analysis Unit (BAU) also reviewed the tips and reported his findings as followed, "All the tips have the same pattern. They are all vague, they all indicate child trafficking or child porn and disclose names of seemingly related persons and disjointed information. Some of the tips name the same parties. The tipster selected "other" on each tip as how they heard of the tipline." On October 20, 2022, DUSM (b)(6); telephonically contacted SDUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(E) of the D.C. Superior Court Missing and Exploited Children Investigations unit, to discuss the specifics of the (b)(6); tip Line submission. DUSM (b)(6); provided SDUSM (b)(6); a synopsis of the case and asked if SDUSM (b)(6); had come across any cases involving (b)(6); SDUSM (b)(6); stated that he had not had any interactions or cases involving (b)(6); After discussing the case, SDUSM (b)(6); agreed with DUSM (b)(6); that the (b)(6); tip was not credible. Based on the similar language used in the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) P3 Tip Line submission and the four other P3 Tip Line submissions, it appears that the (b)(6); tip is part of the same scheme. The (b)(6); tip mentions child trafficking and contains similar disjointed language to the other tips detailed in (b)(7)(E). The (b)(6); tip was also received in a similar manner as the other four P3 Tip Line submissions detailed in (b)(7)(E). This appears to indicate that the (b)(6); tip is not credible. Further, DUSM (b)(6); determined that (b)(6); (b)(6); appeared to indicate in her interview with SDUSM (b)(6); that she did not believe that the reported threat was legitimate, was unaware of any threats made by (b)(6); (b)(6); and appeared to indicate that she may have thought the phone call from the USMS was not legitimate. As of the date of this report, October 20, 2022, no additional tips or activity have been revealed relating to this case. All these factors indicate that the (b)(6); tip submission is also not credible and appears to be motivated due to an interpersonal conflict involving (b)(6); and some unknown party. Due to the above discussed reasons, the Protective Assessment is being closed.	Direct Threat	Informant			
Closed	2022/03/28 00:00:00	2022/03/29 00:00:00	2022 2	2023/12/21 00:00:00	STATE AND/OR LOCAL COURT	12	DC/S	Arrest/Closure	2023/12/21 14:43:47	Subject remains in bench warrant status. Victim notified USMS that she has not seen or had any communication with (b)(6); since the initial incident on 3/28/2022. USMS is closing the Threat case with the possibility of reopeing, should circumstances arise. Case Closed.	Direct Threat	Verbal			

(b)(7)(A)

Active

Closed	2022/06/21 00:00:00	2022/06/22 00:00:00	2022	2022/09/08 00:00:00	ASSOCIATE JUDGE	12	DC/S	Arrest/Closure	2022/09/07 18:08:23	The following information is regarding the inappropriate communication made by (b)(6); (b)(6); (FID: (b)(7)(E)) on June 21, 2022. On this date, (b)(6); contacted the Threat Management Center (TMC) asking to speak with Assistant Director (AD) Robert Brandt in regard to whether Superior Court Associate Judge (b)(6); (b)(6); (AD (b)(6); spouse) received a letter from (b)(6);. The letter was subsequently delivered to Judge (b)(6); chambers and it was determined that no threats were made in the correspondence. On July 27, 2022, after determining that (b)(6); resides in Utah, I submitted a collateral lead request to the District of Utah (D/UT), for an interview of (b)(6);. The following entails the interview conducted of (b)(6); and supports the closing of this case with no further incident. On August 29, 2022, Deputy U.S. Marshal (DUSM) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(F) and DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); D/UT, interviewed (b)(6); at his current residence (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). In his report, DUSM (b)(6); indicates that (b)(6); relayed that he does not personally know Judge (b)(6); nor AD (b)(6);. When asked if he would be sending more correspondence to Judge (b)(6); (b)(6); stated that he was done sending letters to the judge. (b)(6); is (b)(6); years old, approximately 400 pounds, and presently resides in a nursing and rehabilitation center, where he is wheelchair-bound and requires the delivery of oxygen 24 hours per day. Based on this information, as well as (b)(6); residing well out of the District of Columbia (D.C.), the PIU has determined that (b)(6); does not pose a threat to Judge (b)(6); nor other DC/SC personnel. This predicated investigation will be subsequently closed.	Suspicious Behavior	Suspicious Activity			
Closed	2022/07/28 00:00:00	2022/07/28 00:00:00	2022	2022/09/09 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	12	DC/D	Arrest/Closure	2022/09/08 13:59:40	The following investigation was conducted by Deputy U.S. Marshal (DUSM) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C);, District Threat Investigator (DTI) (b)(6); (b)(6); and Protection Investigations Coordinator (PIC) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(F) on September 8, 2022. UNKNOWN SENDER (FID # (b)(7)(E)) is referenced in JDIS as the sender of a threat towards Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); and has an active Protection Investigation (PI) entered on July 28th, 2022. On August 2nd, 2022, (b)(6); received the signed Grand Jury Subpoena from the United States Attorney's Office to serve (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(6); placed the request with (b)(6); for any and all subscriber information from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); @yahoo.com. On September 8, 2022 DUSM (b)(6); after receiving no information on the previous request DUSM (b)(6); again contacted (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); again regarding subscriber information for user (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); @yahoo.com. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); responded in an email with the below information. "Pursuant to the legal process received, in the above-referenced matter (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (formerly (b)(6); (b)(7)(C);) conducted a diligent search for information responsive to your request, for any specified date range(s), and hereby responds in accordance with state and federal law, including the Stored Communications Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2701 et seq. The user account(s) and/or identifier(s) specified in your request is/are not valid (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); email address(es) and/or are not associated with any (b)(6); (b)(6); email address(es); therefore, (b)(6); did not produce any information responsive to your request for the user account(s) and/or identifier(s). For user accounts, (b)(6); requires the exact spelling of any (b)(6); email address listed in the legal process. If you find that the legal process you have served contains a spelling error, please note that (b)(6); will require new legal process before conducting another search of our system. Also, we are unable to search an email message identifier (Message ID)." Since (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); is unable to locate the sender (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); @yahoo.com, and no other threats have been sent to Judge (b)(6); with this user account case is closed. Case Closed.	Conditional Threat	Informant			

Closed	2022/07/26 00:00:00	2022/07/26 00:00:00	2022/08/09 00:00:00	PROTECTEES OF VETERANS CLAIM	12	DC/D	Arrest/Closure	2022/08/04 09:18:56	The following investigation was conducted by District Threat Investigator (DTI) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Deputy United States Marshal (DUSM) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and Protective Investigations Coordinator (PIC) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(F) on July 26, 2022. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (FID# (b)(7)(E)) is referenced in JDIS as the sender of an inappropriate communication to the U.S. Courts of Appeal for Veterans Claims and has an active Protective Assessment entered on July 26, 2022. As stated in previous USM11s, contact was made with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). In response to the conversation, DC JSU does not deem (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) a threat. Nothing further to report. Case Closed.	Extraordinary Complaint or Outrage Over the Outcome of a Court Case	Informant			
Closed	2022/02/11 00:00:00	2022/02/14 00:00:00	2023/08/21 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	12	DC/D	Arrest/Closure	2023/08/18 16:37:38	The following investigation was conducted by DTI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) on August 18th, 2023 in Washington DC: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was sentenced before Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) on August 17th, 2023. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) received a sentence of twenty-two years plus deportation for sending ricin to previous United States President Donald J Trump. DTI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) continued to monitor (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and stayed in communication with DC Jail's mailroom (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) reached out to AUSA (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) in regards to the prosecution of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) for sending the suspicious envelopes to USMS Protectees. AUSA (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) told (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) due to the length of the sentence (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) received on her current charge, the US Attorneys Office would not pursue charges for this case. CASE CLOSED	Conditional Threat	Written	2022/02/11 00:00:00		
Closed	2022/09/28 00:00:00	2022/09/29 00:00:00	2022/10/19 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	12	DC/D	Arrest/Closure	2022/10/12 14:11:12	The following investigation was conducted by DTI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) on October 5, 2022 in Washington DC: On September 28, 2022, Law Clerk to Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) s Chambers emailed the Judicial Security Unit (JSU) of an inappropriate voicemail left in Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) s chambers the night before. UNKNOWN SENDER (FID# (b)(7)(E)) has been identified as (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) who is referenced in (b)(7)(F) as the sender of an Inappropriate Communication (IC) by voicemail to Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) s chambers. Phone number (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) The voicemail states, "...The other day I was out with some of my buddies that were popping targets a couple hundred yards away. Have a nice life you fucking cunt". DTI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) contacted (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) regarding the inappropriate content left on the voicemail (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated that he was upset and did not mean any type of threat towards Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). When asked (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated that he did not intend to threaten Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and has no future plans of seeking harm to Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) lives in Michigan and claims he is a retired senior citizen living on a fixed income without a car. Based on this call D/DC JSU does not believe (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) poses a threat to Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). Case closed.	Suspicious Behavior	Informant			
Closed	2022/07/27 00:00:00	2022/07/27 00:00:00	2022/08/09 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	12	DC/D	Arrest/Closure	2022/08/04 09:19:09	The following investigation was conducted by DTI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) on July 27th 2022 in Washington DC. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (FID# (b)(7)(E)) is referenced in (b)(7)(F) as the sender of an inappropriate communication to Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) s chambers and has an active Protective Assessment (PA) entered on July 27th, 2022. As stated in previous USM11s, contact was made with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). In response to the conversation, DC JSU does not deem (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) a threat. Nothing further to report. Case Closed.	Suspicious Behavior	Informant			

Closed	2022/09/20 00:00:00	2022/09/20 00:00:00	2022	2022/12/08 00:00:00	CLERK OF THE COURT	12	DC/D	Arrest/Close	2022/12/07 15:32:31	The following investigation was conducted by DTI (b)(6); (b)(6); on December 7, 2022 in Washington DC: UNKNOWN SENDER who identified himself as (b)(6); (b)(6); (FID#(b)(7)(E)) is referenced in (b)(7)(E) as the sender of a threatening statement through email to The Clerk's Office intake BOX. On September 23, 2022 DTI (b)(6); submitted a Subpoena Request to the United States Attorney's Office (AUSAO) for the subscribing inappropriate email. DTI (b)(6); contacted the USAO for an update on the Subpoena Request first on September 28, 2022 where staff stated that a paralegal was working the request. On November 9, 2022, DTI (b)(6); received the signed Subpoena and Non-Disclosure Order from the USAO and (b)(6); then sent the request in for (b)(6); (b)(6); DTI (b)(6); received return Grand Jury Subpoena information from (b)(6); (b)(6); There are no identifying information on UNKNOWN SENDER. The return information included the below: Attachment A: Hash Values for Production Files (b)(6); Ref. No (b)(7)(E) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) @gmail.com (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) .GoogleAccount.SubscriberInfo_001.zip (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) @gmail.com (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) .Voice.Billing_001.zip (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) @gmail.com (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) .Voice.Communications_001.zip (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) @gmail.com (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) .Voice.SubscriberInformation_001.zip (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) This case will be closed pending further threatening statements made to The Clerk's Office Intake Box or other protected persons. Case Closed. End of report.	Extraordinary Complaint or Outrage Over the Outcome of a Court Case	Informant			
Closed	2022/04/19 00:00:00	2022/04/20 00:00:00	2022	2023/01/11 00:00:00	FEDERAL MAGISTRATE JUDGE	12	DC/D	Arrest/Close	2023/01/11 15:46:21	The following investigation was conducted by DTI (b)(6); (b)(6); on January 11, 2023 in Washington, DC: The UNKNOWN SENDER (FID # (b)(7)(E)) is referenced in (b)(7)(E) as the sender of threats toward Magistrate Judge (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and the D/DC courthouse and has an active Protective Investigation (PI) entered on April 20th, 2022. As of January 12, 2023, there have been no additional threatening communications received by the D/DC JSU from this UNKNOWN SENDER. Through PIC (b)(6);'s investigation, it is revealed these calls are "swatting" calls. The January 6th defendant referenced in the "swatting" calls (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (aka (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) entered a Plea Agreement and was sentenced by Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) on January 10, 2023. (b)(6); was sentenced to a term of 60 Days of Incarceration with credit for time served and was permitted to self-surrender. This case will be closed pending any further threatening communications from UNKNOWN SENDER towards Judge (b)(6); (b)(6); and or the D/DC Courthouse. Case Closed. End of report.	Conditional Threat	Telephone	2022/04/21 00:00:00		

Closed	2022/07/28 00:00:00	2022/07/29 00:00:00	2022	2022/08/08 00:00:00	DC/DC DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	12	DC/D	Arrest/Close	2022/08/04 09:19:20	The following investigation was conducted by DTI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(F) and PIC (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(F) on July 29th, 2022 in Washington DC. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (FID# (b)(7)(E)) is referenced in (b)(7)(C) as the sender of an inappropriate communication to Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)'s chambers and has an active Preliminary Protective Assessment (PPA) entered on July 29th, 2022. As stated in previous USM11s, contact was made with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). In response to the conversation, DC JSU does not deem (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) a threat. Nothing further to report. Case Closed.	Suspicious Behavior	Telephone			
Closed	2022/09/19 00:00:00	2022/09/20 00:00:00	2022	2022/10/19 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	12	DC/D	Arrest/Close	2022/10/19 14:25:21	The following investigations were conducted by DTI (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); on October 19, 2022 in Washington DC: Based on the information collected, D/DC JSU and DTI (b)(6); (b)(6); do not believe (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) poses a threat to any protected persons for D/DC at this time. Case Closed. END OF REPORT.	Suspicious Behavior	Informant	2022/09/19 00:00:00		
Closed	2022/09/28 00:00:00	2022/09/29 00:00:00	2022	2022/10/19 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	12	DC/D	Arrest/Close	2022/10/19 14:25:04	The following investigations were conducted by DUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); and DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(F) on October 18, 2022 in Washington DC: Based on the information provided from the phone conversation with (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) the D/DC JSU does not believe (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) poses a threat to Judge Jackson at this time. This PA will be closed. End of report.	Suspicious Behavior	Informant			
Closed	2021/11/17 00:00:00	2021/11/18 00:00:00	2022	2021/12/23 00:00:00	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	12	DC/S	Arrest/Close	2021/12/23 12:32:14	The following is an update to the attempted doxing of Judge (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); by (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) on Instagram using the handle (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). On 22 December 2021, DTI (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); conducted an inquiry into the Instagram page (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and discovered the page still contained court document posts and (b)(6); (b)(6); S personal information but no doxing information of Judge (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6);. At this time the doxing attempt appears to be mitigated. The case will be closed but could be reopened with an activating incident or event.	Stalking	Email/Internet			

Closed	2022/07/01 00:00:00	2022/07/06 00:00:00	2022/08/01 00:00:00	2022/08/01 00:00:00	2022/08/01 15:11:41	D.C. SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE	12	DC/S	Arrest/Closure	The following is new information regarding this case: On August 1, 2022, it was determined that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was not the sender of the correspondence received on July 1, 2022, by D.C. Superior Court (DC/SC) Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). After further investigation, the Protective Investigations Unit (PIU) has concluded that a printed copy of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)'s article titled, "Public and International Notice of Trespass and Breach of Trust", was mailed to the judge, however, the individual who mailed the letter was: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) FID: (b)(7)(F) FBI: None USMS: None DOB: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Address: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Charges: N/A Associated threat cases: (b)(7)(E) (E/PA - Active) On July 6, 2022, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Program Analyst with the Office of Threat Management (OTM) contacted me and DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); Eastern District of Pennsylvania (E/PA) stating the following: "Good afternoon SI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and SI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). The Threat Management Center received both of your cases (E/PA (b)(7)(E)). We noticed that in both cases, the judge received the concerning letters at their homes with references from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) within close timeframe. We are giving both of you a heads up of this. It is possible both of your cases could be connected. Thank you, Respectfully, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Program Analyst (Cont) Office of Threat Management U.S. Marshals Service - Judicial Security Division Email: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) @usdoj.gov" On August 1, 2022, I followed-up on this information and contacted DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to gain more knowledge on the connection between our two cases. During this time is when I determined the sender of the letter to be (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) who had only used (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)'s published writings in his correspondence to judges. DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) advised that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is a known pro-se filer in Pennsylvania, who has been barred from filing any future cases due to his past frivolous filings, which have all been dismissed by the E/PA courts. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) added that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has continued to send multiple letters to E/PA Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), however, none which included threats of any kind. Multiple law enforcement databases show (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)'S home of residence as: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), which is the same return address used on the envelope of the letter that was mailed to Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). Likewise, DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) also confirmed it is the same address used on the letters sent to E/PA Judge (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). More information regarding DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)'s case of the sending of correspondence to E/PA judges from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) can be found on (b)(7)(E) under the case (b)(7)(E). The new DC/SC case number regarding this investigation is (b)(7)(E). This preliminary assessment will be closed with no further incident to report.	Bizarre or Unreasonable Solicitation	Written				
--------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------------	----	------	----------------	---	--------------------------------------	---------	--	--	--	--

Closed	2022/01/19 00:00:00	2022/01/19 00:00:00	2022	2022/04/22 00:00:00	COURT SECURITY OFFICER	12	DC/S	Arrest/Closure	2022/04/21 17:17:38	This is an update to the PC arrest of (b)(6); for assaulting a CSO at DC Superior court. On 21 April 2022, Investigators conducted an inquiring into (b)(6); recent criminal history. Investigators found the following: On 26 March 2022, (b)(6); was in contempt of a CPO (b)(7)(E) when she contacted (b)(6); (b)(6); the legal guardian of (b)(6); daughter, and stated "give me my daughter back". (b)(6); was served the CPO on 24 March 2022, the same day she was sentenced in case (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Nothing in the police report indicated a threat or any potential violence. On 27 March 2022, (b)(6); requested a health and welfare check for her daughter through MPD in (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) On 30 March 2022, (b)(6); was involved in (b)(7)(E) in which she and an individual by the name of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); called MPD to report a violation of a TPO. MPD responded and (b)(6); and (b)(6); stated that they had filed a TPO on an individual identified as (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); stated that (b)(6); had continued to contact (b)(6); via telephone. MPD was unable to verify if (b)(6); was the individual calling or verify a TPO in WALES/NCIC. (b)(6); recent criminal history appears to be directed toward the location and general wellbeing of her daughter and not directed toward any USMS protected Person or place. (b)(6); USMS criminal case has been fully prosecuted and she was convicted for Assault on a Police Officer. Investigators found no pending cases for (b)(6); at DC Superior Court (DCSC). At this time Investigators have determined that the threat toward USMS protect person has been mitigated and will close the threat case on (b)(6); Case closed.	Assault or Attempted Assault	Written		
Closed	2021/10/18 00:00:00	2021/10/20 00:00:00	2022	2023/01/30 00:00:00	DIR CHILD ABUSE NEGLECT DCSC	12	DC/S	Arrest/Closure	2023/01/30 15:42:31	On October 18, 2021, (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); case was brought to the attention of the USMS via (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Chief Court Security Officer at D.C. Superior Court (DC/SC), regarding (b)(6); threats toward (b)(6); (b)(6); Deputy Director of the DC/SC Family Court Operations Division. (b)(6); relayed her encounter with (b)(6); during which (b)(6); sweating profusely, seemed agitated, stated to (b)(6); "You trying to be smart"... "I got something for you" and then left the immediate area. On December 2, 2021, Judicial Security Inspector (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) submitted an Affidavit and application for a Misdemeanor Warrant for the charge of Threats To Do Bodily Harm to the U.S. Attorney's Office (USAO) under Criminal Case Management (CCM) number (b)(7)(E) The application and affidavit were received, and prosecution was subsequently declined by the USAO. On December 12, 2021, (b)(6); was arrested for Robbery in the District of Columbia (D.C.). (b)(6); appeared as United States Lockup #47 the following day to be arraigned on his charges and was ordered held without Bond at the D.C. Jail. (b)(6); criminal case was assigned the case number of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). On April 12, 2022, (b)(6); was seen in Courtroom 319 for a Mental Health hearing in his case (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and was deemed incompetent. (b)(6); was ordered transferred to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to undergo competency restoration. On August 22, 2022, (b)(6); began taking medication as part of his mental health treatment at St. Elizabeths Hospital. The D.C. Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) subsequently submitted a report requesting additional time to perform competency restoration for (b)(6); following his compliance with his medication regimen. On November 8, 2022, (b)(6); was found competent to stand trial in his criminal case (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) DBH submitted a report recommending that (b)(6); remain held at St. Elizabeths Hospital due to his likelihood of becoming noncompliant with medication if released. The DBH report also stated that if released, (b)(6); would likely become incompetent to stand trial due to his history of noncompliance in both community and correctional settings. On December 5, 2022, (b)(6); was seen in his criminal court case and accepted a plea offer for a felony charge of Attempt to Commit Robbery. On January 27, 2023, (b)(6); appeared for sentencing in his criminal case, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) for the charge of Attempt to Commit Robbery. (b)(6); was sentenced to 18 months of incarceration at the D.C. Jail with 3 years of supervised release following his incarceration. A copy of (b)(6); sentencing order will be uploaded into JDIS. Reviews of law enforcement databases indicate that (b)(6); still has additional criminal charges pending in Virginia which remain undisposed. As a result of (b)(6); incarceration at the D.C. Jail, his ability to act on his threats toward USMS protected persons is diminished. Further, as (b)(6); still has additional criminal charges pending in Virginia, it is unlikely that he will be released in the near future. This additionally mitigates his ability to act on his threats even further. Additionally, as (b)(6); is receiving mental health treatment while incarcerated and continued mental health treatment is a requirement of his supervised release order, (b)(6); motive and intent to engage in similar patterns of behavior is mitigated. Due to the above discussed reasons, this USMS Protective Investigation is being closed. This case may be reopened upon any new triggering events in the future.	Direct Threat	Verbal	2021/10/20 00:00:00	2021/10/20 00:00:00

Closed	2021/12/20 00:00:00	2021/12/21 00:00:00	2022	2022/06/08 00:00:00	COURT SECURITY OFFICER	12	DC/S	Arrest/Close	2022/06/07 13:46:14	On 03 June 2022, (b)(6); was sentenced before DC Superior Court Judge (b)(6); in case (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) As part of the global plea deal, USMS criminal case (b)(7)(E) was dismissed. Judge (b)(6); sentenced (b)(6); to six years for Assault with a Dangerous Weapon (Firearm) and five years for Possession of a Firearm During Commission of a Crime of Violence (Firearm). Both sentences will be served concurrent to each other. See the uploaded Sentence of the Court for further information. I recommend closing the threat investigation due to (b)(6); sentencing. After reviewing his case file, (b)(6); has no known gang affiliations or other proxies to carry out criminal acts. His threat against CSO (b)(6); has been mitigated by his incarceration. Investigation closed.	Direct Threat	Informant			2021/12/21 00:00:00
Closed	2022/05/02 00:00:00	2022/05/03 00:00:00	2022	2022/12/09 00:00:00	DUSM	12	DC/S	Arrest/Close	2022/12/09 15:44:45	(b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(6); FID (b)(7)(E), is currently detained in Washington DC's Central Detention Facility, general population, since 4/30/2022. On 11/29/2022 at 0930 in courtroom (b)(6); with Judge (b)(6); case (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), (b)(6); was sentenced to 36 months, 18 months suspended with three years' supervised release, suspended and 18 months' probation with GPS in transitional housing. A notice of appeal was filed by the defense on 11/30/2022. No further court appointments remain. This case will be closed due to subjects' incarceration. Case may be reopened if any further threats are issued.	Assault or Attempted Assault	Physical			2022/05/02 00:00:00
Closed	2022/06/28 00:00:00	2022/06/29 00:00:00	2022	2022/06/30 00:00:00	USAO-DC DEPUTY CHIEF	12	DC/S	Arrest/Close	2022/06/30 16:06:52	On June 30th, 2022, I contacted DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (District of Columbia, District Court) in reference to (b)(6); DUSM (b)(6); informed me that DC/DC had also received notification, and DC/DC had an on-going investigation involving the string of mail threats. I am closing this threat case due to the already on-going investigation.	Direct Threat	Written	2022/06/27 00:00:00		2022/06/27 00:00:00
Closed	2022/06/25 00:00:00	2022/06/25 00:00:00	2022	2022/10/06 00:00:00	SUPREME COURT JUSTICES		RS/H	Arrest/Close	2022/10/06 12:53:55	On August 25, 2022, at approximately 12:30 PM, DUSM (b)(6); SDUSM (b)(6); (b)(6); and Houston County Sheriff's (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) traveled to (b)(6); primary residence (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to conduct an interview. During the interview, (b)(6); stated he was just joking with his post and that he would never hurt anyone. He said does not own any firearms, and he was only postings his frustrations with today's politics. (b)(6); futher stated he does not have any mental health issues and was not using drugs or alcohol when he made the post. Moreover, (b)(6); noted he no plans to travel to DC or find out where any Justice lives, and he does not plan to harm any Supreme Court Justice. At the end of the interview, (b)(6); was advised to not threaten Supreme Court Justices again, and he was given suggestions for more positive ways to vent his frustrations. On August 26, 2022, SI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) submitted a subsequent usm-640 to the (b)(7)(E) unit to see if any additional posts had been made by (b)(6); during the past few months. After extensive seraches, no new posts were noted since the one post on June 24th to include the weeks follwing the interview with the M/GA DUSM's. Given that (b)(6); does not have any criminal history or any reports of violence, that he has no mental health issues, that he does not own any firearms, that he does not hold any grudge or long term ill will towards Supreme Court Justices, and that he has not made any additional posts since the one on June 24th, it is apparent that (b)(6); does not have the MOTIVE or INTENT to cause harm to any Supreme Court Justice to include (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). Based on the facts noted above, this case is being closed.	Direct Threat	Email/Internet			
Closed	2022/01/14 00:00:00	2022/01/14 00:00:00	2022	2022/03/03 00:00:00	(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)		RS/H	Arrest/Close	2022/03/03 14:03:07	As noted in the previous report, none of the contacts between the local police in Port Huron and (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) involved violence or threats of violence. Moreover, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) did not have any criminal history involving acts fo violence. On 3/1/22, Inspector (b)(6); out of E/MI conducted a phone interview with (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) During the interview, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) advised that he does not hold any grudges against the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and does not wish her any harm, nor did he ever intend to do any harm to her. He also stated that he made a mistake and that he does not intend to have any additional communication of any sort in the future with the (b)(6);. While (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) does own a weapon, which gives him the ability to carry out any threat towards the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) his statements in the interview appear to show he does not have the motive to harm her. Additionally, his lack of violence in his limited crimal history and lack of any violent contact with local law enforcement also shows he does not have the intent to harm anyone let alone the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). This investigation is closed.	Reference to Death, Suicide, Weapons, Violence, Assassinations, etc.	Telephone			
Closed	2021/11/14 00:00:00	2021/11/17 00:00:00	2022	2022/02/18 00:00:00	(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)		RS/H	Arrest/Close	2022/02/18 13:39:43	As noted previously, (b)(6); does appear to have motive for acting on his threat given his tirades about government corruption; however, his anger and frustration are not fixated on the (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), (b)(6); (b)(6); Additionally, it does not appear that (b)(6); has the intention to actually carry out the threats he made in the phone call. He does not have any violent criminal history and the few arrests he does have were DUI's. Furthermore, he did not have any other contacts with local law enforcement in either Tennessee or Mississippi. After the first visit from the FBI, he removed himself from any social media accounts. While the wife did have a gun, it was seized when the FBI came to interview (b)(6); about the threat back in July 2021. Finally, (b)(6); has been arrested by the FBI and is in custody, which minimizes his ability to follow through on the threat. He does not seem to have any family or friends that will assist him in carrying out the threat nor is he affiliated with any groups or gangs that would assist him in this regard. Even if he is later released from custody, he does not currently have access to weapons. Based on the above information and based on the FBI going forward prosecuting him for his original threat, this investigation is closed.	Direct Threat	Telephone			

Closed	2022/06/26 00:00:00	2022/06/28 00:00:00	2022	2022/10/21 00:00:00	SUPREME COURT JUSTICES	RS/H	Arrest/Closure	2022/10/20 14:47:56	As of 10/19/22, USSCPD provided an updated on this case stating that the legal process identified the owner of Twitter account (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) as the following individual: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) DOB: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) SSN Last 4: (b)(6); Black Male FL DL#: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) An interview was conducted on 10/18/22. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) lives with his mom out in a somewhat rural area of east Tampa at the aforementioned address. (b)(6); was described as quiet and didn't appear to want to say too much. (b)(6); has no criminal history, no CCW permit and no additional local/federal concerns. (b)(6); said he made the comment in relation to the recent SCOTUS ruling regarding abortion rights and felt he was covered under freedom of speech and didn't mean anything by it. (b)(6); was cautioned on his posts approaching the threshold of written threats to kill. Based upon the results of the interview, USSCPD has suspended this case. Therefore, given the USMS was provided information on the wrong individual to investigate and interview about threatening statements made on Twitter and given that the USSCPD has completed and closed their investigation on the correct individual, this case is now closed.	Direct Threat	Email/Internet			
Closed	2022/07/14 00:00:00	2022/07/14 00:00:00	2022	2022/07/20 00:00:00	SUPREME COURT JUSTICES	RS/H	Arrest/Closure	2022/07/20 13:38:36	As of this date, it is believed (b)(7)(E) is due to be closed based on the lack of any credible threat directed at any USMS protected person or facility. The following information is provided as a summary of information used to make this decision. This report does not necessarily include all information provided in previous reporting, but all information is available in JDIS for review. On July 14, 2022, United States Marshals Service (USMS) Senior Inspector (SI) (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); submitted a collateral lead request to the District of Massachusetts (D/MA) related to an online Twitter post by user (b)(7)(E) that contained threatening language directed at the residences of United States Supreme Court (SCOTUS) Justices. This case had been assigned to SI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); by the USMS Judicial Security Division based on information provided to JSD by the United States Supreme Court Police. On the same evening, Investigators from D/MA conducted a collateral investigation which resulted in an interview of the person responsible for the post. The results of the interview are included in the collateral lead response and will not be covered in detail for purposes of this closing report. In general, the sender was identified as a 14-year-old juvenile female who lived in D/MA with her parents. The female admitted to making the post, showed remorse, apologized, and indicated she had no plans to harm anyone. The juvenile's father was present at the time of the interview. It was subsequently determined the female's motive was associated with a song and she had no intent to harm anyone. The female was also found to have no ability to carry out any attack. Nothing was noted in the investigation that would indicate any additional investigative queries are necessary as of this report. Predicated Investigation CLOSED.	Direct Threat	Email/Internet			
Closed	2022/06/27 00:00:00	2022/06/27 00:00:00	2022	2022/12/21 00:00:00	U.S. CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE	RS/H	Arrest/Closure	2022/12/21 12:57:22	As of this report, no information has been noted indicating (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has made any additional contact with any known USMS protected person. On 12/15/2022 an updated USM-640 Request For Information (RFI) was prepared and sent to JSD (b)(7)(E) (b)(7)(E) (b)(7)(C) (b)(7)(E) On 12/19/2022 (b)(7)(E) return was provided with negative results. They were unable to discover any social media accounts or threatening or derogatory posts by this individual towards USMS protected persons or specifically Justice (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). Searches conducted in partner commercial databases yielded nothing of significance or note as far as social media accounts or additional online presence are concerned. On 12/21/2022 Deputy US Marshal (DUSM) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C);, Western District of New York ran multiple local law enforcement checks for subject (b)(6); all with negative results. Due to no further activity of concern has been noted regarding USMS protected person, it is requested that this case be marked closed at this time.	Suspicious Behavior	Suspicious Activity			

Closed	2022/07/11 00:00:00	2022/07/12 00:00:00	2022/11/28 00:00:00	U.S. SUPREME COURT JUSTICE	RS/H	Arrest/Closure	2022/10/28 16:39:42	BACKGROUND On June 24, 2022, the United States Supreme Court released the official opinion on Dobbs v. Jackson, which overturned Roe v. Wade. On June 25, 2022, at 1022 hours, Twitter user (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated "please no one send anthrax to justice (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) please guys don't do it." SCUSPD opened case (b)(7)(E) and referred to USMS for investigative support. NOTE: The Twitter post in question has since been deleted. INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITY On August 31, 2022, investigators with the District of New Mexico spoke with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated he had no intent of harming any USMS protected person. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)'s Twitter page has been unremarkable since the concerning post. CASE CLOSED	Veiled Threat	Email/Internet			
Closed	2022/05/11 00:00:00	2022/05/11 00:00:00	2022/06/03 00:00:00	U.S. SUPREME COURT JUSTICE	RS/H	Arrest/Closure	2022/06/03 13:29:27	Background: As of this report date the Protective Investigation associated with an Unknown Source (b)(7)(E) will be closed. The following information is provided as an overview of the investigation and the justification for the closure but does not include all investigative activity associated with the case. This Protective Investigation was initiated on May 11, 2022, at the request of the United States Supreme Court Police (USSCP) related to communications of concern directed towards the Supreme Court of the United States (SCOTUS). On May 3, 2022, an unknown sender utilizing the username, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), posted a threatening message directed toward the Supreme Court of the United States (SCOTUS) on the social media site Twitter. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated, "I will kill everyone on the supreme court". USSCP opened an investigation, Case (b)(7)(E). Investigation: Legal process served on Twitter and open-source research was unable to confirm the identity of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). The post returned to T-Mobile phone number (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and the email account (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)@gmail.com. Process served to T-Mobile came back to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and to Google came back to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). T-Mobile subscriber information provided an address for (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). Open-source and commercial database queries revealed (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) residing at the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) address. Given the ages investigators believed them to be the children of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). Based upon investigative findings USSCP sent a collateral request that an interview be conducted with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to determine the identity of the anonymous poster. On May 20, 2022, Deputy United States Marshal (DUSM) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(F) along with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) of the Westfield Indiana Police Department arrived at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) made contact with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). During the interview (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) admitted to the Twitter posting and stated he had no intention to harm any USMS protected persons. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and his mother (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) were advised of the potential consequences related to making threats toward Judicial officers (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) acknowledged his mistake and stated that he would never do something like this again. Additionally, a check of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) school file showed no disciplinary or behavioral issues. Mitigation: Based upon the nature of the communication and research conducted the admonishment given by DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is deemed to be a sufficient deterrent to further threatening/concerning communications. Results: Based on the information related above, this Protective Investigation will be closed as of this date do to a lack of information that would indicate (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is currently associated with any identifiable threat directed at any USMS protected person. Protective Investigation CLOSED.	Direct Threat	Email/Internet			

Closed	2022/06/25 00:00:00	2022/06/26 00:00:00	2022/07/01 00:00:00	2022/07/01 14:19:56	SUPREME COURT JUSTICES	RS/H	Arrest/Closure	Background: As of this report date the Protective Investigation associated with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); James (b)(7)(E) will be closed. The following information is provided as an overview of the investigation and the justification for the closure but does not include all investigative activity associated with the case. This Protective Investigation was initiated on June 25, 2022, at the request of the Supreme Court of the United States Police Department (SCUSPD) related to communications of concern directed towards the Supreme Court of the United States (SCOTUS). On June 25, 2022, an unknown sender utilizing the username, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) posted a threatening message directed toward the Supreme Court of the United States (SCOTUS) on the social media site Twitter. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated, "I could kill every member of the Supreme Court with a swift blow to the liver. And I just might. Put me on that watchlist baby." SCUSPD opened an investigation, Case# (b)(7)(E), and referred the case to Senior Inspector (SI) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). Investigation: An Emergency Disclosure Request (EDR) and a Twitter Preservation Letter were submitted by SCUSPD on June 25, 2022. The EDR was approved and confirmed the identity of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to be (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). Open-source and commercial database queries revealed (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (DOB: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) has an address listed at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), a phone number of: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and an email address of: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)@gmail.com. Research also revealed a 2014 Hyundai GLS - Sonata registered in (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) name. Based upon investigative findings, SI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) sent a collateral lead request to D/MD on June 27, 2022, to conduct an interview with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to determine his motive, intent, and ability to harm a U.S. Supreme Court Justice. On June 28, 2022, Deputy U.S. Marshal (DUSM) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) determined (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has no relevant criminal history. His only documented contact with law enforcement appears to have been when he was cited for a traffic violation in Harford County, Maryland, on January 31, 2020. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) last reported wages are from 2022-1 from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) located at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). On June 28, 2022, DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) went to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) address of record at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to interview the subject. At approximately 1730 hours, DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) interviewed (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) at his residence. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) confirmed that he lived at the residence with his mother and stepfather. During the interview (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) admitted to the Twitter posting and stated he had no intention to harm any USMS protected persons. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated that his motive behind the post was to express his disgust with the lack of age restrictions and term limits for various political figures. His intent was to suggest that due to the lack of term limits, people in politics are now so old that their way of thinking is not representative of what most people think. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) admitted that he was upset with the recent Supreme Court decision involving Roe v. Wade. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was advised of the potential consequences related to making threats toward Judicial officers. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) acknowledged his mistake and stated he would probably be taking a break from social media. Additionally, a check of NCIC, JDIS, PACER, and Capture show (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has no history of making threatening/concerning communications. Open-source research shows (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has deleted his Twitter account. (b)(7)(E) confirmed (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has not posted further threatening/concerning communications on social media sites since June 25, 2022. Mitigation: After the interview, DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) concluded there is no threat to the United States Supreme Court at this time. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) does not have the motive, intent, or ability to carry out an attack on the Justices. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) reported that he is currently looking toward the future. He	Direct Threat	Email/Internet
--------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------	------------------------	------	----------------	--	---------------	----------------

Closed	2022/05/13 00:00:00	2022/05/14 00:00:00	2022/07/28 00:00:00	U.S. SUPREME COURT JUSTICE	RS/H	Arrest/Closure	2022/07/28 15:46:37	Background: As of this report date the Protective Investigation associated with (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(E) will be closed. The following information is provided as an overview of the investigation and the justification for the closure but does not include all investigative activity associated with the case. This Protective Investigation was initiated on May 14, 2022, based upon suspicious activity reported by the USMS day shift protection detail at United States Supreme Court Justice (USSCJ) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) On May 13, 2022, Senior Inspector (SI) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) assigned to day shift protection at (b)(7)(E) reported suspicious activity witnessed by him at the residence. At approximately 1903 hours a light color sedan with duct tape on portions of the vehicle drove into the private dead-end road where (b)(7)(E)'s residence is located. SI (b)(6); identified the Minnesota vehicles license as (b)(6); and stated that the vehicles windows were down, and he observed two occupants. SI (b)(6); described the driver as a white female, approximately 5'6", and average build. SI (b)(6); described the passenger as a white male, approximately 6'0", red hair, red beard, and heavy build. SI (b)(6); said the vehicle drove in and out of the residential road slowly and without stopping. This information was shared with USSCP who then related that one of their Dignitary Protection Unit (DPU) Special Agents (SA) had observed a vehicle matching the license plate at a gathering spot for a protest on Wednesday at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). Investigation: NLETS queries came back on a green Toyota Avalon registered to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) At approximately 13:25 on May 14, 2022, the residential security detail for Justice (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) observed the gray Toyota Avalon, plate number (b)(6); associated with the suspicious activity at Justice (b)(6); s and (b)(6); s residences on May 13. While the vehicle drove by, an uber driver dropped off a female, later identified as (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), Date of Birth (DOB) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), on the street nearby and she got into the suspicious vehicle. At the same time, a Virginia State Police trooper drove up, saw the vehicle, looked at our security team, and confirmed with the United States Marshals Service (USMS) that it was a private road, so he initiated a traffic stop. Shortly after the traffic stop was initiated, the USMS and Fairfax County Police were also on scene and began questioning the three occupants of the vehicle. (b)(6); provided a VA state identification card (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) the other occupants, 1 male and 1 female, refused to provide any identification and were evasive as to their business in the private community. After further questioning, they stated that they were there to join a prayer circle with another individual which was not present. During the stop (b)(6); stated that she had no connection to the vehicle's occupants, that she had seen a social media posting by comedian (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) that stated "On our way to protest in front of SCOTUS house. DM me if you want to join". (b)(6); further stated that when the Uber dropped her off, the subjects driving the Avalon asked if she wanted to join them and she got into the vehicle. (b)(6); claimed to have no connection to the vehicle or its occupants. A brief interview was conducted and USMS asked the vehicle occupants if they could conduct a search of the vehicle and the occupants agreed. A consensual search of the vehicle found nothing inherently dangerous except a baseball bat, which the occupants stated was for self-defense. The occupants never directly stated that they were attempting to protest at Justice (b)(6); s house and when questioned about some of the items in the car such as poster boards they stated that was for a (b)(6); thing. The USMS was able to eventually identify the female driver as (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), DOB (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and the male passenger as (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), DOB (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). When asked why the car they were driving	Suspicious Behavior	Informant			
--------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------	----------------------------	------	----------------	---------------------	--	---------------------	-----------	--	--	--

Closed	2022/06/27 00:00:00	2022/06/27 00:00:00	2022/08/08 00:00:00	2022/08/08 18:44:56	U.S. SUPREME COURT JUSTICES	RS/H	Arrest/Closure	Background: As of this report date the Protective Investigation associated with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(7)(E) will be closed. The following information is provided as an overview of the investigation and the justification for the closure but does not include all investigative activity associated with the case. This Protective Investigation was initiated on June 26, 2022, at the request of the Supreme Court of the United States Police Department (SCUSPD), related to Twitter user (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) posting a threatening tweet on Twitter towards U.S. Supreme Court Justices. On June 26, 2022, Twitter user (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) posted, "I would simply say I would murder all conservative supreme court justices" on a personal Twitter page. SCUSPD opened case # (b)(7)(E) and referred the case to U.S. Marshals Service (USMS) Judicial Security Division (JSD) Senior Inspector (SI) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); for investigative support. Investigation: On June 25, 2022, an Emergency Disclosure Request (EDR) and a Twitter Preservation Letter were submitted by USSCP. The EDR was approved and returned the following information on the Twitter user: Email: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) @gmail.com USMS (b)(7)(E) conducted an open-source database search on the Twitter handle and the email and found the following information for the subject: Name: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Alias: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) DOB: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) SSN: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Address: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Phone: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Additional Emails: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) @u.northwestern.edu; (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) @gmail.com; (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) @yahoo.com Alternate Twitter Username: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Occupation: Incident Response Consultant at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) A check of (b)(7)(E), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) and (b)(7)(E) show (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has no known history of making threats. Additionally, a check for warrants and/or criminal history on (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) returned negative results. On June 27, 2022, SI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) sent a collateral lead request to S/NY, requesting the District Threat Investigator conduct a subject interview with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to determine his motive, intent, and ability to harm the U.S. Supreme Court Justices. Further investigative database searches conducted by S/NY Protective Intelligence Unit (PIU) discovered the following information for (b)(6); (b)(7)(C): A query of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) in (b)(7)(E) revealed New York driver's license Client ID# (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) with an address of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). The query also revealed a 2014 Nissan with VIN (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and NY license plate KFG4123 that was previously registered to Dylan (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) at the same address. The registration record shows a vehicle status of, "(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)". A query in (b)(7)(E) for criminal history was negative. A query of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) in (b)(7)(E) was negative. A query of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) in (b)(7)(E) revealed U.S. Passport (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) issued on (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and expiring on (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). The passport record lists an alias of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). The (b)(7)(E) query also revealed a travel history with 13 encounters. The most recent travel listed was between (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) with an outbound flight on (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and an inbound flight on (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was negative for any (b)(7)(E) alerts. An open source query of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) revealed LinkedIn account (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is listed as working as an Incident Response Consultant for a company named (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) since (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to present. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is a cybersecurity firm that describes itself as (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is also listed as a student at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) where he is working to earn a Masters Degree in Cyber/Computer Forensics and Counterterrorism. A query of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) in (b)(7)(E) listed (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (DOB (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)), aka, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (DOB (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) as relative
--------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------	-----------------------------	------	----------------	---

Closed	2022/06/26 00:00:00	2022/06/26 00:00:00	2022/06/26 00:00:00	2022/08/08 00:00:00	U.S. CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE	RS/H	Arrest/Closure	2022/08/08 18:56:46	Background: As of this report date the (b)(7)(E) Investigation associated with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(E) will be closed. The following information is provided as an overview of the investigation and the justification for the closure but does not include all investigative activity associated with the case. This Protective Investigation was initiated on June 26, 2022, at the request of the Supreme Court of the United States Police Department (SCUSPD) related to the suspicious behavior of an individual at U.S. Supreme Court Justice (USSCJ) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)'s residence. On June 26, 2022, at approximately 3:30pm EST, USMS residential security observed an unknown white male (approx. 5'11, 165lbs, 20-30 years old) making several passes on foot in front of USSCJ (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)'s residence. The subject appeared to be taking notes on his phone of the residence. Montgomery County PD (MCPD) was notified and responded to the scene. MCPD conducted a consensual stop with the subject. The subject identified himself as (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) DOB: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); an active-duty member of the U.S. Army band. (b)(6); provided his military identification card and Maryland driver's license. (b)(6); told MCPD he was angry about the protest and wanted to do something about it. MCPD officers stated (b)(6); was shaking and not speaking clearly. (b)(6); then stated to a USMS Deputy on scene, "I shouldn't be here anymore and should go home." (b)(6); left the area without further incident. Investigation: On June 26, 2022, the following identification information for (b)(6); was documented by the USMS deputy on scene: SSN: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Active Duty Army - Rank: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) DL: MD (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Address: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Phone: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) USMS investigators conducted a commercial database search on (b)(6); and discovered the following information: Additional Address: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Email: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) @gmail.com Facebook name: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Personal Website: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Instagram username: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Linkedin: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) On June 26, 2022, SI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) entered a Full Predicate on (b)(6); (Case# (b)(7)(E) FID# (b)(7)(E)) and notified the U.S. Army CID Duty Agent (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). On June 27, 2022, SI (b)(6); sent a collateral lead request to D/MD, Deputy U.S. Marshal (DUSM) (b)(6); (b)(6); to conduct a subject interview with (b)(6); to determine his motive, intent, and ability to harm the U.S. Supreme Court Justices, and why he was in the area of USSCJ (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)'s residence. U.S. Army CID additionally initiated a case on (b)(6); due to his active-duty status and collaborated with the USMS on the investigation. On June 27, 2022, DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and Army CID TFO SA (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) conducted a subject interview with (b)(6); at the (b)(6); CID facility. (b)(6); stated he was disappointed in the overturn of the Roe v. Wade decision and had wanted to show solidarity and support for those affected by the decision. (b)(6); stated that on June 26, 2022, he had driven 40 minutes from his home to USSCJ (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)'s residence, expecting a crowd to be gathered at the residence upon his arrival. (b)(6); stated he parked down the block and was surprised and disappointed to find no crowd gathered when he approached the residence. (b)(6); told investigators that while on scene, he had searched the internet for any scheduled protests occurring at USSCJ (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)'s residence, finding none. With no plan, (b)(6); claimed he walked the block a few times before realizing he was being followed by a vehicle he assumed to be law enforcement. (b)(6); claimed he approached the vehicle, stated he was leaving the area, cooperated with USMS and Montgomery County Police Department officers on scene, and then left the scene without further incident. (b)(6); stated he drove straight home after the police encounter at the residence. K	Suspicious Behavior	Suspicious Activity		
--------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------	--------------------------	------	----------------	---------------------	--	---------------------	---------------------	--	--

Closed	2022/06/24 00:00:00	2022/06/24 00:00:00	2022/06/24 00:00:00	2022/06/30 00:00:00	U.S. SUPREME COURT JUSTICE	RS/H	Arrest/Close	2022/06/30 15:28:56	Background: As of this report date the Protective Investigation associated with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(7)(E) will be closed. The following information is provided as an overview of the investigation and the justification for the closure but does not include all investigative activity associated with the case. This Protective Investigation was initiated on June 24, 2022, at the request of the Supreme Court of the United States Police Department (SCUSPD) related to communications of concern directed towards the Supreme Court of the United States (SCOTUS). A review of subject's twitter timeline shows a posting from May 3, 2022, at 11:59 AM, "What if we just blew up the Supreme Court?" On June 24, 2022, an unknown sender utilizing the username, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)" posted a threatening message directed toward the Supreme Court of the United States (SCOTUS) on the social media site Twitter. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated, ""Yooo anyone else feel like committing terrorism??? A little arson [sad face emoji] aha [one finger pointing right emoji] [one finger pointing left emoji] I wanna murder the United States Supreme Court [no evil monkey emoji]." SCUSPD opened an investigation, Case# (b)(7)(E), and referred the case to Senior Inspector (SI) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). Investigation: An EDR was submitted by SCUSPD to Twitter, but was denied. Research conducted by a Federal Protective Service (FPS) analyst confirmed the identity of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to be (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) DOB: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); SSN: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Open-source and commercial database queries revealed (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has an address listed at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and listed a phone number: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). On June 24, 2022, SI (b)(6); reached out to the Plainwell DPS and discovered an officer had already attempted contact at (b)(6); residence earlier in the day due to an inquiry made by a Homeland Security Officer out of Chicago after reviewing the same threat made online. SI (b)(6); reached out to Homeland Security to deconflict and ensured the USMS had the case. Based upon investigative findings, SI (b)(6); sent a collateral lead request to W/MI to conduct an interview with (b)(6); to determine his motive, intent, and ability to harm a U.S. Supreme Court Justice. On June 24, 2022, Judicial Security Inspector (JSI) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) determined (b)(6); is a student at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and lives off-campus at: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). At 2100 hrs on June 24, 2022, JSI (b)(6); and Ottawa County Sheriff's Office (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) conducted a Subject Interview on (b)(6); (b)(6); During the interview (b)(6); admitted to the Twitter posting and stated he had no intention to harm any USMS protected persons. (b)(6); was advised of the potential consequences related to making threats toward Judicial officers. (b)(6); acknowledged his mistake and stated that he would never do something like this again. Additionally, a check of NCIC, JDIS, PACER, and Capture show (b)(6); does not have a criminal history or a history of making threatening/concerning communications. (b)(7)(E) (b)(7)(E) also confirmed no additional threatening/concerning statements were found to be posted by (b)(6); online since June 24, 2022. Mitigation: After the interview, JSI (b)(6); concluded there is no threat to the United States Supreme Court at this time. (b)(6); does not have the motive, intent, or ability to carry out an attack on the Justices'. (b)(6); has a stake in the community by attending (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); does not own a car and has little funding to complete any travel associated with research and planning. This incident appears to be isolated to the day of June 24, 2022, after the release of the Dobbs v Jackson opinion overturning Roe V. Wade. Based upon the nature of the communication and research conducted, the admonishment given by JSI (b)(6); is deemed to be a sufficient deterrent to further threatening/concerning communications. Results: Based on the information related above, this P	Direct Threat, Disjointed, Sinister or Otherwise Questionable Content	Email/Internet				
--------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------	----------------------------	------	--------------	---------------------	---	---	----------------	--	--	--	--

Closed	2022/05/10 00:00:00	2022/05/10 00:00:00	2022/05/18 00:00:00	U.S. SUPREME COURT OTHER	RS/H	Arrest/Closure	2022/05/18 08:57:42	Background: As of this report date the Protective Investigation associated with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(7)(E) will be closed. The following information is provided as an overview of the investigation and the justification for the closure but does not include all investigative activity associated with the case. This Protective Investigation was initiated on May 10, 2022, at the request of the United States Supreme Court Police (USSCP) related to communications of concern directed towards the Supreme Court of the United States (SCOTUS). On May 3, 2022, a subject utilizing the username, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), posted a threatening message on the social media site Twitter. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated, "I'M GOING TO BLOW UP THE SUPREME COURT HOUSE GOD DAMN IT". Investigation: Legal process served on Twitter and open-source research identified the poster as (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). Database queries identified an address (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) where (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) resides with his mother (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). A collateral request for a subject interview was sent to Deputy United States Marshal (DUSM) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); of the Eastern District of Texas (E/TX). DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); reported that DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); conducted the interview with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) mother (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) present. A waiver of rights form was signed by both and has been uploaded to this case. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) acknowledged that the Twitter handle (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) belongs to him and admitted to making the threatening post. DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) advised (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) against that would indicate or promote violence towards USMS protected persons. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated he would delete any threatening content and refrain from posting similar in the future. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated there is one firearm at the residence and it is kept locked in a safe that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) does not have access to. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has no criminal history and no substantial means available to him. Results of the interview were shared with USSCP, and they have closed out their related case. Mitigation: Based upon the nature of the communication and research conducted on (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) the admonishment given by DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is deemed to be a sufficient deterrent to further threatening/concerning communications. Results: Based on the information related above, this Protective Investigation will be closed as of this date due to a lack of information that would indicate (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is currently associated with any identifiable threat directed at any USMS protected person. Protective Investigation CLOSED.	Direct Threat	Email/Internet			
--------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------	--------------------------	------	----------------	---------------------	---	---------------	----------------	--	--	--

Closed	2022/05/10 00:00:00	2022/05/11 00:00:00	2022/05/17 00:00:00	2022/05/17 00:00:00	U.S. SUPREME COURT OTHER	RS/H	Arrest/Closure	2022/05/17 15:33:30	Background: As of this report date the Protective Investigation associated with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(7)(E) will be closed. The following information is provided as an overview of the investigation and the justification for the closure but does not include all investigative activity associated with the case. This Protective Investigation was initiated on May 5, 2022, at the request of the United States Supreme Court Police (USSCP) related to communications of concern directed towards the Supreme Court of the United States (SCOTUS). On May 4, 2022, an UNKNOWN SENDER utilizing the username, "(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)", posted multiple disturbing messages directed toward SCOTUS on the social media site Twitter. "(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)" stated, "I would rather be killed/incarcerated for murdering the Supreme Court before I would let them kill/incarcerate me for the right to my own fucking flesh. My healthcare and rights don't matter? I'll go out like (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) watch me (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)". Additionally, on 5/4/22 "(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)" shared a video of EMS Services loading a wounded individual into an ambulance and stated, "This is how Supreme Court officials are about to look after I graciously use my still intact right to buy a gun." Investigation: Legal process served on Twitter identified the poster as (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), DOB (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). Database queries identified her address as (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) A collateral request for a subject interview was sent to Senior Inspector (SI) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) of the Middle District of Florida (M/FL). SI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) conducted an interview with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) on 5/12/2022, at her request investigators went to her place of work, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) in Ellenton, FL. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) admitted that the account "(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)" belongs to her and that she had made the concerning posts. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) related that the purpose of the tweet was "taking a stance" and was not meant to be interpreted as a threat. SI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) reported that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) apologized several times, that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) had no intention of travelling to DC and could not even name any of the current Scotus Justices. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is currently a college student and a waitress. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has no criminal history and no substantial means available to her. Results of the interview were shared with USSCP, and they have closed out their related case. Mitigation: Based upon the nature of the communication and research conducted on (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) the admonishment given by SI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is deemed to be a sufficient deterrent to further threatening/concerning communications. Results: Based on the information related above, this Protective Investigation will be closed as of this date do to a lack of information that would indicate (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is currently associated with any identifiable threat directed at any USMS protected person. Protective Investigation CLOSED.	Reference to Death, Suicide, Weapons, Violence, Assassinations, etc., Reference to Persons or Groups Who Have Attacked Public Figures and/or Facilities, Disjointed, Sinister or Otherwise Questionable Content, Extraordinary Complaint or Outrage Over the Outcome of a Court Case	Informant			
--------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------	--------------------------	------	----------------	---------------------	--	--	-----------	--	--	--

Closed	2022/06/26 00:00:00	2022/06/28 00:00:00	2022	2023/02/17 00:00:00	U.S. SUPREME COURT JUSTICE	RS/H	Arrest/Close	2023/02/17 14:10:07	Background: As of this report date the Protective Investigation associated with Twitter user (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(7)(E) will be closed. The following information is provided as an overview of the investigation and the justification for the closure but does not include all investigative activity associated with the case. This Protective Investigation was initiated on June 25, 2022, at the request of the Supreme Court of the United States Police Department (SCUSPD). On June 24, 2022, the United States Supreme Court released the official opinion on Dobbs v. Jackson, which overturned Roe v. Wade. On June 25, 2022, SCUSPD was notified of a Twitter Tweet from user (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stating, "Black Lives Matter ... also I am going to assassinate the only black person on the Supreme Court." SCUSPD opened case (b)(7)(E). On June 26, 2022, SCUSPD referred the case to USMS Judicial Security Division (JSD) Senior Inspector (SI) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) for investigative support. Investigation: On June 26, 2022, an EDR was approved and returned the following information on the Twitter user: Email: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)@gmail.com Phone: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Twitter Display Name: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Account Display Name: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) On June 26, 2022, SI (b)(6); initiated an Assessment in (b)(7)(E) for the UNKNOWN subject FID# (b)(7)(E) SI (b)(6); requested USMS (b)(7)(E) conduct an open-source database search on the Twitter handle, email, and phone number to discover identifying information for the Twitter user. (b)(7)(E) returned the Request for Information (RFI) with negative results. On June 28, 2022, SCUSPD Protective Intelligence Unit (PIU) submitted a subpoena to Twitter to determine further identification information on the UNKNOWN subject with Twitter username (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). On July 1, 2022, United States Attorney District of Columbia (USADC) attorney (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) submitted the subpoena to Twitter. On July 13, 2022, Twitter returned information in response to the subpoena; however, the information provided did not identify additional information on the subject. On July 27, 2022, SI (b)(6); submitted a new RFI to (b)(7)(E) in an attempt to obtain identifying information on Twitter user (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). On August 3, 2022, (b)(7)(E) returned no new results to identify Twitter user (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). On August 24, 2022, SI (b)(6); submitted a subpoena request to obtain identifying information for the Google subscriber with the following email account: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)@gmail.com. On November 2, 2022, SI (b)(6); contacted USMS-CIB to request general information for the Mastercard tied to Google Subscriber (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)'s account. On the same day, CIB notified SI (b)(6); the Mastercard was linked to the Commonwealth Bank of Australia, Sydney, AUS (based off the first six digits of the card). SI (b)(6); conducted a commercial database search on the name (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) but due to a lack of additional identifying information, SI (b)(6); was unable to confirm which record may belong to the subject. On December 14, 2022, SI (b)(6); contacted Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to determine if a (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) entered the U.S. from Australia. Without further identifying information, a search led to inconclusive results. Attempts continued to be made by CBP to identify the subject with negative results. On December 16, 2022, SI (b)(6); submitted a new RFI to (b)(7)(E) in an attempt to identify the Twitter user and to determine if additional threats toward SCOTUS were posted by the Twitter user. SI (b)(6); requested an open-source search be performed on Twitter username (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), the name (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), and email address: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)@gmail.com. On December 22, 2022, (b)(7)(E) returned a Request for Information/Open-Source Search with negative results for the identification of Twitter user (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). (b)(7)(E) was also unable to find further identifying information for (b)(6); (b)(6); or for the email address: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)@gmail.com. SI (b)(6); continued	Direct Threat	Email/Internet				
--------	---------------------	---------------------	------	---------------------	----------------------------	------	--------------	---------------------	---	---------------	----------------	--	--	--	--

Closed	2022/05/18 00:00:00	2022/05/19 00:00:00	2022	2022/09/03 00:00:00	U.S. SUPREME COURT JUSTICE		RS/H	Arrest/Closure	2022/09/02 16:03:40	Background: As of this report date the Protective Investigation associated with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(7)(E) will be closed. The following information is provided as an overview of the investigation and the justification for the closure but does not include all investigative activity associated with the case. This Protective Investigation was initiated on May 18, 2022, based upon a veiled threat included in a letter sent to United States Supreme Court Justice (USSCJ) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). The letter, postmarked May, 7 2022, included a return address for "Mr. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)". In the letter (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) expressed his dissatisfaction with the leaked draft Dobbs ruling, stating "I want you to step down from your ivory tower and realize the damage you are doing to my country.", he later states "I want you to consider your own mortality and your security detail. Do they have daughters, sisters, nieces. Do they have anyone in their families that were raped. Where anyone in your security detail police officers who dealt with incest cases. Your security detail are just people, people with guns who may not agree with your stance. Keep in mind, the people who are not writing letter(s) are the ones doing the planning. They are combat veterans who have more training and are more heavily armed than your security detail. I am coming to the determination that if you are taking peoples rights away, you are no longer an Associate Justice of the Supreme Court. You are just a politician with a robe and you are expendable and replaceable(sp). Sleep well.". At the end of the letter (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) identifies himself as a "combat veteran and former police officer." And signs off, "God bless the 2nd amendment". Based upon the veiled threat, reference to weapons, reference to security detail and overall disturbing content Supreme Court Police opened an investigation, Case# (b)(7)(E) and referred it to the USMS for support. Investigation: An information request to the Department of Defense (DOD) confirmed (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) served in the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) during the Gulf War and was honorably discharged. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) currently receives (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) based upon his service. Veterans Administration police in Sacramento where interviewed and stated they had no negative contacts with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). An information request to the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) confirmed (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was employed as a sworn officer for approximately one year. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is not of record in NCIC or Pacer and was not of record in USMS holdings. A subject interview was conducted with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) at his residence. During the interview (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) admitted to writing the letter, when asked if he (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to have further contact with any Justices, he said no. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated he lives alone is retired and supports himself from his retirement and savings. When asked why he wrote the letter (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated that he "didn't like their decision". When asked if he currently owns a firearm (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) told investigators he did not. Deputy US Marshals conducting the interview noted that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) home seemed "run-down" and was not well kept. Similarly, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)'s vehicle was an older model and not very clean. DUSM's described (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to this investigator as "frail and messy". E/CA reports that after the interview (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) called the district to complain. No further contact to the Supreme Court have been reported. Mitigation: Based upon information developed during this investigation the subject interview with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) appears to have transferred his attention away from the Supreme Court. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) contact with the district indicates that he is still pursuing non-violent means to address his grievance. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) appears to have limited means, subsisting on his retirement, disability and savings. The appearance of his residence and vehicle reinforce that status. Results: Based on the information related above, this Protective Investigation will be closed as of	Veiled Threat, Disjointed, Sinister or Otherwise Questionable Content, Reference to Bodyguards, Security, Personal Safety, Danger, etc.	Written			
Closed	2022/05/11 00:00:00	2022/05/11 00:00:00	2022	2023/02/01 00:00:00	U.S. SUPREME COURT JUSTICE		RS/H	Arrest/Closure	2023/01/24 12:07:36	Based information provided by C/CA in their collateral lead response and in collaboration with the Supreme Court Of the United State Police Department (SCUSPD), the JSC-West will be closing this case due to a lack of investigative leads. SCUSPD Investigators provided that the USAO in the District of Columbia would not opine sufficient evidence to file charges against (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). Case closed.	Conditional Threat	Informant			
Closed	2022/07/06 00:00:00	2022/07/06 00:00:00	2022	2022/12/03 00:00:00	U.S. SUPREME COURT		RS/H	Arrest/Closure	2022/09/20 18:23:19	During the last thirty-day period, SI (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) continued reviewing social media posts made on the Twitter account associated with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has not made any further comments about any U.S. Supreme Court Justice. Aside from the one comment made back on July 6, 2022, no other comments were located. There was no other derogatory information found on any other social media sites for (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), associated with any member of the U.S. Supreme Court. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) does not appear to have any fascination with or intent to harm a Supreme Court Justice. Based on this information, SI (b)(6); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) recommends closure of this assessment.	Suspicious Behavior	Email/Internet			

Closed	2022/06/26 00:00:00	2022/06/27 00:00:00	2022	2023/03/16 00:00:00	U.S. SUPREME COURT JUSTICE		RS/H	Arrest/Close	2023/03/16 17:38:11	Investigators from D/MN have conducted interviews in this case. Investigators determined that the activity of concern was made by a juvenile, special-needs male and the poster has no capability. Additionally, the investigators indicated that the juvenile was reprimanded by his mother and the local School Resource Officer was made aware of the incident. SI (b)(6); (b)(7)(F) would request closure of this case. INVESTIGATION CLOSED	Direct Threat	Email/Internet			
Closed	2022/06/16 00:00:00	2022/06/17 00:00:00	2022	2022/09/16 00:00:00	SCOTUS (UNSPECIFIED)		RS/H	Arrest/Close	2022/09/16 13:54:01	On 05/02/2022, Politico magazine released an article entitled, "Supreme Court has voted to overturn abortion rights, draft opinion shows". The release of the draft opinion resulted in a substantial increase in threats directed toward United States Supreme Court Justices. As a result, the United States Marshals Service (USMS) Judicial Security Division (JSD) is assisting the United States Supreme Court Police (USSCP) with issue associated protective investigations. On 06/16/2022, Judicial Security Inspector (JSI) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) W/WA received notification from Seattle Police Department (SPD) Intelligence Unit Detective (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) that SPD had received information regarding a threat to the Supreme Court of the United States (SCOTUS). SPD had been contacted by staff members at mental health provider (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). Kaiser staff had relayed to SPD that client (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (FID: (b)(7)(E)) was "upset about rulings dealing with the border and made a threat 5/10/2022 against Supreme Court Justices". (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) staff also stated to SPD that during a counseling session (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) had made a list of problem-solving actions which included "violence against Judges". The primary mitigation strategy has been Short Term Monitoring and Mental Health Treatment and Counseling since the inception of this Protective Investigation. Based on the following information SI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) views the mitigation efforts as a success. As of 09/16/2022, DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) W/WA, remains in weekly contact with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) mental health counselor (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and purports that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) remains in good standing with his mental health treatment and is generally functioning well. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) remains active on social media however none of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) posts mention or allude to any USMS protective interest. Based on (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) compliance and reported success in this Mental Health Counseling and apparent lack of further interest in USMS Protective Interests, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) will close this case effective 09/16/2022. //// Investigation Closed ////	Veiled Threat	Informant			
Closed	2022/08/25 00:00:00	2022/08/25 00:00:00	2022	2022/10/11 00:00:00	U.S. CIRCUIT COURT OTHER		RS/H	Arrest/Close	2022/10/11 13:59:51	On 08/25/2022, Judicial Security Division Open-Source Intelligence Unit (b)(7)(E) Senior Inspector (SI) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was assigned to create a companion Protective Assessment in JDIS to Mid-Atlantic Judicial Support Center SI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Protection Event "(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)" in Capture. On 09/23/2022, Northern District of West Virginia (N/WV) Deputy U.S. Marshal (DUSM) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(F) submitted a Field Report documenting the conclusion of the event without incident. As of 10/11/2022, the above Field Report was still listed as Pending Approval by the Reviewer, JSD Office of Protective Operations (OPO) Assistant Chief Inspector (ACI) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). As the event in question has concluded without incident, no further support from (b)(7)(E) is warranted. End of Report. Protective Assessment CLOSED.	Suspicious Behavior	Suspicious Activity			
Closed	2022/09/15 00:00:00	2022/09/15 00:00:00	2022	2022/11/23 00:00:00	USMS PERSONNEL		RS/H	Arrest/Close	2022/11/23 09:56:26	On 11/14/2022, E-PA reported to IRS (b)(6); (b)(7)(E) that the trial for (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) et al concluded. No open-source threats or risk were observed by (b)(7)(E) (b)(7)(E) Event Support for this trial has concluded and this protective assessment will be closed. This Protective Assessment is CLOSED. ///END OF REPORT///	Suspicious Behavior	Suspicious Activity			

Closed	2021/11/14 00:00:00	2021/11/17 00:00:00	2022	2022/02/18 00:00:00	(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)		RS/H	Arrest/Closure	2022/02/14 11:47:57	On 2/9/22, Inspector (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) contacted the local FBI field office in Jackson, MS, to speak to SA (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) who was the original Agent assigned to investigate the threat by (b)(6); in July 2021. SA (b)(6); stated the case was now being handled by SA (b)(6); (b)(6); and gave the direct contact information for him. Inspector (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) contacted SA (b)(6); and he stated that they had just received an indictment against (b)(6); for the threatening phone call he made on July 28th, 2021. Moreover, he said they were planning to arrest him in the next couple days and would confirm arrest once it happened. On 2/10/22, Inspector (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) spoke with Inspector (b)(6); from M/TN reagrdrng the interviews of (b)(6); family and neighbors, and he said they were only able to conduct one interview so far. He noted there was nothing significant on the first interview, which was with (b)(6); (b)(6); the property manager at the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) address. Mr. (b)(6); said he could see (b)(6); making those statements but not necessarily carrying them out, and he further described (b)(6); as extremely intelligent and kind of odd with no common sense. Mr. (b)(6); said (b)(6); needed someone like his mother or wife to keep him grounded. Lastly, he said he did not know about (b)(6); having any weapons or using drugs. On 2/11/22, SA (b)(6); notified Inspector (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) that (b)(6); had indeed been arrested and was in custody. (b)(6); detention hearing is set for Tuesday, February 15th, 2022. While (b)(6); does appear to have motive for acting on his threat given his tirades about government corruption, his anger and fristration is not fixated on the (b)(6); or it's director (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). Additionally, it does not appear that (b)(6); has the intention to actually carry out the threats he made in the phone call. He does not have any violent criminal history and the few arrests he does have were DUI's. Furthermore, he did not have any other contacts with local law enforcement in either Tennessee or Mississippi. Also, after the first visit from the FBI, he removed himself from any social media accounts. While the wife did have a gun, it was seized when the FBI came to interview (b)(6); about the threat back in July 2021. Finally, (b)(6); has been arrested by the FBI and is in custody, which minimizes his ability to follow through on the threat. He does not seem to have any family or friends that will assist him in carrying out the threat nor is he affiliated with any groups or gangs that would assist him in this regard. Even if he is later released from custody, he does not currently have access to weapons. Based on the above information and based on the FBI going forward prosecuting him for his original threat, this investigation is being closed.	Direct Threat	Telephone			
Closed	2022/06/25 00:00:00	2022/06/25 00:00:00	2022	2022/08/19 00:00:00	U.S. SUPREME COURT JUSTICE		RS/H	Arrest/Closure	2022/08/19 09:09:10	On August 18, 2022, Special Agent (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) with the Supreme Court Police Protective Intelligence Unit provided the USMS with an update to the case involving a twitter user with handle (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). The FBI had identified the subject as a juvenile, the juvenile had been interviewed by the FBI and the Bureau believed that the threat has been mitigated. The FBI along with the Supreme Court Police have both closed their cases in this matter. Based upon the fact the sender was a juvenile and that both the FBI and the USCP have mitigated the threat in this case through admonishment, SI (b)(6); will be closing this case effective immediately. CASE CLOSED.	Direct Threat	Email/Internet			
Closed	2022/06/26 00:00:00	2022/06/26 00:00:00	2022	2022/09/16 00:00:00	U.S. SUPREME COURT JUSTICE		RS/H	Arrest/Closure	2022/09/16 16:12:41	On August 19, 2022, Special Agent (S.A.) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) confirmed that the U.S. Supreme Court Police (SCPD) had concluded there investigation into this matter. S.A. (b)(6); advised that Fairfax County Police was investigating this matter for the possibility of a trespassed charge. Based upon the lack of information in this case and the fact the U.S. Marshals do not have the statutory authority for this case, Senior Inspector (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) will be closing this case for those reasons. CASE CLOSED.	Veiled Threat	Written			
Closed	2022/06/24 00:00:00	2022/06/24 00:00:00	2022	2022/10/06 00:00:00	U.S. SUPREME COURT JUSTICE		RS/H	Arrest/Closure	2022/10/06 12:53:26	On August 26, 2022, at approximately 1250 hours, DUSMs (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(F) from the M/FL interviewed subject (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) at the Orlando USMS office. During the interview, (b)(6); stated he did not mean his statements as a threat, he was just blowing off steam, and it was a joke and stupid on his part. He said he has never been treated for mental issues, does not own and is not looking to buy a gun, and there is no weapon at his parents house where he lives. (b)(6); further stated that he is not a member of any group looking to overthrow the U.S. Government, that he does not have an combat or martial arts training, and that he has no intent to carry out any threats referenced in his tweets. As the end of the interview, (b)(6); was reminded to refrain posting social media message with threatening words, and it was suggested that in the future he should be careful and smart before posting any message. The deputies noted (b)(6); appeared to be an intelligence, educated, non-violent young person, and DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); stated his professional opinion was that (b)(6); does not pose a threat to any USMS Protectee at this time. On August 27, 2022, SI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) submitted a subsequent usm-640 to the (b)(7)(E) unit to see if any additional posts had been made by (b)(6); during the past few months. After extensive searches, no new posts were noted since the last post on June 30th to include the weeks following the interview with the Orlando DUSM's. Given that (b)(6); does not have any criminal history or any reports of violence, that he has no mental health issues, that he does not own any weapons, that he does not hold any grudge or long term ill will towards the government or the Supreme Court, and that he has not made any additional posts since the week after the decision was released by SCOTUS, it is clear that (b)(6); does not have the MOTIVE or INTENT to cause harm to any Supreme Court Justice or other member of the U.S. Government and thus does not pose a threat. Based on the facts noted above, this case is being closed.	Reference to Death, Suicide, Weapons, Violence, Assassinations, etc., Direct Threat, Extraordinary Complaint or Outrage Over the Outcome of a Court Case, Reference to Bodyguards, Security, Personal Safety, Danger, etc.	Email/Internet			

Closed	2022/06/26 00:00:00	2022/06/26 00:00:00	2022	2022/08/08 00:00:00	U.S. CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE		RS/H	Arrest/Closure	2022/08/08 14:12:48	On August 5, 2022, (b)(7)(E) completed the RFI Response, pursuant to the USM-640 submitted by D/MD DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). The RFI Response showed (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has no prior history of threatening communications or actions toward USMS protected persons before June 26, 2022, and no subsequent actions toward U.S. Supreme Court Justices since his appearance at the residences of USSCJ (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) on June 26, 2022. Based on all the information obtained throughout the course of this investigation, SI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) does not believe (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) possesses the motive or intent to harm U.S. Supreme Court Justices. SI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) also does not believe mitigation steps are necessary for (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). SI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) has determined this case can be closed at this time. CASE CLOSED. END OF REPORT.	Suspicious Behavior	Email/Internet			
Closed	2022/06/26 00:00:00	2022/06/29 00:00:00	2022	2022/12/16 00:00:00	U.S. SUPREME COURT JUSTICES		RS/H	Arrest/Closure	2022/12/16 17:40:01	On December 15, 2022, (b)(7)(E) returned a RFI Response to a USM640 request made by SI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) on November 16, 2022. After conducting an open-source search of subject name (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Twitter handles (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), and Gmail address (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)@gmail.com, (b)(7)(E) reported negative results for identifying information for the subject. (b)(7)(E) also conducted an open-source search to determine whether additional social media posts threatening USMS protected personnel were made by the subject after the originating threatening post made on June 24, 2022. (b)(7)(E) reported negative results for additional posts concerning USMS protected persons. Due to a lack of confirmed identifying information found for the subject and a lack of additional posts concerning USMS protected persons since June 24, 2022, SI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(E) is closing the case. CASE CLOSED. END OF REPORT.	Veiled Threat	Email/Internet			
Closed	2022/06/26 00:00:00	2022/06/29 00:00:00	2022	2022/12/16 00:00:00	U.S. SUPREME COURT JUSTICES		RS/H	Arrest/Closure	2022/12/16 17:25:03	On December 16, 2022, (b)(7)(E) provided a RFI response to the USM640 request submitted by SI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) on October 15, 2022. In the RFI response, (b)(7)(E) reported no identifying information was discovered for Twitter user (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). (b)(7)(E) also conducted an open-source search of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Twitter activities, stating most "involved topics related to gaming, discussions relating to incelism, or talking about non-relevant topics with no focus on any USMS protected interests." In addition, Supreme Court of the United States Police Department closed case (b)(7)(E) on December 15, 2022, for Twitter user (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (aka (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)) after U.S. Secret Service provided the following report: "The subpoena results returned a possible subject who was interviewed by the USSS/ Long Island Resident Office. Upon interview, it was determined the person in question had no knowledge of the Twitter profile or post. AT&T then advised that the IP associated with this post is part of a block used for mobile numbers and is not stored. No further investigation was conducted by USSS. Our Supervisory Intelligence Research Specialist updated Senior Inspector (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(E) USMS on 08/25/22. At this time it looks like the case has closed due to lack of investigative leads." Due to a lack of identifying information found for Twitter user (b)(6); (b)(7)(C), a lack of further activities of concern following the originating threatening post on June 24, 2022, and the interview conducted by the USSS, SI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is closing the case. CASE CLOSED. NO FURTHER ENTRIES.	Direct Threat	Email/Internet			

Closed	2022/06/26 00:00:00	2022/06/26 00:00:00	2022/12/21 00:00:00	2022/12/21 00:00:00	SUPREME COURT JUSTICES	RS/H	Arrest/Close	2022/12/21 12:51:22	On December 20, 2022, S (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and N/GA DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) went to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to conduct a subject interview with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(6). They knocked on the door, intruded themselves, and identified themselves with their badges. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) came outside with her daughter (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to be interviewed. DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) informed (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) the reason for the visit and asked about her statements on her twitter account, but (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated she did not have a twitter account and did not make any such statement. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) daughter (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) said she had a twitter account, but it was not the account (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). The daughter even offered to let the deputies see her account and activity. DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) asked if anyone else in the house had a twitter account, to which they both said no. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) volunteered that she had been cyber stalked by a former employee that she had to fire from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) where she has worked for over 30 years. The daughter, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stated she has been cyber bullied with people going on chats, claiming to be her, and saying very bad things. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) did give information regarding the individual who cyber stalked her and who may or may not be the person that opened a Twitter account that ended up associated with her phone number and email. The name of the fired employee is (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and he was fired from (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) in (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). He later began cyber stalking and contacting (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) in March 2022. There is no evidence to connect (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to the Twitter account or threatening statements at this time, and thus there is no legal authority to go forward with any investigation into his online activity. Based on the interview, both S (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and DUSM (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) agree that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is not the originator or owner of the Twitter account (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was not the one who made the threatening statements to the Supreme Court Justices. In addition, it is not clear if the subpoena ever revealed the actual owner of the Twitter account (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). Further review of original searches show that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)'s name got indirectly associated with the twitter account via a (b)(7)(E) report showing a phone number and email potentially associated with the Twitter account (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) along with several other emails and numbers. Given that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is not the owner of the account and made no threatening statements, has no criminal history, has no history of violence or negative contact with law enforcement, this case involving (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is closed.	Direct Threat	Email/Internet			
Closed	2022/06/23 00:00:00	2022/06/23 00:00:00	2022/08/08 00:00:00	2022/08/08 00:00:00	U.S. SUPREME COURT JUSTICE	RS/H	Arrest/Close	2022/08/05 16:13:33	On June 23, 2022, the Deputy United States Marshal (DUSM) security detail posted to United States Supreme Court Justice (USSCJ) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) reported a vehicle burglary that took place in front of her residence. At approximately 1137 hours DUSM's observed a black Chevy Tahoe bearing Maryland temp tag (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) pull up in front of their stationary vehicle and behind a white work van parked on the street in front of the residence. Three black individuals exited the vehicle, all in black jeans, black puffy jackets, two had the hoods on their jackets pulled over their heads, two wearing ski masks, one with a gator style face mask and carrying black garbage bags. The driver remained in the vehicle while two of the individuals who exited the rear of the Tahoe turned and looked directly at the DUSM vehicle, one pulled out a screwdriver and then the three turned and walked up to the white van. I could hear and see two of the three pop the locks on the van, grab bags of tools from inside, and return to the Tahoe with the items. The vehicle then immediately left the area. A police report obtained from the Metropolitan Police Department states that, "unknown suspect(s) entered the listed vehicle by damaging the rear cargo door lock.". Evidence points to this event as a simple burglary and there is no information to suggest a nexus to the Dobbs ruling, nor a risk to any USMS protected person. Assessment to be closed.	Suspicious Behavior	Informant			

Closed	2022/06/27 00:00:00	2022/06/29 00:00:00	2022/08/10 00:00:00	2022/08/10 00:00:00	U.S. SUPREME COURT JUSTICE	RS/H	Arrest/Closure	2022/08/10 08:48:31	On June 24, 2022, the United States Supreme Court released the official opinion on Dobbs v. Jackson, which overturned Roe v. Wade. The release of the opinion resulted in a substantial increase in threats directed toward United States Supreme Court Justices. As a result, the United States Marshals Service (USMS) Judicial Security Division (JSD) is assisting the Supreme Court of the United States Police (SCUSPD) with protective investigations. On June 26, 2022, SCUSPD was notified of a Tweet from user (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) stating "Call me (b)(6); the way Im about to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) his skull open with a 40 cal". SCUSPD opened case (b)(7)(E). On June 26, 2022, SCUSPD referred the case to USMS JSD Senior Inspector (SI) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) for investigative support. An Emergency Disclosure Request (EDR) and a Twitter Preservation Letter were submitted by SCUSPD on June 26, 2022. The EDR was approved and returned the following information on the Twitter user: Email: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)@outlook.com Phone: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (Orlando, FL area) Twitter Display Name: (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) USMS (b)(7)(E) conducted an open-source database search on the Twitter handle, email and phone number resulting in discovering the following information for the subject: Research on the profile of Twitter user (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) reveals that the user is likely a male in his late teens or early 20s who is located in Florida (no specificity). No clear indication of identity at this time. A Protective Assessment was initiated in (b)(7)(E). During the course of the Protective Assessment, information was sought to uncover the identity of the person who is responsible for the above post. No information of investigative value was uncovered. SCUSPD has been consulted and concur that this Protective Assessment is appropriate for closure. This Protective Assessment is closed immediately. Closure is based on the following: - No information was returned that lead to the identity and/or specific physical location of the poster. - No further threatening or concerning posts by (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) have been identified. ///// Assessment Closed /////	Direct Threat	Email/Internet			
Closed	2022/06/26 00:00:00	2022/06/28 00:00:00	2022/07/20 00:00:00	2022/07/20 00:00:00	U.S. CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE	RS/H	Arrest/Closure	2022/07/20 17:03:54	On June 25, 2022, SCUSPD was notified of a Tweet from user (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) for a Tweet stating "Let's say hypothetical you filled a pressure cooker with oil and theoretically it clogged the vents and caused it to explode. Would the best place to safely dispose of said explosive not be at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) ??? Asking for a friend." Subject also doxed several USSCJ's and stated "...On an unrelated note how to build a Molotov cocktail seems to be trending on Google." The Tweet was posted on June 24, 2022, at 10:29 PM. SCUSPD opened case (b)(7)(E) and referred to USMS for investigative support. Subpoena and USM-640 results indicated that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was unable to be identified. The subject is located in and a citizen of the United Kingdom (UK). The Twitter account was suspended shortly after the user posted the treats to the SCOTUS and remains suspended as of July 20, 2022. On July 20, 2022, SI (b)(6); notified the SCUSPD of the results of the USM-640 and recommended closure in this case. SCUSPD will be closing their case (b)(7)(E). This case is closed.	Veiled Threat	Email/Internet			
Closed	2022/06/26 00:00:00	2022/06/29 00:00:00	2022/08/03 00:00:00	2022/08/03 00:00:00	SUPREME COURT JUSTICE	RS/H	Arrest/Closure	2022/08/02 17:18:18	On June 25, 2022, SCUSPD was notified of a Tweet from user (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) for a Tweet stating "I'm not sure whoever said it, but credit to them, I will let this Supreme Court decision radicalize me, not nothin else. I'm here to be The Butch coming for everyone, pistol in hand." The Tweet was posted on June 25, 2022, at 9:10 AM. SCUSPD opened case (b)(7)(E) and referred to USMS for investigative support. The Emergency Disclosure Report (EDR) did not provide an email address or telephone number, therefore SCUSPD was unable to submit a subpoena request to the USAO. USM-640 results indicated that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was unable to be identified. On August 2, 2022, SI (b)(6); notified the SCUSPD of the results of the USM-640 and recommended closure in this case. SCUSPD will be closing their case (b)(7)(E). This case is closed.	Veiled Threat	Email/Internet			

Closed	2022/06/25 00:00:00	2022/06/25 00:00:00	2022/08/12 00:00:00	2022/08/12 00:00:00	SUPREME COURT JUSTICES		RS/H	Arrest/Closure	2022/08/11 16:44:54	On June 25, 2022, SCUSPD was notified of a Tweet from user (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) for a Tweet stating, "I am assembling a team like The Boys but to kill republicans especially the supreme court justices." USSCP opened case # (b)(7)(E) and referred to USMS for investigative support. The Tweet was posted on June 24, 2022, at 12:44 PM. SCUSPD opened case # (b)(7)(E) and referred to USMS for investigative support. Subpoena and USM-640 results indicated that (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was unable to be identified. Additionally, the subject appears to be located in Canada. On August 11, 2022, SI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) notified the SCUSPD of the results of the USM-640 and recommended closure in this case. SCUSPD will be closing their case # (b)(7)(E). This case is closed.	Direct Threat	Email/Internet			
Closed	2022/06/26 00:00:00	2022/06/26 00:00:00	2022/08/03 00:00:00	2022/08/03 00:00:00	SUPREME COURT JUSTICES		RS/H	Arrest/Closure	2022/08/02 17:18:36	On June 26, 2022, SCUSPD was notified of a Tweet from user (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) for a Tweet stating "gonna put a bomb in the supreme court." The Tweet was posted on June 25, 2022 at 10:24 AM. SCUSPD opened case # (b)(7)(E) and referred to USMS for investigative support. The FBI opened a guardian and identified Twitter User (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) as (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). On June 25, 2022, the FBI Philadelphia and Haddon Township Police interviewed (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) with his parents present. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is currently a senior in high school. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) confirmed his Twitter account as (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and acknowledged making the Tweet. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) was apologetic and did not understand the magnitude of his verbiage on social media. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) indicated that the Tweet was made out of frustration and was not meant to be taken as a threat. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) indicated that he understood the consequences of his actions. SCUSPD has suspended their case # (b)(7)(E) and SI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) recommends closure on this case. This case is closed.	Direct Threat	Email/Internet			
Closed	2022/06/26 00:00:00	2022/06/26 00:00:00	2023/01/13 00:00:00	2023/01/13 00:00:00	SUPREME COURT JUSTICES		RS/H	Arrest/Closure	2023/01/12 09:56:23	On June 26, 2022, SCUSPD was notified of a Tweet from user (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) for a Tweet stating "THAT'S IT THAT'S GENUINELY FUCKING IT I HAVE NOTHING THEY CAN TAKE AWAY FROM ME IM GOING TO BURN THE SUPREME COURT DOWN THROW ME IN JAIL ILL BRAG ABOUT IT I GENUINELY HAVE LESS RIGHTS THEN A GUN IM GOING TO FUCKING BURN THEM DOWN IM SO GOD DAMN PISSSED OFF RIGHT NOW." The Tweet was posted on June 24, 2022 at 9:18 PM. SCUSPD opened case # (b)(7)(E) and referred to USMS for investigative support. On November 10, 2022, Investigators in the D/CO determined the post was made by a 13 year-old female. The female was admonished by her parent and investigators. SI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) seeks closure of this case.	Direct Threat	Email/Internet			
Closed	2022/06/26 00:00:00	2022/06/26 00:00:00	2022/07/07 00:00:00	2022/07/07 00:00:00	FEDERAL JUDGE 7TH CIRCUIT		RS/H	Arrest/Closure	2022/07/07 10:44:00	On June 26, 2022, SI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) sent a collateral lead to E/VA to request assistance in the investigation into the sender of the pizza to United States Supreme Court Justice (USSCJ) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) home address. Upon receipt of the collateral lead request, E/VA contacted the Domino's Pizza location that received the order. E/VA has made multiple unsuccessful attempts to determine the identity of the sender. Domino's either indicates any detailed information regarding the purchase doesn't exist, provides conflicting information related to the purchase whether it was in cash or via credit card, to indicates that the information cannot be given out unless the store manager is contacted. The store manager has yet to return calls to E/VA. Due to both an increase in harassing pizza deliveries to USSCJ's residences and to the lack of cooperation from Domino's Pizza combined with the apparent absence of detailed purchase information from the pizza delivery, this case is closed. Should Domino's contact E/VA with further information, this case will be reevaluated.	Suspicious Behavior	Suspicious Activity			

Closed	2022/06/27 00:00:00	2022/06/27 00:00:00	2022/06/27 00:00:00	2022/07/27 00:00:00	U.S. SUPREME COURT JUSTICES	RS/H	Arrest/Closure	2022/07/27 16:01:45	On June 29, 2022, (b)(7)(E) conducted an analysis of (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Instagram stories, related to claims of having an internal source within the United States Marshals Service providing information on the U.S. Supreme Court Justices and/or protests. The post by the alleged news personnel states, "The officers we spoke with said they were ok with us filming a drive by..." The post also stated, "As we were leaving, 3 undercover vehicles along with a marked unit began following us for 7 miles. Every turn we made, they turned with us. They were appreciative that we pulled over to chat. The three vehicles were US Marshals assigned to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)'s detail." The post continues, "As we wrapped up our conversation with them, we realized we were right down the street from Justice (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)'s house." The post also stated, "Our US Marshal source who's assigned to (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)'s detail says, candle light vigil will be good to cover...." (b)(7)(E) analysis identified a 2021 to 2022 light blue Ford Bronco used by the news personnel. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) over the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Residence Detail, advised that Montgomery County PD has no records of any traffic stops in the area during that time frame. Furthermore, investigators believe four police vehicles following someone is impractical. The distance from Justice (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)'s residence in (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to Justice (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)'s residence in (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) is over (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) miles. The above post makes it appear the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) media members drove a shorter distance to Justice (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)'s residence than realistic. Senior Inspector (SI) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) over the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Residence Detail, advised on or about June 26, 2022, in the early evening, DUSMs on post at Justice (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)'s residence identified a vehicle stopping in front of the residence. The vehicle remained in the cul de sac for several minutes before departing. Shortly thereafter, SI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) left the residence to drive past the nearby (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) which has been consistently utilized as the staging point for protestors. While parked in front of the school, SI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) observed the same vehicle that had been in front of the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) residence. The driver exited his vehicle and spoke with SI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). The driver stated he was with the press and produced a press badge from a news outlet called (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). The vehicle was a light blue Ford Bronco with VA tag (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(7)(E) and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(7)(E) spoke with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and SI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). After a review, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) are confident no USMS personnel have been communicating with the personnel associated with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and assess there is no insider threat. The fact that there was no traffic stop as described in the post, the distance between the (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) residences is not as short as described, and the knowledge that four police vehicles do not make traffic stops, causes investigators to believe the allegation of a USMS source not credible. END OF REPORT. CASE CLOSED.	Suspicious Behavior	Email/Internet			
Closed	2022/06/26 00:00:00	2022/06/26 00:00:00	2022/06/26 00:00:00	2022/11/28 00:00:00	SUPREME COURT JUSTICES	RS/H	Arrest/Closure	2022/11/28 10:23:06	On Monday, 11/07/2022, at about 12:30 PM, Deputy U.S. Marshals (DUSM) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(6); (b)(7)(C); (b)(7)(F) arrived at (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) to interview (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) regarding a Tweet she made on Twitter as the username (b)(6); (b)(7)(C). The Tweet stated, "im going to pay the supreme court a visit." Below the tweet was a meme depicting an individual pointing a handgun toward the viewer of the tweet. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) meet with (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and her mother, (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) for the interview. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) have (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) permission to interview her daughter. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) asked (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) about the tweet. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) admitted to writing the tweet and said that she was upset about the decision regarding abortion rights. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) said she had no intention of hurting anyone and does not have access to any weapons. She did not realize that her tweet would get such attention and said the tweet was sent when she was upset but did not really mean she was going to hurt anyone. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) explained the seriousness of writing threats online even if there was no intention of executing such threats. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) began to cry and apologized for making the tweet. She said she would be more careful about what she posts online in the future. SI (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) seeks closure of this case. INVESTIGATION CLOSED	Veiled Threat	Email/Internet			
Closed	2022/06/27 00:00:00	2022/06/27 00:00:00	2022/06/27 00:00:00	2023/01/31 00:00:00	U.S. SUPREME COURT JUSTICES	RS/H	Arrest/Closure	2022/11/18 23:33:35	On November 18, 2022 the United States Marshals Service was notified that the FBI had interviewed (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) back on 6/25/22. (b)(6); (b)(7)(C) Special Agent with the Supreme Court Police Protective Intelligence Unit believes that the threat appears to have been mitigated at the time of the interview, however they will continue to monitor (b)(6); (b)(7)(C)'s social media. The SCPD also easiest to refer to the JTTF Liaison for reports on any further information. Based upon the SCPD and FBI findings the United States Marshals Service will be closing this case. Shall any other information become available and if any USMS protected persons are threatened the USMS will reopen its investigation. CASE CLOSED.	Direct Threat	Email/Internet			